Bills Committee on Stamp Duty (Amendment) Bill 2002 Summary of Submissions received from Organizations (As at 14 March 2003)

| Issues | Organization | Views |
|-------------------------------------|--|---|
| Proposed Electronic Stamping System | Estate Agents Authority (EAA) Property Agencies Association (PAA) The Real Estate Developers Association of Hong Kong (REDA) Hong Kong Chamber of Professional Property Consultants Limited (HKCPPC) | original documents will be reduced |
| | | ➤ EAA points out that the proposed electronic stamping system has to be user-friendly in order that the various stamping procedure can be carried out effectively and smoothly. |
| | | EAA suggests that due consideration should be given to issues such as security safeguards as well as transaction costs payable by users. |
| | | > PAA believes that the problem of false |

| | | A | information provided by users will not become prevalent even though users are allowed to submit the information on their own because this is an unlawful act involving criminal liability. PAA suggests to include self-computation features in the proposed electronic stamping system to assist users in the complicated computation of stamp duty. HKCPPC agrees to consult its members on the new system after it is introduced. |
|---|--|-------------|--|
| Persons interested in Certificate of Stamping | The Real Estate Developers Association of Hong Kong (REDA) | | REDA considers that the Land Registry and a vendor would be interested in ensuring that an instrument has been duly stamped or endorsed. |
| Power of the Collector of Stamp Revenue (the Collector) | Property Agencies Association (PAA) | > | PAA asks whether the Collector should still have the power to request an applicant to present the original documents after the stamp certificate has been issued and whether there is a definitive period in which this power may be exercised by the Collector. |
| Registration of instrument | The Real Estate Developers Association of Hong Kong (REDA) | > | REDA considers it unnecessary to stamp or endorse an instrument before it is submitted for registration in the Land |

| | | Registry and that information on stamped or endorsed instruments should be provided on-line for verification of whether an instrument has been stamped or not. |
|--|--|---|
| | | REDA suggests that a party should be allowed to submit an instrument for registration under the following circumstances: |
| | | i. when an instrument has been submitted for registration within one month of execution, if stamp duty is paid or the instrument is submitted for endorsement before the expiration of the 30-day period of stamping; or |
| | | ii. when an instrument has been submitted for registration after the one-month period, if stamp duty is paid or the instrument is submitted for endorsement and penalty is paid not later than the date when the instrument is submitted for registration |
| Payment of stamp duty and proof of title | The Real Estate Developers Association of Hong Kong (REDA) | REDA suggests that to enable a vendor to show payment of stamp duty and good title, the computer records of the Land Registry should indicate whether an |

| | instrument has been stamped or endorsed, together with a Stamp Office reference. Such information should be made available for public search. |
|--|--|
| | REDA suggests that when electronic stamping certificates are not yet made available, upon enquiries by the public, information should be provided to confirm whether a certificate has been issued for the relevant instrument. This service may be provided free of charge or subject to a small payment. |

Council Business Division 1 Legislative Council Secretariat 17 March 2003