# 立法會 Legislative Council

LC Paper No. CB(1)2089/03-04

Ref: CB1/BC/6/03

## Paper for the House Committee meeting on 11 June 2004

# Report of the Bills Committee on Merchant Shipping (Security of Ships and Port Facilities) Bill

## **Purpose**

This paper reports on the deliberations of the Bills Committee on Merchant Shipping (Security of Ships and Port Facilities) Bill (the Bill).

## **Background**

## **International obligations**

- 2. The existing merchant shipping and port control legislation focuses on maritime safety and pollution prevention only. It does not deal with security issues nor cover any port facilities which are located on land. In the wake of the terrorist attacks on 11 September 2001, new provisions of the International Convention for the Safety of Life at Sea, 1974 (the SOLAS Convention) and the International Ship and Port Facility Security (ISPS) Code have been adopted by the International Maritime Organization (IMO) to enhance maritime security. The new requirements will come into force on 1 July 2004.
- 3. As the Central People's Government is a Contracting Government to the SOLAS Convention which is applicable to Hong Kong by extension, these security provisions will be binding on Hong Kong with effect from 1 July 2004. As an associate member of IMO, Hong Kong is obliged to give effect to the new requirements through domestic legislation. On 24 March 2004, the Bill was introduced into the Council. The Bill and its subsidiary legislation, if enacted, will provide the legal instrument for the Director of Marine (the Director) to implement the security requirements on Hong Kong registered ships and port facilities in Hong Kong, and to impose security control measures on foreign ships visiting the port of Hong Kong.

## Measures to enhance maritime security

- 4. The new provisions of the SOLAS Convention and the ISPS Code aim to establish an international framework through which government agencies and the shipping and port industries work together to detect and deter acts that threaten security in the maritime transport sector.
- 5. In brief, ships engaged on international voyages and port facilities serving such ships are required to conduct security assessments, and to have approved security plans and procedures in place to react to different security levels. A Contracting Government is required to ensure ships flying its flag, port facilities within its jurisdiction, as well as foreign ships visiting its ports are in compliance with the requirements. The Contracting Government is also required to assess the degree of risk that a security incident may occur, and set security levels accordingly for ships and port facilities under its jurisdiction to follow.
- 6. All applicable ships are required to carry an International Ship Security Certificate that is issued by the ship's flag administration or its authorized Recognized Security Organization whilst all applicable port facilities shall have their security plans approved by the authority appointed by its Government. Ships failing to comply with the requirements may be refused entry into a port, detained or expelled from a port.

### Consultation

7. According to the Administration's information when introducing the Bill into the Council, its proposal has the support of the Shipping Consultative Committee, the Port Operations Committee and the Port Area Security Advisory Committee, which comprise all major stakeholders of the local shipping and port industries. Subsequently, the Administration has also consulted the industries on the proposed subsidiary legislation and taken on board some of their comments.

## The Bill and related subsidiary legislation

- 8. The main purpose of the Bill is to implement the new provisions of the SOLAS Convention and the ISPS Code adopted by IMO to enhance security of ships and port facilities.
- 9. The Bill empowers the Secretary for Economic Development and Labour (the Secretary) to make regulations to implement Chapter XI-2 of the SOLAS Convention and the ISPS Code; and to provide for incidental or related matters. It also empowers the Director to:

- (a) declare port facilities;
- (b) recognize security organizations;
- (c) authorize officers to perform any function that is provided for in the Ordinance, the SOLAS Convention and the ISPS Code;
- (d) inspect and control ships and port facilities; and
- (e) grant exemption from any provisions of the Ordinance.
- 10. The detailed security requirements for ships and port facilities, the offences and penalties, as well as appeal procedures, will be stipulated in a piece of subsidiary legislation to be made under the Bill after its enactment. When the Bill was introduced into the Council on 24 March 2004, a preliminary draft of the Merchant Shipping (Security of Ships and Port Facilities) Regulation was also issued to Members. The subsidiary legislation was subsequently re-titled as Merchant Shipping (Security of Ships and Port Facilities) Rules, the draft of which (the draft Rules) has been provided for the Bills Committee's scrutiny.

### The Bills Committee

11. Members agreed at the House Committee meeting on 16 April 2004 to form a Bills Committee to study the Bill. Hon Miriam LAU Kin-yee was elected chairman of the Bills Committee and the membership list of the Committee is at **Appendix I**. The Bills Committee has held a total of six meetings to examine the Bill and the draft Rules.

#### **Deliberations of the Bills Committee**

## Urgency of the legislative proposals

12. In general, members of the Bills Committee do not dispute the need for Hong Kong to pass domestic legislation to give effect to the new requirements under the SOLAS Convention and the ISPS Code. Regarding the implications if the legislative proposals are not enacted in time on or before 1 July 2004, the Administration has advised that failure to comply with the new requirements will not only undermine the security standard for Hong Kong ships and port facilities, breach international obligations but will also cause serious adverse effect on the commercial operations of the shipping and port industries of Hong Kong. Having regard to the dire consequences if Hong Kong cannot legislate in time and the fact that the relevant amendments to the SOLAS Convention and the ISPS Code have been adopted by IMO as early as

December 2002, members strongly consider that the Administration should have submitted its legislative proposals much earlier for members' scrutiny.

- 13. On the timing for submitting its legislative proposals, the Administration has explained that although the new provisions of the SOLAS Convention and the ISPS Code were adopted by IMO at a Diplomatic Conference on Maritime Security in mid December 2002, consensus could not be reached at that time on whether Part B of the ISPS Code should be made compulsory or for guidance only. This was eventually resolved through a vote at IMO in May 2003. As the nature and extent of powers required became clear, the Administration started detailed preparatory work to implement the new requirements and drafting of the Bill commenced towards the end of 2003. In the meantime, the Administration also began working with port facility operators and shipowners for planning and execution of the requirements specified in the ISPS Code. It was under these circumstances that the Bill was introduced into the Council in March 2004.
- 14. In response to members' concern about the timing of examining the legislative proposals, the Administration has been requested to confirm during the Second Reading debate on the Bill that the need for expeditious scrutiny of the Bill and the draft Rules in the present case is due to exceptional circumstances and should by no means be taken as a precedent.

# Commencement of the Bill (Clause 1)

- 15. Clause 1(2) states that "Subject to subsections (3) and (4), this Ordinance comes into operation on the day on which it is published in the Gazette". Clause 1(3) further provides that the Secretary for Economic Development and Labour may exercise the power to make regulation before the day on which the Ordinance is published in the Gazette. Similarly, clause 1(4) provides that a recognized security organization may perform any function before the day on which this Ordinance is published in the Gazette.
- 16. Members are gravely concerned about the propriety of empowering the Secretary to exercise his regulation-making power and a recognized security organization to perform its functions before the gazettal of the Ordinance. In response, the Administration has highlighted that the main purpose of sub-clauses (3) and (4) is to enable the performance of certain administrative work in time for the implementation of the new provisions of the SOLAS Convention and the ISPS Code on 1 July 2004. In case the Bill and the proposed subsidiary legislation cannot be enacted on or before 1 July 2004, the provisions in sub-clauses (3) and (4) will enable the Director to have the bare minimum power to carry out control on ships visiting and staying in Hong Kong, such as the power to inspect and deny entry of ships and to detain non-compliant ships. However, now that the Bills Committee has concluded scrutiny and in anticipation that the Bill and the proposed subsidiary legislation

can be enacted in time before 1 July 2004, the Administration has confirmed that the provisions will no longer be needed and clause 1(2), (3) and (4) will be deleted from the Bill.

# Application to ships (Clause 4)

Clause 4(1)(b) states that the Ordinance applies to a non-Hong Kong ship while it is in Hong Kong. Clause 4(2)(a) states that the regulation made under section 6 of the Ordinance may apply to non-Hong Kong ships intending to enter Hong Kong. Members are concerned that as currently drafted, the scope of application of the subsidiary legislation is different from that of the Ordinance. In this regard, the Administration has confirmed its intention that the Ordinance should also apply to a non-Hong Kong ship intending to enter Hong Kong or in Hong Kong. Members also note that under exceptional circumstances, such as when it is necessary to declare an "exclusion zone", it may be necessary to extend the application of the Ordinance to other vessels which may not fall within the proposed definition of "ship". In response to members' request, the Administration has agreed to redraft clause 4 to spell out the scope of application more clearly and that the application of the subsidiary legislation will be consistent with the Ordinance.

# The extent of the application of the SOLAS Convention and the ISPS Code to certain port facilities (Clause 5)

- 18. The Administration has advised that clause 5 will only apply to port facilities primarily used by vessels not engaged on international voyages. In future, if such a port facility in Hong Kong wishes to extend its business to serve ocean-going ships occasionally, then, on application of the port facility concerned, the Director may specify the extent to which the Convention and the Code should apply to such a port facility. In practice, the requirements that the Director will likely specify will include the appointment of a Port Facility Security Officer, the conduct of security assessment and the drawing up of a security plan etc.
- 19. In response to members' query on how the Director will exercise his discretion under clause 5, the Administration has confirmed that the extent of application of the Convention and the Code will be considered by the Director on a case by case basis. As regards the manner of specifying the extent of application, members note that after the Director has decided on the extent of application to certain port facilities, such information will be posted on the IMO website, as well as specified in the relevant statement of compliance to be issued by the Director to the operators of the port facilities concerned.

# The Secretary's power to make regulations (Clause 6)

- 20. One of the key proposals in the Bill is to empower the Secretary to make regulations to implement Chapter XI-2 of the SOLAS Convention and the ISPS Code. Hon Margaret NG has stated her view that the regulation-making power conferred on the Secretary under clause 6 is far too broad as it is as extensive as the scope of the principal ordinance. The Administration's response is that the proposed provision seeks to provide flexibility for implementing the relevant requirements under the SOLAS Convention and the ISPS Code which may be amended from time to time. Moreover, the subsidiary legislation in question will be subject to the negative vetting by the Legislative Council.
- 21. On clause 6(2) (a) to (m) which sets out some of the matters which may be provided in the Rules, members have raised concern on the policy considerations and the legal and drafting aspects of some of the proposed provisions.

Clause 6(2)(b)

- 22. Pursuant to clause 6(2)(b), the Rules may create offences for non-compliance with the SOLAS Convention and the ISPS Code and provide for penalty in the form of imprisonment not exceeding three years and a fine not exceeding \$500,000.
- 23. In this regard, members note that pursuant to section 28(1)(e) of the Interpretation and General Clauses Ordinance (Cap.1) (IGCO), subsidiary legislation may create offences punishable on summary conviction by a fine not exceeding \$5,000 and/or imprisonment not exceeding six months. such, members are concerned about the power under clause 6(2)(b) which exceeds the aforesaid limitation. In response, the Administration has advised that clause 6(2)(b) is legally in order because by virtue of section 2(1) of IGCO, it is permissible for subsidiary legislation to provide a higher level of penalty than the general limitation stipulated in section 28(1)(e) of IGCO if such an intention is explicitly stated in the principal ordinance. The Administration has also stressed that as the international obligations are of great security importance, the level of penalty must be commensurate with the interests that the Administration seeks to protect. On whether the power conferred on the Secretary to prescribe offences and penalties is indeed excessive, the Administration considers that such power is still subject to the scrutiny of the legislature since the Rules to be made under clause 6 of the Bill and any future amendment thereto are subsidiary legislation subject to negative vetting by Members.
- 24. In response to members' enquiry about precedents of provisions in the principal ordinance which empower the making of subsidiary legislation

carrying a level of penalty higher than that provided under section 28(1)(e) of IGCO, the Administration has cited section 3(3) of the United Nations Sanctions Ordinance (Ca. 537), section 56(3) of the Estate Agents Ordinance (Cap. 511), section 398 (6) of the Securities and Futures Ordinance (Cap. 571), section 3(5)(g) of the Merchant Shipping (Prevention and Control of Pollution) Ordinance (Cap. 413) and section 42(5) and (6) of the Broadcasting Ordinance (Cap. 562).

## Clause 6(2)(c)

- 25. This clause empowers the Director to authorize any recognized security organization to perform any function that may be performed by such recognized security organizations under the Code. On the persons or organizations which may be eligible to become recognized security organizations, members note that at present, a total of nine classification societies have been provisionally authorized to review security plans, conduct verifications and issue International Ship Security Certificates in respect of Hong Kong registered ships on behalf of the Government.
- In this connection, members note that under section 4.3 of the ISPS Code, there are a number of security-related duties which a Contracting Government cannot delegate to a recognized security organization. These include the setting of the applicable security level, approving a Port Facility Security Assessment and a Port Facility Security Plan, determining the port facilities for which a Port Facility Security Officer has to be designated, exercising control and compliance measures and establishing the requirements for a Declaration of Security. Members share the view that these exceptions should also be stated in the empowering provision of the Bill so that the scope of the Director's power under clause 6(2)(c) can be more clearly delineated. Noting members' view, the Administration will amend clause 6(2)(c) by making a reference to section 4.3 of the Code.

## Clause 6(2)(e),(g) and (i)

- 27. These sub-clauses make provisions for the Director to set security levels, give security instructions and require compliance. Members note that under section 2.1.9 of the ISPS Code, there are three security levels (1 to 3) ranging from the normal situation to the situation under which further specific protective security measures shall be maintained for a limited period of time when a security incident is probable or imminent. Section 4.2 of the Code also requires a Contracting Government to issue appropriate instructions and provide security related information to the ships and port facilities that may be affected when it sets security level 3.
- 28. In this regard, some members of the Bills Committee have asked why the terms "security level" and "security instructions" have not been defined under the proposed section on "Interpretation" in the Bill. They point

out that by providing a definition on each of the terms in the Bill, the scope of the power that can be exercised by the Director in relation to security levels and security instructions under the Rules can be appropriately limited to the extent as provided in the Bill. Having considered members' comments, the Administration's view is that it is more appropriate and user-friendly to provide the definitions of "security level" and "security instructions" in the Rules instead of in the principal ordinance because the substantive provisions relating to these matters are contained in the Rules. Members have not raised further query.

Appeals in connection with declaration of port facility and recognized security organization (Clauses 7 and 8)

- Clause 7 of the Bill provides for the declaration of port facilities by the Director and related matters while clause 8 provides for the Director's giving written recognition to a person as a recognized security organization. Similar arrangements are provided under clause 7(3) and clause 8(4) whereby an appeal against a decision of the Director may be lodged with the Administrative Appeals Board. Meanwhile, clause 7(4) states that "an appeal under subsection (3) does not prevent this Ordinance from applying or continuing to apply to the port facility concerned"; while clause 8(5) provides that "an appeal under subsection (4) against a decision does not prevent the decision from taking effect".
- 30. Members consider that as currently drafted, the scope of clause 7(4), which covers the application of the Ordinance to the port facilities notwithstanding the lodging of an appeal, appears to be wider than the scope of clause 8(5), which only relates to the decisions of the Director. However, members understand from the Administration that both clauses are actually concerned with the Director's decisions exercised under the Bill. To avoid any unintended consequence, the Administration has accepted members' suggestion to amend clause 7(4) along the lines of clause 8(5). For the avoidance of doubt, the Administration will also specify in the relevant clauses that the lodging of an appeal will not prevent the Director's decisions from continuing to take effect or to apply.
- 31. Members note that in many other statutes, a decision of the Administration is usually suspended when an appeal is lodged. However, this is not the case under clause 7(4) and clause 8(5). On the justification for the proposed approach, the Administration has pointed out that the main policy consideration is the importance of the subject matter at stake. The Director is dealing with matters which have implications on the safety of life and property. The declaration of port facilities and giving recognition to security organizations are essential in ensuring Hong Kong's compliance with the SOLAS Convention and the ISPS Code. The Administration considers that if the Director's decisions are to be suspended upon an appeal being lodged, Hong

Kong may not be able to give re-assurance to the international community, in particular its trading partners, that a maritime security system in compliance with the international standard is firmly in place. As regards precedents, the Administration has advised that on account of the important nature of the matters being regulated, the Banking Ordinance (Cap. 155) and the Bedspace Apartments Ordinance (Cap. 447) contain provisions that certain decisions made by the statutory authority concerned continue to be in force notwithstanding an appeal having been lodged.

# Proposed powers of inspection (Clause 13)

- 32. Clause 13 of the Bill creates offences in respect of, inter alia, producing or giving false information to an authorized officer. Members note that pursuant to clause 13(5), a person commits an offence if he provides or gives to an authorized officer any document or information that he knows to be false in a material particular; or recklessly provides or gives to an authorized officer any document or information that is false in a material particular.
- 33. On the policy intent underlying the element of "recklessness" as provided under clause 13(5)(b), members note that in principle, it is the Administration's intention to impose criminal liability on a person who has reasons to believe that the document or information in question is false in a material particular and yet still produces it to the authorized officer without due regard. As such, members have requested the Administration to re-draft the offence clause to provide more clearly that a person will commit an offence if he produces or gives any document or information to an authorized officer that is false in a material particular, being reckless as to its truth or falsity. The Administration has taken on board members' view and will improve the drafting of the relevant provision accordingly.

# Access to the Convention and Code (Clause 18)

- 34. Clause 18(1) of the Bill requires the Director to put the English and Chinese texts of Chapter XI-2 of the SOLAS Convention and the ISPS Code in a website on the Internet for browsing free of charge. Clause 18(2) also requires the Director to keep a copy of the said documents in his office and to make available the documents for public inspection free of charge.
- 35. Since the relevant texts in the Convention and the Code form the essential basis for the Bill and its subsidiary legislation, the Bills Committee considers that they should be readily available for reference by interested parties. At one stage, members have discussed the Administration's proposal of attaching the relevant extract from the SOLAS Convention and the ISPS Code to the loose-leaf edition of the Laws of Hong Kong after the Bill and the Rules have been enacted and published. However, the Administration has

subsequently advised that as all IMO publications are protected by the term of the Universal Copyright Convention on Intellectual Property, the aforesaid proposal will not resolve the copyright issue. The Administration has also decided to delete clause 18(1) from the Bill to avoid copyright problems.

36. In response to members' concern about the feasibility of making available the relevant texts in future, the Administration has reported that in the course of the legislative process, it has obtained the consent of IMO to display the texts of the relevant documents of the IMO Conference, which contain the resolutions relating to the amendments to the SOLAS Convention and the Code, on the Marine Department's website. As such, any interested parties can have access to the relevant provisions in the Convention and the Code as contained in the resolutions. The Administration has also advised that notwithstanding the deletion of clause 18(1), the Marine Department is still able to make available the aforesaid information on its website.

## Draft Merchant Shipping (Security of Ships and Port Facilities) Rules

37. The Bills Committee has examined the draft Rules in detail. In response to members' comments, the Administration will introduce a number of amendments to improve the legal and drafting aspects of the Rules.

## General drafting approach

- 38. On the drafting approach, members note that in many parts of the draft Rules, reference has been made to the relevant regulations under the SOLAS Convention and the sections under the ISPS Code, but the texts of the regulations/sections *per se* are not reproduced in the draft Rules. Members have exchanged views with the Administration on whether the relevant provisions in the Convention and the Code should, as far as practicable, be directly imported into and form part of the draft Rules so that the Rules can be self-contained and self-explanatory. The Administration, in response, has confirmed that it has adopted a pragmatic approach whereby relevant provisions in the Convention and the Code have been incorporated into the draft Rules where appropriate. Where this is not practicable, because of the complexity of the provisions involved or their presentational difference, the Administration will make a reference to the relevant regulations/sections of the Convention and the Code in the draft Rules.
- 39. In general, members do not have very strong view against this drafting approach but are keen to ensure that the Rules, when enacted, must be capable of implementing the relevant requirements and are sufficiently clear to those who need to comply.

## Penal provisions

- The draft Rules provide for a number of offences which are 40. punishable on conviction by a fine and by imprisonment under some circumstances. Members observe that as currently drafted, many of the penal provisions in the draft Rules impose certain duties of compliance on the ship/vessel or the port facility. For example, under proposed Rule 14, a Hong Kong ship shall not engage on international voyage unless it holds and keeps on board the relevant Security Certificate. Proposed Rule 4(3) requires a ship or a port facility to act in accordance with a security instruction without delay. Proposed Rule 29 (1) imposes on port facilities the obligation to comply with requirements of security levels without delay. If there is any contravention or non-compliance with the requirements, the company, the master of the ship or the management of a port facility, as the case may be, commits an offence and will be liable on conviction to a fine and, in some cases, to imprisonment. Some members have questioned whether the penal provisions, as currently drafted, are sufficiently clear in imposing the criminal liability on an individual/person, namely the master of a ship or the management of a port facility, rather than on the object (i.e. the ship/vessel or the port facility) per se.
- 41. In response, the Administration has explained that the penal provisions in the draft Rules largely mirror the relevant provisions in the SOLAS Convention and the Code which prescribe certain duties of compliance on the ships/vessels and the port facilities. The draft Rules have further provided for criminal sanctions against non-compliance or contravention. Some members nevertheless point out that the Convention and the Code have only set out the security-related requirements but not the criminal sanctions for non-compliance. As the current legislative proposals seek to implement the requirements under the Convention and the Code, the members consider it important to draw up a set of penal provisions which should spell out clearly the ingredients of the offence in question and the party to be held criminally liable for the offence. They therefore ask the Administration to consider improving the drafting to this effect. The Administration has taken on board members' suggestion and have attempted to revise some of the relevant provisions in the draft Rules.

## Views of the industries

While noting from the Administration that its proposal has the support of the shipping and port industries, members are concerned about the views, if any, of the industry on the draft Rules which set out the implementation details. In response, the Marine Department has consulted the Port Area Security Advisory Committee, the Shipping Consultative Committee, as well as port facility groups. It has received some queries relating to the level of fees as prescribed in proposed Rule 33, the expression of "without delay", and the offence of ships in Hong Kong waters failing to comply with the requirements of security levels under proposed Rule 20 (3).

- 43. Members note that the level of fees charged by the Director in respect of the time spent by an authorized officer on providing services has been a subject of ongoing concern to the industry. In this regard, members note that in proposing to charge \$3,270 for the first hour or part hour and \$1,115 for each subsequent hour or part hour, the Administration will be able to recover the costs incurred for the inspection a ship or a port facility.
- 44. Members note that the expression "without delay" appears in a number of provisions in the draft Rules which impose a duty on a ship or a port facility to comply with certain security-related requirements. Having regard to the suggestion from the industry that "without delay" be changed to "without undue delay" in certain provisions, members in general consider that where applicable, the expression "without delay" should be substituted by "without undue delay" in the draft Rules so as to provide greater certainty. The Administration agrees to make the necessary amendments.
- 45. With some exception, proposed Rule 20 (1) of the draft Rules states, inter alia, that where a security level is set, a ship in the waters of Hong Kong shall without delay comply with the relevant requirements specified in the Code and implement the preventive and protective measures specified in its ship security plan in respect of the security level. In case of contravention, proposed Rule 20(3) provides that the company and the master of the ship each commits an offence. Members in general agree with the industry that it is reasonable to add the element of "without reasonable excuse" to the offence in Similarly, when examining proposed Rule 31 relating to the rectification of non-compliance with the requirements of the port facility security plan, members also consider that instead of prescribing a strict liability offence, the port facility management should only be held liable if it fails to effect the rectification "without reasonable excuse". The Administration accepts members' suggestion and will amend the Rules in question accordingly.

#### Other concerns

At members' request, the Administration has agreed that during the Second Reading debate on the Bill, the Secretary will assure Members in his speech that the Rules to be gazetted and tabled to the Council after the enactment of the Bill will be finalized from the draft Rules, which has been examined at length and agreed to by the Bills Committee subject to the amendments to be made by the Administration.

## Proposed legislative timetable

47. With the agreement of the Bills Committee and the House Committee earlier on, the Administration has given notice to resume Second Reading debate on the Bill on 23 June 2004. If passed by the Council, the enacted Bill will be gazetted on 25 June 2004. The Administration has

advised that the Rules to be made by the Secretary will be published in an extraordinary issue of the Gazette before 30 June 2004 and will be tabled at the Council meeting on 30 June 2004.

## **Committee Stage Amendments**

- 48. The full set of Committee Stage Amendments (CSAs) proposed by the Administration is at **Appendix II**. Most of the amendments have been introduced in response to members' views. The Bills Committee will not move any CSAs in its name.
- 49. A set of the draft Rules, which have incorporated the amendments made in response to members' comments, is at **Appendix III**.

### Recommendation

50. The Bills Committee supports the resumption of the Second Reading debate on the Bill on 23 June 2004. Members have noted and raised no objection to the Administration's proposed legislative timetable.

## **Advice sought**

51. Members are invited to note the recommendation of the Bills Committee in the preceding paragraph.

Council Business Division 1
Legislative Council Secretariat
10 June 2004

# **Bills Committee on Merchant Shipping (Security of Ships and Port Facilities) Bill**

## **Membership List**

Chairman Hon Miriam LAU Kin-yee, JP

Members Dr Hon David CHU Yu-lin, JP

Hon Margaret NG

Hon SIN Chung-kai

(Total: 4 Members)

Clerk Miss Polly YEUNG

**Legal Adviser** Miss Anita HO

**Date** 28 May 2004

# MERCHANT SHIPPING (SECURITY OF SHIPS AND PORT FACILITIES) BILL

#### COMMITTEE STAGE

# Amendments to be moved by the Secretary for Economic Development and Labour

#### Clause

### Amendment Proposed

Long title

- (a) By deleting "Enhance security of ships and port facilities and for that purpose to" and substituting "To".
- (b) By deleting "國際船舶及" and substituting "國際船舶和".
- (c) By adding "to enhance security of ships and port facilities" before the semicolon.
- By deleting the clause and substituting -

#### "1. Short title

This Ordinance may be cited as the Merchant Shipping (Security of Ships and Port Facilities) Ordinance."

- 3(1) (a) In the definition of "authorized officer"-
  - (i) in paragraph (a), by deleting
     everything after "Department"
     and substituting "of or above

- the rank of Marine Inspector Class II;";
- (ii) in paragraph (b), by deleting
   everything after "officer" and
   substituting "of or above the
   rank of Sergeant; or";
- (iii) in paragraph (c), by deleting
  "other".
- (b) In the definition of "the Code" by adding"國際" before "規則》)".
- (c) In the definition of "high-speed craft",
   by deleting "equalling" and substituting
   "equal".
- (d) In the definition of "international
   voyage" -
  - (i) in paragraph (a), by adding "a
    place of" before "a party";
  - (ii) in paragraph (b), by adding "a
     place of" after "Convention
     to".
- (e) In the definition of "port facility", by deleting everything after "means" and substituting "a location (including an anchorage, a waiting berth and an approach from seaward) where ship/port interface takes place;".
- (f) In the definition of "ship" -

- (i) in paragraph (a), by deleting
   everything before "is" and
   substituting -
  - "(a) a ship (including a
    high-speed craft)
    that carries more
    than 12 passengers
    and";
- (ii) by deleting "exploration of"
   and substituting
   "exploitation of";
- (iii) by deleting "location." and substituting "location;".
- (g) By adding -
  - ""Administration" (主管機關), in relation to a ship, means the government of the state whose flag the ship is entitled to fly;
    - "designated port facility" (經指定 港口設施) means a port facility designated as a designated port facility under section 7;
  - "management" (管理人), in relation
    to a port facility, means the
    owner, occupier or operator of
    the port facility;
  - "ship/port interface" (船/港界面)
    means interactions that occur
    when a ship is directly and
    immediately affected by
    actions involving the movement
    of persons or goods or provision

of port services to or from the ship.".

- 3(2) By adding "國際" before "規" where it twice appears.
- 4 (a) In the heading, by adding "and vessels" after "ships".
  - (b) By deleting subclause (1) and substituting -
    - "(1) This Ordinance applies -
      - (a) to a Hong Kong ship,
        whether or not it is in
        Hong Kong;
      - (b) to a non-Hong Kong ship intending to enter Hong Kong or in Hong Kong; and
      - (c) for the purposes of
         imposing restriction
         for abating or
         containing any
         security threat, to
         any vessel in Hong
         Kong.".
  - (c) By deleting subclause (2).

5

- (d) In subclause (3)(c), by adding
   "government" before "non-commercial".
- (a) In the heading, by adding "designated" after "certain".
- (b) By deleting "port facility which, in his opinion" and substituting "designated port facility which".

# By deleting the clause and substituting -

### "6. Rules

- (1) The Secretary may make rules for the purposes of this Ordinance.
- (2) Without prejudice to the generality of subsection (1), rules made under this section may
  - (a) require compliance with the

    Convention and the Code in

    relation to ships and designated

    port facilities;
  - (b) create offences for the purpose of paragraph (a) and provide for imprisonment not exceeding 3 years and a fine not exceeding \$500,000;
  - (c) empower the Director delegate to any recognized security organization functions relating to security of ships or designated port facilities that may be performed by such recognized security organization under the Code subject to the exceptions specified in section 4.3 of part A of the Code;
  - (d) make procedural provision for the delegation pursuant to the power under paragraph (c);

- (e) provide for the setting of security levels by the Director;
- (f) empower the Director or any person designated by him to give security instructions when the highest security level is set;
- (g) make provision for appeal against a decision made by the Director under this Ordinance;
- (h) require compliance with requirements of the security levels referred to in paragraph
  (e) in relation to ships and designated port facilities;
- (i) require compliance with security instructions referred to in paragraph (f);
- (j) confer on the Director any power that may be exercised by
  - (i) a Contracting Government;
  - (ii) an Administration; or
  - (iii) any person who is authorized by a Contracting Government,

under Chapter XI-2 of the Convention or the Code;

(k) make provision for –

(i) the specification of the extent of application under section 5; and

- (ii) the designation of designated port facilities under section 7;
- (l) empower the Director to charge fees and make provision for the recovery of such fees;
- (m) empower the Director to declare any area of the waters of Hong Kong to be an area closed to all vessels or to any class or type of vessels for security reasons.
- (3) The rules made under this section, to the extent required for the operation of section 4, have effect outside Hong Kong.
- (4) The rules made under this section may amend the Schedule to the Administrative Appeals Board Ordinance (Cap. 442) to make any decision made under the rules subject to appeal under that Ordinance.".

## By deleting the clause and substituting –

7

# "7. Designation of designated port facility

- (1) The Director may by notice published in the Gazette
  - (a) designate any port facility as a designated port facility;

- (b) vary any particulars of a
  designated port facility
  including the delineation of its
  boundary, its hours of operation
  and its name; or
- (c) declare that a designated port facility ceases to be a designated port facility.
- (2) A notice published under subsection (1) is not subsidiary legislation.
- (3) The management of a port facility may appeal to the Administrative Appeals Board against a decision of the Director
  - (a) to designate or not to designate the port facility as a designated port facility; or
  - (b) to declare or not to declare that the port facility ceases to be a designated port facility.
- (4) The lodging of an appeal under subsection (3) against a decision does not prevent the decision from taking effect.
- (5) The Director shall make, in such manner as he thinks fit, available for inspection free of charge by the public a list of all designated port facilities.".
- (a) In the heading, by deleting "organization" and substituting "organizations".
- (b) In subclause (1), by adding "or organization which" after "who".

8

- (c) In subclause (4), by adding "or organization" before "aggrieved".
- (d) In subclause (5), by deleting "An" and substituting "The lodging of an".
- 9(2) By deleting everything after "may" and substituting "exercise such powers or perform such duties as may be conferred or imposed on him pursuant to this Ordinance, the Convention or the Code.".
- 10 (a) By deleting "and ensuring" and substituting "or ensuring".

11

- (b) In paragraph (d), by deleting "recordings" and substituting "records".
- (c) In paragraph (f), by deleting everything after "if" and before the comma and substituting "a direction made under paragraph (e) is not complied with".
- (a) In the heading, by adding "designated" before "port".
- (b) By deleting "and ensuring that the provisions of this

  Ordinance which relate to" and substituting "or ensuring that the provisions of this Ordinance which relate to designated".

- (c) In paragraph (a), by adding "designated" before "port".
- (d) In paragraph (b), by deleting "owner, occupier or operator of a" and substituting "management of a designated".
- (e) In paragraph (d), by deleting "recordings" and substituting "records".
- 12 (a) In subclause (1), by adding "a designated" before "port".
  - (b) In subclause (2), by deleting everything after "satisfied" and before "port facility" and substituting "by information on oath by an authorized officer that it is necessary, for the purpose of ascertaining whether or ensuring that the provisions of this Ordinance which relate to ships or designated port facilities are complied with, to enter any part of a ship or a designated".
- 13 (a) In subclause (1)
  - (i) by deleting "As far as it is practicable, an" and substituting "An";
  - (ii) by deleting "sections 10 and 11" and substituting "section 10 or 11".

- (b) In subclauses (2) and (4), by deleting "sections 10 and11" and substituting "section 10 or 11".
- (c) In subclause (3)
  - (i) by deleting "sections 10 and 11" and substituting "section 10 or 11";
  - (ii) by deleting "及發" and substituting "或 發".
- (d) In subclause (5)
  - (i) by deleting "sections 10(b) and 11(b)" and substituting "section 10(b) or 11(b)";
  - (ii) by deleting paragraph (b) and substituting
    - "(b) produces or gives to an authorized officer any document or information that is false in a material particular and being reckless as to whether the document or information is true in such particular,".

- (i) in paragraph (a), by deleting everything after "between" and substituting"designated port facilities and port facilities outside Hong Kong; or";
- (ii) in paragraph (b), by adding "designated" after "such";
- (iii) by adding "經指定" before "港" where it last appears.
- (b) In subclause (2)
  - (i) by deleting "ship if" and substituting "ships if";
  - (ii) by deleting "of ship" and substituting "of ships".
- (c) In subclause (3)
  - (i) by deleting "port facility or a class of port facility" and substituting "designated port facility or a class of designated port facilities";
  - (ii) by deleting "or class of port facility" and substituting "or the class of port facilities".
- By deleting the clause and substituting
  - "15. Master's discretion for ship safety and security

If the master of a ship takes or executes any decision which, in his professional judgment, is necessary to maintain the safety and security of the ship, the taking or execution of that decision shall not by itself constitute a breach of any duty owed to any person by him under any contract (including an employment contract)."

- 17 By deleting the clause.
- 18 (a) In the heading, by adding "**國際**" before "規".
  - (b) By deleting subclause (1).
  - (c) In subclause (2)(a), by adding "國際" before "規".

Appendix III

MERCHANT SHIPPING (SECURITY OF SHIPS AND PORT FACILITIES) RULES

# MERCHANT SHIPPING (SECURITY OF SHIPS AND PORT FACILITIES) RULES

## CONTENTS

Rule		Page
	PART 1	
	GENERAL PROVISIONS	
<del>12</del> .	Interpretation	1
<del>23</del> .	Setting of security levels	3
<u>3</u> 4.	Security instructions	3
<u>45</u> .	Delegation of powers functions to recognized security organizations	4
<u>5</u> 6.	Declaration of closed area	4
	PART 2	
	SHIPS	
<u>6</u> 7.	Hong Kong ships to comply with the Convention and the Code	5
<u>7</u> 8.	Non-Hong Kong ships to comply with Convention-and the Code	5
<u>8</u> 9.	Companies to comply with the Convention-and the Code	5
<del>210</del> .	Ship security officers and company security officers	6
1 <u>0</u> 4.	Control of ships in Hong Kong	6
1 <u>12</u> .	Control of ships intending to enter Hong Kong	6
1 <u>2</u> 3.	Verification requirements relating to  Maintenance of security system on Hong Kong ships	7
1 <u>3</u> 4.	Certificates for Hong Kong ships	7
1 <u>45</u> .	International Ship Security Certificates	7
1 <u>5</u> 6.	Obligations of companies in relation to ships	8
1 <u>6</u> 7.	Interim International Ship Security Certificates	9
1 <u>7</u> 8.	Cancellation of certificates	9

1 <u>8</u> 9.	Hong Kong ships to comply with requirements of security levels	10
<u>19<del>20</del></u> .	Non-Hong Kong ships to comply with requirements of security levels	11
2 <u>0</u> 4.	Duty to notify the Director of non-compliance	11
2 <u>1</u> 2.	Ships to complete and keep Declarations of Security	12
2 <u>2</u> 3.	Duty to keep information and records	12
	PART 3	
	PORT FACILITIES	
2 <u>3</u> 4.	Port facilities to comply with the Convention and the Code	13
2 <u>4</u> 5.	Port facility security officers	13
2 <u>5</u> 6.	Port facility security plans	14
2 <u>6</u> 7.	Duty to notify the Director of material change in circumstances	14
2 <u>7</u> 8.	Withdrawal of approval of port facility security plans	14
2 <u>89</u> .	Port facilities to comply with requirements of security levels	15
<u>29</u> 30.	Port facilities to complete and keep Declarations of Security	15
3 <u>0</u> 4.	Rectification of non-compliance	15
	PART <u>4</u> 3	
	MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS	
3 <u>1</u> 2.	Appeals	16
32 <del>3</del> .	Fees	17

# MERCHANT SHIPPING (SECURITY OF SHIPS AND PORT FACILITIES) RULES

(Made under section 6 of the Merchant Shipping (Security of Ships and Port Facilities) Ordinance ( of 2004 ))

## PART 1 GENERAL PROVISIONS

#### 2.1. Interpretation

In these Rules, unless the context otherwise requires -

- "company" (公司), in relation to a ship, means -
  - (a) the owner of the ship; or
  - (b) any person, including the manager or bareboat charterer of the ship, who has assumed responsibility for the operation of the ship and, on assuming that responsibility, agreed to take over all duties and responsibilities imposed in respect of the ship by the International Safety Management Code;
- "company security officer"(公司保安官員) means a person designated under rule 910(1)(b) for the purposes of this Ordinance;
- "Declaration of Security" (保安聲明) means an agreement reached between a ship and a port facility or a ship with which it interfaces specifying the security measures that each will implement;
- "interim <del>Security</del> certificate"(臨時保安證書) means an Interim International Ship Security Certificate issued under <u>rule 167</u>;
- "International Safety Management Code" (《國際安全管理規則》) means the International Management Code for the Safe Operation of Ships and for Pollution Prevention adopted by the International Maritime Organization as amended by the Organization from time to time;

- \_"management" (管理人), in relation to a port facility, means the owner, occupier or operator of the port facility;
- "port facility security officer" (港口設施保安官員) means a person designated under <u>rule 245(1)</u> for the purposes of this Ordinance;
- "port facility security plan" (港口設施保安計劃) means a plan referred to in section 16 of part A of the Code;
- "security certificate" (保安證書) means a certificate an International Ship Security Certificate issued or endorsed under rule 145;
- "security instruction" (保安指示) means an instruction given under rule 3; that the Director may give when security level 3 is set under rule 3;
- "security level" (保安級別) means athe qualification of the degree of risk that any <u>suspicious</u> act or circumstance threatening the security of a ship or port facility will occur as set <u>pursuant to section 4.1 of part A of the Code; under rule 3</u>;
- "ship security officer" (船舶保安官員) means a person designated under <u>rule</u> 910(1)(a) for the purposes of this Ordinance;
- "ship security plan" (船舶保安計劃) means a plan referred to in section 9 of part A of the Code.

# 2A. Director may exercise power under the Convention or the Code

(1)Any power that is exercisable by a Contracting Government or the Administration pursuant to Chapter XI-2 of the Convention or the Code shall, in relation to Hong Kong, be exercisable by the Director subject to the provisions of Chapter XI-2 of the Convention and the Code.

(2)[The Director/ An authorized officer] shall be an officer duly authorized by the Government as a Contracting Government for the purposes of Chapter XI-2 of the Convention and the Code.

#### 3.2. Setting of security levels

The Director shall set security levels <u>pursuant to in accordance with regulation 3 of Chapter XI-2 of the Convention and section 4.1 of part A of the Code by publishing a notice which is accessible through the Internet.</u>

#### 4.3. Security instructions

- (1) Where security level 3 is <u>set by the Director under rule 3</u>, the Director may give such security instructions as he <del>considers appropriate thinks fit to -</del>
  - (a) a Hong Kong ship;
  - (b) a non-Hong Kong ship in the waters of Hong Kong; or
  - (c) a designated port facility.
- (2) Security instructions referred to in subrule (1) shall be given by such means as the Director thinks fit including
  - (a) publishing a notice which is accessible through the Internet:
  - (b) making a public announcement by radio or television;
  - (c) placing a notice in any daily newspaper in circulation in Hong Kong; and
  - (d) issuing a notice to the company or the master of the ship or the management of the designated port facility.
- (3) The Director may delegate his power under subrule (1) to any-such persons as he thinks fit.
- (4) The company and the master of a ship or the management of a designated port facility to which a security instruction is given by the Director under subrule (1) shall <u>act in accordance with</u>
  - (a) ensure that\_the <u>security</u> instruction <u>is complied with</u> without <u>undue</u> delay; <u>and</u>
  - (b) where it is impracticable to comply with paragraph (a), notify the Director of that fact without undue delay.

(5) If a security instruction given to a ship in the waters of Hong Kong has been received by the company or the master of the ship but the company or the master, without reasonable excuse, fails to comply with subrule (4), the company or the master (as the case may be) commits an offence and is liable on conviction to a fine at level 5.

If subrule (3) is contravened in relation to a ship in the waters of Hong Kong and the company or the master of the ship fails to notify the Director of the contravention, the company and the master of the ship each commits an offence and each is liable on conviction to a fine at level 5.

(6) If a security instruction given to a designated port facility has been received by the management of the port facility but the management, without reasonable excuse, fails to comply with subrule (4), the management commits an offence and is liable on conviction to a fine of \$500,000 and to imprisonment for 3 years.

The management of a port facility that contravenes subrule (3) commits an offence and is liable on conviction to a fine [of \$500,000 and to imprisonment for 3 years].

# 5.4. Delegation of powers functions to recognized security organizations

Subject to the exceptions specified in section 4.3 of part A of the Code, the Director may, in writing, delegate to a recognized security organization his functions relating to security of ships or port facilities under Chapter XI-2 of the Convention and part A of the Code.

### 6.5. Declaration of closed area

(1) If the Director reasonably believes that, in order to abate or contain any security threat, it is necessary to close any area of the waters of Hong Kong to all vessels or any class or type of vessels, he may declare that area to be an area closed to those vessels or that class or type of vessels, as the case may be.

- (2) If the master of a vessel, without reasonable excuse, enters causes the vessel to enter a closed area in contravention of a declaration under subrule (1), the person who is in control of the vessel he commits an offence and is liable on conviction to a fine at level 5 and to imprisonment for 6 months.
- (3) The Director shall make give such notice of a declaration under subrule (1) as he thinks fit by such means as he thinks fit including
  - (a) publishing a notice which is accessible through the Internet;
  - (b) making a public announcement by radio or television; and
  - (c) placing a notice in any daily newspaper in circulation in Hong Kong.

### PART 2 SHIPS

# 7.6. Hong Kong ships to comply with the Convention and the Code

A Hong Kong ship shall comply with regulations 4, 6 and 8 of Chapter XI-2 of the Convention.

# 8-7. Non-Hong Kong ships to comply with the Convention and the Code

- (1) A non-Hong Kong ship in Hong Kong shall comply with regulations 4, 6 and 8 of Chapter XI-2 of the Convention.
- $(2) \qquad \text{A non-Hong Kong ship intending to enter Hong Kong shall} \\ \text{comply with regulations 4 and 9.2 of Chapter XI-2 of the Convention.}$

# 9.8. Companies to comply with the Convention and the Code

(1) The company of a ship shall comply with regulations 4, 5 and 8 of Chapter XI-2 of the Convention.

(2) The company of a ship shall provide the master, company security officer and ship security officer of the ship with such supports as are necessary for each of them to perform their respective functions under Chapter XI-2 of the Convention and part A of the Code.

# 10.9. Ship security officers and company security officers

- (1) The company of a ship shall designate for the ship
  - (a) a ship security officer—as required under section 12.1 of part A of the Code; and
  - (b) a company security officer-as required under section 11.1 of part A of the Code.
- (2) A ship security officer and a company security officer shall comply with the provisions of part A of the Code that respectively apply to each of them and take into account the guidance contained in part B of the Code.

#### 11.10. Control of ships in Hong Kong

- (1) A ship in the waters of Hong Kong is subject to the control measures that may be imposed by the Director—The Director may impose any control measures referred to in under regulation 9.1 or 9.3 of Chapter XI-2 of the Convention on a ship in the waters of Hong Kong.
- (2) The master of a ship shall, without <u>undue</u> delay, act upon <u>any the</u> request or direction made or given <u>under pursuant to</u> the regulation referred to in subrule (1) by the Director.

## 12.11. Control of ships intending to enter Hong Kong

(1) A ship intending to enter the waters of Hong Kong is subject to the control measures that may be imposed by the Director The Director may impose any control measures referred to in under-regulation 9.2 or 9.3 of Chapter XI-2 of the Convention on a ship intending to enter the waters of Hong Kong.

(2) The company of a ship shall provide the master, company security officer and ship security officer of the ship with such supports as are necessary for each of them to perform their respective functions under Chapter XI-2 of the Convention and part A of the Code.

# 10.9. Ship security officers and company security officers

- (1) The company of a ship shall designate for the ship
  - (a) a ship security officer—as required under section 12.1 of part A of the Code; and
  - (b) a company security officer-as required under section 11.1 of part A of the Code.
- (2) A ship security officer and a company security officer shall comply with the provisions of part A of the Code that respectively apply to each of them and take into account the guidance contained in part B of the Code.

#### 11.10. Control of ships in Hong Kong

- (1) A ship in the waters of Hong Kong is subject to the control measures that may be imposed by the Director—The Director may impose any control measures referred to in under regulation 9.1 or 9.3 of Chapter XI-2 of the Convention on a ship in the waters of Hong Kong.
- (2) The master of a ship shall, without <u>undue</u> delay, act upon <u>any the</u> request or direction made or given <u>under pursuant to</u> the regulation referred to in subrule (1) by the Director.

## 12.11. Control of ships intending to enter Hong Kong

(1) A ship intending to enter the waters of Hong Kong is subject to the control measures that may be imposed by the Director The Director may impose any control measures referred to in under-regulation 9.2 or 9.3 of Chapter XI-2 of the Convention on a ship intending to enter the waters of Hong Kong.

- (c) upon the request of the Director, another Contracting
  Government or any person authorized by that Contracting
  Government.
- (2) A security certificate shall not be issued or endorsed in respect of a Hong Kong ship unless
  - (a) a ship security plan of the ship has been approved by the Director or a recognized security organization; and
  - (b) the requirements of verification in accordance with section 19.1 of part A of the Code have been complied with in relation to the ship.
- (3) If the Director refuses to issue or endorse (as the case may be) a security certificate, he shall notify inform the applicant concerned by a notice in writing stating the reasons for his refusal.
- (4) A security certificate is valid for such period as specified in the Certificate certificate by the person who issued or endorsed the Certificate certificate.
- (5) A security certificate ceases to be valid if any of the events mentioned in section 19.3.8 of part A of the Code occurs.

## 16.15. Obligations of companies in relation to ships

Where a security certificate has been issued or endorsed in respect of a ship, the company of the ship shall, on transferring its responsibility for the operation of the ship to another company –

- (a) as soon as practicable transmit to that another company all information relating to the certificate; or
- (b) facilitate the verifications carried out for the ship.

## 17:16. Interim International Ship Security Certificates

- (1) Subject to subrule (2), in a case falling within section 19.4.1.-1, 19.4.1.2, 19.4.1.3 or 19.4.1.4 of part A of the Code, an Interim International Ship Security Certificate may on application by the company of a Hong Kong ship be issued in accordance with pursuant to section 19.4.2 of part A of the Code in respect of the ship by
  - (a) the Director; or
  - (b) a recognized security organization that is authorized in writing by the Director to issue the Certificate.
- (2) An interim Security certificate shall not be issued in respect of a Hong Kong ship unless the requirements specified in section 19.4.2 of part A of the Code are verified to have been complied with in relation to the ship.
- (3) If the Director refuses to issue an interim Security certificate, he shall notify inform the applicant concerned by a notice in writing stating the reasons for his refusal.
  - (4) An interim Security-certificate is valid until -
    - (a) the expiration of 6 months from its date of issue; or
- (b) a security certificate is issued in respect of the ship, whichever first occurs.

#### 18.17. Cancellation of certificates

- (1) The Director may, by notice in writing to the company of a ship, cancel a security certificate issued or endorsed in respect of the ship\_under rule

  15 if he has reason to believe that
  - (a) regulation 4, 6 or 8 of Chapter XI-2 of the Convention has not been complied with in relation to the ship; or
  - (b) the certificate was issued or endorsed on the basis of false or erroneous information.

- (2) The Director may, by notice in writing to the company of the a ship-concerned, cancel an interim Security-certificate issued in respect of a-the ship under rule 17-if he has reason to believe that
  - (a) any of the requirements specified in section 19.4.2 of part

    A of the Code has not been complied with in relation to
    the ship; or
  - (b) the certificate was issued on the basis of false or erroneous information.
- (3) The Director shall, in the notice referred to in subrule (1) or (2), state the give reasons for cancelling the a certificate. Security Certificate or the Interim Security Certificate, as the case may be.

# 19.18. Hong Kong ships outside Hong Kong to comply with requirements of security levels

- (1) Subject to subrule (2), where a security level is set <u>by the Director</u>, under rule 3, the company and the master of a Hong Kong ship outside Hong Kong shall ensure that the ship, without <u>undue</u> delay
  - (a) <u>comply</u> <u>complies</u> with the requirements specified in section 7 of part A of the Code in respect of the security level; and
  - (b) implements the preventive and protective measures specified in its ship security plan in respect of the security level.
- (2) If a Hong Kong ship is in the waters of another party to the Convention and the security level set by the government of that party is higher than that set by the Directorunder rule 3, the ship shall act on the security level set by that government as if the security level was set by the Director under that rule.

# 20.19. Ships in Hong Kong waters to comply with requirements of security levels

- (1) Subject to subrule (2), where a security level is set by the Director, under rule 3, the company and the master of a ship in the waters of Hong Kong shall ensure that the ship, without undue delay—
  - (a) complies comply—with the requirements specified in section 7 of part A of the Code in respect of the security level; and
  - (b) implements the preventive and protective measures specified in its ship security plan in respect of the security level
- (2) Subrule (1) does not apply if a ship referred to in that subrule has complied with the requirements specified in section 7 of part A of the Code in respect of a security level higher than that set by the Director under rule 3 and has implemented the preventive and protective measures specified in its ship security plan in respect of that higher level.
- (3) If this rule is contravened in relation to a ship, the company or the master of a ship, without reasonable excuse, fails to comply with subrule (1), the company and or the master (as the case may be) of the ship each commits an offence and is liable on conviction to a fine at level 5.

#### 21.20. Duty to notify the Director of noncompliance

- (1) The master of a ship shall, without undue delay, notify the Director of the details of any non-compliance by the ship with If
  - (a) any of the requirements specified in <u>relation to respect of a</u>

    <u>the ship referred to in rule 18 in that rule has not been complied with; or</u>
  - (b) any of the requirements specified in <u>relation to respect of a</u> the ship referred to in <u>rule 19 in that rule has not been</u> complied with; or

(c) any of the security instructions given to a ship referred to in rule 4 under that rule has not been complied with,

the master of the ship shall notify the Director of the details of such non-compliance without delay.

(2) The master of a ship who, without reasonable excuse, fails to comply with subrule (1)(b) If this section rule is contravened in relation to a non-Hong Kong ship, the master of the ship commits an offence and is liable on conviction to a fine at level 5.

## 22.21. Ships to complete and keep Declarations of Security

- (1) If a ship is requested <u>by the Director</u> under section 5 of part A of the Code <del>by the Director</del> to complete a Declaration of Security, the master or the ship security officer of the ship shall, without <u>undue</u> delay, complete the Declaration <u>of Security</u> in the manner specified in that section.
- (2) After completing a Declaration of Security in respect of a Hong Kong ship, the master or <u>the ship</u> security officer, as the case may be, of the ship shall keep the Declaration <u>of Security</u> on board during the next 10 calls of the ship at <u>any port facility</u>.

#### 23.22. Duty to keep information and records

- (1) A ship intending to enter the waters of Hong Kong shall keep on board the information specified in -
  - (a) regulation 5 of Chapter XI-2 of the Convention; and
  - (b) regulation 9.4.2.1 of Chapter XI-2 of the Convention on
    - (i) where the ship has previously called at not less than 10 port facilities, the last 10 occasions where the ship called at port facilities immediately before it intends to enter the waters of Hong Kong;

- (ii) where the ship has previously called at less than 10 port facilities, all occasions where the ship called at port facilities immediately before it intends to enter the waters of Hong Kong.
- (2) A Hong Kong ship shall keep on board
  - (a) a ship security plan of the ship developed and approved in accordance with section 9 of part A of the Code;
  - (b) all approvals (if any) for amendment to the ship security plan given pursuant to section 9 of part A of the Code;
  - (c) the information specified in regulation 5 of Chapter XI-2 of the Convention; and
  - (d) in the manner specified in section 10 of part A of the Code, the records specified in section 10.1 of that part for a period of not less than 3 years.

# PART 3 <u>DESIGNATED</u> PORT FACILITIES

## 24.23. <u>Designated port facilities to comply with</u> the Convention-and the Code

A <u>designated</u> port facility shall comply with regulation 10.1 of Chapter XI-2 of the Convention.

#### 25.24. Port facility security officers

- (1) The management of a <u>designated port</u> facility shall designate a <u>port</u> facility security officer for the port facility as required by section 17.1 of part A of the Code.
- (2) A port facility security officer shall comply with the provisions of part A of the Code that apply to him and take into account the guidance contained in part B of the Code.

#### 26.25. Port facility security plans

The port facility security officer of a <u>designated</u> port facility shall ensure that a port facility security plan is <u>developed and maintained prepared</u> for the port facility and submitted to the Director for approval in accordance with section 16 of part A of the Code.

# 27.26. Duty to notify the Director of material change in circumstances

If, since a port facility security plan of a <u>designated</u> port facility has been approved, there has been any material change in the circumstances that may affect the security of the port facility or the implementation of the port facility security plan, the port facility security officer of the port facility shall, without <u>undue</u> delay –

- (a) report the details of the change to the Director;
- (b) cause the port facility security plan to be revised to take into account the change; and
- (c) submit the revised port facility security plan to the Director for approval.

# 28.27. Withdrawal of approval of port facility security plans

- (1) If the Director has reason to believe that\_
  - (a) a material change referred to in rule 267 has occurred in respect of a designated port facility; and
  - (b) but the port facility fails to comply with the requirements specified in that rule are not complied with in relation to the port facility,

the Director may, by a notice in writing to the management of the port facility, withdraw his approval of the port facility security plan of the port facility.

(2) The Director shall in a notice referred to in subrule (1) state the give reasons for withdrawing his approval of the port facility plan.

## 29-28. Designated port facilities to comply with requirements of security levels

- (1) Where a security level is set by the Director-under-rule 3, the management of a designated port facility shall ensure that the port facility, without undue delay
  - (a) <u>comply\_complies\_</u> with the requirements specified in section 14 of part A of the Code in respect of the security level; and
  - (b) implements the preventive and protective measures specified in its port facility security plan in respect of the security level.
- (2) The management of a <u>designated port facility that contravenes who</u>, <u>without reasonable excuse</u>, fails to comply with subrule (1) commits an offence and is liable on conviction to a fine at level 5.

#### 30.29. <u>Designated port facilities to complete and</u> keep Declarations of Security

- (1) If a <u>designated port</u> facility is requested under section 5 of part A of the Code by the Director to complete a Declaration of Security, the port facility security officer of the port facility shall, without <u>undue\_delay</u>, complete the Declaration of Security in the manner specified in that section.
- (2) After completing a Declaration of Security in respect of a <u>designated port facility</u>, the port facility security officer of the port facility shall keep the Declaration <u>of Security for a period of not less than 1 year.</u>

#### 31.30. Rectification of non-compliance

(1) If the Director has reason to believe that any requirement of the port facility security plan of a <u>designated</u> port facility is not complied with, he may direct the management of the port facility to rectify the condition of non-compliance within such period as he specifies.

(2) If the non-compliance is not rectified to the satisfaction of the Director within the period specified under subrule (1), the management of the port facility concerned. The management of a designated port facility who, without reasonable excuse, fails to comply with any direction made under subrule (1) commits an offence and is liable on conviction to a fine at level 5.

# PART 4 MISCELLANEOUS PROVISIONS

#### 32.31. Appeals

- (1) If a-the\_company of a ship is aggrieved by a decision of the Director made in respect of the ship under any of the following provisions, it may appeal to a court of survey in accordance with the Merchant Shipping (Court of Survey) Regulations (Cap. 369 sub. leg. A) -
  - (a) <u>rule 145</u> (refusing to issue or endorse a security certificate);
  - (b) <u>rule 167</u> (refusing to issue an interim Security-certificate);
  - (c) <u>rule 178(1)</u> (cancelling a security certificate);
  - (d) rule 178(2) (cancelling an interim Security-certificate).
- (2) If the management of a <u>designated port</u> facility is aggrieved by a decision of the Director made in respect of the port facility under <u>rule 278</u>, the management may <u>appeal to the Administrative Appeals Board against the decision.</u>
- (3) An appeal referred to in subrule (1) or (2) may only be made within 14 days after -
  - (a) the applicant has received a notice referred to in <u>rule</u> 145(43);
  - (b) the applicant has received a notice referred to in <u>rule</u> 167(43);
  - (c) the company has received a notice of cancellation referred to in rule 178(1) or (2); or

(d) the management has received a notice of withdrawal referred to in rule 278(1),

as the case may be.

- (4) A court of survey may affirm or reverse a decision appealed against.
- (4) (5) The lodging of an appeal under this rule does not by itself operate as a stay of execution of a decision of the Director, unless the court of survey or [ ], as the case may be, otherwise orders.

#### 33.32. Fees

- (1) The Director may charge fees in respect of the time spent by an authorized officer on providing services relating to any of the following matters
  - (a) issuing or endorsing a security certificate;
  - (b) issuing an interim security-certificate;
  - (c) approving a port facility security plan;
  - (d) inspecting for the purposes of removal of detention of ships.
- (2) The fee under subrule (1) is charged on an hourly basis and the <u>rate</u> fee is -
  - (a) in the case where an authorized officer is required to inspect a ship or a port facility in the course of providing services, \$3,270 for the first hour or part hour and \$1,115 for each subsequent hour or part hour; or
  - (b) in any other case, \$1,115 for each hour or part hour.

Consequential Amendments

Administrative Appeals Board Ordinance

#### 33. Schedule amended

The Schedule to the Administrative Appeals Board Ordinance (Cap. 442) is amended by adding –

"65. Merchant Shipping (Security A decision of the Director of of Ships and Port Facilities)
 Rules (L.N. of 2004)

Secretary for Economic Development and Labour

2004

#### **Explanatory Note**

These Rules are made under the Merchant Shipping (Security of Ships and Port Facilities) Ordinance ( of 2004) ("the Ordinance") to implement the December 2002 amendments to the International Convention for the Safety of Life at Sea, 1974 ("the Convention") and the International Ship and Port Facility Security Code and related provisions in the Convention.

2. Part 1 sets out the definitions necessary for interpreting the Rules and sets out certain functions that the Director of Marine may execute for the purposes of the Ordinance and these Rules.

- 3. Part 2 provides for security-related requirements to be complied with in relation to ships and sets out restrictions that are imposed in respect of ships.
- 4. Part 3 provides for security-related requirements to be complied with in relation to port facilities and sets out restrictions that are imposed in respect of port facilities.
- 5. Part 4 contains miscellaneous provisions on appeals and fees.