# 立法會 Legislative Council

LC Paper No. CB(3)349/01-02

# Paper for the House Committee meeting on 25 January 2002

## Questions scheduled for the Legislative Council meeting on 30 January 2002

### Questions by:

(1)	Hon Albert CHAN	(Oral reply)
(2)	Hon LEE Cheuk-yan	(Oral reply)
(3)	Hon CHAN Yuen-han (Replacing her previous question)	(Oral reply) (New question)
(4)	Hon Michael MAK	(Oral reply)
(5)	Dr Hon David CHU	(Oral reply)
(6)	Hon CHOY So-yuk	(Oral reply)
(7)	Hon Bernard CHAN	(Written reply)
(8)	Hon LI Fung-ying	(Written reply)
(9)	Dr Hon LO Wing-lok (Replacing his previous question)	(Written reply) (New question)
(10)	Hon LAU Ping-cheung	(Written reply)
(11)	Hon Henry WU	(Written reply)
(12)	Hon CHAN Kwok-keung (Replacing his previous question)	(Written reply) (New question)
(13)	Hon Eric LI	(Written reply)
(14)	Dr Hon TANG Siu-tong	(Written reply)
(15)	Hon Abraham SHEK	(Written reply)
(16)	Hon YEUNG Yiu-chung	(Written reply)
(17)	Hon SIN Chung-kai	(Written reply)
(18)	Ir Dr Hon HO Chung-tai	(Written reply)
(19)	Hon Emily LAU	(Written reply)
(20)	Hon LAU Kong-wah	(Written reply)

註:

NOTE :

# 議員將採用這種語言提出質詢

# Member will ask the question in this language

#### #(3) 陳婉嫻議員 (口頭答覆)

有私營機構在錄得豐厚盈利的情況下仍然大幅裁員,而財政司司長曾呼籲僱主避免裁員,並應考慮其他節約成本的方法。就此,政府可否告知本會:

- (一) 現時哪些國家有法例限制錄得豐厚盈利的公司裁員,以及有關限制的詳情爲何;及
- (二) 除了作出呼籲外,當局還會採取甚麼措施 令這些公司避免裁員,以及會否參考上述 國家的經驗立法限制裁員;若會,詳情爲 何;若否,原因爲何?

#### (3) <u>Hon CHAN Yuen-han</u> (Oral Reply)

Some private enterprises lay off a significant number of staff despite making substantial profits, while the Financial Secretary has called upon employers to refrain from laying off staff and to consider other cost-cutting options. In this connection, will the Government inform this Council:

- (a) of the countries which have legislation that imposes restrictions on the layoff by companies which make substantial profits, and the details of such restrictions; and
- (b) apart from making the above appeal, of the other measures the Administration will adopt to make these companies refrain from laying off staff, and whether it will make reference to the experience of the above countries and impose restrictions on layoff by legislation; if it will, of the details; if not, the reasons for that?

#### #(9) 勞永樂議員 (書面答覆)

關於鼓勵以母乳餵哺嬰兒的工作,政府可否告知本會:

- (一) 自從 1999 年 6 月本會通過關於推廣母乳喂哺的議案以來,衞生署及醫院管理局有何實質跟進工作,以及曾資助多少名醫生和護士接受有關培訓;
- (二) 當局有何具體計劃落實《國際推銷母乳代用品守則》;
- (三) 聯合國兒童權利委員會曾在 1996 年發表報告,建議當局檢討鼓勵以母乳餵哺嬰兒的措施(包括應否容許奶粉銷售商在醫院免費派發奶粉)的成效,當局對此所作的回應爲何;及
- (四) 現時有否中央機制負責統籌有關推廣和支持以母乳餵哺嬰兒的工作,特別是在聯絡各有關機構(例如衞生署、醫院管理局和愛嬰醫院香港協會)和協調他們的有關活動;若否,當局會否考慮成立此中央機制?

#### (9) <u>Dr Hon LO Wing-lok</u> (Written Reply)

Regarding the efforts to encourage breast-feeding, will the Government inform this Council:

- (a) of the substantive follow-up actions taken by the Department of Health ("DH") and the Hospital Authority ("HA") since the passing of a motion in June 1999 by this Council on the promotion of breast-feeding, and the number of medical practitioners and nurses who have received subsidies for the relevant training;
- (b) of the specific plans to implement the International Code of Marketing of Breastmilk Substitutes;
- (c) of its response to the recommendations in a report of the United Nations Committee on the Rights of the Child in 1996 that the Administration should review the effectiveness of its measures to promote breast-feeding, including whether the free distribution of powdered milk in hospitals by milk powder distributors should be allowed; and
- (d) whether there is a central mechanism for coordinating the efforts in promoting and supporting breast-feeding, in particular in the liaison work among the various organizations concerned, such as DH, HA and the Baby-friendly Hospital Initiative Hong Kong Association and the co-ordination of their relevant activities; if not, whether it will consider establishing such a central mechanism?

#### #(12) 陳國強議員 (書面答覆)

據報,政府於去年 4 月委聘顧問進行一項爲期 6 個月的研究,以協助政府檢討建築署的架構及管理,以及其設計、招標及監工等運作模式和流程;此外,當局宣布會把大部份政府建築物的設計、施工及監管等工作外判。就此,政府可否告知本會:

- (一) 上述顧問研究所提出的建議爲何;
- (二) 建築署的工程設計工作現時的外判百分比 與新安排下的目標百分比如何比較;
- (三) 建築署在增加外判後,各工作類別(包括: 管理、設計、招標、地盤監督及文書)的人 手需求與現有人手的如何比較;及
- (四) 建築署外判工作的程序會否改變?

#### (12) <u>Hon CHAN Kwok-keung</u> (Written Reply)

It has been reported that the Government commissioned a six-month consultancy study in April last year to assist it in reviewing the organisation and management of the Architectural Services Department ("ASD"), as well as its mode of operation and procedures in design, tendering and works supervision, and the Government has announced that it will contract out the design, construction and supervision duties for most Government buildings. In this connection, will the Government inform this Council:

- (a) of the proposals made by the above consultancy study;
- (b) how the current percentage of ASD's project design work which has been contracted out compares with the target percentage under the new arrangement;
- (c) how ASD's staffing needs for various types of works, including management, design, tendering, site supervision and clerical duties compare to those of the existing establishment with increases in contracting out works; and
- (d) whether ASD's procedure for contracting out will be changed?