

Bills Committee on Urban Renewal Authority Bill

Concerns raised at the meeting on 31 May 2000

Members requested the Administration -

- (a) to explain the delineation of power and responsibilities between the non-executive Chairman and the Managing Director of the Urban Renewal Authority (URA) Board;
- (b) to review whether the non-executive Chairman should be paid a substantial amount of honorarium to be commensurate with the responsibilities and if so, the amount contemplated by the Administration;
- (c) to provide justifications for the proposal for appointing the Managing Director and the two other executive directors and for determining their remuneration package by the Chief Executive, instead of by the URA Board;
- (d) to clarify the reasons for not pegging the remuneration package of the Managing Director to that of civil servants;
- (e) to consider specifying in the Bill the constituency of the URA Board and confirm whether the Mandatory Provident Fund Schemes Ordinance (Cap. 485) includes such a provision; and
- (f) to clarify whether the Urban Renewal Authority will be under the purview of the Director of Audit and if not, the reasons for it.

Legislative Council Secretariat

31 May 2000