

**LegCo Panel on Administration of Justice and Legal Services
List of follow-up actions required of the Administration
(as at 10 June 1999)**

Subject	Date(s) of relevant meeting(s)	Follow-up Actions Required	Remarks
<p>1. Review of applicability of 17 Ordinances to “State” organs in the SAR and related issues.</p> <p>1.1. The Hong Kong Bar Association’s submission on the subject</p> <p>1.2. Review of applicability of the Personal Data (Privacy) Ordinance to “State” organs stationed in the SAR</p>	<p>25.2.99</p>	<p>The Administration was requested to comment on the Bar’s submission entitled “Exempting the “State” from the application of the laws of the HKSAR and section 66 of Interpretation and General Clauses Ordinance (Cap. 1)” (LC Paper No. CB(2)1324/98-99(03) refers).</p> <p>The Administration was requested to advise on the timing for completing the review.</p>	<p>Administration’s response circulated vide LC Paper No. CB(2)2254/98-99(01) dated 10 June 1999.</p> <p>Administration’s interim reply circulated vide LC Paper No. C B(2)1970/98-99(01) dated 14 May 1999. The Administration undertakes to report to the Panel as soon as the review has been completed.</p>

Subject	Date(s) of relevant meeting(s)	Follow-up Actions Required	Remarks
1.3. Offices of “State” organs in the HKSAR		(a) The Administration was requested to advise the mechanism and procedures for the SAR Government to give consent or otherwise for offices referred to in Article 22 of the Basic Law to be set up in the SAR and to publicize the establishment of such offices. (b) On the three “State” organs already stationed in Hong Kong, to explain the procedure adopted for giving consent for their establishment in the SAR.)))))))) Administration’s reply) circulated vide) CB(2)2254/98-99(02) dated 10) June 1999.))
2. Proposed abolition of the corroboration rules for sexual offences	27.5.99	The Administration was requested to advise on the following – (a) other common law jurisdictions which still retained the corroboration rules; (b) information on cases where the convictions were quashed because the judges/magistrates failed to give a corroboration warning to the jury; and	Response awaited.

Subject	Date(s) of relevant meeting(s)	Follow-up Actions Required	Remarks
3. Legal education	5.6.99	(c) the Administration's response to the recommendations of the United Nations Committee on the Elimination of Discrimination Against Women. The Administration was asked to inform the Panel in due course the decision regarding the funding for a comprehensive review of legal education of Hong Kong.	Response awaited. A decision is expected to be made in July 1999.

Legislative Council Secretariat

10 June 1999