

立法會 *Legislative Council*

立法會 CB(3)813/99-00 號文件

2000 年 4 月 28 日內務委員會會議文件

定於 2000 年 5 月 3 日立法會會議上提出的質詢

(議員可能在正式預告期限前作出修改)

提問者：

- | | | |
|------|-------|--------|
| (1) | 涂謹申議員 | (口頭答覆) |
| (2) | 羅致光議員 | (口頭答覆) |
| (3) | 何敏嘉議員 | (口頭答覆) |
| (4) | 何秀蘭議員 | (口頭答覆) |
| (5) | 田北俊議員 | (口頭答覆) |
| (6) | 陳智思議員 | (口頭答覆) |
| (7) | 程介南議員 | (書面答覆) |
| (8) | 何俊仁議員 | (書面答覆) |
| (9) | 鄭家富議員 | (書面答覆) |
| (10) | 梁智鴻議員 | (書面答覆) |
| (11) | 劉健儀議員 | (書面答覆) |
| (12) | 朱幼麟議員 | (書面答覆) |
| (13) | 劉漢銓議員 | (書面答覆) |
| (14) | 李永達議員 | (書面答覆) |
| (15) | 鄧兆棠議員 | (書面答覆) |
| (16) | 楊耀忠議員 | (書面答覆) |
| (17) | 李國寶議員 | (書面答覆) |
| (18) | 陸恭蕙議員 | (書面答覆) |
| (19) | 劉慧卿議員 | (書面答覆) |
| (20) | 劉江華議員 | (書面答覆) |

註 :

NOTE :

議員將採用這種語言提出質詢

Member will ask the question in this language

#(1) 涂謹申議員 (口頭答覆)

關於行政機關與立法機關的權力制衡及分配事宜，政府可否告知本會：

- (一) 為進一步落實《基本法》第六十四條政府必須對立法會負責的規定，行政長官會否考慮出席更多立法會會議，就政府工作向立法會議員交代；
- (二) 當局會否檢討及重新詮釋《基本法》第七十四條，讓本會議員有更多空間就公共利益事宜，提出條例草案；及
- (三) 有何措施改善行政與立法機關現時的權力制衡及分配情況？

(1) Hon James TO (Oral Reply)

Regarding the checks and balances and distribution of powers between the Executive Authorities and the Legislature, will the Government inform this Council:

- (a) whether, in order to further implement the stipulation in Article 64 of the Basic Law that the Government must be accountable to the Legislative Council, the Chief Executive will consider attending more frequently meetings of the Legislative Council ("LegCo") to account for the work of the Government to LegCo Members;
- (b) whether it will review and re-interpret Article 74 of the Basic Law to allow more room for Members of this Council to introduce Bills on matters concerning public interests; and
- (c) of the measures it has for improving the checks and balance and distribution of powers between the Executive Authorities and the Legislature?

#(2) 羅致光議員 (口頭答覆)

關於在空氣極度污染期間採取的臨時紓緩措施，政府可否告知本會：

- (一) 當局正在考慮哪些臨時措施，以及實施該等措施的時間表；
- (二) 會否考慮禁止緊急及公共交通服務車輛以外的所有其他車輛駛過空氣污染指數高及行人眾多的地區；及
- (三) 會否考慮在空氣污染指數高及行人眾多的街道上灑水？

(2) Hon LAW Chi-kwong (Oral Reply)

Regarding the temporary abatement measures to be taken during periods when the air is extremely polluted, will the Government inform this Council:

- (a) of the temporary measures that are being considered by the relevant authorities, and the timetable for implementing them;
- (b) whether it will consider prohibiting all vehicles, other than emergency and public transport vehicles, from passing through those districts where the air pollution index is high and pedestrian traffic is heavy; and
- (c) whether it will consider spraying water on the roads where the air pollution index is high and pedestrian traffic is heavy?

#(3) 何敏嘉議員 (口頭答覆)

就越來越多以集團式經營的醫療服務中心在本港設立，透過直接聘用醫生或與私人執業醫生拆賬的形式，向工商機構的僱員提供醫療及保健服務的事宜，政府可否告知本會：

- (一) 現時有何法例或機制規管該等中心所提供的醫療服務的質素；
- (二) 有否計劃監管該等中心的營運方式；及
- (三) 就該等醫療服務所訂的政策為何？

(3) Hon Michael HO (Oral Reply)

Regarding the increasing establishment of medical service centres in Hong Kong which operate as a conglomerate and provide medical and health care services to the employees of industrial and commercial organizations by hiring medical practitioners directly or by sharing profits with private medical practitioners, will the Government inform this Council:

- (a) of the legislation or mechanism in place for regulating the quality of such medical services;
- (b) whether it has plans to regulate the mode of operation of such centres; and
- (c) of its policy regarding such medical services?

#(4) 何秀蘭議員 (口頭答覆)

政府可否告知本會：

- (一) 有否評估需否修訂現時向香港電台(簡稱“港台”)提供撥款的政策，以配合該台未來的業務發展；若評估為無需修訂政策，理據為何；
- (二) 有否計劃向港台增加撥款，以加強該台的互聯網網站內容；若有，詳情為何；若否，原因為何；及
- (三) 會否考慮容許港台接受商業廣告或贊助，藉以增加收入；若會，會否就該等廣告的內容或贊助形式作出規限；若不會考慮，原因為何？

(4) Hon Cyd HO (Oral Reply)

Will the Government inform this Council whether:

- (a) it has assessed if there is a need to revise the funding policy for Radio Television Hong Kong ("RTHK") in order to tally with its future business development; if the assessment result is in the negative, of the rationale for that;
- (b) it plans to allocate more funds to RTHK for enriching the contents of its Internet web-site; if so, of the relevant details; if not, the reasons for that; and
- (c) it will consider allowing RTHK to accept commercial advertisements or sponsorships with a view to generating more revenue; if so, whether it will regulate the contents of such advertisements or the mode of sponsorship; if it will not consider, the reasons for that?

(5) Hon James TIEN (Oral Reply)

I notice that quite a large number of green-coloured information boards displaying the registration number of the relevant slope, the maintenance department and the Highways Department reference number have been erected on the slopes on both sides of roads. In this connection, will the Government inform this Council:

- (a) of the respective numbers of the above information boards that have been and will be erected, and the number of slopes involved;
- (b) whether it has assessed the visual and environmental impacts of those information boards and if they will distract drivers; if it has, of the details; and
- (c) whether it knows if it is a common practice in overseas countries to erect a large number of information boards on roadside slopes?

(5) 田北俊議員 (口頭答覆)

本人注意到當局在道路兩旁的斜坡上，豎立了為數甚多的綠色資料牌，以記載有關斜坡的登記號碼、維修部門及路政署參考編號。就此，政府可否告知本會：

- (一) 現時已經豎立及將會豎立的有關資料牌數目，以及有關的斜坡數目；
- (二) 有否評估該等資料牌對景觀、環境的影響，以及會否分散駕駛者的注意力；若有評估，詳情為何；及
- (三) 是否知悉在道路旁的斜坡上豎立大量資料牌的做法，在外國是否普遍？

#(6) 陳智思議員 (口頭答覆)

儘管現時在電影及表演開場前，院方已藉視聽方式提醒觀眾關掉手提電話及傳呼機，仍然有人罔顧該等勸喻。就此，政府可否告知本會：

- (一) 已採取哪些其他措施，確保觀眾在獲准進入由當局管理的公眾娛樂場所前，已關掉手提電話及傳呼機；哪類人員負責執行該等措施及措施的成效如何；及
- (二) 當局會否考慮立法執行上述措施；若否，原因為何？

(6) Hon Bernard CHAN (Oral Reply)

In spite of audio and visual reminders for the audience to switch off their mobile phones and pagers before the commencement of films and performances, there are still people ignoring such reminders. In this connection, will the Government inform this Council:

- (a) of the other measures it has taken to ensure that the audience switch off their mobile phones and pagers before they are admitted to the public entertainment venues managed by the Government authority, the type of personnel tasked to enforce these measures and the effectiveness of such measures; and
- (b) whether it will consider enacting legislation to enforce such measures; if not, of the reasons for that?

#(7) 程介南議員 (書面答覆)

據報，入境事務處現時沒有向約滿離開香港的外地勞工收回發給他們的香港身份證。就此，政府可否告知本會：

- (一) 當局沒有收回該等身份證的原因；及
- (二) 有否發現有人非法利用該等身份證獲得受聘在本港工作；若有，過去一年的個案數目，以及當局有何措施遏止此種情況？

(7) Hon CHENG Kai-nam (Written Reply)

It has been reported that the Immigration Department does not take back the Hong Kong identity cards issued to imported workers when they leave Hong Kong upon the expiry of their employment contracts. In this connection, will the Government inform this Council:

- (a) of the reasons for not taking back such identity cards; and
- (b) whether there have been cases in which persons were found to have gained employment in Hong Kong by illegally using such identity cards; if so, of the number of such cases over the past year and the measures in place to curb these cases?

#(8) 何俊仁議員 (書面答覆)

關於公立醫院的住院康復服務事宜，政府可否告知本會：

- (一) 各公立醫院在去年底的康復病床數目，以及各醫院聯網內該等病床的數目與網內人口的比例；
- (二) 博愛醫院擴建計劃的最新時間表，以及該院在擴建期間每年康復病床的增減數目；
- (三) 屯門康復醫院何時開始動工；及
- (四) 有何措施確保元朗、屯門兩區有足夠的康復病床？

(8) Hon HO Chun-yan (Written Reply)

In connection with the in-patient rehabilitation services in public hospitals, will the Government inform this Council of:

- (a) the number of rehabilitation beds in each public hospital and, in respect of each hospital cluster, the ratio of such beds to the population served as at the end of last year,;
- (b) the latest timetable for the extension project of Pok Oi Hospital and the movement in the number of rehabilitation beds in each year during the project;
- (c) the commencement date for the construction of the rehabilitation hospital in Tuen Mun; and
- (d) the measures in place to ensure that the rehabilitation beds in Yuen Long and Tuen Mun are sufficient?

#(9) 鄭家富議員 (書面答覆)

飲食業及建造業的僱員可參加當局為他們設立的強制性公積金行業計劃，以減低轉職時因轉移累算權益而須支付的費用。就此，政府可否告知本會：

- (一) 鑒於該等行業的僱員流動性甚高，且多以現金形式每日支取工資，強制性公積金計劃管理局(“積金局”)有何機制確保僱主及僱員按規定供款；及
- (二) 積金局有否評估當該等行業的僱員失業或就業不足時，會否出現行業計劃的管理費支出高於供款收入，以致該等僱員的累算權益日益減少的情況？

(9) Hon Andrew CHENG (Written Reply)

Employees in the catering and construction industries may join the Mandatory Provident Fund Industry Schemes set up for them to reduce the costs arising from the transfer of their accrued benefits when they change jobs. In this connection, will the Government inform this Council:

- (a) in view of the high labour mobility in these industries and the fact that many employees are paid daily in cash, whether the Mandatory Provident Fund Schemes ("MPFS") Authority has any mechanism in place to ensure that both employers and employees in these industries make their contributions as required; and
- (b) whether the MPFS Authority has assessed if it is possible that the management costs exceed the contributions from the industry schemes when the employees of these industries are unemployed or underemployed, thus causing a gradual decrease in the accrued benefits to these employees?

(10) Dr Hon LEONG Che-hung (Written Reply)

The Consumer Council has found that many products on sale in Hong Kong carry vague or misleading eco-labels. In this connection, will the Government inform this Council of the measures it will take:

- (a) to protect the consumers from being misled by such labels; and
- (b) to deter such business practices?

(10) 梁智鴻議員 (書面答覆)

消費者委員會發現，許多在本港銷售的產品附有字眼含糊或具誤導成分的環保標籤。就此，政府可否告知本會，將會採取何種措施，藉以：

- (一) 保障消費者不受此類標籤誤導；及
- (二) 遏止此種經營手法？

#(11) 劉健儀議員 (書面答覆)

現時，在道路進行挖掘工程而須單綫雙程行車時，有關工程的負責人可安排工人操作臨時交通標誌，以指揮交通。就此，政府可否告知本會：

- (一) 過去 3 年，每年在有關路段上發生的交通意外數字及傷亡人數為何；
- (二) 過去 3 年，有關當局每年接獲多少宗關於操作人員不當操作交通標誌的投訴，以及當局每年向工程負責人發出警告或提出檢控的數字分別為何；
- (三) 有何措施確保操作臨時交通標誌的工人具備足夠的指揮交通知識和經驗；及
- (四) 工程負責人為交通標誌操作不當所引致的交通意外而須承擔的責任為何？

(11) Hon Mrs Miriam LAU (Written Reply)

At present, where one-lane two-way traffic arrangement is necessitated by excavation works being carried out at a road section, the person in charge of the road works may arrange for temporary traffic signs to be operated by workers for directing traffic. In this connection, will the Government inform this Council of:

- (a) the number of traffic accidents which occurred at the road sections concerned and the resultant casualties in each of the past three years;
- (b) the number of complaints received by the authorities concerned about the improper operation of such traffic signs, and the respective numbers of warnings given to and prosecutions instituted against the persons in charge of the road works in each of the past three years;
- (c) the measures in place to ensure that the workers operating these temporary traffic signs have adequate knowledge and experience in directing traffic; and
- (d) the liability that should be borne by the persons in charge of the road works for traffic accidents caused by the improper operation of traffic signs?

(12) 朱幼麟議員 (書面答覆)

現時，香港高級程度會考英語運用科及香港中學會考英文科考試的考生，在參加有關的聆聽測驗時，須自備收音機收聽由香港電台播放的測驗內容；而過往的做法是利用各考試場地的廣播設施播放測驗內容。據悉，4月3日舉行的聆聽測驗的廣播受到干擾，因而影響不少考生的表現。就此，政府可否告知本會：

- (一) 當局會以甚麼準則調整今次受影響考生的成績；以及會否在公布成績時，亦告知他們就該項測驗給予的評分調整；
- (二) 當局會否考慮安排今次受影響的考生重考聆聽測驗；若否，原因為何；
- (三) 自實施由電台播放聆聽測驗內容以來及之前3年，香港考試局每年接獲多少宗關於聆聽測驗的廣播質素的投訴；
- (四) 有何措施防止日後有關電台廣播受到干擾的情況再次發生；及
- (五) 會否考慮恢復以往的做法，利用各考試場地的廣播設施(或以其他方式)播放測驗內容；若否，原因為何？

(12) Hon David CHU (Written Reply)

At present, candidates sitting the listening tests in Use of English of the Hong Kong Advanced Level Examination and English Language of the Hong Kong Certificate of Education Examination are required to bring their own radios for listening to the test materials broadcast by Radio Television Hong Kong, while in the past the test materials were broadcast through the public announcement ("PA") facilities at the examination venues. It is learnt that the broadcast of the listening test held on 3 April was interfered with and the performance of many candidates was affected. In this connection, will the Government inform this Council:

- (a) of the criteria it will use to adjust the results of the candidates affected in this incident; whether it will inform these candidates of the adjustment made in the marks of this test when it announces the results;
- (b) whether it will consider making arrangements for the affected candidates to take the listening test again; if not, of the reasons for that;
- (c) of the respective numbers of complaints received each year by the Hong Kong Examination Authority concerning the broadcasting quality in listening tests since the implementation of the practice to broadcast the listening test materials on the radio and in each of the three years prior to the implementation of the practice;
- (d) of the measures to prevent the radio broadcast from being interfered with again in future; and
- (e) whether it will consider resuming the past practice of broadcasting the test materials through the PA facilities at the examination venues, or by other means; if not, of the reasons for that?

#(13) 劉漢銓議員 (書面答覆)

據報，教育統籌委員會現正考慮推行一項新的中學學位分配計劃。小學生在新計劃下無須參加學能測驗，便可直接升讀與其學校掛鈎的中學。就此，政府可否告知本會：

- (一) 有否估計現時有多少間中、小學打算參加該計劃；
- (二) 有否評估在該計劃實施後，在同一間中學就讀的學生之間的學習能力差異及能力傾向差異與現時的比較為何；及
- (三) 有何措施確保學校的教學成效不會因實施該計劃而下降，以及學校有足夠的資源照顧學生的不同能力傾向？

(13) Hon Ambrose LAU (Written Reply)

It has been reported that the Education Commission is considering the implementation of a new Secondary School Places Allocation Scheme under which primary students need not take the Academic Aptitude Test and will be promoted directly to secondary schools linked with their primary schools. In this connection, will the Government inform this Council:

- (a) whether it has estimated the present numbers of secondary and primary schools intending to join the Scheme;
- (b) whether it has assessed how, upon the implementation of the Scheme, the differences in learning ability and aptitude among students of the same school will compare to those under the current system; and
- (c) of the measures in place to ensure that the effectiveness of teaching and learning in schools will not be lowered by the implementation of the Scheme, and that schools will have sufficient resources to cater for the needs of students with different aptitudes?

#(14) 李永達議員 (書面答覆)

香港房屋委員會(“房委會”)其中一個認可承建商負責興建的兩幢居者有其屋計劃樓宇因樁柱深度不合標準而須予拆卸，該承建商已被房委會中止投標資格。據報，上述承建商近期參與競投竹篙灣填海工程(第 I 期)的工程合約。就此，政府可否告知本會：

- (一) 該項填海工程包括哪些工種；
- (二) 該項工程的招標日期及工程費用為何；
- (三) 會以何準則甄選該項工程的承建商，當中會否考慮承建商過去的工作表現及其是否房委會的認可承建商；若否，原因為何；及
- (四) 已被房委會從其認可承建商名冊中除名或中止投標資格的承建商可否競投其他政府工程項目；若然，原因為何？

(14) Hon LEE Wing-tat (Written Reply)

Two Home Ownership Scheme blocks built by an approved contractor of the Hong Kong Housing Authority ("HA") have to be demolished as the foundation piles have not been set at the required depths, and the contractor was consequently suspended by the HA from bidding for its contracts. It has been reported that the contractor concerned has recently submitted a bid for the Penny's Bay Reclamation (Phase I) contract. In this connection, will the Government inform this Council:

- (a) of the types of works involved in the reclamation project;
- (b) of the tender invitation date and the costs of the project;
- (c) of the criteria to be used for selecting the contractor for the project, and whether the selection will take into account the contractors' past performance and whether or not they are on HA's list of approved contractors; if it will not, of the reasons for that; and
- (d) whether contractors whose names have been removed from the HA's list of approved contractors or who have been suspended from bidding by the HA are allowed to submit tenders for other government projects; if so, of the reasons for that?

#(15) 鄧兆棠議員 (書面答覆)

據悉，市民在本年2月內感染風疹(即“德國麻疹”)的呈報個案較以往月分大幅上升。就此，政府可否告知本會：

- (一) 本港風疹的高峰周期為何；及
- (二) 有否計劃為免疫能力較差的人士注射預防風疹的疫苗；若有計劃，有關詳情為何；若否，原因為何？

(15) Dr Hon TANG Siu-tong (Written Reply)

It is learnt that the number of reported rubella cases in February this year increased substantially as compared to the preceding months. In this connection, will the Government inform this Council:

- (a) of the peak season cycle for rubella in Hong Kong; and
- (b) whether it has plans to give rubella vaccinations to immune-compromised persons; if it has, of the details; if not, the reasons for that?

#(16) 楊耀忠議員 (書面答覆)

政府可否告知本會，是否知悉：

- (一) 強制性公積金計劃管理局(“積金局”)至今共接獲多少宗有關強制性公積金計劃(“強積金計劃”)事宜的查詢，並請按查詢人士所屬的職業類別列出分項數字；
- (二) 勞工處及積金局自去年 3 月以來，分別共接獲多少宗涉及僱主藉推行強積金計劃而削減職業退休計劃的僱主供款率的投訴；及
- (三) 勞工處及積金局就該等投訴所採取的行動分別為何？

(16) Hon YEUNG Yiu-chung (Written Reply)

Will the Government inform this Council whether it knows:

- (a) the total number of enquiries received so far by the Mandatory Provident Fund Schemes ("MPFS") Authority about matters concerning the MPFS, together with a breakdown of the enquirers by occupational groups;
- (b) the respective total numbers of complaints received by the Labour Department and the MPFS Authority since March last year about employers cutting their rates of contribution to the occupational retirement schemes on the pretext of the introduction of the MPFS; and
- (c) the actions taken by the Labour Department and the MPFS Authority respectively on these complaints?

(17) Dr Hon David LI (Written Reply)

It was reported that the number of cases abusing the Comprehensive Social Security Assistance ("CSSA") system rose from 88 in 1998-99 to 200 in the first nine months of 1999-2000. In this connection, will the Government inform this Council whether it will consider stepping up prosecution against CSSA abuses?

(17) 李國寶議員 (書面答覆)

據報，濫用綜合社會保障援助（“綜援”）制度的個案，由 1998-99 年度的 88 宗，增至 1999-2000 年度首九個月的 200 宗。就此，政府可否告知本會，會否考慮加強檢控濫用綜援的人士？

(18) Hon Christine LOH (Written Reply)

Will the Administration inform this Council of the percentage of the site areas involving lease terms not requiring the payment of lease modification premium if re-developed to a more valuable use, among the sites already earmarked for redevelopment by the soon-to-be established Urban Renewal Authority?

(18) 陸恭蕙議員 (書面答覆)

政府當局可否告知本會，在現時為即將成立的市區重建局預留作重建用途的土地中，租契條款訂明在土地重新發展作更有價值的用途時，無需繳付契約修訂補價的土地的面積，佔該等預留土地的面積的百分比為何？

(19) Hon Emily LAU (Written Reply)

In view of rising public expectations of accountability and transparency of the work of the Police, will the Executive Authorities inform this Council whether they will consider setting up independent monitoring bodies, similar in nature and composition to the advisory committees of the Independent Commission Against Corruption, to oversee the work of the Police; if not, of the rationale for that?

(19) 劉慧卿議員 (書面答覆)

鑒於公眾對警隊工作的問責性和透明度期望日高，行政機關可否告知本會，會否考慮設立一些在性質和組成方面與現時為廉政公署而設的諮詢委員會相若的獨立監察組織，以監督警隊的工作；若否，理據為何？

#(20) 劉江華議員 (書面答覆)

近日，本人接獲不少居民投訴長期受到道路交通的噪音困擾。就此，政府可否告知本會：

- (一) 有否統計現時居所附近的道路的噪音水平達 70 分貝或以上的住戶數目；
- (二) 有何措施落實就現有道路及天橋加裝消減噪音設施的可行性研究的建議；
- (三) 當局根據甚麼準則決定哪些道路應加裝消減噪音設施；
- (四) 現正進行中的加裝隔音屏障及在路面鋪設吸音材料的工程的詳情為何；及
- (五) 會否考慮收緊車輛的法定許可噪音水平及限制大型車輛進入住宅區；若否，原因為何？

(20) Hon LAU Kong-wah (Written Reply)

Recently, I have received numerous complaints from residents about their being constantly subject to noise nuisances caused by road traffic. In this connection, will the Government inform this Council:

- (a) whether it has statistics on the number of households living in the vicinity of roads with a noise level of 70 decibels or above;
- (b) of the measures to implement the proposals of the feasibility study of retro-fitting noise mitigation measures on existing roads and flyovers;
- (c) of the criteria it has adopted for determining which roads should be retro-fitted with noise mitigation measures;
- (d) of the details of the works for retro-fitting noise reduction barriers and for surfacing roads with noise-reducing materials; and
- (e) whether it will consider tightening the statutory permissible noise levels of vehicles and restricting large vehicles passing through residential areas; if not, of the reasons for that?