

立法會
Legislative Council

LC Paper No. CB(3) 639/03-04

**Paper for the House Committee meeting
on 28 May 2004**

**Questions scheduled for the
Legislative Council meeting on 2 June 2004**

Questions by:

- | | | | |
|------|---|-----------------|----------------|
| (1) | Hon James TO | (Oral reply) | |
| (2) | Hon CHEUNG Man-kwong | (Oral reply) | |
| (3) | Hon Martin LEE | (Oral reply) | |
| (4) | Dr Hon YEUNG Sum | (Oral reply) | |
| (5) | Hon HO Chun-yan
<i>(Replacing his previous question)</i> | (Oral reply) | (New question) |
| (6) | Hon Audrey EU | (Oral reply) | |
| (7) | Dr Hon David LI | (Written reply) | |
| (8) | Hon Andrew CHENG | (Written reply) | |
| (9) | Hon James TIEN | (Written reply) | |
| (10) | Hon Ambrose LAU | (Written reply) | |
| (11) | Hon NG Leung-sing | (Written reply) | |
| (12) | Hon Howard YOUNG | (Written reply) | |
| (13) | Hon LEUNG Fu-wah | (Written reply) | |
| (14) | Hon Frederick FUNG | (Written reply) | |
| (15) | Hon Martin LEE
<i>(Replacing the question previously placed under this number)</i> | (Written reply) | (New question) |
| (16) | Hon Michael MAK | (Written reply) | |
| (17) | Hon Albert CHAN | (Written reply) | |
| (18) | Ir Dr Hon HO Chung-tai | (Written reply) | |
| (19) | Hon Emily LAU | (Written reply) | |
| (20) | Hon LAU Kong-wah | (Written reply) | |

註 :

NOTE :

議員將採用這種語言提出質詢

Member will ask the question in this language

#(5) 何俊仁議員 (口頭答覆)

關於當局委任平等機會委員會(下稱“平機會”)的委員，政府可否告知本會：

- (一) 鑒於當局就委任諮詢或法定組織的非官方成員訂有該等成員的任期不應超過 6 年，以及同一人不應擔任超過 6 個委員會的成員的規定，現時哪些平機會的委員已被委任超過 6 年和哪些擔任超過 6 個委員會的成員，以及政府基於甚麼理據在委任他們時偏離該等規定；
- (二) 有否評估政府在上月就平機會委員作出的委任對平機會的聲譽有甚麼影響；若有，評估的結果；若否，原因是甚麼；及
- (三) 當局有否計劃委任少數族裔人士為平機會委員，以配合禁止種族歧視的政策？

(5) Hon HO Chun-yan (Oral Reply)

Regarding the appointments of members of the Equal Opportunities Commission ("EOC"), will the Government inform this Council:

- (a) since the authorities have stipulated that the term of non-official members of advisory or statutory bodies should not be more than six years and each person should not serve as a member on more than six boards or committees, of incumbent EOC members who have been appointed for more than six years and those who are serving on more than six boards or committees, and the justifications for the Government to deviate from the above stipulation in appointing them;
- (b) whether it has assessed the impact of last month's appointments of EOC members on the reputation of EOC; if it has, of the assessment results; if not, the reasons for that; and
- (c) whether it has any plans to appoint persons belonging to the ethnic minorities as EOC members, to tie in with the policy against racial discrimination?

#(15) 李柱銘議員 (書面答覆)

就全國人民代表大會常務委員會(“人大常委會”)關於《基本法》附件一第七條和附件二第三條的解釋及香港特別行政區 2007 年行政長官和 2008 年立法會產生辦法有關問題的決定，政府可否告知本會，行政長官：

- (一) 何時知悉上述解釋及決定和它們的內容，以及何時閱覽有關解釋及決定的文本；
- (二) 就是否需要修改上述兩個產生辦法向人大常委會提交報告前，有否與中央政府官員溝通；若有，涉及的官員名稱及職位和溝通內容；及
- (三) 有沒有向中央政府或人大常委會爭取不要隨便解釋《基本法》條文，以及在未有充分徵詢港人對政制改革的意見前，不應在現階段否決 2007 年行政長官和 2008 年立法會全部議員均由普選產生？

(15) Hon Martin LEE (Written Reply)

Regarding the interpretation by the Standing Committee of the National People's Congress ("NPCSC") of Article 7 of Annex I and Article III of Annex II to the Basic Law and its decision on issues relating to the methods for selecting the Chief Executive ("CE") in the year 2007 and for forming the Legislative Council ("LegCo") in the year 2008, will the Government inform this Council:

- (a) when CE was aware of the above interpretation and decision and their contents, and when he read the texts of the relevant interpretation and decision;
- (b) whether CE had communicated with the officials of the Central Authorities before submitting a report to NPCSC on whether there is a need to amend the above two methods; if so, of the names and ranks of the officials involved and the contents of the communications; and
- (c) whether CE had urged the Central Government or the NPCSC not to interpret the provisions of the Basic Law too readily, and not to rule out at this stage the selection of CE in the year 2007 and the election of all LegCo Members in the year 2008 by universal suffrage without fully seeking the views of the people of Hong Kong on constitutional reform beforehand?