

05-FEB-2004 12:15

D OF H , NTERO

**CONFIDENTIAL**

專責委員會(2)文件編號 : W94(C)

SC2 Paper No. : W94(C)

香港特別行政區政府

衛生署

新界東區辦事處

新界沙田上禾輋路一號

沙田政府合署二樓三三一室



THE GOVERNMENT OF THE HONG KONG  
SPECIAL ADMINISTRATIVE REGION  
NEW TERRITORIES (EAST) REGIONAL OFFICE  
DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH

ROOM 331, 3/F,  
SHA TIN GOVERNMENT OFFICES,  
NO. 1 SHEUNG WO CHE ROAD,  
SHATIN, N.T.

5 February 2004

本處檔號 OUR REF: (13) in DHNTE/CR 1101/1/1

來函檔號 YOUR REF: CB2/SC2

電話 TEL: 2158 5101

傳真 FAX: 2603 0523

Miss Flora Tai  
Clerk to Select Committee

Dear Miss Tai,

**Select Committee to inquire into the handling of  
the Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome outbreak by  
the Government and the Hospital Authority**

I forward a complete set of my written statement and enclosure 9 which supersede the partial statement sent to you on 3 February. As you may observe, I have made a couple of textual amendments. The annexes and other enclosures referred to in the written statement have already been sent to you under cover of my letter of 3 February.

Please note that I have re-arranged the sequence of questions 3 and 4 to facilitate the readers to gain a better understanding of the events.

Yours sincerely,

(Dr. T.K. AU)  
Community Physician (NTE)  
Department of Health

TKA/cc  
[L264]

## WRITTEN STATEMENT OF DR AU Tak-kwong

To assist me in recollecting events and presenting information to the Select Committee, I have consulted colleagues in the Department of Health (DH) and perused relevant files and records. However, I remain responsible for the accuracy of this written statement which has been prepared to the best of my knowledge.

2. In response to some of the evidence given in earlier hearings, I would wish to make some general points before answering the specific questions raised by the Select Committee.

### Media Reports on 11 March 2003

3. I learnt about an abnormal pattern of sick leave among Prince of Wales Hospital (PWH) Ward 8A staff through media reports on 11 March 2003. On my own initiative, I immediately rang Dr Philip Li, Deputy Hospital Chief Executive and finally managed to speak to him at around 10:45 hours. He confirmed the media reports and informed me that there would be a special meeting at 11:00 hours at PWH. I volunteered to attend the meeting (see para 11).

### Notification

4. DH was not notified on 10 March. The Hospital Authority (HA) notified DH by email [Annex 1] of the outbreak on 11 March at 10:05 hours. I note that in his evidence, Dr Donald Lyon of PWH said that he could not confirm having raised the matter with the New Territories East Regional Office (NTERO) of DH on 10 March.

### The role of NTERO in the PWH Outbreak

5. During the SARS outbreak in 2003, the division of responsibility was that DH was responsible for epidemiological studies and the prevention of the spread of the disease in the community. The Hospital Infection Control Teams, comprising Consultant Microbiologists, medical doctors and nurses, would be responsible for infection control measures within hospitals. In the case of PWH, there was also professorial staff.

6. Given the then division of work, attendance of DH officers at PWH meetings was mainly for the purposes of understanding the outbreak situation in PWH and discussions on the epidemiological study, contact tracing and related matters. We did not generally participate in discussions on management/operational matters of the hospital nor were we invited to attend those meetings on management/operational matters.

7. In this connection, I note that with the benefit of hindsight, the SARS Expert Committee in para 8.11 of its report included a recommendation *"Infection control and epidemiological experts should be based in every major hospital, working as employees of DH seconded to HA. These individuals will have responsibility for hospital infection control, data collection and reporting, and regular liaison between colleagues in HA and DH."* This recommendation represents a change in division of responsibility and requires injection of resources as far as DH is concerned.

#### Meetings at PWH

8. As I said in para 3 above, at my own initiative, I attended the first meeting at PWH on 11 March chaired by Prof Sung of the Department of Medicine and Therapeutics, Chinese University of Hong Kong (CUHK). Starting from 12 March, PWH management took over the chairmanship of the meetings. There were more than one meetings daily. DH normally attended one of the meetings and only in respect of matters of interest to DH as explained in para 6 above. My understanding at the time was no notes of meetings were kept.

9. I wish to clarify the following points -

- (a) Upon my enquiry on receipt of the summons from the Select Committee, Dr Fung Hong provided me on 15 and 26 January 2004 with the notes of meetings held on 13-21 March 2003. I understand from Dr Fung that the notes were not distributed to attendees, but abstracts from the notes were put on the HA intranet. However, HA colleagues did not realize at the time that I did not have access to the HA intranet. As such, I did not have the opportunity to verify the accuracy of the notes.

- (b) I observe that the notes were basically status update and action checklists. There was no record of any advice which I or my colleagues had tendered. Indeed, for some meetings attended by NTERO representatives, there were no notes.
- (c) As regards the attendance lists, I note that the names of NTERO staff appeared as being in attendance at some meetings which we did not attend. On the other hand, the names of NTERO staff were not included for some other meetings which we attended.
- (d) The names of participants were included without specifying the period of their attendances. As explained in para 6 above, DH participants sometimes attended sessions of a meeting only.

10. For a better understanding of the actions taken by me and NTERO at the initial stage of the PWH outbreak, I think it would assist the Select Committee if I set out my account of the activities on the first two days, i.e. 11 and 12 March.

#### 11 March 2003

11. The meeting chaired by Prof Sung had already commenced when I arrived at PWH at around 11:30 hours. I recalled that Prof Sung and some other members left at about 12:30 hours. I stayed behind to discuss further technical details with the following participants -

*Dr Donald Lyon, Consultant of Microbiology and Infection Control; Prof John Tam, Prof of Microbiology and Virology; Prof Paul Chan, Associate Prof of Virology; Dr Kitty Fung, Senior Medical Officer of Microbiology and Infection Control; Dr Nelson Lee and Dr Alan Wu, Medical Officers of Infectious Disease Medicine; and Ms Deborah Ho and Ms Regina Chan, Infection Control Nurses.*

12. I could recall the above names because we circulated a piece of paper for the individuals to put down their telephone nos. for easy contact. The gist of the discussions that day is covered in paras 13-16 below.

13. Upon my arrival at the meeting, Prof Sung summed up for me the position in PWH. He advised that more than 10 staff had reported sick. The cluster apparently only involved staff of Ward 8A and no abnormal pattern had been observed in patients. The decision to close Ward 8A to admission, discharge and visitors had been implemented on 10 March.

14. Prof Sung further advised that from their own experience earlier on 10 March, PWH felt that if family members were denied visits, they might insist on / persuade their relative patients to discharge themselves against medical advice. Thus, the no-visiting policy was relaxed in the evening of 10 March. Visitors were restricted in numbers, given health advice and required to put on protective gears before visits. As the relaxation was made on practical grounds and there were adequate precautionary measures, I did not raise any objections.

15. I advised PWH to isolate cases, screen other wards and monitor the sick leave pattern of staff. I also undertook to conduct an epidemiological survey for the staff who had reported sick and to design a questionnaire for that purpose. The survey was essential to help understand the cluster, to work out the case definition and to estimate the incubation period. The case definition and incubation period would then form the basis for establishing a case reporting system and the period for medical surveillance of contacts.

16. As a follow up to my above advice, PWH decided to set up a special staff clinic in the evening and recall staff for screening. PWH also agreed to complete the questionnaire which I had undertaken to provide for those turning up at the special staff clinic and return them to NTERO for case / contact follow up and epidemiological analysis. A copy of the questionnaire was sent to PWH later in the day after the meeting.

17. NTERO received from PWH at about 16:37 hours by fax a list of 36 persons, comprising both staff and medical students [encl 1 under confidential cover]. NTERO successfully interviewed 26 persons (including two additional ones traced as a result of our contact tracing). Most were found to have symptoms of fever and chills. NTERO

advised all of them to seek immediate medical treatment at the PWH special staff clinic. Advice on personal hygiene was also given. The remaining ones were followed up on the following day. The survey data were analysed for clinical and epidemiological features until about 23:30 hours.

### 12 March 2003

18. I came in at my office at NTERO at about 8:15 hours and rang Prof Sung to inquire about the position with regard to the staff screening exercise in the previous evening. I also reviewed the preliminary epidemiological findings and make preparations for the meeting to be held at PWH that morning.

19. I arrived at PWH at around 10:00 hours. The meeting chaired by Dr Philip Li had already commenced and it lasted until about 13:00 hours. Participants included, among others, Prof Sydney Chung, Prof Joseph Sung, Dr SF Lui, Dr Donald Lyon, Prof John Tam, Prof Paul Chan, Dr Kitty Fung, Dr Nelson Lee, Dr Alan Wu, Ms Deborah Ho and Ms Regina Chan. I further recall that Dr Fung Hong joined the discussion in the middle of the meeting. This was the usual makeup of participants from PWH / CUHK although sometimes certain participants did not turn up while others joined in.

20. At the meeting, PWH advised that more than 20 staff had been admitted and isolated. The 8<sup>th</sup> floor of the main building of PWH had been made a restricted area. There was no abnormal sick leave pattern for staff in wards other than 8A. There was a long discussion on possible arrangements to suspend some of the services in the specialist out-patient clinics and to stop new admissions from the accident & emergency department to medical wards because a number of healthcare workers (HCWs) had fallen sick.

21. I presented the preliminary epidemiological findings and the epidemic curve was tabled. The probable mode of spread was discussed and droplets and fomites were incriminated. The incubation period was estimated from one to seven days. The survey findings on clinical features were shared and PWH and NTERO agreed on a working case

專責委員會(2)文件編號W94(C)第6頁的替換頁  
Replacement sheet for page 6 of SC2 Paper No.: W94(C)

definition for active case finding and surveillance. I requested PWH to provide a list of cases satisfying the case definition for NTERO's follow up and contact tracing. As positive Chest X-ray (CXR) findings were observed in some cases, I advised PWH to include CXR as one of the screening tools. I also advised PWH and CUHK to freeze movement of staff and medical students who had been exposed in Ward 8A.

22. Before leaving PWH for NTERO at about 13:30 hours, Dr Kitty Fung of PWH gave me a pile of completed questionnaires for PWH staff / medical students who had visited the special staff clinic in the previous evening together with two covering lists [encls 2-3 under confidential cover].

23. At about <sup>14:14</sup>~~14:25~~ hours, NTERO received from PWH a third list [encl 4 under confidential cover] with a heading of "patient listing". This third list consisted of two pages of a total of three. Upon clarification with PWH, we were advised that all the 34 names on the first page and the first five names on page 2 were staff / medical students who required follow-up action by NTERO. The remaining names on page 2 (which were patient data of Ward 8A) and page 3 (which was not provided by PWH) were not matters of concern to NTERO.

24. As can be observed from encls 2-5, the data provided to NTERO was not organized and rather unclear. I would cover this aspect in my answers to the specific questions raised by the Select Committee. [Note: encl 5 is the list for 13 March].

25. While I was attending the meeting at PWH in the morning, my colleagues in NTERO set up a Special Control Team in NTERO to assist with the handling of the PWH outbreak, including case follow-up, contact tracing, surveillance, and epidemiological analysis.

Amoy Gardens' Index Case

26. Questions on the index case of the Amoy Gardens Outbreak (YY) were raised in some previous hearings. In my response, I would first explain the data flow from PWH to NTERO, starting with the establishment on 13 March of the PWH Disease Control Centre (DCC).

27. I understand from my colleagues that the information flow was as follows. First, PWH clinicians faxed to the DCC names of patients who satisfied the case definition. DCC clerical staff would then input the details of all these patients into their database. In parallel, the PWH doctor(s) in DCC would discuss with PWH clinicians and refer urgent / serious cases to the DH Team at DCC for immediate investigation. The daily list provided to NTERO through the DH Team at DCC would thus contain names of some patients reported by clinicians as satisfying the case definition but who had not been referred to the DH Team at DCC earlier in the day for urgent action. Until 19 March, these daily lists in hard copy form were in a cumulative manner with new and old cases mixed together without any particular order or indication of new cases.

28. On receipt of the daily list, NTERO would compare it with the previous lists to identify new cases of the day. NTERO would then check for cases which had not already been investigated by the DH Team at DCC for follow up action. Such cases were normally investigated by the nursing team in NTERO by phone. If there was no phone number on the list, the nurses would seek the assistance of staff in the ward. If phone no. could not be obtained or if the case could not be reached by phone, it would be referred to the DH Team at DCC for direct face to face interview. NTERO did not ask the DH Team at DCC to follow up these cases in the first instance to allow it to focus on urgent / serious cases.

29. YY was admitted to PWH Ward 8A on 15 March when he attended for his scheduled haemodialysis and was found to have symptoms. His name first appeared in the list faxed to NTERO at about 18:00 hours on 16 March. There was no record of him having been interviewed by DH before his readmission on 22 March. Based on the normal work procedures described in paras 27-28 above, the likely scenario is described in para 30 below.

30. YY was not referred to the DH Team at DCC for immediate follow up on 16 March. As his name appeared on the list of that day, the NTERO nursing team initiated follow up action on 17 March. Given the list did not contain the phone no. of YY, the nursing team sought the assistance of PWH ward staff. With the phone no. not available by the evening of 17 March, the case was referred to the DH Team at DCC for



direct face to face interview on 18 March. By then, YY had already been tested positive for influenza A. Hence, no follow up action was taken.

31. NTERO was not notified that YY was discharged home on 19 March and that follow-up was required of DH. I note from the list of 20 March at encl 6 which was received by NTERO for follow up action, with print time marked 15:27 hours, that the name of YY was not there. NTERO did not receive the "Patient Movement" list of the same date at encl 7 which PWH claimed to have been sent to us at the time. Encl 7 was provided to us recently at my request. [Both encls 6 and 7 are forwarded under confidential cover].

32. I would highlight / emphasize three points relating to encl 7. First, NTERO did not receive the list at the material time. Second, the list stated YY was "home" on 20 March when in fact he should have been discharged home on 19 March. Third, since encl 7 contained more names than encl 6, it would have been prepared after the latter was available at 15:27 hours on 20 March. We knew as a matter of fact that YY went back to Shenzhen on 20 March, by which time no contact tracing by DH was possible.

33. I now turn to the specific questions raised by the Select Committee –

Q1. When did DH commence the contact tracing work in respect of the outbreak of SARS at PWH? When and how did you first find out the outbreak at PWH? How was information on cases for contact tracing provided by PWH to DH? Were there difficulties in the flow of information between PWH and DH? If yes, what were the difficulties and had the difficulties affected the contact tracing work? If yes, in which respect?

A1. (a) I found out the outbreak on 11 March through the media (see paras 3-4).

(b) DH commenced contact tracing work immediately on 11 March. Based on the survey undertaken that evening, DH

and PWH agreed on a case definition on the following day, 12 March (see paras 15-21). Since then, DH had investigated all cases satisfying the case definition reported by PWH through a list provided to DH daily. In the light of the developing situation, the case definition was subsequently revised on 17 March.

- (c) There were difficulties in the flow of information from PWH to DH because we were dealing with an unknown disease with non-specific nature of symptoms and a lack of a quick diagnostic test. The speed and magnitude of the outbreak had been unprecedented. I hasten to add that all staff in DH and PWH had tried their best to deal with the situation, working extended hours.
- (d) During 12-18 March 2003, PWH provided hard copies of the lists in a cumulative manner with new and old cases mixed together without any particular order or indication of new cases. To facilitate the Select Committee's consideration, I have provided the Committee with copies of all the lists of data in the first three days which PWH had asked DH to follow up under separate confidential cover because of concerns about protection of personal data of patients. New case lists were provided starting from 19 March.
- (e) During this period (12-18 March), NTERO had to compare the current list daily with the previous ones to identify new cases of the day for case investigation and contact tracing. Moreover, different formats were used for the lists in the initial days. Sometimes, more than one lists were received in a day and some of the lists included names not intended for case reporting to DH for action. Occasionally, there were also typos in patients' names and different forms (e.g. initials/full names) were used for patients' names. As days went by, the list got longer and longer, and the sorting process became very laborious and time consuming. NTERO staff tried to make up for these by working extended hours.

(f) Appreciating that PWH colleagues were hard pressed to provide lists showing new cases only or indicate new cases on the cumulative lists, we requested PWH to provide soft copies of the lists as well. The intention was to sort the current day and previous day lists in alphabetical order to facilitate the identification of new cases. The soft copy was first made available to NTERO on 15 March. There were many worksheets and PWH colleagues had advised that NTERO would only need to use the worksheet corresponding to the hard copy they had provided and should ignore the rest.

(g) The lack of contact telephone nos. had sometimes caused difficulties in our contact tracing work. (see paras 28 and 30).

Q2. What were the contact tracing methodology and procedure adopted in the contact tracing work of the SARS outbreak at PWH? Was there any strategy for data management? What were the staff resources available for the contact tracing work of the outbreak at PWH and was DH able to cope with the work given the large number of SARS cases in PWH? Was DH able to complete the contact tracing work in a timely manner? Was there any time limit within which the contact tracing work had to commence and to be finished in respect of Severe Community-Acquired Pneumonia patient? Had there been any delays and if so, had such delays led to more infections? How were the results of contact tracing assessed and made use of?

A2. (a) On contact tracing procedures, please refer to <sup>SC 2 Paper No.: E3(c)-6</sup> ~~SC-01-38P-EX:~~ Contact Tracing – Then and Now, which was further elaborated in paras. 84-93 of ~~SC05-01L-EZ:~~ DH's letter dated 18 August.

<sup>SC 2 Paper No.: A32</sup>

(b) As for database management, NTERO developed a standard questionnaire for share with PWH (para 15-16) and other Regional Offices to capture information on cases and their contacts. The data were centralized and computerized in an epidemiological investigation tool, EPI-INFO. The database was used to generate useful epidemiological findings, work out

case definition, estimate incubation period, assess mode of spread and attack rate, and project development of the outbreak in PWH.

- (c) From the very beginning, staff redeployment had been made to cope with increasing workload. Manpower was doubled by the second week and tripled by the third, from the original 14. Despite the enhancement of manpower, our staff had to work extended hours. In the first two weeks, NTERO investigated 386 reported cases and traced 1 884 contacts. Details by days are presented in Annexes 2-4.
- (d) Throughout the PWH outbreak, DH had adhered to its pledge of commencing investigation of reported cases and contact tracing within 24 hours from the time of reporting to us. The contacts were put under medical surveillance for 14 days from the last day of exposure to a reported case. It was changed to 10 days when the incubation period was better defined.
- (e) Although we initiated contact tracing action within 24 hours of receipt of a report, it was not possible to reach the contacts within that period in all cases. Retrospective analysis indicates that in about 3% of the reported cases were their contacts not reached within 24 hours.
- (f) Contact tracing helped early identification of cases and prevention of further spread of the disease. Contacts were provided with health advice and advice on precautionary measures, e.g. attend PWH accident and emergency department and wear face masks when they displayed symptoms.

Q3. Did DH conduct contact tracing work in respect of the index patient of PWH and the relatives of the patient who were also hospitalized? If yes, what was the result of the contact tracing work? If not, why not?

### A3 Identification of PWH Index Patient (JJ)

- (a) During the course of epidemiological investigation, the DH Team at DCC found on 14 March that four cases with fever admitted to PWH on late 13 and early 14 March were relatives of JJ. The investigation also found on 14 March that another relative of JJ had been admitted to Baptist Hospital on 13 March with fever. A DH Team Nursing Officer at DCC Ms Cheung Yim-hing immediately interviewed JJ in the morning of 14 March. He was then in an open cubicle in Ward 8A. The same Nursing Officer conducted a further interview with JJ in the evening of 14 March. By then, he was put in an isolation room. The Nursing Officer has made a statement to the above effect, attaching the relevant duty roster where her name appears in item 4. The statement has been forwarded under confidential cover at encl 9.
- (b) While two were household contacts, the other three relatives only met JJ during his stay in Ward 8A. The DH Team at DCC informed PWH of the linkage, and the latter immediately reviewed exposure history of sick staff / medical students and identified a number of them had contact with JJ during the incubation period. The above discoveries by DH and other epidemiological findings by PWH supported JJ as the index.
- (c) At a PWH meeting held in the same evening (14 March), the above findings were shared and discussed. Apart from myself, participants included, among others, Dr Fung Hong, Dr Philip Li and Dr Donald Lyon of PWH, Prof Sydney Chung, Prof Joseph Sung, Prof John Tam, Prof Paul Chan and Prof Wong Tze-wai of CUHK, and Dr Thomas Tsang of DH. The findings indicated the need to trace all persons who had been exposed to JJ in his cubicle, meaning those exposed staff, medical students, patients and visitors. The agreement was that PWH would follow up their staff, medical students and inpatients while DH would follow up discharged patients (by which we understood to mean patients discharged before 10 March) and hospital visitors.

Tracing of Contacts of Index Patient (JJ), excluding Relatives

- (d) It was first necessary to identify all patients who had been exposed to JJ in his cubicle. This would include inpatients, some of whom might be still in the cubicle in Ward 8A where JJ had stayed before isolation while others could have moved to other cubicles or even outside Ward 8A. Another group would be patients who had been exposed to JJ in his cubicle but were discharged before 10 March.
- (e) With the assistance of PWH, the data referred to in (d) above was obtained on 15 March. A total of 36 inpatients / discharged patients had been so identified. As five of them had been investigated on 12-14 March by NTERO as reported cases, there remained 31 patients (some inpatients and some discharged patients) to be followed up.
- (f) The agreed arrangement as per (c) above was that PWH would deal with inpatients. Thus NTERO's follow up action referred to in (e) above covered discharged patients and visitors/contacts of the 31 patients.
- (g) Altogether, NTERO identified 174 visitors/contacts of these 36 patients. All of them were contacted for epidemiological investigation, medical advice and medical surveillance. Symptomatic persons were advised to attend the PWH accident and emergency department for assessment as previously agreed with the hospital. Others were put under medical surveillance.
- (h) The outcome was that a total of 20 patients and 26 visitors / contacts turned out to be SARS cases, and there was further spread to six persons from these visitors / contacts cases.

Relatives of JJ

- (i) Six relatives had been exposed to JJ. DH started investigation for all of them on 14 March. Five were later confirmed as SARS cases. Contact tracing revealed that three of them had in turn infected 10 contacts.
- (j) On 15 March, DH traced the social contacts exposed to JJ. None of them had symptoms during the surveillance period.

Q4 Did DH conduct contact tracing in respect of the seven patients who were discharged from Ward 8A on 12 and 13 March 2003? If not, why not? If yes, what was the result of the contact tracing work?

A4 Lists of Patients discharged from Ward 8A on 11-13 March 2003

- (a) As explained in para 13, PWH informed me at a meeting on 11 March that Ward 8A had been closed to admission and discharge on 10 March. This freezing of ward movements would assist in the prevention of the spread of the disease outside the ward. I do not recall any discussion about re-opening of Ward 8A, let alone the receipt of any report from PWH about patients discharged from that ward during period 11-13 March for NTERO to follow up.
- (b) In his written statement (answer to Question 13), Dr Fung Hong said that 10 patients were discharged from Ward 8A in the first three days. At my request, PWH provided on 26 January 2004 the names of these patients, 3 discharged on 11 March, 5 on 12 March and 2 on 13 March [encl 8 sent to you under separate confidential cover].
- (c) I would now refer the Select Committee to encl 1-5 which were documents provided by PWH to NTERO for follow up action in the same period in March 2003. The only list with a possible indication of "discharge" (because of the appearance

of the word "Dis" under the column of "bed number") can be found in encl 4. As explained in para 23 above, this "patient listing" consisted of two pages out of a total of three. Upon clarification with PWH at the time, we were advised that all the 34 names on the first page and the first five names on page 2 were staff / medical students which required follow-up action by NTERO. The remaining names on page 2 (which were patient data of Ward 8A) and page 3 (which was not provided by PWH) were not matters of concern to NTERO.

- (d) I would also draw attention to the terms "Home" or "Home + FU CXR" which appeared against some names under the column heading "destination" in encl 5 which was received by NTERO on 13 March 2003. They denoted that the persons screened in the PWH Special Staff Clinic or put under observation in the accident & emergency department were allowed to go home with or without follow up by PWH. None of them were Ward 8A patients discharged after 10 March.
- (e) Among the patient data, there were four names with the term "Dis" under the "bed number" column. This contrasts with the eight names discharged on 11 and 12 March now provided by PWH [encl 8]. In fact, there was only one name common to both lists (the last name on page 2 of Encl 4).
- (f) I submit that the above analysis all support my statement at (a) above that NTERO was not provided with the names of the 10 discharged patients for follow-up action at the material time.

Whether Action had been taken for the 10 Discharged Patients

- (g) Among these 10 discharged patients, five eventually were SARS cases. Four of them were picked up through the joint effort of PWH/NTERO in the active case finding exercise on 15 March and the other through the case reporting system. Please see answer (d)-(h) to Question 3 above.



- (h) NTERO traced the four discharged patients referred to in (f) above and their visitors on 15 March. None of the visitors had symptoms during the surveillance period. Three of the four patients subsequently turned out to be SARS cases and were readmitted to PWH. One of them had spread the disease to a close contact.
- (i) Of the remaining six discharged patients, one turned out to be a SARS case and was picked up by the case reporting system to NTERO on 17 March evening. The case and their contacts were traced on 18 March. None of his contacts were affected.

Q5. Did the team of DH staff stationed at PWH during the SARS outbreak make any assessment of the risk of the spread of the disease from patients and HCWs in Ward 8A to other HCWs and patients in PWH? If not, why not? If yes, what was the assessment and the follow-up actions taken?

- A5. (a) The concern about risk of spread of the disease was shared with PWH right at the beginning at the first meeting with PWH on 11 March.
- (b) By 11 March, PWH had introduced a freeze on movements of patients in Ward 8A. A restricted visiting policy with adequate precautionary measures was also implemented. To supplement these measures, I advised the hospital to isolate cases, restrict movement of Ward 8A staff, screen and monitor sick leave pattern of staff in other wards and screen sick staff. PWH responded by setting up a special staff clinic in the same evening to screen staff reporting ill.
- (c) In order to better understand the nature of infection and establish a case reporting system, DH did an epidemiological investigation of the first batch of staff who had reported sick that same evening.

- (d) Although PWH advised me that only staff had been infected, our epidemiological study found that medical students were also affected. I therefore advised CUHK at the 12 March meeting to screen medical students who had been exposed to cases and restrict their movement in the hospital. On the same day, CUHK stopped medical students from visiting PWH.
  - (e) At the same meeting on 12 March, I presented the epidemiological findings and agreed with PWH a case definition based on which a reporting system was established for timely assessment of the development and follow up actions.
  - (f) In my telephone conversation with Prof Sung in the morning of 12 March, I suggested that PWH consider providing quarters for the exposed staff. There was positive feedback on this suggestion as its staff had also raised the same request.
  - (g) Noting sporadic reported cases of staff from wards other than 8A, I decided on 13 March to station a DH Team at the PWH DCC to facilitate investigation and communication. Together with PWH colleagues at the DCC, the DH Team checked the sick leave pattern of staff and the situation in other wards. The DH Team also worked hand-in-hand with PWH colleagues on case investigation and in particular, the identification of the index patient.
- Q6. At which point did DH consider that the outbreak among HCWs at PWH had peaked? In which forum was the subject discussed? What was the basis for the conclusion? Were any follow-up actions taken in relation to the conclusion? If yes, what were the follow-up actions? If not, why not?
- A6. (a) Together with PWH, DH established a reporting system with agreed case definition on 12 March 2003. Based on epidemiological findings by 14 March, including the epidemic curve and the estimated incubation period of the disease, DH

had reasons to believe that the first wave of the outbreak might have peaked by that time.

- (b) In the evening meeting on 14 March, DH shared the epidemiological findings with PWH. DH advised PWH that while the first wave of the outbreak might have peaked, it should be on the alert for the second wave as contacts having been exposed and incubating the disease might become sick in the following week. DH advised PWH to get prepared.
  - (c) As for DH, we did strengthen manpower of NTERO to cope with the workload in case investigation and contact tracing.
  - (d) Our epidemiological review of the PWH outbreak supports that the first wave of the outbreak peaked at onset date of 9 March.
- Q7. Did you take part in any discussions at meetings of PWH staff about the closure and re-opening of Ward 8A of PWH and the closure of PWH? If so, did you offer any advice on such propositions? Did you report these discussions to DH?
- A7. (a) I was not consulted about the closure and re-opening of Ward 8A of PWH and the closure of PWH in any discussions at meetings which I attended at PWH during the SARS outbreak.
- (b) I attended my first meeting at PWH on 11 March 2003. The Chairman, Prof Sung said that a decision to close Ward 8A to admission, discharge and visitors had been implemented on 10 March. However, from their own experience earlier on 10 March, PWH felt that if family members were denied visits, they might insist on/persuade their relative patients to discharge themselves against medical advice. Thus, the no-visiting policy was relaxed in the evening of 10 March. Visitors were restricted in numbers, given health advice and required to put on protective gears before visits. As the relaxation was made on practical grounds and there were adequate precautionary measures, I did not raise any objections.

(c) Apart from (b) above, I did not recall any discussions on closure and re-opening of Ward 8A and hospital closure at PWH meetings which I attended. I should mention that at the morning meeting held on 12 March at PWH, there was a long discussion on possible arrangements to suspend some of the services in the specialist out-patient clinics and to stop new admissions from the accident & emergency department to medical wards because a number of HCWs had fallen sick.

(d) During my telephone conversation with the then Director of Health in the evening of 12 March 2003, she told me that Dr Fung Hong had mentioned that there was a suggestion to close PWH and that her advice to Dr Fung was that closing a big teaching hospital was a complicated issue and that it had to be discussed at a higher level.

Q8. How often did you report the actions and measures you had taken on the control of the spread of SARS in your own region/cluster? Did you receive any advice and support from DH Headquarters in this respect?

A8. (a) During the SARS outbreak, I and my colleagues daily updated the seniors in the Disease Prevention and Control Division (DPCD) and DH Headquarters of the latest situation and actions taken.

(b) I had good access to the two consultants in DPCD who provided advice and support with regard to the methodology in case investigation and contact tracing. In particular, Dr Thomas Tsang participated in some of the meetings at PWH with me.

(c) I also received good support from DH Headquarters in reinforcing the staff complement in NTERO, including an additional Principal Medical and Health Officer (PM&HO) during 21-29 March 2003. In addition, a Community

Physician also at PM&HO level from another region was redeployed to cover my absence on sick leave during the period of 18-21 March.

Dr AU Tak-kwong  
Community Physician  
5 February 2004

LY TSE

11/03/2003 11:30

To: Gareth TK AU/DH/HKSARG@DH, Marina SUM/DH/HKSARG@DH

cc:

Subject: Outbreak of respiratory illness in a ward

Fyi.

— Forwarded by LY TSE/DH/HKSARG on 2003/03/11 11:30 AM —



"Shao Haei LIU Dr,  
HOPS&HR SEM(PS)1"  
<liush@ho.ha.org.hk>

2003/03/11 10:05 AM  
Please respond to "Shao  
Haei LIU Dr, HOPS&HR  
SEM(PS)1"

To: <ly\_tse@dh.gov.hk>

cc: "James Donald LYON Dr, PWHMIC Cons(MIC)"  
<ld127@ntec.ha.org.hk>

"Dr. FUNG Hong" <fungh@ha.org.hk>

"Philip LI" <likph@ha.org.hk>

"Dr. W. M. KO" <kowm@ha.org.hk>

bcc:

Subject: Outbreak of respiratory illness in a ward

☐ Urgent

☐ Return Receipt

Dear Dr Tse,

Please see the update from Dr Lyon. I have also advise him to report the incident to DH's NTE Regional office send specimens to Government Virus Unit.

SH LIU

—Original Message—

**From:** James Donald LYON Dr, PWHMIC Cons(MIC)

**Sent:** Monday, March 10, 2003 7:14 PM

**To:** Shao Haei LIU Dr, HOPS&HR SEM(PS)1; W H SETO Dr, HKWC CD(Q&RM) / HKWC CC(MIC) / QMHMIC COS; N C TSANG, QEH CON(Path); T K NG Dr, PMH CON(Path)

**Subject:** RE: problems in PWH

Dear all,

To keep you up to date, the direct IF results for our fatal pneumonia from China ( ) are negative for flu A, flu B & RSV. Other IF tests for adeno, paraflu & viral and bacterial culture will follow.

With regard to our medical ward situation, we have collected about 80-90 NPA samples (mostly from staff but also from patients) and clotted bloods. We have looked so far at 30 NPAs, only one had paraflu 3. All were negative for Flu A, Flu B, Adeno, RSV & Paraflu 1&2. The number of possibly affected patients has been revised down to 5, but there are 78 staff members, mostly nurses, reporting fever and URTI symptoms.

**Dr. Donald Lyon,**

**Dept. of Microbiology,**

**Prince of Wales Hospital,**

**Shatin, Hong Kong.**

**Tel: 852 2632 2305**

**Fax: 852 2645 1256**

**Annex 2****Special Control Team at NTERO  
Staff Composition**

<b>Date</b>	<b>Principal Medical &amp; Health Officer</b>	<b>Senior Medical &amp; Health Officer</b>	<b>Medical &amp; Health Officer</b>	<b>Senior Nursing Officer</b>	<b>Nursing Officer</b>	<b>Registered Nurse</b>	<b>Clerical staff</b>	<b>Total</b>
11 Mar (Tue)	1	1	4	1	3	2	2	14
12 Mar (Wed)	1	1	4	1	3	2	2	14
13 Mar (Thu)	1	2	3	1	3	5	3	19
14 Mar (Fri)	1	2	4	1	6	4	3	21
15 Mar (Sat)	1	3	7	1	5	4	3	24
16 Mar (Sun)	1		1		3			5
17 Mar (Mon)	1	2	5	1	7	4	3	23
18 Mar (Tue)	1	3	7	1	7	6	3	28
19 Mar (Wed)	1	3	8	1	7	6	4	30
20 Mar (Thu)	1	3	8	1	7	6	4	30
21 Mar (Fri)	1	4	8	1	8	7	5	34
22 Mar (Sat)	1	4	8	1	9	8	5	36
23 Mar (Sun)	1		2		4			7
24 Mar (Mon)	1	4	8	1	9	10	5	38
25 Mar (Tue)	1	4	8	1	9	12	5	40

**DH Team at PWH  
Staff Composition**

<b>Date</b>	<b>Principal Medical &amp; Health Officer</b>	<b>Medical &amp; Health Officer</b>	<b>Nursing Officer</b>	<b>Registered Nurses</b>	<b>Total no. of Staff</b>
13 Mar (Thu)		1	1	1	3
14 Mar (Fri)		1	1	1	3
15 Mar (Sat)		2	3	1	6
16 Mar (Sun)		1	1	0	2
17 Mar (Mon)		2	2	2	6
18 Mar (Tue)		2	2	0	4
19 Mar (Wed)		1	2	0	3
20 Mar (Thu)		1	2	0	3
21 Mar (Fri)	1	2	2	1	6
22 Mar (Sat)	1	2	1	1	5
23 Mar (Sun)		1	2	1	4
24 Mar (Mon)	1	2	1	1	5
25 Mar (Tue)	1	2	1	1	5



**Prince of Wales Hospital Cluster**

**Work done by DH Team at PWH  
and Special Control Team at NTERO**

Date	Total No. of Referred Cases & Contacts Interviewed	Referred Cases Interviewed		Contacts Follow-up	
		Total No.	No. turned SARS	Total No.	No. turned SARS
11 Mar (Tue)	87	26	24	61	0
12 Mar (Wed)	66	17	13	49	1
13 Mar (Thu)	227	77	12	150	3
14 Mar (Fri)	133	26	9	107	10
15 Mar (Sat)	161	29	18	132	19
16 Mar (Sun)	95	4	2	91	3
17 Mar (Mon)	101	26	5	75	5
18 Mar (Tue)	63	20	8	43	2
19 Mar (Wed)	129	41	12	88	6
20 Mar (Thu)	179	56	7	123	4
21 Mar (Fri)	34	9	3	25	1
22 Mar (Sat)	805	37	7	768*	1
23 Mar (Sun)	53	6	2	47	0
24 Mar (Mon)	60	2	2	58	1
25 Mar (Tue)	77	10	10	67	3
Total	2270	386	134	1884	59

\*Note: The figure includes 599 contacts of a private practitioner, 82 hospital visitors, 34 contacts of an ambulance man and contacts of other cases.

CONFIDENTIAL

11 Mar

The 18<sup>th</sup> list

36 Staff

Attn: Dr. G.

Patient List of PWH Staff / Medical Students received by NTERO on 12 March 2003

11	00100				RN	NAC	Encl. 2
✓ 18	00100	MPV				NAD	
✓ 24	00300				RN	NAD	
75	00400					NAD	
✓ 61	00500			PHYBAB		NAD	
✓ 68	00600		(MS)	BA	MS	NAD	
✓ 26	00700	Entom		BA	RN		
41	00800				DR	NAD	
✓ 50	00900			LPX LAB			
✓ 46	01000			BA (MS)	MS	NAD	
✓ 31	01100	MPV			DR	NAD	
✓ 14	01200				DR	NAD	
33	01300					NAD	
49	01400					NAD	
✓ 7	01500				DR	NAD	
✓ 15	01600				SS	NAD	
64	01700						
✓ 16	01800				RN	NAD	
78	01900			LKS			
52	02000			BC		NAD	
✓ 28	02100						
35	02200					NAD	
✓ 53	02300			BA			
62	02400			9A		NAD	
✓ 22	02500		(MS)		MS	NAD	
10	02600						
66	02700	? Hmf		LKS		NAD	
13	02800					NAD	
63	02900					NAD	
✓ 58	03000		(MS)	BA	MS	NAD	
✓ 32	03100				RN	NAD	
✓ 79	03200			BA	RN	3158 5656	
43	03300			BB			
✓ 37	03400					NAD	
✓ 3	03500				RN	NAD	
9	03600					NAD	
54	03700			BB		UNION	
74	03800			PHYBAB			
72	03900					NAD	
✓ 20	04000				RN		
27	04100					NAD	
61	04200				DR	NAD	
25	04300					NAD	
57	04400					NAD	
69	04500			EDU			
56	04600			LKS		NAD	
47	04700			LKS			
42	04800						
✓ 45	04900			10C			
48	05000	Hmf		LPX LAB		NAD	
65	05100			BC		NAD	

Received on 12 March

2 pages

Union

2A (MS) Tel: 0800 000 000 F RN/PA  
 56 M HO 100 0800 F 92 / RN  
 F RN 2A 0900 M W  
 F RN 2A 09100  
 09200

**CONFIDENTIAL**

NAD

34	05200		BA	SS	NAD
82	05300		BA	SS	NAD
✓ 40	05400			SS	NAD
✓ 23	05500				NAD
✓ 55	05600	(?)	BA		NAD
✓ 81	05700		BA	SS	NAD
17	05800				NAD
19	05900			RN	NAD
60	06000		LKS		NAD
✓ 71	06100	← 24: inf 06100	EDU		NAD
67	06200		PHYBAB		
21	06300				NAD
59	06400		LKS		NAD
70	06500		EDU		NAD
51	06600		BC		NAD
12	06700				
73	06800? H inf		PHYBAB		NAD
✓ 8	06900				NAD
✓ 83	07000		BA	RN	NAD
44	07100		9A		NAD
77	07200	No tel	LKS		
39	07300	No tel			NAD
✓ 5	07400			DR	NAD
38	07500	No tel			NAD
2	07600			DR	
✓ 80	07700		BA	RN	NAD
30	07800				NAD
✓ 4	07900			RN	NAD
7	08000	No tel		DR	
76	08100	No tel	LKS		
29	08200				
36	08300			DR	NAD

18 09300 (prints) \* [redacted] 4A 4A DR [redacted]  
 19 ✓ 09400 [redacted] 4A DR [redacted]  
 20 09500 [redacted] 4A DR 有電話  
 21 09600 ✓ [redacted] Tel [redacted]  
 22 09700 [redacted] mo (Pa) [redacted]  
 23 09800 \* [redacted] m [redacted] 船 left  
 24 09900 \* [redacted] F [redacted] Ant  
 25 09900 \* [redacted] F [redacted] Ant

\* admitted to observation ward

CONFIDENTIAL

Patient List of PWH Staff / Medical Students received by NTERO on 12 March 2003

Case file. list as at 1200pm 12 Mar 2003

Encl. 3

2 pages

Name	Status	ID	tel	destination S/S
[REDACTED]	DR	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Home
[REDACTED]	DR	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Home
[REDACTED]	DR	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	O Ward
[REDACTED]	DR	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	O Ward
[REDACTED]	DR	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	O Ward
[REDACTED]	DR	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	O Ward
[REDACTED]	DR	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	O Ward
[REDACTED]	DR	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Home
[REDACTED]	DR	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	O Ward
[REDACTED]	DR	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	
[REDACTED]	MO(CT)	[REDACTED]		10EF
[REDACTED]			[REDACTED]	Home
[REDACTED]				On duty
[REDACTED]	HO	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Home
[REDACTED]	HO	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	O Ward
[REDACTED]	HO	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	On duty
[REDACTED]	HO	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	O Ward
[REDACTED]	MS	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	O Ward
[REDACTED]	MS	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	O Ward
[REDACTED]	MS	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	O Ward
[REDACTED]	MS	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	O Ward
[REDACTED]	MS	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	O Ward
[REDACTED]	MS	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	8A Ward
[REDACTED]	WM	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	
[REDACTED]	NO	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	
[REDACTED]	RN	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	O Ward
[REDACTED]	RN	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	O Ward
[REDACTED]	RN	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	
[REDACTED]	RN	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	O Ward
[REDACTED]	RN	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Home
[REDACTED]	RN	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Union
[REDACTED]	RN	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	O Ward
[REDACTED]	RN	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	O Ward
[REDACTED]	RN	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	On leave
[REDACTED]	RN	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Home
[REDACTED]	RN	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	O Ward
[REDACTED]	EN	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	O Ward
[REDACTED]	GSA	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	O Ward
[REDACTED]	TSA	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	KWH
[REDACTED]	HCA	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Home
[REDACTED]	WS	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	O Ward
[REDACTED]	WA	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	O Ward

Ally	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	O-Ward
Ally	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Home
Ally	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	On duty
9A RN	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Home
9A RN	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Home
9A RN	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Home
9A HCA	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	
9B RN	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Home
9B RN	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Home
9B RN	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Home
9B RN	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Home
9B RN	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	
9B RN	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	
9B RN	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	
Physio II	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Home
	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	
	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	
8A patient	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	8A
8A patient	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	8A
8A patient	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	8A
8A out-pati	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	
10C in-pati	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	10C Ward
Patient	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	

75

41 + 23 = 64

醫院管理局  
HOSPITAL AUTHORITY

PRINCE OF WALES HOSPITAL

威爾斯親王醫院

Department of Medicine

Tel No.: (852)26323131/3133

Fax No.: (852)26375396/26373852

To : Dr. TK AuFrom : Dr. Man Man

Date : \_\_\_\_\_

Fax No. : 26030523Total pages including this page : 3

Re: Patient. Listing

12-MAR-2003 14:14

FWH

P.01

Humalog/Humulin

~~\_\_\_\_\_~~  
Linda

Lilly

Patient List received by NTERO on 12 March 2003

System Date/Time: 12-03-2003 13:09

Source Code MRN Confidential

12-MAR-2003 14:14

PLH

98%

P.02

Word	Bed	Name	Chi Name	Episode	Specialty	Class	Admission datetime	HKID	Sex	Age
BA				HN03020638Q	MED	3	11-03-2003 20:17		F	
BA				HN03020648N	MED	3	11-03-2003 20:30		F	
BA				HN03020657M	MED	3	11-03-2003 20:33		M	
BA				HN03020646R	MED	3	11-03-2003 20:28		M	
BA				HN03020638O	MED	3	11-03-2003 20:18		F	
BA				HN03020701N	MED	3	12-03-2003 00:00		M	
BA				HN03020652W	MED	3	11-03-2003 20:31		F	
BA				HN03020644V	MED	3	11-03-2003 20:27		M	
BA				HN03020645T	MED	3	11-03-2003 20:28		M	
BA				HN03020656Q	MED	3	11-03-2003 20:39		M	
BA				HN03020672Q	MED	3	11-03-2003 20:57		M	
BA				HN03020702W	MED	3	12-03-2003 00:02		M	
BA				HN03020684U	MED	3	11-03-2003 21:44		F	
BA				HN03020656O	MED	3	11-03-2003 20:33		F	
BA				HN03020643M	MED	3	11-03-2003 20:23		F	
BA				HN03020650P	MED	3	11-03-2003 20:31		F	
BA				HN03020656W	MED	3	11-03-2003 20:38		M	
BA				HN03020699S	MED	3	11-03-2003 23:58		F	
BA				HN03020651N	MED	3	11-03-2003 20:31		F	
BA				HN03020678P	MED	3	11-03-2003 21:20		F	
BA				HN03020640S	MED	3	11-03-2003 20:21		F	
BA				HN03020670U	MED	3	11-03-2003 20:52		F	
BA				HN03020662T	MED	3	11-03-2003 20:36		F	
BA				HN03020649W	MED	3	11-03-2003 20:30		M	
BA				HN03020655N	MED	3	11-03-2003 20:37		F	
BA				HN03020653R	MED	3	11-03-2003 20:38		M	
BA				HN03020654S	MED	3	11-03-2003 20:32		M	
BA				HN03020653U	MED	3	11-03-2003 20:32		F	
BA				HN03020654P	MED	3	11-03-2003 20:37		F	
BA				HN03020651V	MED	3	11-03-2003 20:35		F	
BA				HN03020655V	MED	3	11-03-2003 20:33		F	
BA				HN03020636U	MED	3	11-03-2003 20:16		M	
BA				HN03020642O	MED	3	11-03-2003 20:23		M	
BA				HN03020659T	MED	3	11-03-2003 20:34		F	

99

53



CONFIDENTIAL

## Patient Listing

System Date/Time: 12/03-2003 13:08

Ward	Bed	Name	Chl Name	Extends	Specialty	Class	Admission date/time	HKID	Sex	Age	Source Data	MRN	Confidential
087	BA			HN03020802S	MED	3	12-03-2003 08:48		M				
088	BA			HN03020873O	MED	3	11-03-2003 20:58		M				
089	BA			HN03020877R	MED	3	11-03-2003 21:20		F				
090	BA			HN03020847P	MED	3	11-03-2003 20:29		F				
091	BA			HN03020700P	MED	3	12-03-2003 00:00		M				
092	BA	01		HN03018888N	MED	3	08-03-2003 08:50		M				
093	BA	01X		HN03018888S	MED	3	08-03-2003 11:02		M				
094	BA	02		HN03018888T	MED	3	08-03-2003 08:18		M				
095	BA	03		HN03018489M	MED	3	04-03-2003 13:28		M				
096	BA	04		HN03018875B	MED	3	08-08-2003 13:58		M				
097	BA	08		HN03018102Q	MED	3	21-02-2003 08:52		M				
098	BA	09X		HN03018740R	MED	3	08-03-2003 11:08		M				
099	BA	11		HN03018823V	MED	3	04-03-2003 16:28		M				
100	BA	12		HN03018886M	MED	3	08-03-2003 16:49		M				
101	BA	13		HN03018888Q	MED	3	07-03-2003 23:57		M				
102	BA	14		HN03018828M	MED	3	07-03-2003 18:10		M				
103	BA	15		HN03018850M	MED	3	08-03-2003 10:44		M				
104	BA	17		HN03018782O	MED	3	06-03-2003 11:41		M				
105	BA	17X		HN03013878O	MED	3	18-02-2003 01:28		M				
106	BA	18		HN03018427R	MED	3	04-03-2003 11:23		M				
107	BA	20		HN03018875B	MED	3	27-02-2003 11:58		M				
108	BA	22		HN03018821N	MED	3	06-03-2003 14:27		M				
109	BA	28		HN03018810U	MED	3	08-03-2003 19:12		M				
110	BA	27		HN03018520W	MED	3	07-03-2003 18:18		M				
111	BA	28		HN03018837P	MED	3	08-03-2003 08:47		M				
112	BA	28		HN03013326R	MED	3	18-02-2003 08:53		M				
113	BA	30		HN03014899S	MED	3	20-02-2003 13:08		M				
114	BA	31		HN03018888N	MED	3	08-03-2003 11:43		M				
115	BA	32		HN03018106M	MED	3	03-03-2003 18:28		M				
116	BA	33		HN03018881M	MED	3	09-03-2003 14:32		M				
117	BA	Dis.		HN03017843T	MED	3	02-03-2003 20:21		M				
118	BA	Dis.		HN03018288M	MED	3	07-03-2003 01:23		M				
119	BA	Dis.		HN03018484T	MED	3	07-03-2003 18:24		M				
120	BA	Dis.		HN03018878Q	MED	3	04-03-2003 23:08		M				

July 2005

Patient List received by NETRO on 13 March 2003

13. März  
7AW

Name	Status	ID	tel	destination	S/S	MICROB
[REDACTED]	HCW	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]			
[REDACTED]	HCW	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]			
[REDACTED]	HCW	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]			
[REDACTED]	HCW	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]			
[REDACTED]	HCW	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	On duty		
[REDACTED]	HCW	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Home		
[REDACTED]	HCW	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Home		
[REDACTED]	HCW	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Home		
[REDACTED]	HCW	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Home		
[REDACTED]	HCW	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Home		
[REDACTED]	HCW	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]			
[REDACTED]	HCW	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]			
[REDACTED]	HCW	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]			
[REDACTED]	HCW	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]			
[REDACTED]	HCW	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]			
[REDACTED]	HCW	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	A&E		
[REDACTED]	HCW	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	O Ward		
[REDACTED]	HCW	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Home		
[REDACTED]	HCW	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	can't be contacted		
[REDACTED]	HCW	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Union		
[REDACTED]	HCW	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Home		
[REDACTED]	HCW	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]			
[REDACTED]	HCW	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Home		
[REDACTED]	HCW	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]			
[REDACTED]	HCW	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Home		
[REDACTED]	HCW	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]			
[REDACTED]	HCW	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]			
[REDACTED]	HCW	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	On duty		
[REDACTED]	HCW	[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Home		

~~3/3/67~~

13 March 1995  
4 pages

[REDACTED]

KWH  
Home

**Home**

can't be contacted

Home  
Home  
Home  
Home  
On duty  
On duty  
O Ward

Home  
Home  
Home

**A&E**

BA Ward

CONFIDENTIAL

INTERVIRUS

not admitted

HOME FROM A&E  
HOME FROM A&E  
HOME FROM A&E  
HOME FROM A&E  
HOME FROM A&E  
HOME FROM A&E  
HOME FROM A&E  
HOME FROM A&E  
HOME FROM A&E

41

4

HOME FROM A&E  
HOME FROM A&E  
HOME FROM A&E  
HOME FROM A&E  
HOME FROM A&E  
HOME FROM A&E  
HOME FROM A&E  
HOME FROM A&E  
HOME FROM A&E  
HOME FROM A&E  
HOME FROM A&E  
HOME FROM A&E  
HOME FROM A&E  
HOME FROM A&E W/ FU CXR  
HOME FROM A&E  
HOME FROM A&E  
HOME FROM A&E  
HOME FROM A&E  
HOME FROM A&E  
HOME FROM A&E  
HOME FROM A&E  
HOME FROM A&E W/ FU CXR  
HOME FROM A&E W/ FU CXR  
HOME FROM A&E  
HOME FROM A&E W/ FU CXR  
HOME FROM A&E

CONFIDENTIAL

20\_3\_03

new case of today  
20/3

	careno	name	id	status	rank	dept	wave	destinat	icu_over	in_patie	expo_8a
24	116	123.00	[REDACTED]	3.00			2.00	6C		1.00	2
2384	117	124.00	[REDACTED]	1.00	RN	AAB		10AB		1.00	-
2385	118	125.00	[REDACTED]	1.00	Asso. Pr	WAT	1.00	10AB		1.00	2
2318 238	119	126.00	[REDACTED]	1.00	RN	O Room		8D		1.00	2
2364 238	120	127.00	[REDACTED]	3.00				8D		1.00	2
239	121	128.00	[REDACTED]	3.00				8A		1.00	2
238258	122	129.00	[REDACTED]	1.00	RN	8A		10AB		1.00	2

# CONFIDENTIAL

## Patient Movement

Encl. 7

Name	ID	Status	Rank	Dept		Movement	New Case
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	HCW	RN	O Room		8D 20/3	20/3
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Patient				8D 20/3	20/3
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	HCW	RN	A&E		10A 20/3	20/3
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	HCW	RN	8A		10A 20/3	20/3
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	HCW	Asso. Pro	M&T		10B 20/3	20/3
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Patient				6C 20/3	20/3
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Patient			T/F in	11B to 8A 20/3	20/3
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Patient			Transfer	ICU to 8B 20/3	
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	MO			Transfer	10B to ICU 20/3	
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Patient			Transfer	8B to ICU 20/3	
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Patient			Home	6D Home on 20/3	
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Patient			Home	Home 20/3	
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Patient			T/F out	8A to 10D 20/3	
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Patient			Home	Home 20/3	
[REDACTED]	[REDACTED]	Patient			Transfer	8D to 8B 20/3	

YY

**CONFIDENTIAL**Encl. 8

"Louis Chan"  
<chanyai@ha.org.hk>

26/01/2004 10:33  
Please respond to "Louis  
Chan"

To: <t\_k\_au@dh.gov.hk>  
cc: <fung@ha.org.hk>  
Subject: Discharges from 8A 11-13 March 2003  
☐ Urgent ☐ Return Receipt

Dear Dr Au,  
Please find below the details of the 10 patients discharged from 8A from 11 to 13 March 2003.  
Happy new year!  
Louis

<?xml:namespace prefix = o ns = "urn:schemas-microsoft-com:office:office" />

| HKID       | HN No.      | SARS | Status  | Patient Name | Discharge Date |
|------------|-------------|------|---------|--------------|----------------|
| [REDACTED] | HN03018579Q | Yes  | Patient | [REDACTED]   | 11/3           |
| [REDACTED] | HN03019878M | No   | Patient | [REDACTED]   | 11/3           |
| [REDACTED] | HN03019874U | No   | Patient | [REDACTED]   | 11/3           |
| [REDACTED] | HN03019837P | No   | Patient | [REDACTED]   | 12/3           |
| [REDACTED] | HN03019838N | No   | Patient | [REDACTED]   | 12/3           |
| [REDACTED] | HN03019850M | Yes  | Patient | [REDACTED]   | 12/3           |
| [REDACTED] | HN03019525M | Yes  | Patient | [REDACTED]   | 12/3           |
| [REDACTED] | HN03019568Q | Yes  | Patient | [REDACTED]   | 12/3           |

|            |             |    |         |            |      |
|------------|-------------|----|---------|------------|------|
| [REDACTED] | HN03018469M | No | Patient | [REDACTED] | 13/3 |
| [REDACTED] | HN03019835T | No | Patient | [REDACTED] | 13/3 |



Encl. 9(i)

To : C.P. N.T.E.

Attn. Dr. T.K. Au

Date: 5 Feb. 2004

I received a phone call from Dr. Au on 30 January 2004 2:35pm . He asked me to recall my memory on 14 March 2003 concerning on an investigation on a patient JJ, the index case of PWH.

I confirmed that 14 March 2003 morning was my first day duty to PWH. I could vividly remember that when I arrived PWH 8A ward to obtain history from JJ that morning, he was staying in Bed 11 of an open cubicle with some other patients. I then obtained all the necessary information from him at his bedside. I interviewed JJ again in the same evening and by then he was already isolated in an isolation room.

Attached please find my duty roster on 14 March 2003 and "W" means duty in PWH.



Ag.NO CHEUNG Yim-hing

Encl. 9(11)

Nursing Staff PH NTE

As at 2/13/2003

|    |            | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 | 24 | 25 | 26 | 27 | 28 | 29 | 30 | 31 |
|----|------------|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
|    |            | T  | F  | S  | S  | M  | T  | W  | T  | F  | S  | S  | M  | T  | W  | T  | F  | S  | S  | M  |
| 1  | [REDACTED] |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 2  | [REDACTED] |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    | X  |    |
| 3  | [REDACTED] |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 4  | [REDACTED] |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 5  | [REDACTED] |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 6  | [REDACTED] |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 7  | [REDACTED] |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 8  | [REDACTED] |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 9  | [REDACTED] |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 10 | [REDACTED] |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 11 | [REDACTED] |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 12 | [REDACTED] |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 13 | [REDACTED] |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 14 | [REDACTED] |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 15 | [REDACTED] |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 16 | [REDACTED] |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 17 | [REDACTED] |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 18 | [REDACTED] |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 19 | [REDACTED] |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 20 | [REDACTED] |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 21 | [REDACTED] |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |
| 22 | [REDACTED] |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |    |

→ 3/4

→ 1/4