#### Legislative Council Panel on Welfare Services <u>Meeting on 14 September 1998</u>

#### **Employment Situation of Social Work Graduates**

#### **Purpose**

This paper informs Members of the latest employment situation of social work students who graduated in 1997 and the measures adopted to enhance their employment opportunities. The latest Report of the Social Work Manpower Planning Exercise is also attached for Members' information at <u>Annex I</u>.

#### **Background**

2. We last reported to the Welfare Panel of the Provisional Legislative Council, on 28 February 1998, the employment situation of 1997 social work graduates as well as the mechanism by which the Social Work Manpower Planning System (SWMPS) is conducted. With the assistance of the relevant tertiary institutions, the Social Welfare Department (SWD) conducted two surveys (in December 1997 and April 1998) on the employment situation of the 1997 social work graduates.

#### **Employment Situation of 1997 Social Work Graduates**

3. Every year, the Government together with the tertiary institutions and the Hong Kong Council of Social Service, examines the employment situation of new social work graduates, as part of the manpower projection exercise. In 1997, there were 367 full time social work degree graduates and 543 diploma graduates. 4. According to the survey conducted in December 1997, which saw a response rate of 65%, 83% of full-time degree graduates and 80 % of full-time diploma graduates who responded to the survey had secured employment - 43 % of respondents entered the social work field.

5. In the April 1998 survey, the response rate for both full-time degree and diploma graduates was 52%. Of those who responded, 95% of the degree graduates and 88% of diploma graduates had secured employment. 55% of degree graduates and 57% of diploma graduates entered the social work field.

6. Seen from a wider perspective, the employment situation for social work graduates compares favourably with graduates of other disciplines. According to statistics from surveys conducted by individual University Grants Committee-funded institutions on the overall employment situation of 1997 graduates, 83% of full-time degree graduates have secured employment. The employment rate for full-time sub-degree graduates was 76%.

#### The Social Welfare Manpower Planning System (SWMPS) Report No. 10

7. At the PLC Welfare Panel meeting held in February 1998, we undertook to provide the SWMPS Report No. 10 to the Panel once it became available.

8. The Social Welfare Manpower Planning System (SWMPS) was developed in 1987 to maintain up-to-date data on the supply of, and demand for, social work personnel. The system is maintained by the SWD, on the advice of the Joint Committee on SWMPS which comprises representatives

2

from SWD and the Hong Kong Council of Social Service. According to Report No. 10, there should be a supply of 418 degree graduates and 590 diploma graduates in 1998. The projections regarding the supply of, and demand for , social work degree and diploma holders for the period up to 2001/02 are at <u>Annexes II and III</u> respectively.

9. The projections indicate there will be a continued surplus of degree and diploma graduates in the coming few years. The main reason for the surplus is the recent decrease in the anticipated wastage rate of both social work officer (SWO) and social work assistant (SWA) grade workers. The wastage rate for SWO has dropped to 3.5% (from 4.6% in Report No. 9) and for SWA to 8.5% (from 11.7% in Report No. 9). We expect this downward trend to continue.

10. In 1998/99, 133 SWO grade posts and 185 SWA new posts will be created in SWD and the welfare sector. On the basis of the information available, it appears that a number of the 1998 graduates will not be able to readily secure employment in the social work field in the short-term. There are, however, many factors which affect employment, for instance when the students want to start work, whether they wish to remain in the social work field, or continue with their studies and how the prevailing economic climate affects the overall employment situation in Hong Kong. Given the short time since the 1998 batch of social work graduates left university, it is too early to know their employment situation at this stage.

#### **Regular review of the manpower projection method**

11. To assess manpower requirements in the social work field, the Joint Committee on Social Work Manpower Planning System annually updates the manpower projection for social workers and publishes an annual Social Work Manpower Planning Report. The projections in the Report form the basis of our recommendations to the University Grants Committee on the student intake for future social work programmes.

12. The manpower projections are made on the basis of past trends in demand, wastage rates of serving workers, voluntary non-entrants and supply from overseas returnees. These factors vary from time to time, and are sensitive to circumstantial factors. To ensure a better match between supply and demand, the Joint Committee will continue to review the projection methodology and examine ways of improving its accuracy.

# <u>Measures to enhance employment opportunities for</u> <u>Social Work Graduates</u>

13. We are aware of the need to enhance the employment opportunities for social work graduates and, together with the NGO sector, have adopted a series of measures to achieve this aim.

#### To expedite the creation of new posts

14. Earlier this year, both SWD and NGOs arranged for the social work posts earmarked for creation in 1998/99 to be filled as early as practicable. Of the 318 new social work posts to be created in this financial year, 89 social work posts (56 Social Work Officer posts and 33 Social Work Assistant posts) have been created in the first quarter. Another 40 posts were created in July and August 1998. This action helps to ensure that employment opportunities are made available as soon as possible.

#### To streamline recruitment procedures

15. We will continue streamlining recruitment procedures so as to reduce the lead time required to fill a social work post. SWD now regularly updates its waiting list so as to enable those interested in social work employment opportunities in the Government to be contacted as soon as vacancies arise.

16. To enable the NGO sector to recruit the necessary social work personnel, NGOs are given early notification of new services or projects allocated to them. Following the establishment of the Social Workers Registration Board, SWD has removed the need for NGOs to seek its prior approval in vetting the qualifications of applicants for social work posts. In addition, SWD has recently published a manual on staff appointment matters for use by the subvented sector. This is a comprehensive reference tool for NGOs to assist with their vetting of candidates' qualifications in the recruitment process.

#### To encourage agencies to employ new graduates

17. As the employment difficulties faced by new social work graduates tend to be more acute when compared to those with relevant working experience, SWD actively encourages NGOs to accord priority to the employment of fresh graduates.

#### To encourage graduates to undertake further studies

5

18. The Administration has adopted measures to promote further education as a means to enhance the competitiveness of our graduates and to offer new opportunities to those who want to upgrade themselves through continuing and professional education. To meet the increasing demand from qualified graduates for further education, UGC-funded institutions have been invited to over-enrol their taught postgraduate courses in the 1998-99 academic year. 1,000 places have been made available under this scheme. This will cater for the needs of well-qualified graduates who will benefit from further studies before entering the job market.

19. The Non-Means Tested Loan Scheme, currently confined to fulltime students of UGC-funded institutions, VTC Technical Colleges and the Hong Kong Academy for Performing Arts (HKAPA), will be extended to cover full-time students of the Hong Kong Shue Yan College which also offers social work degree and diploma programmes. All part-time students attending publicly-funded programmes offered by UGC-funded institutions will also be covered under this scheme starting from the 1998/99 academic year.

#### **Career counselling services for prospective graduates**

20. To prepare prospective graduates for their job search, the Student Affairs Office of various tertiary institutions offers a wide range of counselling services. The seven UGC-funded institutions have set up a jointinstitution career databank which provides the most comprehensive and updated employment information. Individual offices also organise career talks, advice on interview techniques as well as information on the job market and prospective employers. These services will be of value to

6

students in their search for employment.

# To continue monitoring the employment situation of social work graduates

21. To enable the Government to better assess the employment opportunities for social work graduates, SWD will continue to enlist the assistance of the relevant tertiary institutions in conducting surveys on the 1998 graduates' employment situation.

22. We remain committed to promoting welfare development and exploring ways to enhance the employment opportunities for social work graduates. It is however worth noting that social work students should endeavour to stay competitive in the market and be prepared to face similar challenges in their search for employment as with graduates from other disciplines.

Health & Welfare Bureau September 1998

	<u>End Financial Year</u> 97/98 98/99 99/00 00/01					
(Year of Graduation)	(1997)	(1998)	(1999)	(2000)	(2001)	
New Supply of Degree Graduates	411	418	403	386	427	

353

58

285

133

381

22

405

-19

432

-5

#### Projections in respect of social work degree graduates

# \* Shortfall(-)/Surplus of Supply at end of individual years (supply less demand)

#### Note :

## <sup>1</sup> Assistant Social Work Officer

## <sup>2</sup> Social Work Personnel

New Demand for

SWP<sup>2</sup> in TIs<sup>3</sup>

ASWO<sup>1</sup> and above plus

# <sup>3</sup> Tertiary institutions' lecturers, fieldwork instructors etc.

\* The cumulative number of degree graduates who have not entered the social work field is not computed, as it is not reasonable to assume that all graduates who cannot find a social work post will always remain in this field. However, we note from available records that a fair number of graduates in the past <u>two</u> years will continue to seek a job in the social work field.

# **Projections in respect of social work diploma graduates**

	End Financial Year							
	<u>97/98</u>	<u>98/99</u>	<u>99/00</u>	00/01	<u>01/02</u>			
(Year of Graduation)	(1997)	(1998)	(1999)	(2000)	(2001)			
New Supply of Diploma Graduates	582	590	604	524	531			
New Demand for SWA <sup>1</sup> and above	485	446	453	478	505			
* Shortfall(-)/Surplus of Supply at end of individual years (supply less demand)	97	144	151	46	26			
Note :								

<sup>1</sup> Social Work Assistant

\* The cumulative number of diploma graduates who have not entered the social work field is not computed, as it is not reasonable to assume that all graduates who cannot find a social work post will always remain in this field. However, we note from available records that a fair number of graduates in the past <u>two</u> years will continue to seek a job in the social work field.

# SOCIAL WELFARE MANPOWER

# **PLANNING SYSTEM**

# **REPORT NO.10**

Joint Committee on Social Welfare Manpower Planning System

Social Welfare Department Hong Kong Council of Social Service

April 1998

## **CONTENTS**

#### <u>Page No.</u>

Part I	Execu	itive Summary	1						
Part II	Introd	luction	3						
Part III	Projec	Projections for 1997/98 - 2001/02							
	1.	Period of Projections	5						
	2.	Projections for Degree Graduates	5						
	3.    Projections for Diploma Graduates								
	4.	Significant Points to Note	6						
Part IV	Move	ment and Profile of SWP in 1996/97							
	(A) Movement of SWP								
		1. Introduction	7						
		2. Recruitment Cases	8						
		3. Turnover Cases	9						
		4. Re-entrant Cases 1	3						
		5. Wastage Cases 1	4						
	(B)	Profile of SWP							
		1. Introduction 1	5						
		2. Sex Distribution 1	5						
		3. Age Distribution 1	5						
		4. Rank Distribution 1	6						
		5. Highest Qualification Distribution 1	6						
		6. Area of Service Distribution 1	7						
		7. Job Role Distribution 1	8						
		8. Length of Service in Social Work Field Distribution 1	8						
		9. Full-time / Part-time Posts Distribution 1	9						

## <u>Page No.</u>

## Appendices

Appendix I	Terms	of Reference of the Joint Committee	21
Appendix II	Overv	iew Of Methodology	
	(A)	System Updating and Maintenance	23
	(B)	Glossary	26
	(C)	Method of Projection	28
	(D)	List of Organizations Employing SWP	32
Appendix III	Statist	ical Tables	
	(A)	Estimated Graduates by Training Programme	35
	(B)	Estimated Figures on Non-entry Rates	36
	(C)	Estimated Net Additional Staff Requirement	37
	(D) E	stimated Figures on Wastage Rates	38

#### PARTI EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

#### 1. <u>Projections for 1997/98-2001/02</u>

- For **degree graduates**, there would be a surplus for 97/98 to 99/2000 and a shortfall for 2000/01 to 2001/02.
- There would be a surplus of **diploma graduates** for 97/98 to 2001/02.

#### Trained Social Work Personnal\* (SWP) in 1996/97

#### 2. <u>Strength as at 31.3.1997</u>

- The System provides information on **6,568 filled posts/strength** as at 31st March 1997, with 3,698 for the Social Work Officer grade (*SWO grade i.e. Assistant Social Work Officer rank and above*) plus Social Work Personnel (*SWP*) in training institutions (*TIs*) and 2,870 for Social Work Assistant grade (*SWA grade i.e. Social Work Assistant rank and above*).
- When compared with the strength as at 31st March 1996, the **growth rate on strength** was **8.4%** for SWO grade plus SWP in TIs and **7.2%** for SWA grade.

#### 3. <u>Movement in 1996/97</u>

- During the year 1996/97, there were 381 turnover, 305 re-entrant and 76 wastage cases for **SWO grade plus SWP in TIs**. The turnover, re-entrant and **wastage** rates were 10.7%, 8.6% and **2.1%** respectively.
- The respective figures for **SWA grade** were 545,320 and 225 cases, and the corresponding rates were 19.6%, 11.5% and **8.1%**.

#### 4. <u>Profile in 1996/97</u>

• About two-thirds of SWP were female. Half of SWP were aged between 25 and 34 and the overall average age was 35.3. SWP were largely working in the areas of youth, family welfare and rehabilitation services in descending order. The overall average length of service was 8.8 years; about one-third of SWP had worked in the social work field for over 10 years.

Note: \* For definition of terms, please see glossary in Appendix II(B).

#### PART II INTRODUCTION

- 1.1 Manpower is an important area of concern in the development of social welfare services in Hong Kong. To facilitate manpower planning, a Joint Committee comprising representatives from the Social Welfare Department (SWD) and the Hong Kong Council of Social Service (HKCSS) was formed in July 1987. The terms of reference of the Joint Committee is at Appendix I.
- 1.2 Under the Committee, a **Social Welfare Manpower Planning System** (*abbreviated as SWMPS or the System throughout this report*) was established. The System undertakes systematic collection of information of SWP which is essential for manpower planning. The objectives, coverage and data collection mechanism for the System are explained at *Appendix II* (*A*).
- 1.3 This report is the tenth in the series of reports prepared by the Joint Committee. It is compiled by reference to the data on manpower strength collected as at 31st March 1997, number of graduates estimated for 1997-2001 as in March 1998 and demand figures estimated for years 1997/98-2001/02 as in September 1997.

#### PART III PROJECTIONS FOR 1997/98 - 2001/02

#### 1. <u>Period of Projections</u>

1.1 For this report, the projections are prepared for a period of five years, i.e. 1997/98 - 2001/02. The method of projection is detailed at *Appendix II (C)* and the key statistics for projections at *Appendix III (A) - (D)*.

#### 2. <u>Projections for Degree Graduates</u>

For degree graduates, there would be a surplus for 97/98 to 99/2000 and a shortfall for 2000/01 to 2001/02.

		<u>Financial Year</u>							
		<u>97798</u>	<u>98/99</u>	<u>99/2000</u>	<u>2000/01</u>	<u>2001/02</u>			
New S	Supply of Degree Graduates								
(Year d	of Graduation)	(1997)	(1998)	(1999)	(2000)	(2001)			
(a)	Graduates of local TIs for the year <sup>(1)</sup>	465	474	456	436	484			
(b)	Voluntary non-entrants	74	76	73	70	77			
(c)	New entrants with overseas qualification	20	20	20	20	20			
Total	[i.e. (a) - (b) + (c)]	411	418	403	386	427			
New Demand for ASWO and above plus SWP in TIs									
(d)	Net additional staff requirement	220	145	235	250	268			
(e)	Replacement for wastage	133	140	146	155	164			
Total	[i.e. (d) + (e)]	353	285	381	405	432			
Short	fall (-) / Surplus of Supply <sup>(2)</sup>								
At end	of individual years (supply less demand)	58	133	22	-19	-5			
Estim	ated Strength								
At end	of the year	3,918	4,063	4,298	4,548	4,816			

Notes: <sup>(1)</sup> Numbers of local graduates are provided by local TIs. <sup>(2)</sup> It is not reasonable to assume that all graduates who

It is not reasonable to assume that all graduates who cannot find a job in the social work field in their year of graduation will remain in search of a job in the field. The cumulative number of degree graduates who have not entered the social work field is not computed. However, according to available records, we are aware that a fair number of graduates in the past two years will continue to seek a job in the field.

#### 3. <u>Projections for Diploma Graduates</u>

There would be a surplus of diploma graduates for 97/98 to 2001/02.

		Financial Year							
		<u>97/98</u>	<u>98/99</u>	<u>99/2000</u>	<u>2000/01</u>	<u>2001/02</u>			
New S	upply of Diploma Graduates								
(Year d	of Graduation)	(1997)	(1998)	(1999)	(2000)	(2001)			
(a)	Graduates of local TIs for the year <sup>(1)</sup>	694	693	709	616	622			
(Ъ)	Voluntary non-entrants	101	101	104	90	91			
(c)	Students holding posts of SWA grade	11	2	1	2	#			
Total	[i.e. (a) - (b) - (c)]	582		604	524	531			
New D	emand for SWA and above								
(d)	Net additional staff requirement	231	168	161	172	184			
(e)	Replacement for wastage	254	278	292	306	321			
Total	[i.e. (d) + (e)]	485	446	453	478	505			
Shortf	all (-) / Surplus of Supply <sup>(2)</sup>								
At end	of individual years (supply less demand)	97	144	151	46	26			
Estima	ited Strength								
At end	of the year	3,183	3,351	3,512	3,684	3,868			

#### 4. Significant Points to Note

4.1 Throughout the period of projections, the <u>non-entry rates</u> on supply for degree graduates (*including master graduates*) and diploma graduates are assumed to be 16.0% and 14.6% respectively. The <u>wastage rate</u> on strength for SWO grade plus SWP in TIs is assumed to be 3.5%<sup>(3)</sup> and that for SWA grade is assumed to be 8.5%<sup>(4)</sup>.

Notes: <sup>(1)</sup> Numbers of local graduates are provided by local TIs.

(3) Weighted average of wastage rates for 94/95 to 96/97.

(4) Weighted average of wastage rates for 95/96 to 96/97.

# Unknown.

<sup>(2)</sup> It is not reasonable to assume that all graduates who cannot find a job in the social work field in their year of graduation will remain in search of a job in the field. The cumulative number of diploma graduates who have not entered the social work field is not computed. However, according to available records, we are aware that a fair number of graduates in the past two years will continue to seek a job in the field.

#### PART IV MOVEMENT AND PROFILE OF SWP in 1996/97

#### (A) Movement of SWP

#### 1. <u>Introduction</u>

- 1.1 This Section presents key statistics showing the strength, recruitment, turnover, reentrant and wastage cases during the reference year of <u>1st April 1996 to 31st March</u> <u>1997</u>. Summary statistics on the distribution patterns are also included.
- 1.2 The System covers **6,568 filled posts / strength** as at 31 March 1997, with 3,698 for SWO grade plus SWP in TIs and 2,870 for SWA grade.

Strength as at 31st March 1997										
<u>Grade</u>	<u>NGOs*</u>	<u>SWD</u>	<u>TIs</u>	<u>Total</u>						
SWO grade plus SWP in TIs	2,113 (+6.2%)	1,228 (+6.6%)	357 (+31.3%)	3,698 (+8.4%)						
SWA grade	2,340 (+7.0%)	530 (+7.7%)	- 	2,870 (+7.2%)						
Total	4,453	1,758	357	6,568						

- Notes: \* NGOs include SWD-subvented sector, non-SWD-subvented sector (including posts subvented by Education Department and Hospital Authority) and non-subvented sector in NGOs.
  - () Figures in brackets represent the growth rate compared to corresponding figures on strength as at 31 March 1996.
- 1.3 Compared to corresponding figures on <u>strength</u> of 3,413 for SWO grade plus SWP in TIs and 2,678 for SWA grade as at 31 March 1996, the growth rates on strength were 8.4% and 7.2% respectively.
- 1.4 There were 381 turnover, 305 re-entrant and 76 wastage cases for SWO grade plus SWP in TIs recorded in 1996/97. The turnover, re-entrant and wastage rates were 10.7%, 8.6% and 2.1% respectively.
- 1.5 The respective figures for **SWA grade** were 545, 320 and 225 cases, with the corresponding rates being 19.6%, 11.5% and **8.1%**.

#### 2. <u>Recruitment Cases</u>

2.1 There were 1,379 recruitment cases recorded in 1996/97.

By grade	
•	39.3% were of SWO grade
•	52.9% were of SWA grade
•	7.8% were SWP in TIs

2.2 Analyzed by service, 29.2% of the recruitment cases entered the youth service and 18.3% joined the family welfare service. These two services were also employing the largest numbers of workers.

2.3 The recruitment cases for social work training increased drastically from 20 in 1995/96 to 110 in 1996/97. Extra staff were recruited mainly for supervising social work students undertaking field work practice for the blister programmes which started in 1995/96.

	SWO SWP grade in TIs		SWA <u>grade</u>		<u>Total</u>			
Area of service	<u>No.</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>%</u>
Youth	145	26.8	-	-	258	35.4	403	29.2
Family welfare	140	25.8	-	-	112	15.4	252	18.3
Rehabilitation	50	9.2	-	-	156	21.4	206	14.9
Elderly	20	3.7	-	-	113	15.5	133	9.6
Social work training	2	0.4	108	100.0	-	-	110	8.0
Offenders / drug abusers	59	10.9	-	-	26	3.6	85	6.2
Community development	23	4.2	-	-	50	6.9	73	5.3
Medical social service	65	12.0	-	-	1	0.1	66	4.8
Central administration	29	5.4	-	-	7	1.0	36	2.6
Volunteer service and others	9	1.7	-	-	6	0.8	15	1.1
Total	542	100.0	108	100.0	729	100.0	1,379	100.0

#### 3. <u>Turnover Cases</u>

3.1 A total of **926 turnover cases** were recorded in 1996/97.

By grade	
•	38.2% were of SWO grade
•	58.9% were of SWA grade
•	2.9% were SWP in TIs

3.2 The overall turnover rate for SWO grade plus SWP in TIs was 10.7% while that for SWA grade was 19.6%. SWA grade in NGOs recorded the highest turnover rate of 22.7%.

	<u>NGOs</u>		<u>SWD</u>		<u>TIs</u>		<u>Total</u>	
	Tu	rnover	Turnover		Turnover		Turnover	
		<u>rate</u>		<u>rate</u>		<u>rate</u>		<u>rate</u>
<u>Grade</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>%</u>
SWO grade plus SWP in TIs	295	14.3	59	5.0	27	8.5	381	10.7
SWA grade	515	22.7	30	5.9	-	-	545	19.6
Total	810	18.7	89	5.2	27	8.5	926	14.6

3.3 Analyzed by service, 35.0% of the **turnover cases** were from the youth service and 17.7% were from the family welfare service. These two services were also employing the largest numbers of workers.

	<u>SWO</u>	<u>grade</u>	<u>SWP</u>	<u>in TIs</u>	<u>SWA grade</u>		<u>Total</u>	
<u>Area of service</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>%</u>
Youth	95	26.8	-	-	229	42.0	324	35.0
Family welfare	86	24.3	-	-	78	14.3	164	17.7
Rehabilitation	36	10.2	-	-	93	17.1	129	13.9
Elderly	20	5.6	-		72	13.2	92	9.9
Community development	23	6.5	-	-	41	7.5	64	6.9
Offenders / drug abusers	24	6.8	-	-	22	4.0	46	5.0
Medical social service	33	9.3	-	-	2	0.4	35	3.8
Central administration	30	8.5	-	-	4	0.7	34	3.7
Social work training	2	0.6	27	100.0	-	-	29	3.1
Volunteer service and others	5	1.4		-	4	0.7	9	1.0
Total	354	100.0	27	100.0	545	100.0	926	100.0

## 3.4 Analyzed by **reasons for leaving** the job for the turnover cases:

Overall	
•	56.2% of workers left the job for joining another organization in the social work field
•	9.6% for further study in social work field
	or and by grade
•	reasons are not known for the majority of SWO grade
	leaving SWD and TIs, and SWA grade leaving SWD
•	major reason known for SWO grade in SWD was taking

- retirement
  major reason known for SWA grade in SWD was changing to SWO grade
- 13.0% of SWA grade in NGOs left for further study in the social work discipline

Stated reason for		SWO grade			SŴ	SWP in <u>SWA grade</u>						
leaving the	<u>N</u>	<u>GOs</u>	<u>S</u>	<u>WD</u>	1	<u>'Is</u>	<u>NC</u>	<u>GOs</u>	<u>SI</u>	<u>WD</u>	<u>T</u>	otal
organization	<u>No.</u>	<u>%</u> *	<u>No.</u>	<u>%</u> *	<u>No.</u>	<u>%</u> *	<u>No.</u>	<u>%</u> *	<u>No.</u>	<u>%</u> *	<u>No.</u>	<u>%*</u>
Joining other NGOs / TIs	115	5 47.9	5	22.7	3	33.3	227	53,8	1	8.3	351	49.8
Joining SWD	25	10.4	-	· -	-	-	20	4.7	-	-	45	6.4
Further study in social work field	13	5.4	-	-	-	-	55	13.0	-	-	68	9.6
Changing from SWA grade to SWO grade	-	-	-	-	-	-	52	12.3	10	83.3	62	8.8
Emigration	30	12.5	-	-	1	11.1	20	4.7	-	-	51	7.2
Retirement	3	1.3	17	77.3	1	11.1	4	0.9	1	8.3	26	3.7
Joining non-social work field	15	6.3	-	-	-	-	12	2.8	-	-	27	3.8
Further study in non-social work field	8	3.3	-	-	-	-	8	1.9	-	-	16	2.3
Expiry of contract	7	2.9	-	-	4	44.4	6	1.4	-	-	17	2.4
Other reasons for leaving social work field (e.g. poor health)	24	10.0	-	-	-	-	18	4.3	-	-	42	6.0
Reason not disclosed	55	NA	37	NA	18	NA	93	NA	18	NA	221	NA
Total	295	100.0	59	100.0	27	100.0	515	100.0	30	100.0	926	100.0

Notes :

Excluding those who did not disclose reason for leaving.
 NA Not applicable.

3.5 Analyzed by year of service in the serving organization for the turnover cases prior to their resignation:

<ul> <li>Overall</li> <li>58.1% had worked for 3 years or less</li> </ul>	
Average length of service by sector	
• 3.9 years for NGOs	
• 11.0 years for SWD	
• 4.7 years for TIs	
• 4.6 years for all three sectors	

Length of service		0-	CIL	<b>T</b>	T	To.	Tot	al
in organization	<u>NG</u>		<u>SW</u>		<u>T1</u>	_		
prior to leaving	<u>No.</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>%</u>
(years)								
l or less	177	21.9	14	15.7	1	3.7	192	20.7
more than 1 to 2	172	21.2	14	15.7	2	7.4	188	20.3
more than 2 to 3	139	17.2	5	5.6	14	51.9	158	17.1
more than 3 to 4	95	11.7	5	5.6	2	7.4	102	11.0
more than 4 to 5	52	6.4	5	5.6	3	11.1	60	6.5
more than 5 to 10	128	15.8	14	15.7	2	7.4	144	15.6
more than 10 to 15	13	1.6	3	3.4	1	3.7	17	1.8
more than 15 to 20	10	1.2	5	5.6	1	3.7	16	1.7
more than 20	24	3.0	24	27.0	1	3.7	49	5.3
Total	810	100.0	89	100.0	27	100.0	926	100.0

#### 4. **Re-entrant Cases**

- There were 305 SWO grade plus SWP in TIs and 320 SWA grade re-entrant cases 4.1 recorded in the reference year, representing a proportion of 8.6% and 11.5% of the respective average strength.
- 4.2 A significant proportion of SWP (47.2% of SWO grade plus SWP in TIs and 87.5% of SWA grade) were found to have moved among agencies within the NGO sector. The total re-entrant cases of TIs grew substantially from 20 in 1995/96 to 91 in 1996/97.

	No. of S <u>SWP</u>	No. of SWA	grade cases		
Sector workers	<u>Sector work</u>	<u>kers re-enteri</u>	ng to	Sector workers re	e-entering to
leaving from	<u>NGOs</u>	<u>SWD</u>	TIS	<u>NGOs</u>	<u>SWD</u>
NGOs	144	43	66	280	35
SWD	14	2	9	3	2
TIs	10	1	16	-	-
Total	168	46	91	283	37

4.3 Among re-entrant cases of SWO grade plus SWP in TIs, 65.2% had a break of service in the field for half a year or less while 19.3% for more than 2 years. For re-entrant cases of SWA grade, 81.9% had a break of service for half a year or less.

<ul> <li>Average duration for break of service</li> <li>13.5 months for SWO grade plus SWP in TIs</li> <li>6.0 months for SWA grade</li> </ul>								
Duration for break of	SWO g			_		_		
<u>service in the field</u>	<u>plus SWF</u>	<u>' in TIs</u>	<u>SWA g</u>	<u>rade</u>	<u>Total</u>			
(years)	<u>No.</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>%</u>		
<sup>1</sup> / <sub>2</sub> or less	199	65.2	262	81.9	461	73.8		
more than $\frac{1}{2}$ to 1	18	5.9	20	6.3	38	6.1		
more than 1 to $1\frac{1}{2}$	17	5.6	8	2.5	25	4.0		
more than 11/2 to 2	12	3.9	5	1.6	17	2.7		
more than 2 to 4	26	8.5	13	4.1	39	6.2		
more than 4	33	10.8	12	3.8	45	7.2		
<b>m</b>				<u> </u>				
Total	305	100.0	320	100.0	625	100.0		

Notes: For re-entrant case, the following two types of workers are excluded:

- (a) workers who were serving in the field and took up other posts in addition; and (b)
  - workers who only indicated that they had prior experience in the field without providing any further information.

#### 5. <u>Wastage Cases</u>

- 5.1 The number of wastage cases for 1996/97 was estimated at 76 for SWO grade plus SWP in TIs and 225 for SWA grade, with the respective wastage rates being 2.1% and 8.1%.
- 5.2 By sector, SWA grade in NGOs recorded the largest number of wastage cases (232) and highest wastage rate (10.2%) for the year.

	<u>NGOs</u> Wastage		<u>SWD</u> Wastage		<u>TIs</u> Wastage		<u>Total</u> Wastage	
		<u>Rate</u>		<u>Rate</u>		<u>Rate</u>		<u>Rate</u>
<u>Grade</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>%</u>
SWO grade plus SWP in TIs	127	6.2	13	1.1	-64*	N.A.	76	2.1
SWA grade	232	10.2	-7*	N.A.	-	-	225	8.1
Total	359	-	6	-	-64	-	301	-

Note: \* The number of turnover cases being smaller than the number of re-entrant cases has resulted in a mathematically negative wastage figure.

## (B) **<u>Profile of SWP</u>**

#### 1. <u>Introduction</u>

1.1 Among the 6,568 filled posts covered by the System, 6,325 SWP have provided their personal particulars to the SWMPS Office. This Section highlights the personal characteristics of these 6,325 SWP.

#### 2. <u>Sex Distribution</u>

			• 67.5	% were j					
	<u>NG</u>	<u>Os</u>	<u>SW</u>	<u>ZD</u>	<u>T1</u>	<u>/s</u>	<u>To</u>	<u>Total</u>	
<u>Sex</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>%</u>	
Male	1,343	31.6	553	31.9		45.4	2,053	32.5	
Female	2,901	68.4	1,182	68.1	189	54.6	4,272	67.5	
Total	4,244	100.0	1,735	100.0	346	100.0	6,325	100.0	

#### 3. Age Distribution

Ove Ave									
L	NG	<u>Os</u>	<u></u>	VD	<u>T</u>	ls			
<u>Age</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>%</u>	
20 - 24	496	11.7	231	13.3	-	-	727	11.5	
25 - 29	1,163	27.4	376	21.7	12	3.5	1,551	24.5	
30 - 34	1,109	26.1	415	23.9	66	19.1	1,590	25.1	
35 - 39	807	19.0	231	13.3	131	37.9	1,169	18.5	
40 - 44	373	8.8	248	14.3	78	22.5	699	11.1	
45 - 49	155	3.7	172	9.9	41	11.8	368	5.8	
50 - 54	83	2.0	52	3.0	12	3.5	147	2.3	
55 - 59	42	1.0	10	0.6	3	0.9	55	0.9	
60 and above	ve 16 0.4 3 0.9								
Total	4,244	100.0	1,735	100.0	346	100.0	6,325	100.0	

#### 4. Rank Distribution

- 32.6% were of SWO grade in NGOs
- 19.2% were of SWO grade in SWD
- 5.5% were SWP in TIs
- 34.5% were of SWA grade in NGOs
- 8.3% were of SWA grade in SWD

	<u>NG</u>	<u>Os</u>	<u>SW</u>	$\overline{D}$	<u>TIs</u>		<u>To</u>	<u>tal</u>
<u>Rank</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>%</u>
CSWO and above	22	0.3	23	0.4	-	-	45	0.7
SSWO	35	0.6	43	0.7	-	-	78	1.2
SWO	387	6.1	207	3.3	-	-	594	9.4
ASWO	1,617	25.6	940	14.9	-	-	2,557	40.4
Lecturer and above	-	-	-	-	141	2.2	141	2.2
Field work instructor / supervisor	-	-	-	-	205	3.2	205	3.2
CSWA	20	0.3	23	0.4	_	-	43	0.7
SSWA	<b>48</b> 0	7.6	187	3.0	-	-	667	10.5
SWA	1,683	26.6	312	4.9	-	-	1,995	31.5
Total	4,244	67.1	1,735	27.4	346	5.5	6,325	100.0

## 5. <u>Highest Qualification Distribution</u>

<ul> <li>96.5% of SWO grade</li> <li>96.5% of SWP in TIs</li> <li>88.2% of SWA grade</li> </ul>	) ) had necessary qualification in social work )
---	--

Highest		SWO <u>grade</u>		in	SWA <u>grade</u>		<u>Total</u>	
<i>qualification</i>	<u>No.</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>%</u>
* MSW / M Soc. Sc. in social work and above	440	13.4	174	50.3	8	0.3	622	9.8
<ul> <li>Post-graduate diploma in social work</li> </ul>	171	5.2	16	4.6	5	0.2	192	3.0
* BSW / B Soc. Sc. in social work	2,539	77.6	144	41.6	116	4.3	2,799	44.3

	SW	-	SWP		SW		<u>Tot</u>	al
Highest <u>qualification (cont'd)</u>	<u>gra</u> <u>No.</u>	<u>ae</u> <u>%</u>	<u>TI:</u> <u>No.</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>gra</u> <u>No.</u>	<u>ue</u> <u>%</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>%</u>
* Certificate in social studies	10	0.3	-	-	14	0.5	24	0.4
▲ Cadetship	25	0.8	3	0.9	3	0.1	31	0.5
Non-social work degree	59	1.8	9	2.6	32	1.2	100	1.6
▲ Social work diploma	17	0.5	-	-	2,206	81.6	2,223	35.1
▲ ISWT certificate	1	#	-	-	34	1.3	35	0.6
Non-social work diploma	4	0.1	-	-	35	1.3	39	0.6
Matriculation	2	0.1	-	-	35	1.3	37	0.6
School certificate	6	0.2	-	-	217	8.0	223	3.5
Total	3,274	100.0	346	100.0	2,705	100.0	6,325	100.0

\* denotes training in social work for SWO grade
<sup>\*</sup> denotes training in social work for SWA grade
<sup>#</sup> less than 0.05% Notes :

#### Area of Service Distribution 6.

Relatively l	arge proportions were	working in
--------------	-----------------------	------------

youth service (25.2%)
family welfare service (22.2%)

	<u>NG</u>	<u>Os</u>	<u>SW</u>	<u>'D</u>	<u>T</u>	<u>s</u>	<u>To</u>	<u>tal</u>
Area of service	<u>No.</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>%</u>
<b></b>		26.4	16				1 501	25.2
Youth	1,545	36.4	46	2.7	-	-	1,591	23.2
Family welfare	676	15.9	725	41.8	-	-	1,401	22.2
Rehabilitation	714	16.8	55	3.2	-	-	769	12.2
Elderly	497	11.7	23	1.3	-	-	520	8.2
Offenders / drug abusers	149	3.5	293	16.9	-	-	442	7.0
Medical social service	111	2.6	320	18.4	-	-	431	6.8
Central administration	230	5.4	175	10.1	-	-	405	6.4
Community development	282	6.6	79	4.6	-	-	361	5.7
Social work training	-	-	19	1.1	346	100.0	365	5.8
Volunteer service and others	40	0.9	-	-	-	-	40	0.6
Total	4,244	100.0	1,735	100.0	346	100.0	6,325	100.0

## 7. Job Role Distribution

#### majority of staff of SWO and above ranks were primarily involved in supervision/administration

• majority of SWAs, SSWAs and ASWOs were mainly involved in front-line activities

	SSWO			SWP in				<u>To</u>	tal
<u>Job role</u>	and <u>above</u>	<u>SWO</u>	<u>ASWO</u>	<u>TIs</u>	<u>CSWA</u>	<u>SSWA</u>	<u>SWA</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>%</u>
Front-line	-	72	2,104	-	8	444	1,872	4,500	71.1
Supervisory / administrative	122	521	449	3	35	223	123	1,476	23.3
Teaching / training	1	1	4	343	- 	- 		349	5.5
Total	123	594	2,557	346	43	667	1,995	6,325	100.0

#### 8. Length of Service in Social Work Field Distribution

Overall	
• 33.8% had worked in the social work field for over 10 years	
Average length of service by sector	
• 7.8 years for NGOs	
• 9.9 years for SWD	
• 15.3 years for TIs	
• 8 8 years for all the three sectors	

•	8.8 years for all the three se	ciors

Length of service in social work	NG	Os	SW	′D	<u>T1</u>	<u>'s</u>	To	<u>tal</u>
field	<u>No.</u>	<u></u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>%</u>
(years)								
l or less	414	9.8	88	5.1	-	-	502	<b>7</b> .9
more than 1 to 2	402	9.5	185	10.7	-	-	587	9.3
more than 2 to 3	374	8.8	173	10.0	2	0.6	549	8.7
more than 3 to 4	364	8.6	98	5.6	4	1.2	466	7.4
more than 4 to 5	266	6.3	76	4.4	3	0.9	345	5.5
more than 5 to 10	1,178	27.8	500	28.8	58	16.8	1736	27.4
more than 10 to 15	698	16.4	147	8.5	114	32.9	959	15.2
more than 15 to 20	324	7.6	174	10.0	101	29.2	599	9.5
more than 20	224	5.3	294	16.9	64	18.5	582	9.2
						. <u> </u>		
Total	4,244	100.0	1,735	100.0	346	100.0	6,325	100.0

#### 9. Full-time / Part-time Posts Distribution

.

9.1 Among the 6,325 SWP posts, most of them (95.1% or 6,017) were full-time posts. Among those 308 part-time posts, 57.5% were in TIs. The average working hours for these part-time posts was 15.5 hours per week.

Sector / grade	<u>Full-time posts</u>		Part-time	<u>posts</u>	<u>Total</u>	
	<u>No.</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>%</u>	<u>No.</u>	<u>%</u>
<u>NGOs</u>						
SWO grade	1,984	31.4	77	1.2	2,061	32.6
SWA grade	2,129	33.7	54	0.9	2,183	34.5
<u>SWD</u>						
SWO grade	1,213	19.2		-	1,213	19.2
SWA grade	522	8.3	-	-	522	8.3
<u>TIs</u>						
SWP in TIs	169	2.7	177	2.8	346	5.5
Total	6,017	95.1	308	4.9	6,325	100.0

9.2 These 6,325 SWP posts were taken up by 6,198 persons. The majority (95.6%) were holding one full-time post only.

<u>No. of posts taken up</u>	<u>No. of persons</u>	<u>%</u>
One full-time post	5,928	95.6
One full-time post plus one or more part-time posts	89	1.4
One part-time post	151	2.4
Two or more part-time posts	30	0.5
Total	6,198	100.0

#### APPENDIX I TERMS OF REFERENCE OF THE JOINT COMMITTEE

#### 1. <u>Overall Aim of the Committee</u>

1.1 The Joint Committee On Social Welfare Manpower Planning System is set up to advise on the establishment and implementation of a Social Welfare Manpower Planning System in accordance with the principles of setting up the System agreed between SWD and the HKCSS for facilitating manpower planning. To this end, the System will undertake the systematic collection and retrieval of information of SWP which is essential for manpower planning and service implementation by the government, social welfare organizations and social work training institutions.

#### 2. <u>Functions of the Committee</u>

- 2.1 To advise on a system design to facilitate input of data from participating organizations.
- 2.2 To advise on the output requirements and specifications in relation to the overall aim and specific objectives of the System.
- 2.3 To review the System as and when required and to make recommendations for major changes in the light of experience, for consideration by SWD and the HKCSS.
- 2.4 To interpret the collected data for compilation of an annual report to facilitate overall manpower planning in the welfare sector.
- 2.5 To submit the annual report to the Social Welfare Advisory Committee, Advisory Committee on Social Work Training and Manpower Planning, SWD, HKCSS, participating organizations and training institutions.
- 2.6 To consider and make recommendations on special requests for release of aggregate data other than those agreed at the introduction of the System.

#### 3. <u>Membership of the Committee</u>

Chairman:	Mrs. Katherine SHIN	(SWD)
Members:	Ms Kay KU	(HKCSS)
	Ms Alice YUK	(HKCSS)
	Dr. LAW Chi-kwong	(HKCSS)
	Miss Cynthia CHAU	(HKCSS)
	Mrs. SO WONG Wei-yee (until November 97)	(SWD)
	Mrs. Justina HO (from November 97)	(SWD)
	Miss Lilian FUNG	(SWD)
Secretary:	Miss Mirian MO	(SWD)

#### APPENDIX II OVERVIEW OF METHODOLOGY

#### Appendix II (A) System Updating and Maintenance

#### 1. <u>OBJECTIVES</u>

- 1.1 The **SWMPS** is maintained by the SWMPS Office in SWD, with the following objectives:
  - to centralize and maintain up-to-date information on individual SWP for keeping track of the manpower situation in the social work field; and
  - to maintain up-to-date information on the demand and supply of SWP to facilitate manpower projections.

#### 2. <u>COVERAGE</u>

- 2.1 The System covers **organizations employing SWP** in three types of sectors, viz. nongovernmental organizations (*NGOs*), SWD and local training institutions offering social work training courses (*TIs*). (See also Note\*)
- 2.2 All SWP engaging in posts which require social work training, i.e. the following ranks and their equivalents, employed in the above three sectors are covered by the System: -
  - (a) Directorate posts requiring social work training
  - (b) *Principal Social Work Officer (PSWO)*
  - (c) Chief Social Work Officer (CSWO)
  - (d) Senior Social Work Officer (SSWO)
  - (e) Social Work Officer (SWO)
  - (f) Assistant Social Work Officer (ASWO)
  - (g) Teaching staff of social work discipline in TIs
  - (h) *Chief Social Work Assistant (CSWA)*
  - (i) Senior Social Work Assistant (SSWA)
  - (j) Social Work Assistant (SWA)
- Note\* For SWMPS, NGO refers to all non-governmental welfare organizations employing SWP. Hospitals and special schools which employed SWP under Hospital Authority and subvented by Education Department are also included.

#### 3. DATA COLLECTION AND UPDATING

- 3.1 To maintain up-to-date information for the System, **comprehensive updating exercises** are launched **annually** to request each of the organizations to provide and **update information on all SWP working for them and report the staff movements** during the reference year.
- 3.2 Moreover, the organizations are requested to report to the SWMPS Office any staff movements and changes in personal particulars of SWP they are employing **at any time point during a year**. A set of data forms to be completed by the workers themselves upon joining an organization or whenever there are changes in respect of their personal particulars are used for this purpose.
- 3.3 The following paragraphs elaborate in greater details the undertaking of comprehensive updating exercises.
- 3.3.1 In each updating exercise, a number of reports based on the most up-to-date information kept in the System are prepared and distributed to individual organizations on a **restricted / confidential** basis. (*The reports include (a) listings of all SWP serving in individual organizations as at a certain reference date; and (b) listings of new recruit and turnover cases during the reference year. Basic information of the workers including name, Hong Kong Identity Card number, rank, type of service, nature of job, whether working full-time or part-time are also printed on the listings.*) The organizations are requested to check the reports against their records, mark any changes, amendments or omissions where appropriate and return them to the SWMPS Office.
- 3.3.2 To facilitate the compilation of a complete picture on the demand for and supply of trained social workers, the organizations are also requested to **provide information** on **vacancies** of SWP as at the reference date and **estimated demand** for SWP for the coming years.
- 3.3.3 The **TIs** are, in addition, requested to provide information on the number of students attending and the number of graduates (*together with a name list of the graduates*) for the current year for each social work course, as well as to **estimate the number of intakes** and **number of graduates** for each of the courses for the coming five years.
- 3.3.4 For setting up the SWMPS, a large scale data collection exercise was launched for the first time in late September 1987 to collect information on the personal particulars of SWP as at 30.9.1987. A total of ten comprehensive updating exercises have been carried out. The reference dates for the updating exercises were 30th September 1987, 31st December 1988, 31st December 1989, 31st December 1990, 31st December 1991, 31st March 1993, 31st March 1994, 31st March 1995, 31st March 1996 and 31st March 1997 latest.

#### 4. <u>CONFIDENTIALITY OF DATA</u>

4.1 The data maintained in the System are **analyzed** and presented **in aggregate form**. Data pertaining to individual SWP and organizations are kept strictly confidential and will not be released to any other government departments or bodies. When an individual SWP leaves the SW field, the case will be closed. Information on all closed cases will be deleted after six years of retention.

#### 5. <u>RESPONSE TO THE LATEST UPDATING EXERCISE</u>

- 5.1 In the latest updating exercise conducted as at 31st March 1997, a total of **406** organizations (*including the SWD*, *399 NGOs and 6 TIs*) were approached. Among the 399 NGOs approached, 14 NGOs which were subsequently found to have employed only a few SWP had not responded, and 164 NGOs in fact did not employ any SWP. (*Data of the 14 non-responded NGOs were assumed to be unchanged.*)
- 5.2 A list of **242 organizations employing SWP** (*including the responded and nonresponded NGOs employing SWP*) covered by the System is at *Appendix II* (*D*).
- 5.3 As mentioned in paragraph 3.3.1, while some of the information (*such as number of SWP employed and their respective area of service*) are reported by the participating organizations, personal particulars of individual workers (*such as age and sex*) are provided by the workers themselves. Comparing the figures on the total number of SWP employed by the organizations and the number of workers who have reported their personal particulars to the System, it is noted that only a small proportion of workers (3.7%) have not reported their personal particulars to the System.
- 5.4 The following table shows the number and proportion of workers by grade who have provided their personal data to the System:-

Grade	No. of SWP who have provided their personal data to the System	Total no. of SWP employed in the organizations	% of (a) over (b)
	<i>(a)</i>	(b)	(c) = (a)/(b)
SWO grade plus SWP in TIs	3,620	3,698	% 97.9
SWA grade	2,705	2,870	94.3
Total	6,325	6,568	96.3

#### Appendix II (B) Glossary

#### Area of Service

It refers to the major type of service involved in by the post incumbent. (For a post involving more than one type of service, the type of service which requires the worker to spend the largest proportion of time is taken as the major one.)

#### **Highest Qualification**

It refers to the highest educational qualification of the social work discipline. (For a person with no training in social work, his / her highest educational level is taken.)

#### Job Role

It refers to the primary function, viz., front-line, supervisory / administrative, teaching / training, of the post. (For a post involving more than one type of function, the type of function which requires the worker to spend the largest proportion of time is taken as the primary one.)

#### NGO

For the Social Welfare Manpower Planning System, NGO refers to all nongovernmental welfare organizations employing SWP. Hospitals and special schools which employed SWP under Hospital Authority and subvented by Education Department are also included.

#### New Entrants to a Grade

It refers to persons who first entered the social work field in the grade in the specified period. (*See also Note\* on page 27*)

#### Non-entrants on Supply

It refers to newly graduated students who do not enter their respective social work grades (*degree / master social work graduates to posts of SWO grade plus SWP in TIs and diploma graduates to posts of SWA grade*). It includes both voluntary non-entrants (*those who choose not to enter the social work field on their own accounts*) and involuntary non-entrants (*those who are "forced" not to enter the respective grades due to non-availability of new posts and other reasons*).

#### **Over-strength**

Over-strength of a particular grade is the number of SWP in the grade which is over and above the specified establishment of the grade. (*This situation may arise when* officers in the grade are employed for filling posts of other grades.)

#### Rank

It refers to the substantive rank of the person (*not the required rank of the post*). (*Acting rank, either with a view to promotion or for administrative convenience, is ignored.*)

#### Rate

=

Non-entry Rate	e (%) of Degree	/ Diploma	Graduates in a	Year
----------------	-----------------	-----------	----------------	------

No. of non-entrants of degree / diploma graduates

= to the respective SWO / SWA grade for the year

Total no. of degree / diploma graduates for that year

#### Turnover, Re-entrant and Wastage Rate (%) of a Grade in a Year

No. of turnover / re-entrant / wastage cases

of a grade for the year

Average strength of the grade for that year

#### **Recruitment Cases**

It refers to the number of "occurrences" of SWP recruited in the specified period. (*If a worker has taken up more than one new job during the period, he / she would be counted more than once depending on the total number of new jobs in any organization covered by the System that he / she has taken up.*)

#### Re-entrant Cases of a Grade in a Year

A SWP post being filled by a person who has prior experience in the grade in the field is regarded as a re-entrant case. (SWO grade and SWP in TIs are merged as one "grade" in analyzing re-entrants. Moreover, a part-time post being newly filled by a worker who is currently serving in another full-time post in the field is regarded as a re-entrant case only if he / she has once resigned a part-time or full-time post.)

#### Shortfall/Surplus of Supply

It is <u>defined</u> as the <u>estimated new supply</u> *less* the <u>estimated new demand</u>. A **shortfall** of supply, being represented by a negative sign, implies that there would be inadequate potential new entrants to meet the estimated new demand. On the contrary, a **surplus** of supply, being represented by a positive sign, signifies that there would be resources available for further expansion / improvement of services or there would be involuntary non-entrants (*see also "Non-entrants on Supply" on page 26*).

#### Strength / Social Work Personnel (SWP)

Unless otherwise specified, the number of SWP / strength refers to the number of **posts** (*full-time or part-time*) being filled by SWP. (*A worker holding two posts, either one full-time post and one part-time post or two part-time posts, would therefore be counted twice.*)

#### Average Strength for a Year

= (Strength as at beginning of the year+Strength as at end of the year)

#### **Turnover Cases**

It refers to the number of "occurrences" of SWP <u>leaving</u> any organization for whatever reason in the specified period, regardless whether or not they have rejoined / would rejoin the field again. (*If a worker has resigned from more than one job or resigned from more than one organization during the period, he / she would be counted more than once depending on the total number of jobs that he / she has left.*)

#### Vacancies

It refers to the number of posts not being filled by officers of the required grade. (An SWO grade post being filled by an officer of the SWA grade is for example counted as a vacancy.)

#### Wastage Cases of a Grade in a Year

It is <u>defined</u> as the number of <u>turnover cases</u> *less* the number of <u>re-entrant cases</u> for the grade. (*See also Note*\*)

Note\* Workers of SWA grade who changed to SWO grade (in the same or different organizations) are regarded as new entrants to the SWO grade but wastage to the SWA grade.

#### 1. **INTRODUCTION**

1.1 The method of projection adopted is simply taking the stock of SWO/SWA grade at the base year and carries it forward over time on the basis of separate projection of supply and demand. The projected new supply depends largely on the estimated graduates of local TIs whilst the projected new demand on the net additional staff requirement and the demand for replacement of wastage.

#### 2. **PROJECTED NEW SUPPLY**

#### 2.1 <u>Projected New Supply for a Year</u>

- 2.1.1 Projected new supply for 1997/98-2001/02
  - Estimated fresh social work graduates in local TIs in the year
     X (1 projected non-entry rate)
    - + Estimated number of new entrants with qualification obtained overseas
- 2.1.2 For 1997/98, an additional supply of 1996 "remaining graduates" estimated by the following formula is further added as part of the projected supply:
  - Remaining graduates = Total number of graduates in 1996
    - X (1 projected non-entry rate)
    - Graduates who had entered the respective grade in the field up to 31st March 1997

#### 2.2 Graduates of Local TIs

- 2.2.1 The estimated fresh graduates for each year of the projection period are estimated by the respective TIs, based on their latest available information on the <u>number of intakes</u> for all training courses in the social work discipline as at March 1998. (*Figures for* graduates corresponding to intakes up to academic year 2000/01 were based on the triennial plan covering academic years 1998/99-2000/01. Degree/post-graduate programmes attended by only serving workers of SWO grade are excluded.) Possible drop-outs during the course have been taken into account in their estimation as far as possible.
- 2.2.2 The figures are **subject to changes** for circumstances such as reallocation of funds within TIs.
- 2.2.3 Breakdown of estimated graduates by training programme are at Appendix III (A).

#### 2.3 <u>Non-entry Rate</u>

2.3.1 For **diploma graduates**, since there were always a substantial number of <u>vacancies</u> in the SWA grade in previous years, it is reasonable to assume that there were **no involuntary non-entrants**.

- 2.3.2 Also the non-entry rates of recent years of graduation seem to take a few years to stabilize, the <u>weighted average of non-entry rates for diploma graduates in 1992-1994</u> is taken as the non-entry rate throughout the projection period. (*By taking the weighted average, the year to year random fluctuations have been ironed out.*)
- 2.3.3 As for **degree / master graduates**, the rate for 1992 1996 is relatively higher and tend to stabilize after a longer period upon graduation. Alongside with the observation that 1992 was the first year when a surplus of supply was identified whereby the new demand was smaller than the number of graduates who wished to enter the social work field, it is assumed that there were **involuntary non-entrants** for these five years of graduates.
- 2.3.4 For manpower projection purpose, non-entry rate on supply should only take into account the voluntary non-entrants. The <u>weighted average of non-entry rates for degree</u> / <u>master graduates in 1989 1991</u> (*years when there was no over-supply of graduates*) is therefore taken as the non-entry rate throughout the projection period.

#### 2.4 Limitations

- 2.4.1 Whether graduates would enter the social work field would depend on <u>a combination</u> <u>of factors</u>, including the choice of the graduates, their career aspiration, the economic and unemployment conditions, availability of social work posts and attractiveness of other jobs in the labour market. It is therefore arguable and involves subjective judgement regarding how to distinguish between voluntary and involuntary non-entrants.
- 2.4.2 Another factor complicating the estimation of the non-entry rate is that the graduates may not enter the field in the year of their graduation and it is difficult to forecast in which year they will actually enter their respective grades. The latter would depend very much on whether the graduates have specific areas of service / organizations that they would choose to enter and the year of availability of such new posts.
- 2.4.3 It should also be noted that graduates who enter the field one or more years after their graduation (*i.e. the gap between the projected non-entry rate and the actual non-entry rate*) have not been taken into account in estimating the shortfall / surplus of supply for individual years.
- 2.4.4 To take into account the possible factors set out in paragraphs 2.4.1 2.4.3 would require more comprehensive studies on the entry patterns of fresh graduates alongside a number of assumptions. This is **beyond** the scope of this projection exercise.
- 2.4.5 Patterns on the years when fresh graduates entered their respective grades and the nonentry rates are at *Appendix III (B)*.

#### 2.5 <u>New Entrants with Qualification Obtained Overseas</u>

- 2.5.1 The figures are estimated by taking simple average of actual new entrants with qualification obtained overseas in the past three years (*i.e. 1994/95, 1995/96 and 1996/97*). (*This category applies to degree / master graduates only.*)
- 2.5.2 While there are more unknown factors in estimating the number of new entrants with qualification obtained overseas, its effect on the accuracy of the projection results would be relatively small due to its small size in magnitude.

#### 3. **PROJECTED NEW DEMAND**

#### 3.1 <u>Method</u>

- 3.1.1 Projected new demand for 1997/98 2001/02
  - Estimated net additional staff requirement for the year
  - + Estimated replacement for <u>wastage</u> for that year
- 3.1.2 For the year 1997/98, vacancies net over-strength as at 31.3.1997 is further included as part of the proposed demand.

#### 3.2 <u>Net Additional Staff Requirement</u>

- 3.2.1 For the years <u>1997/98 and 1998/1999</u>, the estimated number of **new posts (less** number of **posts to be deleted**) for the following sectors are included.
  - (a) *all posts in SWD;*
  - (b) *SWD-subvented posts in NGOs;*
  - (c) non-SWD-subvented posts and others (including posts subvented by Education Department and Hospital Authority, non-subvented posts in NGOs and TIs).
- 3.2.2 The estimated number of <u>new posts for SWD and the SWD-subvented sector in NGOs</u> for 1997/98 and 1998/99 are compiled by reference to the information known to SWD in September 1997. They are estimates based on **approved projects with the necessary funding secured.**
- 3.2.3 It should be noted that the estimated additional new posts are **subject to changes in circumstances**. For example, the actual number of posts finally created for an approved project may turn out to be different due to **slippage** of the project, or the differences between the estimated and the ultimately approved number of posts.
- 3.2.4 The number of <u>new posts for non-SWD-subvented and others</u> sector are <u>estimated by</u> <u>individual parties concerned</u> as known in April/May 1997. It is difficult to ascertain their accuracy.
- 3.2.5 Breakdown of net new posts by area of service for the years 1997/98 and 1998/99 are at *Appendix III* (*C*).
- 3.2.6 For the years <u>1999/2000 -2001/02</u>, the population/service growth approach is adopted for projecting the net additional staff requirement. In the "population/service growth approach", the new demand for population based services (service provisions based on a ratio of the size of target population groups) is estimated according to the growth rate of the target population, while the new demand for the non-population based services (such as rehabilitation services and service for offenders) is computed based on the growth rate of such services from 1988/89 1996/97.

#### 3.3 <u>Replacement for Wastage</u>

- 3.3.1 Estimated replacement for wastage
  - = Estimated average strength for the year
    - x Projected wastage rate on strength
- 3.3.2 Since the strength at the end of the year depends on supply and demand, the replacement for wastage is estimated by the following formulae:
  - (a) In case there is a shortfall for the year, replacement for wastage

```
= [Strength at beginning of the year + 0.5 (Projected supply)] x Projected wastage rate
1 + 0.5 (Projected wastage rate)
```

- (b) In case there is a surplus for the year, replacement for wastage
  - [Strength at beginning of the year + 0.5 (Net additional staff requirement)]
     x Projected wastage rate
- 3.3.3 For SWO grade plus SWP in TIs, the projected wastage rate is assumed to be the weighted average wastage rate for the past three years (*i.e. 1994/95, 1995/96 and 1996/97*). For SWA grade, the corresponding figure is assumed to be the weighted average rate for the past two years (*i.e. 1995/96 and 1996/97*) because a new trend of wastage rate is observed since 1995/96. (By taking the weighted average, the year to year random fluctuations have been ironed out.)
- 3.3.4 It is rather difficult to forecast the wastage cases in future years, which would probably be affected by a number of <u>economic</u>, <u>social and personal factors</u>. Such factors would include the availability of other jobs in the labour market, the personal choice for further study, emigration, early retirement and change in career aspiration, etc. To take such factors into account would require a number of judgmental assumptions which is **beyond** the scope of this projection exercise.
- 3.3.5 Detailed figures on the wastage rates are at *Appendix III* (*D*).

#### 4. <u>Estimated Strength</u>

- 4.1 Estimated strength at the beginning of 1997/98-2001/02
  - = Estimated strength at the end of the preceding year
- 4.2 Estimated strength at the end of 1997/98-2001/02
  - = Estimated strength at the beginning of the year
    - + Expected net additional staff requirement to be filled for that year
    - Expected new vacancies arising from wastage not likely to be filled for that year

#### 1. <u>Social Welfare Department</u>

#### 2. <u>Non-governmental Organizations</u>

Aberdeen Kaifong Welfare Association Social Service Centre Action Group for Aid to the Mentally Retarded Against Child Abuse Agency for Volunteer Service American Baptists Mission Social Services Office Asbury Methodist Social Service the Methodist Church Hong Kong Asian Outreach Hong Kong Ltd Asian Women's League c/o Chan Kwun Tung C&A Home for Elderly Asso. of Baptists for World Evangelism Inc. Po Lam Bradbury Elderly Centre Association for Engineering and Medical Volunteer Services Association of Baptists for World Evangelism Tin Yue Baptists Church S/E Association of Evangelical Free Churches of Hong Kong, The Baptist Oi Kwan Social Service Barnabas Charitable Service Association. Ltd. Befrienders International Bishop of the Roman Catholic Church in HK Yuen Long Catholic Secondary School (Skills Opportunity School) Boys' & Girls' Clubs Association of Hong Kong, The Boys' Brigade, Hong Kong Bradbury Hospice (under Hospital Authority) - Medical Social Service Dept Breakthrough Limited CCC HK Council Kei Shun Special School CCC Mongkok Church Kai Oi School CCC Nim Tsi School Caritas-Hong Kong Caritas Medical Centre (under Hospital Authority) - Medical Social Service Dept Chai Wan Baptist Church-Social Service Centre Chain of Charity Movement Limited Cheshire Home, Chung Hom Kok (Under Hospital Authority) Medical Social Service Dept Cheung Chau Rural Committee Youth Centre Cheung Hong Baptist Church Social Centre for the Elderly Children's Cancer Foundation China Peniel Missionary Society Shek Kep Mei Social Centre for Elderly Chinese Evangelical Zion Church Ltd c/o Tze Wan Shan Zion Children and Youth Centre Chinese Rhenish Church-H.K. Synod Social Service Department Chinese Rhenish Church Hong Kong Synod Rhenish Church Grace School Chinese YMCA Kowloon Centre Ching Chung Taoist Association of Hong Kong Limited c/o Ching Chung C&A Home for the Aged Christian Action Christian Family Service Centre Christian Fellowship of Pastoral Care for Youth Ltd Christian Nationals' Evangelical Commission Aged People Centre Christian New Being Fellowship Ltd Christian and Missionary Alliance Church Union Hong Kong Ltd, The Chuk Lam Ming Tong Ltd c/o Chuk Lam Ming Tong C&A Home Chung Shak Hei (Cheung Chau) Home for the Aged, Limited Chung Sing Benevolent Society Chung Sing Church Limited-Chung Sing Church Bradbury Social Service Centre

Church of United Brethren in Christ Hong Kong Limited Social Service Division Couple Co-creation Society Limited Crossroads Service Society DACARS Limited Duchess of Kent Children's Hospital (under Hospital Authority) - Medical Social Service Dept Ebenezer School & Home for Visually Impaired Endeavours Bert James Young Social Centre for the Elderly Endeavours Wu Ki Lim Social Centre for the Elderly Evangel Children's Home Evangelical Free church of China Evangelical China Fellowship H.K. Cannaan Church Shatin Chapel Bradbury Student Development Centre Evangelical Lutheran Church Social Service-Hong Kong Evangelize China Fellowship Ngau Tau Kok Holy Word School Family Planning Association of Hong Kong Free Methodist Church of Hong Kong, The Friends of Scouting, The Fung Ying Seen Koon Girls' Brigade (Hong Kong) Grantham Hospital (under Hospital Authority)-Medical Social Service Dept HKSYC&I Association Chan Nam Chong Mem School (Special Section) Hans Andersen Club Ltd. Harmony House, Ltd Haven of Hope Christian Service Haven of Hope Hospital (under Hospital Authority)-Medical Social Service Dept Heep Hong Society for Handicapped Children Helping Hand Limited Heung Hoi Ching Kok Lin Association Buddhist Po Kwong School Heung Hoi Ching Kok Lin Association. Hong Kong & Kowloon Joint Kaifong School Hong Kong & Kowloon Women's Kaifong Association Hong Kong & Macau Lutheran Church Hong Kong AIDS Foundation Ltd Hong Kong Association for the Mentally Handicapped Hong Kong Association of the Deaf Hong Kong Baptist Hospital Au Shue Hung Health Centre Hong Kong Blind Union Hong Kong Buddhist Association, The Hong Kong Buddhist Hospital (under Hospital Authority) -Department of Nursing Hong Kong Buddhist Hospital (under Hospital Authority) -Medical Social Service Dept Hong Kong Catholic Marriage Advisory Council Hong Kong Children & Youth Services Hong Kong Chinese Women's Club Hong Kong Chiu Chow Po Hing Buddhism Association Ltd. Hong Kong Christian Concern for the Homeless Association Hong Kong Christian Mutual Improvement Society Hong Kong Christian Service Hong Kong Christian Service Pui Oi School Hong Kong Council of Social Service Hong Kong Council of the Church of Christ in China, The Hong Kong Down Syndrome Association. Ltd. Hong Kong Evangelical Church Social Service Ltd Hong Kong Evangelical Church (OMS) Tai Hing Elderly Centre & Reading Centre Hong Kong Family Welfare Society

Hong Kong Federation of Youth Groups

#### 2. <u>Non-governmental Organizations (cont'd)</u>

Hong Kong Federation of the Blind Hong Kong Housing Affairs Association Hong Kong Joint Council of Parents of the Mentally Handicapped, The Hong Kong Juvenile Care Centre Hong Kong Lutheran Social Service Lutheran Church-Hong Kong Synod Hong Kong Macao Conference of 7TH DAY Adventist Church-Social Service Dept. Hong Kong Mutual Encouragement Association. Hong Kong PHAB Association Hong Kong Playground Association Hong Kong Red Cross Hong Kong School for the Deaf Hong Kong Sea School Hong Kong Society for Rehabilitation Hong Kong Society for the Aged Hong Kong Society for the Blind Hong Kong Society for the Deaf, The Hong Kong Society for the Protection of Children Hong Kong Sports Association for the Mentally Handicapped Hong Kong Stoma Association Ltd Hong Kong Student Aid Society Hong Kong Student Aid Society Tung Wan Mok Law Shui Wah School Hong Kong West Point Baptist Church Elderly Centre Hong Kong Young Women's Christian Association Industrial Evangelistic Fellowship International Church of the Foursquare Gospel Hong Kong District Ltd Lung Hang Church Elderly Centre International Social Service, Hong Kong Branch Kiangsu & Chekiang Residents Association c/o Tuen Mun Hostel for the Elderly Kowloon City Swatow Christian Church Lok Wah Swatow Christian Church Social Centre Kowloon Women's Welfare Club Wong Cheung Kin Memorial Hostel for the Elderly Kwai Chung Hospital (under Hospital Authority)-Patient Resource & Social Centre Kwong Wah Hospital (under Hospital Authority)-Medical Social Service Dept Kwun Tong Methodist Social Service Lai King Baptist Church Bradbury Social Centre for the Elderly Lai King Christian Children and Youth Centre Lai Tak Evangelical Church Lai Tak Youth Centre Lok Sin Tong Benevolant Society, Kowloon Lotus Association of Hong Kong, The Chi Yun School Lutheran School for the Deaf MacLehose Medical Rehabilitation Centre (under Hospital Authority) - Medical Service Dept Margaret Trench Medical Rehabilition Ctr (under Hospital Authority) - Medical Social Service Dept Mary Rose School Mental Health Association of Hong Kong Methodist Ap Lei Chau Centre Methodist Centre Methodist Church Hong Kong, The Fortress Hill Methodist School (Skills Opportunity School) Methodist Epworth Village Community Centre Mission Covenant Church Ltd, The Mong Kong Baptist Church After School Care Service Centre Mongkok Kaifong Association Ltd Chan Hing Social Service Centre Nam Long Hospital (Under Hospital Authority)-Medical Social Service Dept Neighbourhood Advice-Action Council New Life Church of Christ New Life Psychiatric Rehabilitation Association New Territories Women & Juvenile Welfare Association Ltd. New Voice Club of Hong Kong, The

Our Lady of Maryknoll Hospital (under Hospital Authority) -Medical Social Service Dept Pak Tin Baptist Church Ltd Social Centre for the Elderly Pamela Youde Nethersole Eastern Hospital (under Hospital Authority) - Community & Patient Resource Department Parents' Association of the Mentally Handicapped Ltd. Pentecostal Church of Hong Kong Ltd Pentecostal Holiness Church Ling Kwong Bradbury Centre for the Blind Playright Children's Playground Association Limited Po Leung Kuk Po Leung Kuk Yu Lee Mo Fan Memorial School Po Leung Kuk Law's Foundation School Po Leung Kuk Mr. & Mrs. Chan Pak Keung Tsing Yi School Pok Leung Kok Centenary School Pok Oi Hospital Pok Oi Hospital (under Hospital Authority)-Medical Social Service Dept Project Care Queen Elizabeth Hospital (under Hospital Authority)-Patient Resource Centre Queen Mary Hospital (under Hospital Authority) - Patient Resource Centre Regeneration Society Rehabilitation Alliance Hong Kong Richmond Fellowship of Hong Kong Ruttonjee Hospital (under Hospital Authority)-Medical Social Service Dept S.K.H. Diocesan Welfare Council S.K.H. St. Christopher's Home Sai Kung Community Centre Salvation Army Salvation Army Hong Kong Command Shek Wu School Sam Shui Native Association Lau Pun Cheung School Saviour Lutheran School Sha Tin Public School Shatin Public School Association Ltd Shamshuipo Kaifong Welfare Advancement Association Sik Sik Yuen-Social Services Unit Sisters of the Good Shepherd Marycove Centre Sisters of the Good Shepherd Pelletier Hall Sisters of the Precious Blood Precious Blood Children Village Society for Community Organization Society for the Aid and Rehabilitation of Drug Abusers Society for the Rehabilitation of Offenders, Hong Kong Society of Boys' Centres Society of Homes for the Handicapped Spastics Association of Hong Kong St. James' Settlement St. John Ambulance Association and Brigade St. Teresa's Hospital St. Vincent's Church St. Vincent's Children's Centre Stewards' Company (H.K.) Ltd. TWGHs Tsui Tsin Tong School Tai Hang Residents' Welfare Association Tse Wan Shan Kaifong Welfare Association Choi Jun School Tsuen Wan Ecumenical Social Service Centre Tsung Tsin Mission of Hong Kong Social Service Division Tuen Mun Hospital (under Hospital Authority)-Community Services Centre Tung Lum Buddhist Aged Home Tung Sin Tan Home for the Aged Tung Wah Eastern Hospital (under Hospital Authority) -Medical Social Service Dept Tung Wah Group of Hospitals C.Y. Ma Charity Fund Pracitcal School Tung Wah Group of Hospitals Community Services Division Tung Wah Groups of Hospitals Kwan Fong Kai Chi School Tung Wah Hospital (under Hospital Authority)-Medical Social Service Dept

#### 2. Non-governmental Organizations (cont'd)

United Christian Hospital (under Hospital Authority)-Medical Social Service Dept

United Christian Nethersole Community Health Service

Upper Wong Tai Sin and Fung Wong Centre for the Elderly Victoria Park School for the Deaf Vocational Training Council Vocation Training for the Disable

Section

Wai Ji Christian Service

Watchdog Ltd Watchdog Early Learning & Development

Centre Women's Welfare Club Western District Women's Welfare Club Eastern District

Women's Welfare Club Eastern District Ng Siu Mui Home cum C&A unit for the Elderly

#### 3. **Training Institutions**

Chinese University of Hong Kong City University of Hong Kong Hong Kong Baptist University

Wong Tai Sin Hospital (under Hospital Authority)-Medical Social Service Dept

World Vision Hong Kong

Wu di Christian Centre Yan Chai Hospital Social Service Division-Board Office Yan Chai Hospital (under Hospital Authority)-Medical Social

Yan Chai Hospital (under Hospital Adulonty) Aveducal so Service Dept Yan Chai Hospital-Madam Lo Lee Pui Ching Memorial Workshop Yan Oi Tong Ltd Yang Memorial Methodist Social Service Yuen Long Town Hall Mgt Com. Ltd.

Yuen Yuen Institute

Zion Children & Youth Centre

Hong Kong Polytechnic University Hong Kong Shue Yan College University of Hong Kong

## APPENDIX III STATISTICAL TABLES

 $\overline{\phantom{a}}$ 

#### Appendix III (A) Estimated Graduates By Training Programme

#### 1. <u>Number of Degree / Master Graduates</u>\*

	Year of graduation						
<u>Training institution / programme</u>	<u>1997</u>	<u>1998</u>	<u>1999</u>	<u>2000</u>	<u>2001</u>		
Chinese University of Hong Kong							
BSW - full-time, 3 or 4 years	77	64	58	59	66		
City University of Hong Kong							
BSW - full-time, 3 years	92	91	83	80	83		
BSW - part-time, 3 years	54	54	57	50	50		
Hong Kong Baptist University		مستعدة التيري					
BSW - full-time, 3 years	39	48	45	45	45		
Hong Kong Polytechnic University							
BSW - full-time, 2 years	45	45	45	30	30		
BSW - full-time, 3 years	20	20	20	20	45		
BSW - part-time, 4 years	40	40	40	40	40		
University of Hong Kong							
MSW - full-time, 2 years	18	26	26	25	25		
MSW - part-time, 3 years	2	11	13	8	15		
BSW - full-time, 3 years	78	75	69	79	85		
Total	465	474	456	436	484		

#### 2. <u>Number of Diploma Graduates</u>\*

Number of Dipionia Graduates		Year o	of graduatio	m	
Training institution / programme	<u>1997</u>	<u>1998</u>	<u>1999</u>	<u>2000</u>	<u>2001</u>
City University of Hong Kong					
full-time, 2 years	289	276	296	183	183
part-time, 4 years	45	47	53	45	51
Hong Kong Polytechnic University					
full-time, 2 years	220	220	220	240	240
part-time, 4 years	120	120	120	120	120
Hong Kong Shue Yan College					
full-time, 4 years	20	30	20	28	28
Total	694	693	709	616	622

Note : \* Number of graduates refer to those who would graduate in the summer of the respective years.

#### Appendix III (B) Estimated Figures On Non-Entry Rates

#### 1. Analysis of Graduates by Year of First Entering the Respective Grades

Year of	Total no. of		No. of graduates first entering the respective grades upon graduation*					Non-entry	
graduation	graduates	hv Dec 90	hu Dec 91				hu Mar 06	<u>by Mar 97</u>	rate
			of Dec 71	by mar 25	by Mar 14	<u>by Mur 95</u>	<u>by Mar 30</u>	by Mar 97	<u>oy Mar 97</u>
Degree / M	aster Grad	uates	,						
1989	235	190	192	192	192	192	192	192	18.3%
		(80.9%)	(81.7%)	(81.7%)	(81.7%)	(81.7%)	(81.7%)	(81.7%)	
1990	262		228	229	229	230	230	231	11.8%
			(87.0%)	(87.4%)	(87.4%)	(87.8%)	(87.8%)	(88.2%)	
1991	321			261	262	264	264	264	17.8%
				(81.3%)	(81.6%)	(82.2%)	(82.2%)	(82.2%)	
1992	415			265	308	312	314	316	23.9%
				(63.9%)	(74.2%)	(75.2%)	(75.7%)	(76.1%)	
1993	456				315	336	338	339	25.7%
					(69.1%)	(73.7%)	(74.1%)	(74.3%)	
1994	609				·	437	471	477	21.7%
						(71.8%)	(77.3%)	(78.3%)	
1995	495						342	372	24.8%
							(69.1%)	(75.2%)	
1996	441						. ,	233	47.2%
								(52.8%)	
Diploma Gr	aduates								
		000	207			• • • •	••••		=
1989	340	282	285	286	287	288	290	290	14.7%
1000		(82.9%)	(83.8%)	(84.1%)	(84.4%)	(84.7%)	(85.3%)	(85.3%)	
1990	332		304	307	308	309	310	310	6.6%
1001	400		(91.6%)	(92.5%)	(92.8%)	(93.1%)	(93.4%)	(93.4%)	
1991	488			419	423	425	427	428	12.3%
1000	1/2			(85.9%)	(86.7%)	(87.1%)	(87.5%)	(87.7%)	
1992	463			371	386	392	396	398	14.0%
1002	450			(80.1%)	(83.4%)	(84.7%)	(85.5%)	(86.0%)	
1993	458				364	377	381	383	16.4%
1004	2.52				(79.5%)	(82.3%)	(83.2%)	(83.6%)	
1994	352					286	298	306	13.1%
1005	150					(81.3%)	(84.7%)	(86.9%)	
1995	458						378	404	11.8%
1007	41.5						(82.5%)	(88.2%)	
1996	415							313	24.6%
								(75.4%)	

Notes : \* Graduates who had entered their respective grades before graduation, for simplicity in presentation, were counted as entering the profession in their year of graduation.

() Figures in brackets represent the proportions of students who had entered their respective grades by the period.

#### 2. Estimated Non-entry Rates for the Period of Projections

2.1 Weighted average of non-entry rates for degree / master graduates in 1989 - 1991

 $= \frac{43 + 31 + 57}{235 + 262 + 321}$ = 16.0%

2.2 Weighted average of non-entry rates for diploma graduates in 1992 - 1994 = 65 + 75 + 46

$$\frac{65+75+46}{463+458+352}$$
14.6%

=

Page 36

			Non-SWD-	
		SWD-	subvented	
<u>Area of service</u>	SWD	Subvented	and others	Total
		Suovenieu	una omers	<u>10101</u>
1. <u>SWO grade plus S</u>	<u>WP in TIs</u>			
1997/98	1			
Central administration	13		3	1
Community development			1	······
Elderly	3	8	3	1
Family welfare	18	3.75	8	29.7
Medical social service	28		22	5
Offenders / drug abusers	3	6	4	1
Rehabilitation	2	39.85	26	67.8
Social work training			2.5	2.
Youth	2	20.083	3	25,08
Other services			1	
Total	69	77.683	73.5	220.18
1998/99				
Central administration	9		1	. 10
Community development			1	
Elderly	5	17.6		22.0
Family welfare	15	8.625		23.62
Medical social service	10		22	3;
Offenders / drug abusers	14		1	1
Rehabilitation		6	2	
Social work training			3.5	3.5
Youth	54	27.083	31.5	29.083
2. <u>SWA Grade</u>				
1997/98				
Central administration				
Community development	2		5	7
Elderly	2	18.837	7	27.837
Family welfare Medical social service	3	8	3	14
Offenders / drug abusers		6	2	2
Rehabilitation		93	62.5	155.5
Social work training			02	
Youth		6	8	14
Other services			0	2
Total	7	131.837	92.5	231.337
998/99				
Central administration	++			
Community development	<u> </u>		4	
Elderly	13	31.67	4	44.67
Family welfare	8	23.167		31.167
Medical social service			2	
Offenders / drug abusers				£
Rehabilitation		62	13.5	75.5
Social work training				
		10	1	11
Youth		101		11
Youth Other services Fotal	21	126.837	20.5	168.337

# Appendix III (C) Estimated Net Additional Staff Requirement

# Appendix III (D) Estimated Figures On Wastage Rates

	No. of cases					Rate on strength			
	<u>Year</u>	Turnover <u>cases</u> (a)	Re-entrant <u>cases</u> (b)	Wastage <u>cases</u> (c) = (a) - (b)	Average <u>strength</u> (d)	Turnover <u>rate</u> (e) = (a) / (d)	Re-entrant <u>rate</u> (f) = (b) / (d)	Wastage <u>rate</u> (g) = (c) / (d)	
1	SWO	de adam CNN				(%)	(%)	(%)	
1.	<u>Swu gra</u>	de plus SW							
	1988	373	180	193	1838	20.3	9.8	10.5	
	1989	390	159	231	1978	19.7	8.0	11.7	
	1990	377	283	94	2134	17.7	13.3	4.4	
	1991	345	200	145	2315	14.9	8.6	6.3	
	92/93	348	182	166	2429	14.3	7.5	6.8	
	93/94	385	250	135	2617	14.7	9.6	5.2	
	94/95	386	258	128	2921	13.2	8.8	4.4	
	95/96	371	229	142	3277	11.3	7.0	4.3	
	96/97	381	305	76	3564	10.7	8.6	2.1	
2.	<u>SWA grae</u>	<u>de</u>							
	1988	328	140	188	1794	18.3	7.8	10.5	
	1989	430	210	220	1955	22.0	10.7	11.3	
	1990	438	239	199	1998	21.9	12.0	10.0	
	1991	466	234	232	2100	22.2	11.1	11.0	
	92/93	449	196	253	2177	20.6	9.0	11.6	
	93/94	575	296	279	2316	24.8	12.8	12.0	
	94/95	650	314	336	2382	27.3	13.2	14.1	
	95/96	551	320	231	2562	21.5	12.5	9.0	
	96/9 <b>7</b>	545	320	225	2778	19.6	11.5	8.1	