

## THE CENTRE OF URBAN PLANNING & ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT

## 城市規劃及環境管理研究中心

#### Written submission

From: Dr. Bill Barron, CUPEM, The University of Hong Kong

Panel on Transport of the Legislative Council's meeting on 28 May 2004

Date: 18 May 2004

Major points West Island Line/South Island Line (WIL/SIL) and Route 7 Re: 

page 1 of 2

## THE WIL/SIL IS FAR SUPERIOR TO ROUTE 7

#### (A) GENERAL:

Hong Kong is a service economy

- Moving people is greatest priority
- Travel demand by people is highly uneven, with sharp peaks
- Rail better able to handle peak loads than roads.

Rail indirectly expands supply of available sites for commercial centres (i.e., those served by fast, and reliable transport)

- By indirectly expanding supply of available sites, rail helps to keep Hong Kong more competitive as an international service hub
  - o Greater supply helps dampen price pressure on commercial property
  - WIL/SIL makes Wong Chuk Hang attractive as commercial centre

## (B) SPECFIC POINTS OF COMPARISON WITH ROUTE 7:

- (1) WIL/SIL carries 2 times the number of passengers at 1/2 the cost to government
  - Passengers: WIL/SIL (20,000 /hour/direction): Route 7: (less than 10,000)
  - Government support: WIL/SIL (\$5 \$7.5 billion); Route 7 (\$10 \$12billion)
- (2) Higher property values in WIL/SIL catchments adds about \$330 million/year in taxes, rates, land premium (\$ 4 - \$ 5 billion over project life); most of gov. investment cost.1
  - Taxes on fuel consumed on Route 7 about cover its maintenance cost
    - -- Route 7's construction costs are never recovered by government

UNIVERSITY OF HONG KONG

The University of Hong Kong 香港大學

Room 836, 8/F., Knowles Building, Pokfulam Road, Hong Kong 香港釋扶林道報各詩樓 8 樓 836 宝 Tel 電話: (852) 2859 2721 Fax 传兵: (852) 2559 0168 Homepage 周貞: http://www.hku.hk/cupem

<sup>1</sup> Barron, B., Ng, S., Ho, B., Chan, C., West Island Line/South Island Line: Direct External Benefits, CUPEM,. University of Hong Kong, March 2004.

# THE CENTRE OF URBAN PLANNING & ENVIRONMENTAL MANAGEMENT

# 城市規劃及環境管理研究中心

#### Page 2 Bill Barron, CUPEM, The University of Hong Kong

- (3) WIL/SIL improves environmental health:
  - WIL/SIL saves at least \$23 million/year in pollution-related health costs (\$0.4 billion over life of project)
  - Route 7 adds to pollution and health care costs
- (4) Route 7 would carry about 25,000 good vehicles per day;
  - however, demand for goods transport has been declining and
  - reduced passenger traffic with WIL/SIL frees-up adequate road space in Aberdeen Tunnel and Pokfulam Road for goods transport<sup>2</sup>
- (5) WIL/SIL generates substantial benefits to private property owners:
  - -\$1.3 to \$ 2.0 billion/year; worth \$ 14 to \$18 billion over project life
- (6) WIL/SIL time savings and road safety benefits:
  - \$18.5 billion over life of the project
  - Much of this time savings is in Central/Wan Chai by reducing inflows into existing congestion there.
    - -- Route 7 adds to congestion in Central and beyond
- (7) Route 7 eliminates amenity uses of shoreline from Mt. Davis to Wah Kwai
  - as shown by the Victoria Harbour debate, the public is concerned about access to the shoreline.
- (8) As laid out in Sustainable Transport in Hong Kong: directions and opportunities,<sup>3</sup> the Pokfulam area and greater Southern make a good pilot for developing integrated (and far more sustainable) land use/transport/environmental strategies. In doing so it is vital that:
  - transport needs be met in an efficient and cost-effective manner,
  - while reducing the high health costs imposed on us by roadside pollution.

    WIL/SIL support this goal; Route 7 undermines it.

<sup>2</sup> Barron et al. 3/04

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> Civic-Exchange, June 2002