Staff Association of City University of Hong Kong

Analysis of the CityU College Funding Issue

The Symptoms

- (a) Who said what?
- (b) Who did what?
- (c) Who is to be blamed?

The Causes

- (a) EMB and UGC are more concerned about Quantity than Quality of AD education.
- (b) EMB and UGC disregard achievement of CityU in AD education.
- (c) EMB and UGC perceive full time AD education as Adult Continuous Education.
- (d) EMB and UGC employ a double standard in applying the rule of "Level Playing Field" for AD education providers.

The Consequences

- (a) CityU is not provided with the "Playing Field" to level with other AD education providers-no land, no premises, no facilities and no infrastructure necessary for start-up.
- (b) Loss of 18 years' of public fund investment in HD/AD education.
- (c) Loss of 5000 top-quality AD study opportunities.
- (d) Loss of AD quality leader (CityU College) for benchmarking.
- (e) Loss of over 100 highly qualified, dedicated and experienced AD lecturers.

The Remedies

- (a) EMB and UGC should provide and fully finance CityU College with a fit-forpurpose "Operating Ground" to start-up its AD education in the new selffinancing mode and this would include land, premises, facilities and other necessary infrastructures.
- (b) EMB and UGC should not discontinue the current funding for the CityU College before the new self-financing College has started its full operation.