# 立法會 Legislative Council

LC Paper No. CB(1)2170/04-05 (These minutes have been seen by the Administration)

Ref: CB1/BC/6/04/1

### Bills Committee on Securities and Futures (Amendment) Bill 2005

Minutes of the fifth meeting held on Tuesday, 5 July 2005, at 10:45 am in Conference Room A of the Legislative Council Building

**Members present**: Hon SIN Chung-kai, JP (Chairman)

Hon Ronny TONG Ka-wah, SC (Deputy Chairman)

Hon Margaret NG

Hon CHAN Kam-lam, SBS, JP Hon Howard YOUNG, SBS, JP Hon Emily LAU Wai-hing, JP Hon Audrey EU Yuet-mee, SC, JP Hon Jeffrey LAM Kin-fung, SBS, JP Hon WONG Ting-kwong, BBS

Hon Andrew LEUNG Kwan-yuen, SBS, JP

Hon TAM Heung-man

**Members absent**: Hon Bernard CHAN, JP

Hon Abraham SHEK Lai-him, JP

Hon CHIM Pui-chung Hon KWONG Chi-kin

Public officers attending

Mr Kevin HO

Permanent Secretary for Financial Services and the

Treasury (Financial Services)

Miss Alice CHEUNG

Principal Assistant Secretary for Financial Services

and the Treasury (Financial Services)

Miss Aubrey FUNG

Assistant Secretary for Financial Services and the

Treasury (Financial Services)

Mr W L CHEUNG

Senior Government Counsel

Department of Justice

Attendance by invitation

**Securities and Futures Commission** 

Mr Andrew L T SHENG

Chairman

:

Miss Doris PAK

**Commission Secretary** 

Hong Kong Securities & Futures Industry Staff

<u>Union</u>

Mr WONG Kwok-on

Chairman

Mr Roger LEUNG Sung-yeung

Vice Chairman

Hong Kong Society of Financial Analysts Limited

Mr Karl LUNG

President

Mr James SOUTAR

Director

Individual

Mr David M WEBB

Editor

Webb-site.com

**Clerk in attendance**: Miss Polly YEUNG

Chief Council Secretary (1)3

**Staff in attendance**: Mr KAU Kin-wah

Assistant Legal Adviser 6

### Ms Rosalind MA Senior Council Secretary (1)8

#### Action

### I Meeting with the Administration and deputations

### Follow-up to previous meetings

### (a) Issues arising from the meeting held on 10 June 2005

(LC Paper No. CB(1)1927/04-05(01) - Administration's response to the issues raised at the meeting on 10 June 2005 LC Paper No. CB(1)1745/04-05(03) - Letter dated 7 June 2005 from the Administration to Chairman of the Securities and Futures Commission (SFC) - Letter dated 16 June 2005 from LC Paper No. CB(1)1955/04-05(01) the Administration to Chairman of SFC - SFC's LC Paper No. CB(1)1927/04-05(02) the response to Administration's request in June 2005 - Powers, functions and duties to Annex B to LC Paper No. CB(1)1735/04-05(01) be exercised by the Chairman of the SFC under the Securities **Futures** Ordinance and (Cap.571)

#### (b) Reference to overseas models

LC Paper No. CB(1)1927/04-05(03)

- Information note on "Governance structures of overseas securities regulators" provided by SFC

LC Paper No. CB(1)1930/04-05

- Paper for the meeting on 5 July 2005 prepared by the

LC Paper No. LS78/04-05

Secretariat
- Paper on "Observations on the United Kingdom legislation in respect of the separation of the roles of the Chairman and Chief Executive of the Financial Services Authority (FSA)" prepared by the Legal Service Division

## Previous papers provided by the Administration

LC Paper No. CB(1)1762/04-05(01)	- Administration's response to the submission of the Hong Kong Society of Financial Analysts Limited
LC Paper No. CB(1)1745/04-05(04)	- Administration's response to the submission of the Hong Kong Bar Association
LC Paper No. CB(1)1735/04-05(01)	- Administration's response to the issues raised at the meeting on 30 May 2005
LC Paper No. CB(1)1651/04-05(01)	- Administration's response to the issues raised at the meeting on 19 May 2005
LC Paper No. CB(1)1537/04-05(04)	- Administration's response to the issues raised at the meeting on 22 April 2005
LC Paper No. CB(1)1537/04-05(05)	- Administration's response to the written submissions
LC Paper No. CB(1)880/04-05(08)	- Administration's response to issues raised in the written submissions to the Panel on Financial Affairs
Consultation with IOSCO	
LC Paper No. CB(1)1699/04-05(01)	- Letter dated 20 May 2005 to the Chairman of the IOSCO Executive Committee
LC Paper No. CB(1)1699/04-05(02)	- Letter dated 20 May 2005 to the Deputy Chairman of the IOSCO Technical Committee
LC Paper No. CB(1)1699/04-05(03)	- Letter dated 31 May 2005 from the Chairman of the IOSCO Executive Committee
LC Paper No. CB(1)1699/04-05(04)	- Letter dated 31 May 2005 from the Deputy Chairman of the IOSCO Technical Committee
LC Paper No. CB(1)1745/04-05(01)	- Administration's response to the views of the Chairman of the Executive Committee and the Deputy Chairman of the Technical Committee of the IOSCO

LC Paper No. CB(1)1745/04-05(02) - Letter dated 12 January 2005 from Mr Philippe Richard to Financial Services and the Treasury Bureau

### Submissions previously received

LC Paper No. CB(1)547/04-05(04) - Submissions from Securities and Futures Commission

LC Paper No. CB(1)1590/04-05(02) - Speaking note of Mr Andrew L T SHENG, Chairman,

Securities and Futures Commission

LC Paper No. CB(1)547/04-05(06) LC Paper No. CB(1)1537/04-05(01) LC Paper No. CB(1)1651/04-05(02)

Submissions from Hong Kong
 Securities & Futures Industry
 Staff Union (Chinese version only)

LC Paper No. CB(1)547/04-05(07)

LC Paper No. CB(1)1537/04-05(02)

LC Paper No. CB(1)1651/04-05(03)

LC Paper No. CB(1)1735/04-05(02)

LC Paper No. CB(1)547/04-05(16)

- Submissions from the Hong Kong Society of Financial Analysts Limited (English version only)

Submission from Mr David M WEBB (English version only)

LC Paper No. CB(1)547/04-05(08)

- Submission from Hong Kong Stockbrokers Association Limited

LC Paper No. CB(1)1537/04-05(03)

- Submission from the Law Society of Hong Kong (Securities Law Committee) (English version only)

LC Paper No. CB(1)1590/04-05(01)

- Submission from Mr Ermanno PASCUTTO (English version only)

LC Paper No. CB(1)1669/04-05(01)

- Submission from Hong Kong Bar Association (English version only)

### Other relevant papers

LC Paper No. CB(1)1553/04-05(01) - Extracts of relevant provisions under Securities and Futures Ordinance (Cap.571) on functions vested with the Chairman of Securities and Futures Commission

LC Paper No. CB(1)1344/04-05(01) - Marked-up copy of the Bill prepared by the Legal Service Division LC Paper No. CB(3)439/04-05 - The Bill SUB12/2/1(2005)Pt.5 - The Legislative Council Brief issued by the Financial Services and the Treasury Bureau - The Legal Service Division LC Paper No. LS43/04-05 Report on the Bill - Background brief on Securities LC Paper No. CB(1)1343/04-05 and Futures (Amendment) Bill 2005 prepared by the Secretariat)

The Bills Committee deliberated (Index of proceedings attached at Appendix).

<u>Issues which required follow-up actions by the Administration/the Securities and</u> Futures Commission (SFC)

### Admin 2. The Administration was requested to take the following actions:

- (a) to further consider putting in place measures to address members' concern about the safeguards against conflict of interests which would be binding on the future non-executive Chairman of SFC, other non-executive directors and staff of SFC under the split model if the Bill was enacted;
- (b) to facilitate the Bills Committee's consideration of the Bill and its practical implementation, the Administration and SFC were requested to further discuss the delineation of roles and responsibilities of the Chairman and the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) under the split model with a view to reaching a consensus on the arrangements for the future model; and
- (c) to provide its response to the proposal of the SFC Board on the delineation of roles and responsibilities of the Chairman and CEO as set out in SFC's letter dated 28 June 2005 (LC Paper No. CB(1)1927/04-05(02)), as well as amendments, if any, to be proposed to the Bill.
- 3. In connection with paragraph 2(b), <u>members</u> deliberated on alternative means for setting out the delineation of roles and responsibilities of the two posts. <u>The Chairman</u> suggested that the roles and responsibilities of the two posts might be set out by a combination of the following means:

Action

- (a) by including the relevant information in the respective appointment letters to the successful candidates of the two posts;
- (b) by including the relevant information in the speech by the Secretary for Financial Services and the Treasury (SFST) during the resumption of Second Reading debate of the Bill; and
- (c) by setting out the relevant information in the legislation, i.e. the Bill.

<u>Members</u> agreed that the means for setting out the delineation of roles and responsibilities between the two posts should be further considered after the Administration and SFC had reached a consensus on the arrangements under the split model.

4. <u>Members</u> invited SFC to provide in written form the remarks about the splitting proposal made by the incumbent Chairman of SFC at the meeting in his personal capacity.

(*Post-meeting note*: The paper provided by SFC on paragraph 4 above was circulated to members vide LC Paper No. CB(1)1997/04-05(01) on 6 July 2005.)

### Arrangements for the next meeting

5. <u>Members</u> were of the view that in the absence of a consensus between the Administration and SFC on the delineation of roles and responsibilities between the future Chairman and CEO under the split model, it would be very difficult for the Bills Committee to consider how scrutiny of the Bill should be taken forward. <u>Members</u> therefore agreed in principle that the next meeting should only be held after such a consensus had been reached between the Administration and SFC. Nevertheless, noting that the current contract of the incumbent Chairman of SFC would expire at end September 2005, <u>members</u> agreed that the next meeting be scheduled for Monday, 5 September 2005 at 2:30 pm in anticipation that a consensus might be reached before then. The Secretariat would liaise with the Administration in late August 2005 to confirm the meeting arrangements having regard to the progress of the discussion between the Administration and SFC as requested in paragraph 2(b) above.

Clerk

(*Post-meeting note*: At the request of the Administration and with the concurrence of the Chairman, the next meeting has been deferred to October 2005 to allow more time for the Administration to discuss with SFC the various aspect of the splitting proposal. Members were informed of the arrangement vide LC Paper No. CB(1)2128/04-05 on 26 July 2005.)

### II Any other business

6. There being no other business, the meeting ended at 12:10 pm.

Council Business Division 1 <u>Legislative Council Secretariat</u> 9 August 2005

### Proceedings of the fifth meeting of the Bills Committee on Securities and Futures (Amendment) Bill 2005 on Tuesday, 5 July 2005, at 10:45 am in Conference Room A of the Legislative Council Building

Time	Speaker	Subject(s)	Action
Marker	•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	Required
000000 – 000019	Chairman	<ul><li>(a) Confirmation of minutes</li><li>(b) Introductory and welcoming remarks</li></ul>	
000020 - 001935	Chairman Administration Ms Emily LAU SFC	(a) Whether the future Chairman or CEO of SFC would bear the ultimate accountability for the affairs of SFC  (b) The Administration's advice that as a general principle, the Commission (i.e. the SFC Board) was ultimately accountable/responsible for the performance of the Commission under the Securities and Futures Ordinance (Cap. 571). This principle would continue to apply under the splitting proposal. Under normal circumstances, the CEO should be accountable for all operational matters while the Chairman would be accountable for the overall directions, policies and strategies of the Commission after the splitting proposal was implemented	
		(c) SFC's view that there would be practical difficulties in drawing a clear distinction between policy decisions and case decisions as day-to-day operational decisions on individual cases might have policy implications	
		(d) The Administration to provide its response to the proposal of the SFC Board on	The Administration to take follow-up action as requested in

- 2 -

Time Morkon	Speaker	Subject(s)	Action
Marker		the delineation of roles and responsibilities of the Chairman and CEO as set out in SFC's letter dated 28 June 2005 (LC Paper No. CB(1)1927/04-05(02))	Required paragraph 2(c) of the minutes
		(e) Reasons as to why the SFC Board considered that the delineation of roles and responsibilities between the Chairman and CEO should be decided after enactment of the Bill	
		(f) SFC's advice that currently the governance structures of all members of the International Organization for Securities Commissions Technical Committee adopted the model with a full-time executive chairman. The model with a non-executive chairman was normally adopted in some emerging markets. The SFC Board needed the Administration's clarification on the policy intent on how the policy responsibilities should be split from the regulatory/executive responsibilities	
		(g) The Administration's advice that the Chairman of the UK Financial Services Authority (FSA) was not an executive director according to its recently published Annual Report in June 2005. The proposed split model was similar to the UK model. The Administration did not intend to stipulate in the legislation whether the Chairman post was executive or non-executive. The	

- 3 -

Time Marker	Speaker	Subject(s)	Action Required
		Administration had provided to the SFC Board the broad principles governing the delineation of roles and responsibilities between the Chairman and CEO and would be willing to discuss with the Board for clarifications on any relevant matters	
001936 – 002659	Mr WONG Ting-kwong Administration	(a) Future acting arrangements during the temporary absence of the non-executive Chairman of SFC	
		(b) Safeguards against conflict of interests which would be binding on the future non-executive Chairman of SFC, other non-executive directors and staff of SFC under the split model	The Administration to take follow-up action as requested in paragraph 2(a) of the minutes
002700 – 005212	Chairman Mr Ronny TONG SFC Administration Ms Audrey EU	(a) The Chairman's view that it would be difficult for the Bills Committee to consider how scrutiny of the Bill should be taken forward without a clear consensus between the Administration and SFC on key issues such as the delineation of roles and responsibilities between the Chairman and CEO and safeguards for avoidance of conflicts of interest	
		(b) Mr Ronny TONG's view that the Bills Committee could not consider the propriety of the delineation of roles and responsibilities if this was only to be worked out after the enactment of the Bill as suggested by the SFC Board in its response to the Administration (LC Paper No. CB(1)1927/04-05(02))	

- 4 -

Time Marker	Speaker	Subject(s)	Action Required
TVIAI KCI		(c) SFC's advice that the	Required
		proposed split model was not	
		the same as the UK model as	
		FSA had a full-time	
		Chairman who was	
		accountable for its affairs.	
		The SFC Board considered	
		that if the future	
		non-executive Chairman	
		would not be working	
		full-time, he/she might have	
		difficulties in dealing with	
		the affairs of SFC. As the	
		Board was uncertain about	
		the Administration's policy	
		intent on the extent of	
		regulatory/executive power to	
		be delegated to CEO under	
		the split model, it considered	
		that it would be more	
		appropriate to work out the	
		detailed delineation when the	
		Bill was enacted or when the	
		policy intent was made clear	
		by the Administration	
		(d) The Administration's view	
		that it had consolidated and	
		provided information on the	
		broad principles governing	
		the separation of roles and	
		responsibilities under the	
		split model in its letter dated	
		16 June 2005 to SFC (LC	
		Paper No.	
		CB(1)1955/04-05(01)). Its	
		view that since SFC was an	
		independent statutory body,	
		the detailed division of work	
		among the Chairman,	
		executive directors and	
		non-executive directors of	
		SFC should best be decided	
		by the Commission	
		(e) Ms Audrey EU's view that	
		the crux of the problem was	
		the lack of a clear division of	
		roles and responsibilities	

- 5 -

Time Marker	Speaker	Subject(s)	Action Required
		between the Chairman and CEO and the difference in views of the Administration and SFC as to whether and how the chairman post should be split	
		(f) The Administration's concern that while the roles and responsibilities of the Chairman and CEO could be set out in the appointment letters to the candidates of the respective posts, the suggestion of setting out the division of work in the legislation could not provide the flexibility required for the SFC Board to fine-tune and adjust the duties and responsibilities of the posts which would evolve over time	
005213 – 011200	Mr CHAN Kam-lam Mr Howard YOUNG Chairman Mr Ronny TONG Ms Emily LAU Administration	(a) Mr CHAN Kam-lam's view that to facilitate the Bills Committee's consideration of the Bill and its practical implementations, the Administration and SFC should further discuss the delineation of roles and responsibilities of the Chairman and CEO under the split model with a view to reaching a consensus on the arrangements for the future model	The Administration to take follow-up action as required in paragraph 2(b) of the minutes
		(b) The Chairman proposed a combination of three means for setting out the roles and responsibilities of the Chairman and CEO, namely, in the respective appointment letters for the candidates of the posts, in the speech of SFST during the resumption of the Second Reading debate	

- 6 -

Time Marker	Speaker	Subject(s)	Action Required
112412101		of the Bill, and in the Bill	zioquii eu
		(c) Mr Howard YOUNG agreed that flexibility for future adjustment would be constrained if the delineation of roles and responsibilities were stipulated in the legislation.	
		(d) The Chairman's suggestion that reference be made to the arrangements adopted for the governance structure of the Mandatory Provident Fund Schemes Authority as provide in the Mandatory Provident Fund Schemes Ordinance (Cap. 485)	
		(e) Mr Ronny TONG's view that the best option was to set out the delineation arrangements in the legislation. He also expressed reservation on relying on appointment letters only as the reference for the delineation of roles and responsibilities.	
		(f) Ms Emily LAU's reiteration of her view that a clear delineation of roles and responsibilities between the SFC Chairman and CEO must be worked out, clearly stipulated and made known to the public before the Bill was enacted. She had no strong views on whether such delineation was stipulated in the legislation or by other non-statutory means	
011201 – 012355	Chairman Ms Emily LAU Mr CHAN Kam-lam SFC Mr Ronny TONG	<ul><li>(a) Arrangements for the next meeting</li><li>(b) The incumbent Chairman of SFC's remarks</li></ul>	The Clerk to take follow-up action as required in paragraph 5 of the minutes

- 7 -

Time Marker	Speaker	Subject(s)	Action Required
		(c) The Bills Committee's thanks extended to the incumbent SFC Chairman	

Council Business Division 1
<u>Legislative Council Secretariat</u>
9 August 2005