Bills Committee on the Mandatory Provident Fund Schemes (Amendment) Bill 2001

Summary of comments/queries raised by the Legal Service Division and the Administration's response

Clause	Proposed section	Issue	LSD's comments/queries	Administration's response
Clauses 2 and 8 and section 8 of the Schedule to the Bill	Section 2 (definition of "mandatory contribution") and section 11(7) of the Mandatory Provident Fund (MPF) Schemes Ordinance (Cap. 485) and section 78(6), (7) and (8) of the MPF Schemes (General) Regulation (Cap. 485 sub. leg.) [Marked-up copy (English version): p.4, 15, 50, 51, 52 & 53]	"minimum MPF benefits, to which section 5(1)(b) of Schedule 2 to the Mandatory Provident Fund Schemes	section 5(1)(b) of Schedule 2 to the MPF Schemes (Exemption) Regulation, the proposed sections should also refer to section 5(1)(a) of the same Schedule as the latter	 Section 5(1) of Schedule 2 to the MPF Schemes (Exemption) Regulation¹ requires the trustee to transfer the minimum MPF benefits as soon as practicable to schemes referred to in section 5(1)(a) and 5(1)(b). As such, the Bill should refer to section 5(1) instead of section 5(1)(b) only. A CSA will be proposed to amend "section 5(1)(b)" to read "section 5(1)" in clause 2 (definition of "mandatory contribution"); in clause 8 (proposed section 11(7)(b)); and in section 8 of the Schedule (where "section 5(1)(b)" appears 10 times).

¹ Section 5(1) of Schedule 2 to the MPF Schemes (Exemption) Regulation provides that where a new member of a relevant scheme is entitled to receive benefits (whether immediately or prospectively) under the scheme, the trustee of the scheme shall transfer, in accordance with the governing rules of the scheme, the minimum MPF benefits of the member as soon as practicable -

⁽a) to a registered scheme in which the member's new employer is a participating employer; or

⁽b) to a master trust scheme, nominated by the member, that accepts transfers of minimum MPF benefits from other registered schemes.

C1 2	0 (10 ::: 0		A . C 1 C . ODGO	• TDI
Clauses 2	Section 2 (definition of			• 1
and 8 and	"master trust scheme")	of "benefits in an	exempted scheme ² or an ORSO	under the Occupational Retirement
sections 1	and section 11(7) of the	ORSO exempted	registered scheme ³ , can persons	Schemes Ordinance, namely ORSO
and 8 of	MPF Schemes	scheme or an ORSO	who have benefits in a "relevant	exempted schemes and ORSO
the	Ordinance; section 2	registered scheme"	ORSO registered scheme" ⁴ as	registered schemes. "Relevant
Schedule	(definition of	registered seneme	defined in the MPF Schemes	ε
	`			ORSO registered scheme" is an
to the	"preserved account")		(Exemption) Regulation opt to have	ORSO registered scheme which is
Bill	and <u>section</u>		benefits in that scheme transferred	eligible to seek exemption from
	78(6)(d)(ii), (e)(ii) and		to a registered scheme? If so,	MPF requirements. The definition
	(f)(ii), (7)(d)(ii) and		should this be reflected in the	of the term will not affect its status
	(8)(b)(ii) of the MPF		relevant sections proposed in the	as an ORSO registered scheme.
	Schemes (General)		Bill?	• The proposed amendments to the
	,		Dill:	definition of "master trust scheme"
	Regulation			
				are to enable employees having
	[Marked-up copy			benefits in ORSO exempted
	(English version): p. 4,			schemes and ORSO registered
	15, 51, 52 & 53]			schemes to transfer their benefits to
				a master trust scheme. Therefore,
				the two types of ORSO schemes
				* 1
				mentioned in clauses 2 and 8 and
				sections 1 and 8 of the Schedule in
				effect cover all the schemes
				governed by the ORSO.

² Under the MPF Schemes (Exemption) Regulation, "ORSO exempted scheme" means an occupational retirement scheme for which the Registrar of ORSO schemes has issued an exemption certificate under the Occupational Retirement Schemes Ordinance (Cap. 426) or an occupational retirement scheme within the meaning of Cap. 426 where the employer of the scheme is the government of a place outside Hong Kong or an agency or undertaking of or by such a government which is not operated for the purpose of gain.

(b) which provides benefits payable on termination of service, death, disability, retirement or winding up of the scheme;

(d) in respect of which application for exemption or application for registration under the Occupational Retirement Schemes Ordinance (Cap. 426) was received by the MPF Schemes Authority (being the Registrar of ORSO schemes under Cap. 426) not later than 15 January 1996.

³ Under the MPF Schemes (Exemption) Regulation, "ORSO registered scheme" means an occupational retirement scheme registered under Cap. 426.

⁴ "relevant ORSO registered scheme" is defined in the MPF Schemes (Exemption) Regulation to mean an ORSO registered scheme-

⁽a) which is governed by a trust;

⁽c) established before or on 15 October 1995; and

Clause 2	Section 2 of the MPF	Proposed definition of	_	In the definition of "company", the two
	Schemes Ordinance	"company"	corporation in the case of the definition of "oversea company"? It would appear from the definition of "oversea company" and the proposed definition of "corporation" that the two terms are mutually exclusive of each other ⁵ . [Marked-up copy (English version): p. 2, 5 & 7]	terms "oversea company" and "corporation" are meant to be mutually exclusive of each other. A CSA will be moved to delete the reference to "oversea company" in clause 2(a)(ii)(b)(i) concerning the definition of "company".
Clause 2	Section 2 of the MPF Schemes Ordinance	Proposed definition of "oversea company" [Marked-up copy (English version): p.5]	 Section 332 of the Companies Ordinance (Cap. 32) provides that Part XI of the Companies Ordinance shall apply to all oversea companies which are companies incorporated outside Hong Kong having a place of business in Hong Kong. No reference is made to "body corporate" in that section. Accordingly, is it appropriate to define "oversea company" in the MPF Schemes Ordinance to mean "a body corporate" to which Part XI of the Companies Ordinance (Cap. 32) applies" when Part XI of Cap. 32 applies to "companies" instead of "body corporate"? 	The Administration agrees that it is more appropriate to follow the wording in the Companies Ordinance and the proposed amendment to the definition of "oversea company" in clause 2(a)(vii) of the Bill is not necessary. A CSA will be moved accordingly.

_

⁵ Under the MPF Schemes Ordinance, "oversea company" means a company to which Part XI of the Companies Ordinance (Cap. 32) applies, i.e. a company incorporated outside Hong Kong which establishes or has a place of business in Hong Kong and is registered under Part XI of the Companies Ordinance. Under the Bill, "corporation" means a body corporate which is incorporated in a place outside Hong Kong and is not an oversea company.

Clauses	Sections 20(12), 21(12)	Power of the MPF	•	If MPFA has already decided	•	The proposed amendments are to
9, 10 and	and $21A(12)$ of the	Schemes Authority		that it is appropriate to amend or		allow the MPFA to change the
11 and	MPF Schemes	(MPFA) to amend		impose conditions, there appears		conditions. But MPFA should
section 2	Ordinance and section	conditions on an		little point in giving an		also give the affected parties an
of the	6 of the MPF Schemes	approved trustee		approved trustee an opportunity		opportunity to make
Schedule	(General) Regulation			to make representations as to		representations. The wording is
to the				why the conditions should not		consistent with the style presently
Bill	[Marked-up copy			be amended or imposed.		adopted in the MPF Schemes
	(English version): p.		•	Would it be better if "has		Ordinance (e.g. Schedule 6)
	18, 21, 25 & 43]			decided" is amended to		[Marked-up copy (English version):
				"considers"? Likewise, should		p. 38]
				"notice of its decision" in the	•	We regard the wording as
				proposed sections concerned be		appropriate and MPFA would
				replaced by "notice of its		proceed with amending the
				intention"?		conditions once it has fulfilled both
						conditions: has decided that it is
						appropriate and has given the
						trustee an opportunity for
						representation. An appeal
						mechanism will also be provided as
						appropriate ⁶ . However, the
						conditions would need to be
						amended/imposed before the
						outcome of any appeal as in some
						cases, there may be an urgent need
						to do so in order to protect scheme
						members' interests.
	1	1				

_

⁶ The Bill proposes that any person aggrieved by a decision of MPFA to amend conditions imposed on an approved trustee may appeal to the Mandatory Provident Fund Schemes Appeal Board constituted under section 35 of the MPF Schemes Ordinance.

Section 17 of the Schedule to the Bill	Section 203(1) of the MPF Schemes (General) Regulation [Marked-up copy (English version): p. 63]	Circumstances in which persons are exempted from operation of the Ordinance	As it is now proposed that a person will be exempt from the Ordinance when the period during which the person is given permission to remain in Hong Kong does not exceed 13 months, should the two references to "12" in section 203(2) be amended to "13" as well?	A CSA will be moved to amend the two references to "12" in section 203(2) of the MPF Schemes (General) Regulation to "13".
Section 8 of the Schedule to the Bill	Section 78(6)(a)(iii), (6)(b)(iii), (6)(c)(ii), 78(7)(b)(ii) and 78(8)(a)(ii) [Blue Bill : p.C752-753, C754-755 and C756-757]	Drafting matters - the Chinese and English texts do not match.	The proposed Chinese text for the reference "the member's minimum benefits to which section 5(1)(b) of Schedule 2 to the Mandatory Provident Fund Schemes (Exemption) Regulation (Cap. 485 sub. leg.) applies" is "但只限於《強制性公積金計劃(豁免)規例》(第485章,附屬法例)附表 2 第 5(1)(b) 條適用的最低強制性公積金利益". The English text, as drafted, does not contain the meaning of "但只限於" appeared in the Chinese text.	Although the English version does not contain the literal equivalent of "但只限於", the qualifier "to which section applies" following "the member's minimum MPF benefits" has the effect of limiting the scope of the benefits to which the provision apply. To facilitate comprehension of the Chinese version, such qualifier is put at the end of the sentence. Conjunctive words are needed to bring home to the readers in a straightforward manner the connection between the qualifier and the benefits to be qualified. We consider that the words "但只限於" have aptly served this purpose without affecting the intended meaning of the provision.

Prepared by
Legal Service Division
Legislative Council Secretariat
11 September 2001