

立法會
Legislative Council

LC Paper No. CB(2) 2617/99-00
(These minutes have been seen by
the Administration and cleared
with the Chairman)

Ref : CB2/HS/2/99

**Subcommittee to follow up on the outstanding capital works projects
of the two Provisional Municipal Councils
for inclusion into the Government's Public Works Programme**

**Minutes of meeting
held on Wednesday, 7 June 2000 at 8:30 am
in Conference Room A of the Legislative Council Building**

- Members Present** : Hon Fred LI Wah-ming, JP (Chairman)
Hon Cyd HO Sau-lan
Hon Edward HO Sing-tin, SBS, JP
Hon LEE Wing-tat
Hon James TO Kun-sun
Hon CHAN Yuen-han
Dr Hon TANG Siu-tong, JP
- Absent with Apology** : Hon HO Sai-chu, SBS, JP
Hon WONG Yung-kan
Hon Timothy FOK Tsun-ting, SBS, JP
- Invited to Attend** : Hon Mrs Sophie LEUNG LAU Yau-fun, JP (Member of
Panel on Home Affairs)
- Public Officers attending** : Mr Paul TANG
Deputy Secretary for the Environment and Food (A)
- Mr Arthur NG
Deputy Secretary for Home Affairs (3)

Ms Lolly CHIU
Deputy Director of Leisure and Cultural Services
(Administration)

Mr Johnny WOO
Assistant Director (Leisure Services) 2
Leisure and Cultural Services Department

Mr Robert CHONG
Acting Assistant Director (Administration and Planning)
Leisure and Cultural Services Department

Mr Michael MAK
Assistant Director (Libraries & Development)
Leisure and Cultural Services Department

Miss Janet WONG
Deputy Director of Food and Environmental Hygiene
(Administration and Development)

Mr Steve CHAN
Chief Executive Officer (Planning) 1
Food and Environmental Hygiene Department

Mrs Angel CHOI
Chief Executive Officer (Planning) 2
Food and Environmental Hygiene Department

Mr WONG Shiu-kwan
Project Director 3, Architectural Services Department

Attendance by : *For item II*
Invitation

Eastern District Council

Mr CHUNG Shu-kun, MH
Vice-Chairman

Mr HUI Ka-hoo
Member

Ms LO Tip-chun, MH
Member

Central and Western District Council

Mr LAI Kwok-hung
Chairman of the Working Group on Sun Yat Sen Memorial Park

Mr CHAN Chit-kwai
Member of the Working Group on Sun Yat Sen Memorial Park

Mr KAM Nai-wai
Member of the Working Group on Sun Yat Sen Memorial Park

Sham Shui Po District Council

Mr LEUNG Lai
Chairman of the Working Group on Private Premises Problems

Mr TAM Kwok-hung
Chairman of the North West Railway Monitoring Working Group

Clerk in Attendance : Mrs Constance LI
Chief Assistant Secretary (2)2

Staff in Attendance : Miss Mary SO
Senior Assistant Secretary (2)8

Action

I. Confirmation of minutes

[LC Paper No. CB(2)2220/99-00]

The minutes of meeting held on 1 February 2000 were confirmed.

II. Meeting with deputations

[Paper Nos. CB(2)837/99-00(02), CB(2)1558/99-00(01), CB(2)1840/99-00(01) and (02), CB(2)2214/99-00(01) and (02)]

2. The Chairman welcomed representatives of the deputations and the Administration to the meeting.

Eastern District Council (EDC)

[Papers No. CB(2)1840/99-00(01) and (02)]

3. Mr CHUNG Shu-kun of EDC urged members to press the Administration for the early implementation of the following capital works

Action

projects in the Eastern District -

- (a) Aldrich Bay Complex;
- (b) Retro-fitting of air-conditioning to Yue Wan Market; and
- (c) Chai Wan Vehicle Depot.

4. On Aldrich Bay Complex, Mr CHUNG expressed concern that the Administration only proposed to include the project in Category C of the Government's Public Works Programme (PWP) with a reduced scope. Under the revised proposal, the Complex would only comprise an air-conditioned market, a public toilet, a public bus terminus and a small library, but without the leisure centre and some common facilities originally planned. As a result, a stand-alone building would no longer be built for the Complex; instead the Housing Department (HD) would be entrusted with the construction of the proposed market in the public housing estate planned for Aldrich Bay. He said that this was most unsatisfactory and the delayed provision of the Complex would cause great inconvenience to residents in Aldrich Bay and Shau Kei Wan, since some 30 000 people would be moving into the Aldrich Bay area in the next few years.

5. Mr HUI Ka-hoo of EDC also urged for early implementation of the project without reduction in scope. He said that the proposed leisure and cultural facilities were intended to serve also people living in Shau Kei Wan and the proposed market was for re-siting the existing on-street hawkers trading in Shau Kei Wan. Mr HUI pointed out that people living in Shau Kei Wan area had long been deprived of adequate leisure and cultural facilities because Shau Kei Wan, being a very old and developed area, had no site available for developing such facilities.

6. Ms LO Tip-chun of EDC echoed similar concerns. She hoped that Siu Sai Wan Complex could be implemented according to its original plan without reducing any of the planned facilities. In particular, she did not agree with the Administration's view that there was no urgent need for a library in the Aldrich Bay area. Mr CHUNG of EDC added that there were justifications for Siu Sai Wan to have its own library. He pointed out that according to the planning standards and guidelines adopted by the former Provisional Urban Council (PUC), there should be one standard library for a population of 100 000 and Siu Sai Wan already a population of 80 000. He therefore considered that at least a small library should be provided for Siu Sai Wan.

7. As regards the retro-fitting of air-conditioning in Yue Wan Market, Mr CHUNG stressed that this was essential to avoid fast deterioration of fresh produce and live poultry during the hot summer months. He said air-conditioning was important for maintaining the health standards and smooth operation of the market.

Action

8. On Chai Wan Vehicle Depot, Mr CHUNG urged that the project be implemented as soon as possible so that the current site presently occupied by the temporary vehicle depot at Quarry Bay could be released for development as an extension of the Quarry Bay Park. Mr CHUNG further pointed out that the parking of vehicles at the Quarry Bay temporary depot had caused much inconvenience to the schools and residents in the vicinity.

Central and Western District Council (C&WDC)

[Paper No. CB(2)1558/99-00(01)]

9. Mr LAI Kwok-hung, Mr CHAN Chit-kwai and Mr KAM Nai-wai of C&WDC briefed members on the background of the proposed Sun Yat Sen Memorial Park and urged for its early implementation. They said that apart from providing leisure and recreational facilities for residents of the Central and Western District, the proposed Park, together with the existing Sun Yat Sen Historical Trail, would become a major tourist spot on the life of Dr Sun Yat Sen. To address the problem of accessibility of the Park which was separated from residential and commercial areas by highways and flyovers, Mr LAI said that Transport Department (TD) had agreed to provide bus stops at Connaught Road West (both eastbound and westbound) and also a lay-by for coaches. In addition, Planning Department had agreed to carry out a feasibility study on extending the existing elevated walkway system from Shun Tak Centre to the Park.

10. Referring to the Administration's paper [LC Paper No. CB(2) 2214/99-00(02)] which stated that the scope of the proposed project was under review, Mr KAM Nai-wai of C&WDC hoped that the project could proceed as originally planned since the former Provisional Urban Council (PUC) had already approved the scope of development, schedule of accommodation and conceptual design of the Park in December 1999. He also expressed concern that the proposed indoor heated swimming pool was not included in the project outlined in the Administration's paper. He pointed out that there was a pressing need for an additional swimming pool in the Central and Western District, because the Kennedy Town Swimming Pool was often over-crowded especially during peak hours.

11. Mr KAM also urged for early implementation of the retro-fitting of air-conditioning to Sheung Wan Market.

Sham Shui Po District Council (SSPDC)

[Paper No. CB(2)837/99-00(02)]

12. Mr LEUNG Lai of SSPDC stressed that construction of Tung Chau

Action

Street Complex and Fuk Wing Street Complex should start as soon as possible. Mr LEUNG expressed dissatisfaction that the Administration's paper [LC Paper No. CB(2)2214/99-00(01)] only argued against the two projects without providing the facts which would justify their implementation. On Tung Chau Street Complex, Mr LEUNG said that the Administration's paper did not reflect the new developments which would justify the construction of the Complex. For example, there would be new population intake starting from 2001 due to completion of certain public housing projects and other private residential developments in the West Kowloon reclamation area.

13. Mr LEUNG also disagreed with the Administration's view that the proposed market facilities in the Complex would have a viability problem because of strong competition from the existing markets such as Lai Kok Estate Market. He pointed out that Lai Kok Market was only a small market with no more than 20 stalls and was rather primitive. He was of the view that if the existing on-street stalls could be re-sited to a Complex which was air-conditioned and conveniently-located, it would only attract more people to patronize the market.

14. As regards Fuk Wing Street Complex, Mr LEUNG said that there was a need to construct the project so as to re-provision the existing on-street cooked food stalls in the vicinity, and to provide an indoor refuse collection point to replace the existing outdoor one.

15. Mr TAM Kwok-hung of SSPDC also pressed for the implementation of the Lai Wan Market Extension as the existing Lai Wan Market only comprised 12 large stalls and could not cope with the needs of the people in the locality. He pointed out that the market now served residents living in Mei Foo (with a population of 60 000 to 70 000) and the nearby Wanderland Villas, Kau Wa Keng, Ching Lai Court and Lai Chi Kok Bay Garden. At present, many of these residents had to take public transport to Shun Ning Street Market which was rather inconvenient. As the proposed site was now separated by a flyover, Mr TAM suggested that if the technical problem could not be solved, the Administration should actively consider finding alternative site in the area for the construction of a permanent market.

Discussion

Retro-fitting of air-conditioning to existing markets

16. Dr TANG Siu-tong inquired whether the stall operators would be willing to bear the additional operational expenses if air-conditioning was provided to existing markets. Mr CHUNG Shu-kun of EDC responded that the stall operators would be willing to bear the costs which would be reflected in the rental and since better patronage would more than compensate the additional

Action

expenditure.

17. Dr TANG also sought the views of DC representatives as to whether they considered superstores would have impact on the viability of existing markets. Mr CHUNG of EDC responded that the existing markets still had their own appeal, especially to people living in old areas such as Shau Kei Wan and Sheung Wan as they were used to buying fresh meat and live seafood which were not in abundant supply in superstores. He considered that the business of the existing markets should be much improved after the installation of air-conditioning.

18. In reply to the Chairman, Deputy Secretary for the Environment and Food (DS(EF)) said that retro-fitting works were currently carried out in three existing markets, namely, Sha Tin Market, Yan Oi Market and Tai Kiu Market. The Administration would assess whether the retro-fitting of air-conditioning would bring about noticeable improvements to these markets or give rise to any technical problems; and whether the stall operators would accept the likely requirement of closure of the market when the works were in progress. It was the Administration's intention to await completion of these projects so that a comprehensive review on the overall cost-effectiveness of air-conditioning in public markets/cooked food centre could be conducted in the light of actual operational experience. Based on the review, the Administration would consider whether to proceed with the other projects on retro-fitting of air-conditioning. Referring to Dr TANG's earlier concern about the implications on stall rental, DS(EF) said that the Administration had not yet come to a view as to whether and how the costs incurred for retro-fitting works and the additional expenses for providing air-conditioning in public market/cooked food centre should be reflected in the stall rental.

19. Deputy Director of Food and Environmental Hygiene (Administration and Development) (DD/FEH) supplemented that a task force comprising, among others, representatives of Food and Environmental Hygiene Department (FEHD) and Architectural Services Department (Arch SD) had been set up to study the issue of the retro-fitting works or would evaluate the experience at the three markets, the retro-fitting works of which were expected to be completed in October this year.

Aldrich Bay Complex

20. Regarding the provision of new markets, DS(EF) said that before including a project in Category C of PWP, the Administration would have to review the scope of the project to ensure that the proposed facilities were user-friendly, viable and cost-effective. In particular, there was a need to assess the viability of the public markets as recommended by the Director of Audit in his report published in 1997. He stressed that the provision of new markets must

Action

have regard to the changes in demand and development plans in the area. For example, the increased number of superstores in the vicinity would certainly have an impact on the business of public markets. As regards entrusting the construction of the new market facilities at Aldrich Bay to HD, DS(EF) clarified that as the proposed reduction of scope had substantially lowered the plot ratio and hence making the development unable to meet the plot ratio requirement, HD was requested to consider including the proposed market facilities in its housing estate planned for the area. HD was still considering the proposal. DS(EF) further said that if HD agreed to the proposal, FEHD would bear the construction cost and assume the future management of the proposed market facilities.

21. DD/FEH supplemented that the Department agreed that there was a need for the provision of a market in Aldrich Bay and would hold detailed discussion with HD and the District Council (DC) in the coming months. Pending completion of the proposed market facilities, people living in Aldrich Bay could shop in the existing markets located at the nearby Shau Kei Wan and Sai Wan Ho areas.

22. Concerning the library service, Assistant Director of Leisure and Cultural Services (Libraries & Development) agreed that there was a need to provide a district library in Aldrich Bay area. The library could either be provided in the proposed Aldrich Bay Complex or in leased premises in the area.

Tung Chau Street Complex

23. Referring to the comments made by Mr LEUNG Lai of SSPDC in paragraph 12, DD/FEH said that the Administration had not concealed any facts pertaining to the need for the project. DD/FEH stressed that the Administration was still reviewing the project and had not yet come to a decision as to whether it should proceed. As DS(EF) had explained before, the Administration would need to assess the viability of new public markets. DD/FEH pointed out that the existing Tung Chau Street Temporary Market and Yen Chow Street Temporary Hawker Bazaar had a fairly high vacancy rate of about 60% (about 220 out of the 359 stalls were vacant) and 73% (about 140 out of the 192 stalls were vacant) respectively. There were doubts as to whether reprovisioning these stalls to the new market in the Complex would enhance their viability. In addition, there were also 270 vacant stalls in the existing markets in Sham Shui Po district (excluding those in Tung Chau Street Temporary Market and the existing Yen Chow Street Temporary Hawker Bazaar). In view of the high vacancy rate of existing market stalls, the Administration considered it necessary to review the viability of the proposed market facilities in the Complex.

Action

Lai Wan Market Extension

24. DDFEH explained that the Administration considered that there was no real need for the project because apart from the existing Lai Wan Market (with 42 stalls), there was a number of wet goods stalls within Mei Foo Sun Chuen (about 20 stalls outside Lai Wan Market). Competition among the existing markets and these retail stalls was already keen. Moreover, the project was fraught with a number of technical constraints which were difficult to resolve according to the advice of Arch SD. These constraints included the existence of drainage reserve under the project site, and limited headroom of the project site for installation of air duct. TD also indicated reservation about using the proposed location for loading/unloading area as this would have adverse impact on the nearby traffic.

Sun Yat Sen Memorial Park

25. Responding to Mr LEE Wing-tat's concern about the accessibility of Sun Yat Sen Memorial Park, Mr LAI Kwok-hung and Mr KAM Nai-wai of C&WDC stressed that with the measures described in paragraph 9, the accessibility of the Park could be greatly improved. Mr KAM supplemented that C&WDC was also discussing with the Administration the possibility of providing an additional footbridge from Queen Street to the Park and an exit to the park from the West Hong Kong Island Line. Mr CHAN Chit-kwai of C&WDC added that consideration should be given to constructing a cycling track at the project site to link up with that of Route No. 7, and providing also a pier facility to make the best use of the seafront.

26. Mr LEE Wing-tat expressed support for the construction of the Sun Yat Sen Memorial Park. He stressed however that the design of the Park should be further improved to bring out the theme of the Park, for instance, consideration could be given to building a small museum exhibiting the life of Dr Sun Yat Sen.

27. In response to the Chairman, Deputy Secretary for Home Affairs (DS(HA)) said that consideration was being given to ways to address the accessibility problem of the Park. DS(HA) pointed out that even the indoor games hall now located next to the site of the Park also had the same access problem and its utilization had been very low. Moreover, there was also a need to review the proposed leisure and recreational facilities in the Park in the light of comments received and the overall provision of facilities in the territory.

28. Deputy Director of Leisure and Cultural Services (Administration) (DD/LCS) supplemented that since the Administration had taken over the responsibility of the remaining capital works projects of the two former

Action

Provisional Municipal Councils (PMCs) on 1 January 2000, 8 of the 12 projects which had previously secured funding approvals of the former PMCs had already been included in Category A of PWP. The Administration was also actively considering the remaining projects. She assured members that the Administration had no intention to abandon the construction of leisure facilities at the site currently earmarked for the Sun Yat Sen Memorial Park and would actively follow up with C&WDC to ensure that the facilities would be user-friendly, viable and cost-effective.

Conclusion

29. DD/FEH advised that the Administration intended to consult the District Councils concerned during June-August 2000 before taking a decision on the projects that involved FEHD. In response to the Chairman, DD/LCS said that the Leisure and Cultural Services Department (LCSD) would also conduct similar consultation before deciding the way forward.

30. Mr CHUNG Shu-kun of EDC requested the Administration to truly listen to the views of the District Councils during consultation and would assign senior officials to attend meetings with DC members. Mr CHUNG also hoped that LegCo Members would pay visits to the districts concerned so as to gain better understanding of the needs for the projects. DD/LCS responded that normally officials who were well-versed in the projects would attend discussion with DCs. Depending on the complexity of the projects, more senior officials would attend such meetings where necessary.

31. Mr James TO said that the Administration should not change the scope of any project which were already approved by the former PMCs unless there were new factors affecting the projects. Miss CHAN Yuen-han also urged the Administration not to renege on its commitment to construct the remaining capital works projects of the two former PMCs.

32. DS(EF) stressed that in view of the substantial investment of public funds in these projects, a review was necessary to ensure that these projects were user-friendly, viable and cost-effective. DS(EF) assured members that the Administration would consult DCs and the relevant LegCo Panels on the projects before coming to a final view. DD/LCS supplemented that as many of the outstanding projects of the former PMCs were still at the initial planning stage without much details (i.e. Stage IV of the former PUC and Category III of the former Provisional Regional Council's capital works programmes), a proper review would need to be carried out to ascertain project requirements.

33. Mrs Sophie LEUNG remarked that public markets could still be viable amidst the presence of the superstores if the design of the public market could be improved to make it more user-friendly and comfortable. For example, the

Action

drainage system could be improved to eradicate the problem of wet floors.

Admin

34. In concluding the discussion, the Chairman advised the Administration to consult the DCs concerned about any proposed changes to the original plan before coming to a decision. He said that it was not possible for LegCo Panels or committees to follow up in detail each and every project under planning, and the Administration should take a proactive approach to seriously discuss with DCs the needs of the districts and the progress of these outstanding projects. He hoped that the Administration could report the progress of these outstanding projects in the next legislative session.

III. Progress of capital works projects of the two former Provisional Municipal Councils

[Paper Nos. CB(2)2214/99-00(03) and (04)]

Tai Kok Tsui Complex

35. Members noted that the Public Works Subcommittee (PWSC) had at its meeting on 24 May 2000 endorsed the Administration's proposal to upgrade the project to Category A of PWP, and approval of the Finance Committee (FC) was being sought. The Administration planned to start construction works in December 2000 aiming at completion in March 2004.

Hammer Hill Road District Park

36. Members noted that the Administration was now considering entrusting the project to Chi Lin in view of the proximity of the Park to Chi Lin and the latter's experience and knowledge in Tang style constructions.

37. Miss Cyd HO reiterated her views expressed at previous meetings that there should be an exhibition centre inside Hammer Hill Road District Park. Referring to a recent press statement issued by Chi Lin that the latter did not wish to become a tourist spot, Miss HO expressed reservations as to whether Chi Lin, being a private organization, would allow outsiders to use its exhibition hall.

38. DS(HA) responded that the Administration was in discussion with Chi Lin and had not come to a final view on the provision of an exhibition centre inside the Park. He said that Chi Lin was now partially open to the public and tourists subject to certain conditions. Should the Administration decide to entrust the construction of the project to Chi Lin, the Administration would set out in clear terms the entrustment arrangements including details of the core facilities, the project cost and delivery dates, and the responsibilities and liabilities of both parties. The initial thinking of the Administration was to

Action

cap the project budget at \$177 million (MOD price) which was the project estimate for the original design approved by the former PUC.

39. Mrs Sophie LEUNG clarified that Chi Lin was originally intended for religious purposes, but it would welcome the promotion of the entire area as a major tourist spot upon the completion of the adjacent Park. As regards the project budget, Mrs LEUNG noted that it was based on the original design which had much less greenery. She therefore inquired whether consideration could be given to increasing the budget now that more landscaping would be required to shield off traffic noises so as to create a tranquil environment. Miss Cyd HO also asked whether the budget included the cost for providing an exhibition hall inside the Park.

40. DS(HA) responded that it was imperative for the Administration to work within budget. He added that \$177 million was a very substantial amount for constructing a park.

41. The Chairman asked whether the name of the Park would be changed since the Park would be developed to become a landmark for tourism. He also asked whether the Park would be managed by LCSD upon completion. Miss Cyd HO also hoped that the exhibition centre inside the park, if constructed, would adopt the same opening hours as other public exhibition centres.

42. DS(HA) replied that no change in name was proposed for the Park at the moment. A more suitable name for the Park could be identified later when details of the facilities were finalized. He further said that the Park would be managed by LCSD but arrangements would be subject to discussion with Chi Lin. If cultural facilities were to be included, it was envisaged that depending on the overall management of the Park, they could have similar opening hours applied to other parks managed by LCSD.

43. In concluding the discussion, the Chairman requested and members agreed that the Administration should report to the relevant Panel(s) or committee(s) of LegCo in the next legislative session on the final design of the Park and the entrustment agreement with Chi Lin before submitting the project to PWSC and FC for approval. DS(HA) agreed.

Admin

Planning standards for the provision of leisure and cultural facilities
[Paper No. CB(2)2214/99-00(04)]

44. Members noted the Administration's paper which set out the present position on the provision of leisure and cultural facilities, as against the planning standards and guidelines set out in the Hong Kong Planning Standards and Guidelines (HKPSG) and that adopted by the two former PMCs.

Action

45. Miss Cyd HO expressed dissatisfaction that the Administration's paper did not contain information on the rationale of the existing planning standards and the shortfall of leisure and cultural facilities in each of the 18 districts based on these standards. The Chairman advised that the Administration should provide more information on the rationale of these planning standards and whether these had been followed by the former PMCs.

46. DS(HA) responded that the existing planning standards were only a guide rather than absolute standards for compliance by the former PMCs. In view of the changes in socio-economic and demographic structure and changes in leisure habits in recent years, the Administration considered it necessary to review and align the different planning standards and guidelines to better reflect the present needs of the community. Referring to Miss Cyd HO's concern about the provision of facilities in districts, DD/LCS said that it was difficult to say which district was short of certain facilities because the planning standards and guidelines were only for planning reference and were not mandatory. These standards had been applied with a general degree of flexibility, taking into account various factors such as availability of suitable sites and resources, the needs of the districts, and the characteristics of the local population.

47. Miss Cyd HO expressed concern that the Administration might in future adopt a less favourable standard for the provision of leisure and cultural facilities in districts. She was of the view that the needs for cultural facilities were less apparent than that for markets and that the community had to rely on the Government instead of private developers to provide cultural facilities. She considered that Government should therefore consult LegCo, District Councils, Sports Development Board, Cultural and Heritage Commission and other relevant bodies before introducing a new set of planning standards and guidelines for the provision of leisure and cultural facilities.

48. Miss CHAN Yuen-han also urged the Administration not to revise the current planing standards and guidelines for the provision of leisure and cultural facilities without first consulting LegCo and the District Councils.

49. Mrs Sophie LEUNG commented that the Administration's paper only provided information on quantitative benchmarks rather than the quality of services to be provided. She was of the view that the Administration should set an overall objective for the provision of leisure and cultural facilities and enhance the quality of such services.

50. DS(HA) noted members' comments and said that Government would consult the relevant parties in the review of the planning standards.

Admin

51. In reply to the Chairman's enquiry on the timetable for the review of planning standards for the provision of leisure and cultural facilities, DS(HA)

Action

said that there was no concrete timetable for the review. He assured members that pending completion of the review, the Administration would continue to assess the individual outstanding projects for recommendation of inclusion into PWP.

Admin

52. In concluding the discussion, the Chairman requested the Administration to provide a paper on the number and types of leisure and cultural facilities in each district and whether there was any shortfall in the provision of such facilities in these districts based on existing standards. The Administration agreed.

IV. Any other business

53. Members considered that it was necessary for LegCo to maintain the momentum in monitoring the progress of the outstanding projects approved by the former PMCs. As this was the last meeting of the Subcommittee in the session, the Chairman requested the Administration to report the progress of the outstanding projects to the relevant Panel or subcommittee of LegCo as soon as the next legislative session commenced. He said that LegCo would determine how to follow up these outstanding projects in the beginning of the next term. The Chairman also thanked members and the Administration for their support to the work of the Subcommittee in the past months.

54. There being no other business, the meeting ended at 10:40 am.

Legislative Council Secretariat
9 October 2000