# Joint Meetings of the <br> LegCo Panels on Education \& <br> LegCo Panel on Home Affairs 

October 26, 1999

The Equal Opportunities Commission (EOC) found that the following elements within the Secondary School Places Allocation System (SSPA) discriminate on the basis of sex:

1. Scaling: Giving single sex schools a school curve and giving co-educational schools a gender curve to derive the SSPA scaled scores may disadvantage an individual boy or girl within the co-educational school.
2. Processing boys and girls separately for banding purposes: Processing boys and girls separately into different bands constitutes sex bias since individual boys and girls receive less favorable treatment as a result of the fact that the band cutting scores in the different school districts are different for boys and girls within each band.
3. Allocation in accordance with fixed numbers of boys and girls in each coeducational school: This results in a boy or girl being refused his or her choice of school on the basis of sex and not for academic reasons; the reason being that the school has no place for the boy or girl as all remaining places are designated for another sex.

In light of the Commission's findings, it is important that the Education Department remove these discriminatory elements as soon as possible so that the system can abide bv the law.

The findings have received wide press coverage. Many parents, interviewed by the media, support an immediate reform of the system. At the same time, there have been concerns expressed through media coverage on the following points:

## 1. That fixed proportions of boys and girls in the school is essential for good coeducation

Most believe that fixed proportions means $50 \%$ of boys and $50 \%$ of girls. However, in our investigation into complaint cases we have found that the
proportions vary. One of the schools we dealt with has a fixed ratio of two-thirds boys and one-third girls. ${ }^{1}$ If two-thirds boys and one-third girls is acceptable for good co-education then the reverse is also true. The Formal Investigation found that if the discriminatory elements were removed, of the 18 school nets, only half would have girls exceeding $60 \%$ in Band 1 .
2. That boys develop intellectually later than girls. Current research indicates that boys do not develop later than girls. The Investigation Team looked into the English and Mathematics scores in the Hong Kong Attainment Tests and could find no evidence of the later intellectual development of boys by following the same cohort of students progressing from Primary 5 to Secondary 3. ${ }^{2}$ In addition, no evidence could be found to support this assertion from the Mathematics scores of HKCEE and HKALE results. ${ }^{3}$
3. That the discriminatory elements are important as they are the only way to be fair to the boys. This is not correct. The SSPA is neither fair to boys nor to girls. In fact, the Formal Investigation found that of the 18 school nets, boys need higher scores than girls to get into Band 1 in 7 school nets.

It is not difficult to remove the discriminatory elements before the next allocation exercise. This would require simply the removal of the sex component in the computer system.

Students work hard in the belief that their academic merit will be reflected in the SSPA. When they have worked hard and done well, but do not get into their school of choice, they feel that they have failed and that this is their fault. In fact, it is the system that distorts their performance and is at fault.

We urge the Legislative Council to support the recommendations of the Equal Opportunities Commission and ask that the Government make the necessary changes to have the system conform to the law. As long as the system does not conform to the law, the individual rights of the students and the parents continue to be violated. Complaints will continue to be lodged with the Commission.

The Commission is looking forward to working in partnership with the Government, the Legislature, and the education community, to change the present situation.
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## Summary of Complaint Cases

## Case 1:

Allegation: That the female student was discriminated against on the ground of her sex as she was not allocated to her school of choice while her male classmates, with lower internal assessment scores, were allocated to that school.

## Finding:

She was not admitted to the school as the school only admitted two-thirds boys and one-third girls. There was no place for her on the ground of her sex.

## Case 2:

Allegation: That the female student was discriminated against on the ground of her sex as she was not allocated to her school of choice while her male classmates, with lower internal assessment scores, were allocated to that school.

## Findings:

She was not admitted to the school of choice as:

1. She was given a lower SSPA scaled score because of the gender curve.
2. Girls needed higher scores to be admitted into that particular band than boys.

Formal Investigation of SSPA<br>What is SSPA？<br>How Does it Affect Boys and Girls？

「中帚拲位分配辦法」正式調査

何䛺「中泉学位分配辦法」？

此制度如何影管男生和女生？

SSPA

Internal Assessment（IA）
Academic Aptitude Test（AAT）
Scaling
Banding Separately by Sex
Computer Generated Random Number

Choice of School

Allocation by Fixed Proportions

「中學學位分配辦法」
校內積分
㲰能測驗
調整方法
按性別編定派位組別
電馏產生的䖎機編號

㟟校意貭
按既定男女生比例分派學位

## LA

Examination Results of all subjects in P5－2，P6－1 \＆ 2 of all subjects except Biblical Knowledge，Putonghua，and Physical Education are standardised and weighted to produce an Aggregated IA Score．

## 校內積分

根壉小五下营期，小六上下犖期的考試成絞計算，除了宗教科，普通話科和體育科外，各科成績均包括在內•分數緑標準化和按科目比重計算後，得出「校內總分」。

## Trends of IA Results

Girls＇scores are generally better than Boys＇scores

1998 student data shows：
P5－2：Girls had higher scores in 327 schools
Boys had higher scores in 19 schools
P6－1：Girls had higher scores in 331 schools
Boys had higher scores in 18 schools
P6－2：Girls had higher scores in 337 schools Boys had higher scores in 13 schools

校内積分趣勢
女生的分數一段比男生好

1998 年學生的数城影示：
小五下學期：其中 327 間學校的女生分數高於男生
其中 19 間呆校的男生分數高於女生
小六上蒣期：其中 331 間等校的女生分數高於男生
其中 18 間学校的男生分數高於女生
小六下學期：其中 337 間拲校的女生分數高於男生
其中 13 間县校的男生分数高於女生

## AAT

## Verbal Reasoning Test

Numerical Reasoning Test

## Combined Score：AAT score

## 學能盞騇

## 文字推理卷

數字推理卷

綜合分數：學能測驗得分

幾的时执地
AAT， 1998


Trends in AAT，1993－98

Students from single sex schools perform better than students from co－ educational schools．

唓性別學校的举生表現比男女校的

## 悬生好。

## 1998 Student Data

－Girls perform better than boys in each type of schools．
－Boys in co－educational schools perform best at the $99^{\text {th }}$ percentile．
－For students from co－educational schools，boys＇performance overtakes girls after the $70^{\text {th }}$ percentile．
－Within the same co－educational school，girls perform generally better than boys below the $70^{\text {th }}$ percentile and boys perform generally better than girls at or above the $70^{\text {th }}$ percentile．

1998年學生的數據
－在各類學校中，女生的表現都比男生好。
－男女校的男生在百分位九十九的表現最好。
－男女校的學生，在百分位七十以後，男生的成嘖比女生好•
－在同一男女校內，在百分位七十以下，女生的成筫一般比男生好，而在百分位七十以上，男生的成觬一般比女生好•

## Scaling

AAT scores are not given to the student who took the examination， instead，it is used to scale the IA scores． For single sex schools a single curve is generated．For co－educational schools a curve is generated for each gender；
that is，one for Boys and one for Girls．

## 拥整方法

而是用來裉整校內積分的•在唓性别學校而聿，分數會編成一偞曲線•在男女校而言，會按性別各自編出一條曲線，即一倲男生分數曲線，一侯女生分數曲楾•
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## Banding

Students are first separated by sex then divided into 5 Bands（20\％per band）．

## 派位组別

镸生會先按性別分開，然後再各自分爲五個派位組別（每個派位組別佔學生人数 $20 \%$ ）

Computer Generated Random Number（CGRN）

Within each band each student is given a CGRN．Student assigned with the first number is first assigned his／her choice of school；not the student with the highest score．

## 電㺃產生的檤機编號

每派位組別內每名學生都獲編一個奄
眯生是所属派位組别內第一個逼學校的人；並非由取得最高分的敩生先選學校•

## Choice of School

Parents select $\mathbf{3 0}$ schools ranked by order of choice．

If the school of first choice is full then the second choice is checked and so on．

選校意願

## 

假如首逼的學校已額滿，則會査看第二㟟罩是否台有學位，鮽此類推•

Impact on Boys and Girls

## Gender Curves

Banding Separately by Sex

Allocating by Fixed Proportions

對男生和女生的影響

性別曲線

按性別分開編定派位組別

按既定男女生比例分派學位

## Impact on Boys and Girls：Gender

 Curves
## How do Gender Curves Impact on Boys and Girls？

Students with the same IA score could be given different SSPA scaled scores．

Students with a lower IA score could be given a higher SSPA scaled score．

培男生和女生的影管：「性別曲缐」

「性別曲線」如何影䕀男生和女生？

取得相同「校內棲分」的筫生，可能得到不同的「中學學位分配調整分」。

取得較低「校內栍分」的學生，可能得到較高的「中學學位分配調整分」•


（Fig．2）
A student with a higher internal assessment score is assigned a lower placement score than
another student，who is of the opposite gender． A score is assigned a lower placement score than
another student，who is of the opposite gender．



Impact on Boys and Girls：Banding By Sex

Putting boys and girls into two separate rank orders of academic merit according to sex，results in different band cutting scores for each sex．

## 對男生和女生的影響：按性別编定派位組別

男生和女生各按其學業成綪與性別分開排列，因而梁致男生和女生的副分派位組別分數不同•

Impact on Boys and Girls：Banding By Sex

## Examples of band－cutting scores

SSPA 1996／98
Band－cutting Scores

| Net | Between Bands | Boy | Girl | Girl－Boy |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Central \＆Western | 1／2 | 121.31 | 124.25 | 2.94 | ＋ |
|  | 2／3 | 108.70 | 112.56 | 3.86 | ＋ |
|  | 3／4 | 93.97 | 99.29 | 5.32 | ＋ |
|  | 4／5－ | 74.81 | 80.73 | 5.92 | ＋ |
| Eastern | 1／2 | 117.55 | 116.10 | －1．45 | － |
|  | 2／3 | 101.22 | 101.28 | 0.06 | ＋ |
|  | 3／4 | 82.92 | 85.31 | 2.39 | ＋ |
|  | 4／5 | 60.33 | 63.13 | 2.80 | ＋ |

＋Girls need higher scores
－Boys need higher scores

對男生和女生的影郞：按性別库定派位组別
制分派位組別分數的例子


## 分湂位組别分䭪

| 校細 | 浱位組别 | 男生 | 女生 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 中西區 | 1／2 | 121.31 | 124.25 | 2.94 | ＋ |
|  | $2 / 3$ | 108.70 | 112.56 | 3.86 | $+$ |
|  | $3 / 4$ | 93.97 | 99.29 | 5.32 | $+$ |
|  | $4 / 5$ | 74.81 | 80.73 | 5.92 | ＋ |
| 東區 | $1 / 2$ | 117.55 | 116.10 | －1．45 | － |
|  | $2 / 3$ | 101.22 | 101.28 | 0.06 | $+$ |
|  | $3 / 4$ | 82.92 | 85.31 | 2.39 | $+$ |
|  | $4 / 5$ | 60.33 | 63.13 | 2.80 | $+$ |

[^1]Impact on Boys \＆Girls：Banding by Sex

## Central \＆Western：

Band One：Girl needs at least 124.25 and Boy needs at least 121.31

## Eastern：

Band One：Boy needs at least 117.55
and Girl needs at least 116.10

對男生和女生的影響：按性別编定派位组別

## 中西區：

第一派位組別：女生至少需 124.25 分男生至少需 121.31 分

東區：
第一派位組別：男生至少需 117.55 分
女生至少需 116.10 分

Impact on Boys and Girls：
Banding By Sex
1998 Student Data shows：
－Girls needed higher SSPA scaled scores to get into Band 1 in 11 out of 18 school nets and boys needed higher scores than girls to get into Band 1 in 7 school nets．
－Excluding Band 5，girls needed higher scores to get into 60 out of all the district banding within the 18 school nets and boys needed higher scores to get into 12 of the bands．
－ $\mathbf{2 , 1 5 5}$ more boys than girls got their first choice of school．
－2，469 more boys than girls got their first three choices of schools ．

對男生和女生的㩆䇾：按性別编定派位組別

1998 年拲生的数找影示
要取得較高的「中學學位分配高营分」才能踏身第一派位組别，而在7倜學校絧中男生需取得比女生高的分敬才能政身第一派位組別•
－除了第五派位組别外，在 18 個蠤校網內，全部派位組别中有 60 個分区涯位組的女生需要取德較高分敬才能跳身其中，而男生需要取得敕高分数才能䇛身其中 12 個派位組别•
－男生獲浱第一志圓學校的人數出女生多 2，155人•
－男生張派首三志買管校的人数比女生多 2，469人－

## Impact on Boys \＆Girls：Banding by Sex

More boys get their first choice of schools：

| Allocation Year | Male <br> First Choice | Female <br> First Choice |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1994 | $18,296(41.4 \%)$ | $16,272(39.7 \%)$ |
| 1995 | $17,596(40.7 \%)$ | $16,398(40.6 \%)$ |
| 1996 | $17,860(43.6 \%)$ | $15,772(41.6 \%)$ |
| 1997 | $17,859(44.2 \%)$ | $15,921(42.8 \%)$ |
| 1998 | $16,713(44.3 \%)$ | $14,558(41.5 \%)$ |

## 對男生和女生的影短：按性別派定派位組別

獲派第一志願學校的男生較女生多

| 派位年 | 男生獲派第一志願 | 女生獲派第一志願 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1994 | $18,296(41.4 \%)$ | $16,272(39.7 \%)$ |
| 1995 | $17,596(40.7 \%)$ | $16,398(40.6 \%)$ |
| 1996 | $17,860(43.6 \%)$ | $15,772(41.6 \%)$ |
| 1997 | $17,859(44.2 \%)$ | $15,921(42.8 \%)$ |
| 1998 | $16,713(44.3 \%)$ | $14,558(41.5 \%)$ |

Impact on Boys \＆Girls：
Banding by Sex

More boys got their first three choices of schools

1994：1，877
1995： 923
1996：2，535
1997：2，412
1998：2，469

龳男生和女生的影郞：按性別编定派位組別

猚派首三個志願樊校的男生較女生多

1994：1，877
1995： 923
1996 ：2，535
1997：2，412
1998：2，469

Impact on Boys and Girls： Allocating by Fixed Proportions

Co－educational Schools are allocated a fixed proportion of boys and girls．

對男生和女生的影響：按既定男女生比例分派學位
給男女生•

Impact on Boys and Girls： Allocating by Fixed Proportions

Examples of Discrimination
School A $\mathbf{5 0}$ places for boys
50 places for girls
60 girls bave higher scores
10 girls with higher scores than boys are not admitted as the remaining places are reserved for boys．

對男生和女生的影警：
按既定男女生比例分派學位
出現歧梘的事例

## 甲校

50 個拿位頂留給男生
50 個拿位預留給女生
60 名女生取得较高分數
10 名分數高於男生的女生不能入謮甲校，因爲學位已面留給男生

Impact on Boys and Girls： Allocating by Fixed Proportions

## Examples of Discrimination

School B $\mathbf{5 0}$ places for boys
50 places for girls
60 boys have higher scores
10 boys with higher scores than girls are not admitted as the remaining places are reserved for girls．

對男生和女生的影響：按既定男女生比例分派學位

## 出現歧視的事例

乙校 50 個苸位預留給男生
50 個學位預留給女生
60 名男生取得較高分數
10 名分数比女生高的男生不能入謮乙校，因爲叒位已面留給女生

## Why are Boys and Girls Processed Separately？

ED Asserts：
Boys develop later and therefore need to be protected in order to ensure they have equal opportunities in later life．

Investigation Team Findings：
ED produced no research to support their assertion．

No intellectual growth spurt was found in the test results in English and Mathematics between P5 and S3．

Expert Panel：
Current research studies show boys and girls develop in different areas not at different times

## 男生和女生何以要分開處理？

## 教育竪覑石：

中享有平等楼會，因此需要作出保高。

栭查小組取現：
教育异並未提出任何研究足以支持他们的說法

從小五至中三所敬的英文和数㢣测結果中，並未解现男生在管力数展上出现笑策整退的情況•

## 古冢小柤：

目前的研究賈示，男生和女生的䇾力费展伃域不同，並非㷅展時間有先復•

##  <br> Enelish：Mean Scores

HONG KONG ATTANMENT TEST 香苍淂科测烩

|  | Male |  | Female |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| （902\％） | Pr（se） | 46.67 | 57.47 |
| 1 1930．4 | 1＇6（小） | 44.51 | 52.50 |
| 1004／05 | S1（ $\downarrow$－） | 42.89 | 52.85 |
| 1045／\％ | S2（ $\dagger=$ ） | 35.26 | 47.77 |
| $1006 / 97$ | S3（t三） | 36.18 | 46.74 |


|  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mathematics：Mean Scores |  | 算告 Male | 42 |
|  |  | Fernale |
| 14929？ | 19（吅 |  | 18．48 | 17.59 |
| $1993 / 94$ | P6（小） | 22.00 | 22.25 |
| 1994\％55 | Si（ $\dagger$－） | 23.34 | 23.45 |
| $1905 / 06$ | S2（中三） | 21.59 | 21.54 |
| $1096 / 97$ | S3（中三） | 21.84 | 22.02 |




|  | 男生 | 女生 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| A | $4.30 \%$ | $2.60 \%$ |
| A－B | $13.20 \%$ | $9.10 \%$ |
| A－C | $30.10 \%$ | $23.80 \%$ |
| A－D | $53.70 \%$ | $49.30 \%$ |
| A－E | $72.80 \%$ | $70.80 \%$ |

中學會考數學科成綪分析


高級程度會考純綷數學科成績分析


高級程度會考純綷數學科成績分析

|  | 男生 | 女生 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| A | $7.60 \%$ | $4.40 \%$ |
| A－B | $17.10 \%$ | $12.60 \%$ |
| A－C | $32.70 \%$ | $27.60 \%$ |
| A－D | $57.70 \%$ | $54.00 \%$ |
| A－E | $80.00 \%$ | $79.10 \%$ |

## 第2頁

Why are Boys and Girls Processed Separately？

## ED asserts：

To guarantee an equal proportion of boys and girls in the secondary schools for a proper environment for co－education．

## Investigation Team Findings：

If boys and girls were not processed separately，the percentages，while not being equal，would not change drastically within the different bands．

Of the 18 School Nets，only 9 nets will have girls numbẹring $\mathbf{6 0 - 6 3 . 4} \%$ in Band One； however，no boys number over $50 \%$ in Band One in any of the school nets．

## Expert Panel：

There is no research studies supporting this assertion

## 男生和女生何以要分開處理？

## 教育署觙言：

此舉可保語中悬有同等比例的男生和女生，具備合適的桭境進行混合教育。

## 嚌查小組䎿現：

如男生和女生不分開虗理，每個派位組別之內的男女生百分比即使不相同，也不致出現太大的差異。

在 18 個校網中，侯有九個校編會出現 60－ $63.4 \%$ 的女生獲編入第一派位組別；不過，沒有一個校網會有超逿 $\mathbf{5 0} \%$ 的男生攃編入第一派位組別•

## 専家小組：

並無研究調査足以支持教育署的說法。

Why are Boys and Girls Processed Separately？

ED Asserts：
That changes in the proportion would upset present planning and facilities．

## Investigation Findings：

Current population in secondary schools are not of the same proportion allocation by ED as dissatisfied parents transfer their children to other schools． Principals appear to be coping with the infrastructure and planning difficulties．

男生和女生何以要分開處理？

## 教育量畍言：

更改男生和女生比例會影管現行的計割和設施•

## 相查小組验現：

現時學校的人口舆教育㟒的派位不符•不满意派位的家長會爲子女榎校•校長㑥然能應付基本設施和计識方面的間题•

Is SSPA a Fair System？

ED asserts：

That the discrimination elements are important as they are the only way to be fair to the boys．

Investigation Team Findings：
It is not fair to the boys nor to the girls．
Boys need higher scores to get into Band 1 in 7 school nets．

Girls need higher scores to get into Band 1 in 11 school nets

「中學學位分配辦法」公平嗎？

## 教育署堅稃：

遅種歧視的成分很重要唯有這樣做才致男生公平

## 調查小組弡現：

這種做法到男生或女生都不公平
在七個校網中，男生要取得較高分數才可排入第一成繢組別

在十一個校網中，女生要取得較高分數才可排入第一成績組別


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Summary of two complaint cases
    ${ }^{2}$ Hong Kong Attainment Tests: Developmental Difference Between Boys and Girls
    ${ }^{3}$ Hong Kong Exams Authority (Comparison of Mathematics scores of males and females students: HKCEE and HKALE)

[^1]:    ＋女生雷要陔高的分数
    

