

立法會

Legislative Council

立法會 CB(3)157/04-05 號文件

2004 年 11 月 19 日內務委員會會議文件

定於 2004 年 11 月 24 日立法會會議上提出的質詢

提問者：

- | | | |
|------|-----------------------------|-----------------|
| (1) | 梁耀忠議員 | (口頭答覆) |
| (2) | 黃容根議員 | (口頭答覆) |
| (3) | 楊孝華議員 | (口頭答覆) |
| (4) | 方剛議員 | (口頭答覆) (原先編號：5) |
| (5) | 涂謹申議員 | (口頭答覆) (原先編號：6) |
| (6) | 石禮謙議員 | (書面答覆) (原先編號：4) |
| | <i>(此項質詢原本編定為要求口頭答覆的質詢)</i> | |
| (7) | 鄭家富議員 | (書面答覆) |
| (8) | 周梁淑怡議員 | (書面答覆) |
| (9) | 郭家麒議員 | (書面答覆) |
| (10) | 曾鈺成議員 | (書面答覆) |
| (11) | 楊森議員 | (書面答覆) |
| (12) | 陳智思議員 | (書面答覆) |
| (13) | 陳偉業議員 | (書面答覆) |
| (14) | 單仲偕議員 | (書面答覆) |
| (15) | 李永達議員 | (書面答覆) |
| (16) | 李華明議員 | (書面答覆) |
| (17) | 劉健儀議員 | (書面答覆) |
| (18) | 李鳳英議員 | (書面答覆) |
| (19) | 何鍾泰議員 | (書面答覆) |
| (20) | 劉江華議員 | (書面答覆) |

註 :

NOTE :

議員將採用這種語言提出質詢

Member will ask the question in this language

#(6) 石禮謙議員 (書面答覆)

關於由政府、機場管理局及私人財團三方共同注資和擁有的亞洲國際博覽館(“博覽館”),政府可否告知本會:

- (一) 有沒有向另外兩位股東承諾在博覽館展覽場地面積發展至10萬平方米之前,不會另設新展覽場地,以免增加市場競爭;若有,承諾的詳情,包括首先由哪位股東提議,以及由哪位官員作出承諾;政府就博覽館向本會財務委員會申請撥款20億元時,為甚麼沒有就該承諾作出交代;
- (二) 若政府沒有作出上述承諾,政府有沒有被要求作出該承諾;若有,政府為甚麼沒有答允作出承諾;及
- (三) 有沒有就有關承諾與香港貿易發展局磋商;若有,詳情是甚麼;若沒有,原因是甚麼?

(6) Hon Abraham SHEK (Written Reply)

Regarding the AsiaWorld-Expo ("AWE") which is a tripartite venture jointly financed and owned by the Government, the Airport Authority and a private consortium, will the Government inform this Council:

- (a) whether it has given any undertaking to the other two shareholders that, in order to avoid further market competition, new exhibition venue will not be provided before the exhibition space of AWE has been expanded to 100 000m²; if so, of the details of the undertaking, including the shareholder who first put forward the proposal, as well as the government official who gave the undertaking; why the Government did not give an account of the undertaking to the Finance Committee of this Council when applying for funding allocation of \$2 billion in respect of AWE;
- (b) if the Government has not given such an undertaking, whether it has been requested to do so; if it has been, of the reasons for not acceding to the request; and
- (c) whether it has discussed the undertaking with the Hong Kong Trade Development Council; if so, of the details; if not, the reasons for that?