

立法會 *Legislative Council*

LC Paper No. CB(3) 198/02-03

**Paper for the House Committee meeting
on 6 December 2002**

**Questions scheduled for the
Legislative Council meeting on 11 December 2002**

Questions by:

- | | | | |
|------|---|-----------------|----------------|
| (1) | Dr Hon LAW Chi-kwong | (Oral reply) | |
| (2) | Hon Cyd HO | (Oral reply) | |
| (3) | Hon WONG Sing-chi
<i>(Replacing his previous question)</i> | (Oral reply) | (New question) |
| (4) | Hon WONG Yung-kan | (Oral reply) | |
| (5) | Hon Albert CHAN | (Oral reply) | |
| (6) | Hon CHOY So-yuk | (Oral reply) | |
| (7) | Dr Hon YEUNG Sum | (Written reply) | |
| (8) | Hon Andrew CHENG | (Written reply) | |
| (9) | Hon HUI Cheung-ching | (Written reply) | |
| (10) | Hon Eric LI | (Written reply) | |
| (11) | Dr Hon TANG Siu-tong | (Written reply) | |
| (12) | Dr Hon TANG Siu-tong | (Written reply) | |
| (13) | Hon SIN Chung-kai | (Written reply) | |
| (14) | Hon LAU Kong-wah | (Written reply) | |
| (15) | Ir Dr Hon HO Chung-tai | (Written reply) | |
| (16) | Hon Emily LAU | (Written reply) | |
| (17) | Dr Hon LAW Chi-kwong | (Written reply) | |
| (18) | Hon CHOY So-yuk | (Written reply) | |
| (19) | Hon Albert CHAN | (Written reply) | |
| (20) | Hon HUI Cheung-ching | (Written reply) | |

註 :

NOTE :

議員將採用這種語言提出質詢

Member will ask the question in this language

#(3) 黃成智議員 (口頭答覆)

政府於 11 月 27 日宣布接納大學教育資助委員會就香港高等教育提交的最後建議，其中包括除了指明的例外情況外，副學位課程應由目前政府資助院校模式逐步改以自資方式開辦。同時，政府並沒有交代與副學位課程同受關注的高級文憑課程日後的發展。就此，政府可否告知本會：

- (一) 為何資助學位課程的大部分成本，但卻接納副學位課程應以自負盈虧形式運作的建議；有否評估這是否對副學位課程學生不公平；若有評估，結果為何；
- (二) 鑒於當局承諾會提高副學位課程學生的學生資助額，使之與大學生的資助水平相若，平均每位副學位課程學生的助學金會增加多少；及
- (三) 有否計劃以副學位課程取代高級文憑課程，以及會採取何種措施，確保副學位課程的學術水平可如高級文憑課程般獲有關專業團體承認；若不會採取措施，原因為何？

(3) Hon WONG Sing-chi (Oral Reply)

The Government announced on 27 November that it had accepted the final recommendations of the University Grants Committee regarding higher education in Hong Kong, including the recommendation that funding for the institutions' sub-degree programmes, subject to specified exceptions, should be switched from public-funded to self-financing gradually. At the same time, the Government made no mention of the future development of higher diploma programmes, which are of the same concern as sub-degree programmes. In this connection, will the Government inform this Council:

- (a) of the reasons for accepting the proposal that sub-degree programmes should operate on a self-financing basis, while substantially subsidizing degree programmes; whether it has assessed if this is unfair to students in the sub-degree sector; if so, of the outcome of the assessment;
- (b) given that the Administration has undertaken to raise the level of the financial assistance for students enrolled in sub-degree programmes to that similar for university students, of the average increased amount of grants that each student in the sub-degree sector will receive; and
- (c) whether it plans to replace higher diploma programmes with sub-degree programmes, and of the measures it will take to ensure that the academic standards of sub-degree programmes can receive the same recognition by the relevant professional bodies as for higher diploma programmes; if no such measures will be taken, of the

reasons for that?