

**立法會**  
***Legislative Council***

LC Paper No. CB(1)2416/02-03

(These minutes have been seen  
by the Administration)

Ref : CB1/BC/3/02

**Bills Committee on Land Titles Bill**

**Minutes of eleventh meeting held on  
Thursday, 31 July 2003, at 2:30 pm  
in Conference Room A of the Legislative Council Building**

**Members present** : Hon Margaret NG (Chairman)  
Hon Miriam LAU Kin-yee, JP  
Hon TAM Yiu-chung, GBS, JP  
Hon IP Kwok-him, JP  
Hon LAU Ping-cheung  
Hon Audrey EU Yuet-mee, SC, JP

**Members absent** : Hon Albert HO Chun-yan (Deputy Chairman)  
Hon Andrew WONG Wang-fat, JP  
Dr Hon TANG Siu-tong, JP  
Hon Abraham SHEK Lai-him, JP  
Hon Albert CHAN Wai-yip  
Hon WONG Sing-chi

**Public officers  
attending** : Mr Kim SALKELD  
Land Registrar  
  
Miss CHEUNG Siu-hing  
Deputy Secretary for Housing, Planning and Lands  
(Planning and Lands)2

Ms Monica LO  
Senior Solicitor/Title Registration  
Land Registry

Mr M K TAM  
Senior Solicitor  
Land Registry

Mrs Alice LEE  
Registry Manager  
Land Registry

Miss Miranda F H NG  
Senior Assistant Law Draftsman  
Department of Justice

Ms Rayne CHAI  
Government Counsel  
Department of Justice

Mr Denis LI  
Assistant Secretary (Buildings)3  
Housing, Planning and Lands Bureau

**Clerk in attendance :** Miss Salumi CHAN  
Chief Assistant Secretary (1)5

**Staff in attendance :** Mr KAU Kin-wah  
Assistant Legal Adviser 6

Ms Sarah YUEN  
Senior Assistant Secretary (1)6

---

**I. Confirmation of minutes of meeting**

(LC Paper No. CB(1)2303/02-03

— Minutes of tenth meeting held  
on 17 July 2003)

The minutes of the meeting held on 17 July 2003 were confirmed.

- II. Meeting with the Administration**  
(LC Paper No. CB(1)2305/02-03(01))
- “Follow-up to the tenth meeting on 17 July 2003” prepared by the Legislative Council Secretariat
  - LC Paper No. CB(1)2305/02-03(02)
    - Supplementary paper provided by the Administration on “Conversion and Certificates of Good Title”
  - LC Paper No. CB(1)2305/02-03(03)
    - Supplementary paper provided by the Administration on “Comparison between Proposed Land Titles Registration System for Hong Kong and other Jurisdictions”
  - LC Paper No. CB(1)2305/02-03(04)
    - Supplementary paper provided by the Administration on “Mistakes, Rectification and Indemnity”
  - LC Paper No. CB(1)2305/02-03(05)
    - Third paper provided by the Administration on “Indemnity”
  - LC Paper No. CB(1)2305/02-03(06)
    - Submission dated 25 July 2003 from the Hong Kong Bar Association on the Administration’s paper on “The Constitutionality of the Indemnity Cap”
  - LC Paper No. CB(1)2207/02-03(09)
    - Submission from The Real Estate Developers Association of Hong Kong on the Administration’s paper on “The Constitutionality of the Indemnity Cap”
  - LC Paper No. CB(1)2305/02-03(07)
    - Paper provided by the Administration on “Doctrine of Notice”

- |                                  |  |
|----------------------------------|--|
| LC Paper No. LS141/02-03         | — Paper prepared by Assistant Legal Adviser on “The Doctrine of Notice and the Land Titles Bill”                     |
| LC Paper No. CB(1)2305/02-03(08) | — Paper provided by the Administration on “Registration of Deeds of Mutual Covenant”                                 |
| LC Paper No. CB(1)2305/02-03(09) | — Paper provided by the Administration on “Response to Submissions to the Bills Committee”                           |
| LC Paper No. CB(1)2305/02-03(10) | — Paper provided by the Administration on “Response to drafting issues and issues raised by Assistant Legal Adviser” |
| LC Paper No. CB(3)210/02-03      | — The Bill   |
| LC Paper No. CB(1)2305/02-03(11) | — “Proposed meeting schedule from September 2003 to February 2004” prepared by the Legislative Council Secretariat)  |

2. The Bills Committee deliberated (Index of proceedings attached at **Appendix**).

Follow-up actions to be taken by the Administration

Admin 3. At the request of the Bills Committee, the Administration agreed to take the following actions -

Matter arising from the meeting on 17 July 2003

- (a) In the paper to address the issues raised by the Assistant Legal Adviser at the meeting on 17 July 2003 on the Indemnity Fund (IF) and the Land Registry Trading Fund (LRTF), the Administration was requested to describe the circumstances under which the LRTF would reimburse the IF for indemnity payments attributable to fraud of staff of the Land Registry.

Certificate of good title and solicitors' liability

- (b) To liaise with the Law Society of Hong Kong (Law Soc) on the arrangements to be made to address solicitors' concerns about the issue of the certificate of good title, in particular the extent of solicitors'

liability in the issue of such a certificate under the provisions in clause 96(1) and 96(2). The Administration was requested to report on the progress made in this regard to the Bills Committee in due course.

- (c) To clarify the Administration's policy intent on solicitors' liability, i.e. whether a solicitor would be subject to criminal liability if he negligently issued, or caused the issue of, a certificate of good title. The Administration was requested to consider whether clause 96(1) and 96(2) reflected the policy intent, and to clarify the meaning of "without lawful authority" in clause 96(2).
- (d) According to paragraph 13 of the paper on "Comparison between Proposed Land Titles Registration System for Hong Kong and other Jurisdictions" (LC Paper No. CB(1)2305/02-03(03)), the English Land Registry usually relied upon the submissions made by the solicitor for the applicant and warned that any error in the application might bar indemnity in case of loss as well as opening the solicitor to action for negligence. The Administration was requested to clarify whether "error" referred to error of law or erroneous information included in the submissions made by the solicitor, and whether the solicitor would be held responsible for any negligent error in the submissions to the extent that indemnity might be barred in case of loss.

#### Proposed indemnity scheme

- (e) According to Annex C to the paper on "Indemnity Scheme: Levy Rates and Miscellaneous Matters" (LC Paper No. CB(1)2207/02-03(06)), under the English title registration system, indemnity was payable for loss caused by an error in the register or the rectification of an error in the register, and there was no upper limit on each claim for indemnity. In other words, indemnity without an upper limit was payable to an owner who suffered the loss, even when the error was caused by fraud. The Administration was requested to make reference to the practice under the English system, and reconsider the application of the nemo dat rule under the proposed land title registration system (LTRS) in Hong Kong, taking into account the criticism that the Bill would amount to expropriation of private property rights.
- (f) To elaborate under what circumstances the Administration would seek an interpretation of Articles 6 and 105 of the Basic Law from the Standing Committee of the National People's Congress under the following scenario mentioned in paragraph 2 of the paper on "Indemnity" (LC Paper No. CB(1)2305/02-03(05)):
  - After enactment of the Bill, a person who lost ownership of his \$10 billion land as a result of fraud by a third party was entitled to indemnity up to \$30 million. The person then challenged the cap on the indemnity in court, and the cap was subsequently ruled to be unconstitutional by the court.

### Doctrine of notice

- (g) According to paragraph 9 of the paper on “Doctrine of Notice” (LC Paper No. CB(1)2305/02-03(07)), the Administration’s policy intent was to abolish the doctrine of notice under the Bill. The Administration was requested to delete from the relevant clauses (e.g. clause 25) all references to the doctrine of notice so as to reflect its policy intent.
- (h) According to paragraph 6 of the paper on “Doctrine of Notice” (LC Paper No. CB(1)2305/02-03(07)), the Bill gave the holders or claimants of interests in land or property extensive means to have their interest or claim recorded on the register, e.g. to apply for registration of cautions under clause 70, to apply for an inhibition under clause 74, or to apply for a restriction under clause 77. The Administration was requested to provide a paper to cover the following points:
- (i) To protect the interests of an owner of a property held on trust where the owner was a minor or was away from Hong Kong, a mechanism should be put in place to ensure the registration of the relevant matters (e.g. cautions) when the title of the property concerned was registered and to provide sanctions for failure to register the relevant matters;
  - (ii) To describe how various interests would be protected under the LTRS and highlight the relevant provisions in the Bill, and to provide a comparison with the situation under the existing deeds registration system;
  - (iii) To amend the phrase “a trustee in that capacity” in clause 30 to improve its clarity; and
  - (iv) To explain about the operation mechanism in respect of clause 77, including whether an applicant for a restriction needed to prove himself as “a person interested in the land, charge or lease” (clause 77(1)(a)), the criteria for the Land Registrar to exercise his power under clause 77, whether an appeal channel was available for lodging appeal against the Land Registrar’s decision, etc.

### Deeds of mutual covenant

- (i) To examine whether the present drafting of clause 51 could achieve the Administration’s policy intent of not changing the current law on the validity and enforceability of covenants in a deed of mutual covenant (DMC); if not, to introduce appropriate amendments. In this connection, the Administration was requested to take the following actions:
- (i) To incorporate the spirit of the wording of the present assignment into the assignment under the LTRS whereby the purchasers would be subject to and with the benefits of all covenants in the DMC; and
  - (ii) To make a consequential amendment to section 41(9) of the Conveyancing and Property Ordinance (CPO) (Cap. 219) to the

effect that it would apply to covenants in instruments registered under the LTRS as well as to those registered under the existing deeds registration system.

Clause 4

- (j) To arrange for a mock title registration before the Bills Committee completed scrutiny of the Bill, highlighting the types of interests and documents that needed to be registered under the LTRS.

Clause 5

- (k) To consider making an appropriate amendment to the Bill to make it clear that the Land Registry mentioned in clause 5 and other clauses in the Bill referred to the existing Land Registry established under the Land Registration Ordinance (LRO) (Cap. 128).

Clause 14

- (l) To provide a paper to explain the provisions in clause 14(1)(b) and the need for registration of the equitable interest in the land involved, and to advise the Bills Committee of the number of pieces of the land involved and their present status.

Clause 21

- (m) To improve the drafting of clause 21(2) to make it clear that the documents and interests stated in the subclause would be binding on the purchaser after registration.

Clause 22

- (n) Given that the effect of registration was set out in clause 21, the Administration was requested to examine the merits and demerits of setting out the effect of registration of long term lease in a separate clause (i.e. clause 22).

Clause 33

- (o) To explain whether the manner in determining the priority of registered matters as provided for in clause 33 was different from the existing practice, and to examine the implications of using the order of presentation of applications as the basis for determining the priority of registered matters.

Clause 34

- (p) To check whether the wording of clause 34 as presently drafted was the same as that of the relevant provision in LRO so as to ensure that the priority of a charging order would be retained upon its re-registration.

Other issues

- (q) To consider adding schedules to the Bill to set out clearly the procedures, practices and forms of documents to be submitted for registration under the LTRS. The Administration was suggested to make reference to the schedules to CPO in this regard.
- (r) To consider how title deeds of the properties converted to the LTRS and relevant documents should be disposed of.

Follow-up action to be taken by the Assistant Legal Adviser

- ALA6 4. The Chairman directed Assistant Legal Adviser 6 (ALA6) to examine whether the present drafting of the Bill reflected the Administration's policy intent that notwithstanding the immunity provided for in clause 8(2), the Government would be liable in damages for loss by reason of an entry in or omission from the Title Register arising from mistakes or omissions on the part of staff of the Land Registry.

Follow-up action to be taken by the Clerk

- Clerk 5. In examining the Bill, the Bills Committee noted ALA6's advice that after the implementation of the LTRS, solicitors' lien in respect of title deeds would no longer be effective. The Chairman directed the Clerk to draw the attention of Law Soc and the Hong Kong Bar Association to this point and invite their comments, if any.

Meeting schedule from September 2003 to February 2004

6. Members endorsed the meeting schedule of the Bills Committee from September 2003 to February 2004. The next meeting would be held on Friday, 19 September 2003 at 10:45 am.

**II. Any other business**

7. There being no other business, the meeting ended at 6:40 pm.

Council Business Division 1  
Legislative Council Secretariat  
8 September 2003

## **Appendix**

**Proceedings of the eleventh meeting of the  
Bills Committee on Land Titles Bill  
on Thursday, 31 July 2003, at 2:30 pm  
in Conference Room A of the Legislative Council Building**

<b>Time marker</b>	<b>Speaker</b>	<b>Subject(s)</b>	<b>Action Required</b>
<b>Agenda Item I — Confirmation of minutes of meeting</b>			
000000-000215	Chairman	Confirmation of minutes of the meeting held on 17 July 2003	
000216-000322	Chairman Administration	Circumstances under which the Land Registry Trading Fund would reimburse the Indemnity Fund for indemnity payments attributable to fraud of staff of the Land Registry	Administration to provide the required information under paragraph 3(a) of the minutes
<b>Agenda Item II — Meeting with the Administration</b>			
<i><b>Part A: Matters arising from previous meetings</b></i>			
000323-000542	Chairman Administration	Inviting members' views on the supplementary paper on "Conversion and Certificates of Good Title" (LC Paper No. CB(1)2305/02-03(02))	
000543-000637	Chairman Administration	Briefing by the Administration on the supplementary paper on "Comparison between Proposed Land Titles Registration System for Hong Kong and other Jurisdictions" (LC Paper No. CB(1)2305/02-03(03))	

Time marker	Speaker	Subject(s)	Action Required
000638-000912	Chairman Administration	Major difference between the land title registration system (LTRS) and the English title registration system, namely, that the Chief Land Registrar in England examined the title to the land whereas in Hong Kong, the certificate of good title issued by solicitors would be relied upon by the Land Registrar as evidence of good title (paragraphs 7 and 13 of LC Paper No. CB(1)2305/02-03(03))	
000913-003500	Chairman Mr TAM Yiu-chung Ms Miriam LAU Assistant Legal Adviser 6 Administration	<p>(a) Solicitors' concerns about the issue of the certificate of good title under the LTRS and how such concerns could be addressed</p> <p>(b) Whether solicitors in other jurisdictions were required to issue certificates of good title under their title registration systems and the justifications for introducing such a requirement for solicitors under the LTRS in Hong Kong</p> <p>(c) Extent of solicitors' liability under the English title registration system (paragraph 13 of LC Paper No. CB(1)2305/02-03(03))</p>	<p>Administration to provide the required information under paragraph 3(b) of the minutes</p> <p>Administration to provide the required information under paragraph 3(d) of the minutes</p>

<b>Time marker</b>	<b>Speaker</b>	<b>Subject(s)</b>	<b>Action Required</b>
		(d) Extent of solicitors' liability under the LTRS in Hong Kong, and whether clause 96(1) and 96(2) reflected the Administration's policy intent in this regard (clauses 12(3), 96(1)(g) and 96(2))	Administration to provide the required information under paragraph 3(c) of the minutes
003501-004345	Chairman Administration	Briefing by the Administration on the supplementary paper on "Mistakes, Rectification and Indemnity" (LC Paper No. CB(1)2305/02-03(04))	
004346-005852	Chairman Ms Miriam LAU Mr LAU Ping-cheung Assistant Legal Adviser 6 Administration	(a) Concern that breach of trust might go unnoticed should the Land Registry adopt the approach of not checking unregistered terms or restrictions of a trust when processing registration of transfer, and not entering in the Title Register the particulars of a trust such as rights thereunder and the Administration's clarification that a restriction could be registered in respect of any limitations on the trustee's power of sale (clause 69(1))  (b) Administration's policy intent that the doctrine of notice would be abolished under the LTRS	

<b>Time marker</b>	<b>Speaker</b>	<b>Subject(s)</b>	<b>Action Required</b>
		(c) Clarification that as at present, only legal professionals would be allowed to process transfer of titles under the LTRS (clause 2(2)(c)(ii))	
005853-010032	Chairman Administration	Application of the nemo dat rule under the English title registration system whereby a title owner who suffered loss caused by an error in the register or the rectification of an error in the register was entitled to compensation even when the error was caused by fraud (Annex C to LC Paper No. CB(1)2207/02-03(06))	Administration to provide the required information under paragraph 3(e) of the minutes
010033-010207	Chairman Administration	Briefing by the Administration on the “Flow Chart for Seeking Rectification and Claiming Indemnity” (Annex to LC Paper No. CB(1)2305/02-03(04))	
010208-010735	Chairman	Inviting members’ views on the Administration’s third paper on “Indemnity” (LC Paper No. CB(1)2305/02-03(05))	

Time marker	Speaker	Subject(s)	Action Required
010736-012021	Chairman Mr LAU Ping-cheung Ms Miriam LAU Administration	<p>(a) Likelihood of challenge of the cap on the indemnity in court after enactment of the Bill by a person who lost ownership of his \$10 billion land as a result of fraud by a third party but was only entitled to indemnity up to \$30 million (paragraphs 2 and 4 of LC Paper No. CB(1)2305/02-03(05))</p> <p>(b) Under what circumstances the Administration would seek an interpretation of Articles 6 and 105 of the Basic Law from the Standing Committee of the National People's Congress if the cap on the indemnity was challenged and subsequently ruled to be unconstitutional by the court (paragraph 7 of LC Paper No. CB(1)2305/02-03(05))</p>	Administration to provide the required information under paragraph 3(f) of the minutes
012022-012045	Chairman	Reference to the submission dated 25 July 2003 from the Hong Kong Bar Association on the Administration's paper on "The Constitutionality of the Indemnity Cap" (LC Paper No. CB(1)2305/02-03(06))	
012046-013458	Administration	Briefing by the Administration on the paper on "Doctrine of Notice" (LC Paper No. CB(1)2305/02-03(07))	

<b>Time marker</b>	<b>Speaker</b>	<b>Subject(s)</b>	<b>Action Required</b>
013459-013950	Chairman Assistant Legal Adviser 6 Administration	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) Whether the Administration's policy intent that the doctrine of notice would be abolished under the Bill was clearly reflected in the Bill</li> <li>(b) Whether effect of the registration of interests, such as consent cautions and non-consent cautions, on title was clear</li> <li>(c) Definition of "purchaser for value" and whether recognition of unregistered interests should be applicable only in the case of a purchaser for value</li> </ul>	Administration to provide the required information under paragraph 3(g) of the minutes
013951-021908	Chairman Ms Miriam LAU Mr IP Kwok-him Mr TAM Yiu-chung Assistant Legal Adviser 6 Administration	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) Whether clause 30 would apply to the situation in the case of WONG Chim-ying v. CHENG Kam-wing</li> <li>(b) Concerns that in processing an application for registration of transfer, the Land Registry would pay no heed to any unregistered interests against a purchaser for value, or any restrictions in a trust document to act for the title owner concerned (clauses 30, 32, 61, 69(2) and 77(1)(c))</li> </ul>	Administration to provide the required information under paragraph 3(h)(i) and (iii) of the minutes

Time marker	Speaker	Subject(s)	Action Required
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(c) How recurrence of the case of WONG Chim-ying v. CHENG Kam-wing could be prevented by registration of relevant interests under the LTRS (clauses 70(3) and 77)</li> <li>(d) How various interests would be protected under the LTRS and the need to alert the public to the requirement to register their interests in properties after enactment of the Bill</li> <li>(e) Operation mechanism in respect of clause 77</li> <li>(f) Chinese translation of “doctrine of notice” (“知情原則” or “知悉原則”)</li> </ul>	<p>Administration to provide the required information under paragraph 3(h)(ii) of the minutes</p> <p>Administration to provide the required information under paragraph 3(h)(iv) of the minutes</p>
021909-022513	Administration	Briefing by the Administration on the paper on “Registration of Deeds of Mutual Covenant” (LC Paper No. CB(1)2305/02-03(08))	

Time marker	Speaker	Subject(s)	Action Required
022514-024716	Chairman Ms Miriam LAU Mr IP Kwok-him Assistant Legal Adviser 6 Administration	<p>(a) Concern that the present drafting of clause 51 could not achieve the Administration's policy intent of not changing the current law on the validity and enforceability of covenants in a deed of mutual covenant (DMC) (paragraphs 4 and 5 of LC Paper No. CB(1)2305/02-03(08))</p> <p>(b) Concern about the difficulty in differentiating between the covenants in DMC that would not benefit and affect the land and those that would, and concern about the solicitor's liability for failure to register the former type of covenants</p> <p>(c) Concern that when the doctrine of notice was abolished under the Bill, purchasers would not be subject to unregistered covenants in DMC which would not benefit and affect the land</p> <p>(d) Need to incorporate into the LTRS the spirit of the wording of the present assignment whereby the purchasers would be subject to and with the benefits of all covenants in DMC</p>	<p>Administration to provide the required information under paragraph 3(i) of the minutes</p> <p>Administration to provide the required information under paragraph 3(i)(i) of the minutes</p>

Time marker	Speaker	Subject(s)	Action Required
		(e) Need to amend section 41(9) of the Conveyancing and Property Ordinance (CPO) (Cap. 219) to the effect that it would apply to covenants in instruments registered under the LTRS as well as to those registered under the existing deeds registration system (paragraphs 4 and 9 of LC Paper No. CB(1)2305/02-03(08) and paragraph 94 of Schedule 2 )	Administration to provide the required information under paragraph 3(i)(ii) of the minutes
024717-024912	Chairman Assistant Legal Adviser 6	Reference to the paper on “Response to drafting issues and issues raised by Assistant Legal Adviser” (LC Paper No. CB(1)2305/02-03(10))	
024913-025025	Chairman Administration	Reference to the paper on “Response to Submissions to the Bills Committee” (LC Paper No. CB(1)2305/02-03(09))	
<b><i>Part B: Examination of the relevant parts of the Bill</i></b>			
025026-030002	Chairman Assistant Legal Adviser 6 Administration	<i>Part 1: Preliminary — Clauses 1 to 4</i>  Difficulty in understanding what interests and documents should be registered under the LTRS	Administration to take the follow-up action under paragraph 3(j) of the minutes

Time marker	Speaker	Subject(s)	Action Required
030003-032521	Chairman Mr IP Kwok-him Assistant Legal Adviser 6 Administration	<i>Part 2: Organization and Administration — Clauses 5 to 20</i>  (a) Administration's policy intent that notwithstanding the immunity provided for in clause 8(2), the Government would be liable in damages for loss by reason of an entry in or omission from the Title Register arising from mistakes or omissions on the part of staff of the Land Registry (clauses 8(2), 81, 82(1)(a), 82(1)(b) and 83)  (b) Need to clarify that the Land Registry mentioned in clause 5 and other clauses in the Bill referred to the existing Land Registry established under the Land Registration Ordinance (Cap. 128)  (c) Query of the need to allow registration of equitable interests in land held under an agreement for a Government lease in respect of which a condition precedent had not been complied with or had not been deemed to have been complied with under section 14 of the CPO (clause 14(1)(b))	ALA6 to take the follow-up action under paragraph 4 of the minutes  Administration to provide the required information under paragraph 3(k) of the minutes  Administration to provide the required information under paragraph 3(l) of the minutes

Time marker	Speaker	Subject(s)	Action Required
032522-033409	Chairman Assistant Legal Adviser 6 Administration	<i>Part 3: Effect of Registration, Etc. — Clauses 21 to 25</i>  (a) Need to improve the drafting of clause 21(2) to make it clear that the documents and interests stated in the subclause would be binding on the purchaser after registration  (b) Merits and demerits of setting out the effect of registration of long term lease in clause 22	Administration to provide the required information under paragraph 3(m) of the minutes  Administration to provide the required information under paragraph 3(n) of the minutes
033410-033520	Chairman Administration	<i>Part 4: Certificates and Searches — Clauses 26 to 28</i>	
033521-040131	Chairman Ms Miriam LAU Assistant Legal Adviser 6 Administration	<i>Part 5: Dispositions — Clauses 29 to 57</i>  (a) Need to draw the Bar Association and the Law Society's attention to the point that after the implementation of the LTRS, solicitors' lien in respect of title deeds would no longer be effective  (b) Manner in determining the priority of registered matters (clause 33)	Clerk to take the follow-up action under paragraph 5 of the minutes  Administration to provide the required information under paragraph 3(o) of the minutes

<b>Time marker</b>	<b>Speaker</b>	<b>Subject(s)</b>	<b>Action Required</b>
		<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(c) Concern about whether the priority of a charging order would be retained upon its re-registration (clause 34)</li> <li>(d) Need to clarify whether a transfer of registered land would be effected upon the execution of the relevant assignment or upon the registration of the application for registration (clause 41)</li> <li>(e) Need to add schedules to the Bill to set out clearly the procedures, practices and forms of documents to be submitted for registration under the LTRS</li> <li>(f) How title deeds of the properties converted to the LTRS and relevant documents should be disposed of</li> <li>(g) Types of leases that should be registered (clause 46)</li> </ul>	<p>Administration to provide the required information under paragraph 3(p) of the minutes</p> <p>Administration to provide the required information under paragraph 3(q) of the minutes</p> <p>Administration to provide the required information under paragraph 3(r) of the minutes</p>

Time marker	Speaker	Subject(s)	Action Required
<b><i>Part C: Way forward</i></b>			
040132-040340	Chairman Mr TAM Yiu-chung Ms Miriam LAU Clerk	Meeting schedule from September 2003 to February 2004	

Council Business Division 1  
Legislative Council Secretariat  
8 September 2003