立法會 Legislative Council

LC Paper No. CB(1)2359/03-04 (These minutes have been seen by the Administration)

Ref: CB1/BC/12/02/2

Bills Committee on Town Planning (Amendment) Bill 2003

Minutes of twenty-first meeting held on Monday, 31 May 2004, at 10:45 am in Conference Room A of the Legislative Council Building

Members present: Hon James TO Kun-sun (Chairman)

Hon Andrew WONG Wang-fat, JP Hon Emily LAU Wai-hing, JP Dr Hon TANG Siu-tong, JP Hon Abraham SHEK Lai-him, JP Hon Albert CHAN Wai-yip Hon WONG Sing-chi

Hon Audrey EU Yuet-mee, SC, JP

Members absent : Hon Albert HO Chun-yan

Hon LAU Wong-fat, GBS, JP

Hon IP Kwok-him, JP Hon LAU Ping-cheung

Public officers attending

: Miss Christine CHOW

Principal Assistant Secretary for Housing, Planning

and Lands (Planning and Lands)2

Mr Jimmy LEUNG

Assistant Director of Planning (Technical Services)

Ms Sherman CHAN

Senior Assistant Law Draftsman

Department of Justice

Ms Vicki LEE Yuet-ming Senior Government Counsel Department of Justice

Ms Betty HO Assistant Secretary for Housing, Planning and Lands (Planning and Lands) (Planning)3

Ms Jacinta WOO Senior Town Planner/Ordinance Review Planning Department

Miss CHEUK Hau-kwan, Elsa Senior Town Planner/Prosecution 2 Planning Department

Clerk in attendance: Miss Odelia LEUNG

Chief Council Secretary (1)4

Staff in attendance: Mr Arthur CHEUNG

Senior Assistant Legal Adviser 2

Mr S C TSANG

Senior Council Secretary (1)7

Action

I. Confirmation of minutes

(LC Paper No. CB(1)1967/03-04 -- Minutes of eighteenth meeting on 13 May 2004)

The minutes of the eighteenth meeting held on 13 May 2004 were confirmed.

II. Meeting with the Administration

(LC Paper No. CB(1)1965/03-04(01) -- List of follow-up actions arising from discussion at the meeting on 25 May 2004

LC Paper No. CB(1)1914/03-04(03) -- Draft Committee Stage

Amendments (CSAs) to Clauses 19 to 28 of the Bill proposed by the

Administration

LC Paper No. CB(1)1914/03-04(04) -- Marked-up copy of the draft

CSAs to Clauses 19 to 28 of the Bill prepared by the Legal Service Division LC Paper No. CB(1)1725/03-04(01) -- Draft CSAs to Clauses 13 to 18 of the Bill proposed by the Administration LC Paper No. CB(1)1960/03-04(01) -- Revised draft CSAs to Clause 14 of the Bill proposed by the Administration LC Paper No. CB(1)1781/03-04(02) -- Marked-up copy of the draft CSAs to Clauses 13 to 18 of the Bill prepared by the Legal Service Division LC Paper No. CB(1)1499/03-04(01) -- Draft CSAs to Clauses 1 to 12 of the Bill proposed by the Administration LC Paper No. CB(1)1689/03-04(01) -- Revised draft CSAs to Clause 5 of the Bill proposed by the Administration LC Paper No. CB(1)1541/03-04(01) -- Marked-up copy of the draft CSAs to Clauses 1 to 12 of the Bill prepared by the Legal Service Division LC Paper No. CB(3)626/02-03 -- The Town **Planning** (Amendment) Bill 2003)

- 2. The Bills Committee deliberated (Index of proceedings attached at **Appendix**).
- 3. <u>Members</u> requested the Administration to take the following actions:

Clause 20 section 23

- (a) to provide documents to show the legislative intent of the 1991 Town Planning Amendment Ordinance that it was not the burden of the prosecution to prove beyond reasonable doubt that the relevant matters in question constituted an unauthorized development (UD);
- (b) to confirm at the Second Reading debate on the Bill the existing practice of conducting freezing surveys before preparation of development permission area plans/outline zoning plans;
- (c) to provide a paper to explain the procedures adopted by the Planning Authority in determining the issue of a notice concerning discontinuance of UD under subsection (1); and

Action

(d) to review at the Stage Two amendment subsection (2)(c). The Chairman was of the view that impracticality or non-economy to reinstate the land should not be the reason for issue of a notice concerning discontinuance of UD.

III. Any other business

- 4. <u>Members</u> agreed that the next meeting on **Friday**, 4 **June 2004**, at 10:45 am should last until 2:00 pm.
- 5. The meeting ended at 12:40 pm.

Council Business Division 1
Legislative Council Secretariat
12 July 2004

Proceedings of the twenty-first meeting of the Bills Committee on Town Planning (Amendment) Bill 2003 on Monday, 31 May 2004, at 10:45 am in Conference Room A of the Legislative Council Building

Time marker	Speaker	Subject(s)	Action Required
000000 - 000019	Chairman	Confirmation of minutes of the eighteenth meeting held on 13 May 2004 (LC Paper No. CB(1)1967/03-04)	- 1
000020 - 001147	Chairman Administration	Members' attention drawn to information papers provided by the Administration on:	
		(a) Enforcement of Planning and Land Matters in the Rural New Territories (LC Paper No. CB(1)2016/03-04(01))	
		(b) Justifications for the Proposed New Section 23(9A) on Elements of Proof of the Prosecution (LC Paper No. CB(1)1914/03-04(02))	
001148 - 001503	Administration	Clause 20 (section 23(9A))	
		Rationale for proposed section 23(9A) and difficulties encountered by the prosecution in proving the constitution or existence of unauthorized developments (UDs)	
001504 - 002105	Ms Audrey EU Administration	Clarification that by introducing the proposed section 23(9A), the prosecution would not need to prove beyond reasonable doubt the existence of an UD for the offence under section 23(6)	
002106 002214	Ma Andrew WONG	Administration's advice that the proposed section 23(9A) did not arise from any court decision but from interpretation of existing section 23	The Administration
002106 - 003214	Mr Andrew WONG	Enquiry on the legislative intent of the	The Administration

Time marker	Speaker	Subject(s)	Action Required
	Administration Chairman	1991 Town Planning Amendment Ordinance that it was not the burden of the prosecution to prove beyond reasonable doubt that the relevant matters in question constituted an UD	to take action
003215 - 010304	Mr Albert CHAN Administration Dr TANG Siu-tong Ms Audrey EU Chairman	Members' view that it was necessary to conduct freezing surveys before preparation of development permission area / outlining zone plans because evidence such as aerial photos might not show complete information on "existing uses"	to take action
		Administration's confirmation that the defendant could have access to relevant information including records of freezing surveys and aerial photos in respect of an UD	
010305 - 010951	Chairman Administration	Clause-by-clause examination of the Bill and examination of Committee Stage Amendments (CSAs) proposed by the Administration (LC Paper No. CB(1)1914/03-04(04))	
		Clause 19 (section 22)	
		Subsection (8) Administration's advice that a fine at level 6 was \$100,000	
		Clause 20 (section 23)	
		Subsection (1)	
		The Chairman's view that the expression "in the opinion of the (Planning) Authority" was too broad	
		Administration's explanation that in forming an opinion as to whether there was an UD, the Planning Authority might have regard to the	

Time marker	Speaker	Subject(s)	Action
		documents and materials set out in subsection (11)	Required
		Administration's advice that notice under subsection (1) in respect of enforcement against an UD had to be endorsed at a meeting chaired by an Assistant Director or officials of higher ranks	to take action under paragraph
010952 - 013129	Mr Andrew WONG Chairman Administration Ms Emily LAU	Coordination of enforcement actions by different departments against offences under various ordinances A member considered it unfair that the same matter be subject to prosecution under different ordinances	
		Administration's advice that communication and co-ordination amongst departments would be done but enforcement authorities to decide whether to take action under the ambits of different ordinances	
013130 - 013814	Chairman Administration	Clause 20 (section 23) Subsection (2)	
		The Chairman's view that impracticality or non-economy to reinstate the land should not be the reason for issue of a notice concerning discontinuance of UD	under paragraph
		Further revised draft CSAs to Clause 14 of the Bill proposed by the Administration (LC Paper No. CB(1)2016/03-04(03)). A member had reservation on the wording "sufficient" used in the proposed section 14(3)(a). Administration's explanation that the scope of "sufficient" would be narrower than "with reference to"	

Time marker	Speaker	Subject(s)	Action Required
013815 - 013957	Chairman	Date of next meeting and possible need to schedule additional meetings	

Council Business Division 1 <u>Legislative Council Secretariat</u> 12 July 2004