立法會 Legislative Council

LC Paper No. CB(3) 581/04-05

Paper for the House Committee meeting on 13 May 2005

Questions scheduled for the Legislative Council meeting on 18 May 2005

Questions by:

(1)	Hon LEUNG Yiu-chung	(Oral reply)	
(2)	Hon Audrey EU	(Oral reply)	
(3)	Hon James TIEN	(Oral reply)	
(4)	Hon LAU Kong-wah	(Oral reply)	(New question)
(5)	Hon Albert CHAN	(Oral reply)	
(6)	Hon LI Kwok-ying (Replacing his previous question)	(Oral reply)	(New question)
(7)	Hon CHAN Kam-lam	(Written reply)	
(8)	Dr Hon YEUNG Sum	(Written reply)	
(9)	Hon LEE Wing-tat	(Written reply)	
(10)	Hon Alan LEONG	(Written reply)	
(11)	Hon MA Lik	(Written reply)	
(12)	Hon CHEUNG Hok-ming	(Written reply)	
(13)	Dr Hon Joseph LEE	(Written reply)	
(14)	Hon TSANG Yok-sing	(Written reply)	
(15)	Dr Hon Fernando CHEUNG	(Written reply	<i>y</i>)
(16)	Hon Fred LI (Replacing his previous question)	(Written reply	y) (New question)
(17)	Hon Emily LAU	(Written reply	7)
(18)	Hon LAU Kong-wah	(Written reply)	
(19)	Hon CHEUNG Man-kwong	(Written reply)	
(20)	Hon LI Kwok-ying	(Written reply	')

註 : <u>NOTE</u> :

議員將採用這種語言提出質詢

Member will ask the question in this language

#(4) 劉江華議員 (口頭答覆)

關於內地人士來港犯案的情況,政府可否告知本會:

- (一) 按疑犯所持的商務簽證或雙程證的審批地 和所涉及的罪案類別劃分,過去3年內地 人士來港犯案的宗數;
- (二) 過去3年,內地人士在本港街頭行騙的個 案有沒有上升趨勢,以及所涉及的金額和 破案宗數;及
- (三) 當局有沒有措施加強打擊這些騙案;若有,有關措施的詳情,以及當中有沒有包括加強"放蛇"行動或與內地當局的聯絡?

(4) Hon LAU Kong-wah (Oral Reply)

Regarding the commission of crimes by Mainlanders in Hong Kong, will the Government inform this Council:

- (a) of the number of cases in which mainlanders were suspected of committing crimes in Hong Kong in the past three years, broken down by the places where they were granted their business endorsement or two-way exit permits and the categories of crimes involved;
- (b) whether the number of on-street fraud cases committed by mainlanders in Hong Kong has been on the rise over the past three years, and of the amount of money involved as well as the number of cases detected; and
- (c) whether the authorities have any measures to strengthen efforts to combat such fraud cases; if so, of the details of these measures, and whether they include stepping up "undercover" operations or reinforcing communication with the Mainland authorities?

#(6) 李國英議員 (口頭答覆)

關於公共屋邨及居屋屋苑樓宇牆壁瓷磚剝落的問題,政府可否告知本會:

- (一) 有否採取措施防止瓷磚剝落問題惡化,例如安排專業人士對有關的屋邨或屋苑進行全面勘察;
- (二) 鑒於瓷磚剝落大多數發生在升降機大堂及 走廊等位置,當局有何措施確保居民的出 入安全;及
- (三) 過去 12 個月,當局接獲多少宗發生在租者 置其屋計劃屋邨的瓷磚剝落報告,以及有 關的維修工作及開支是否由房屋署負責?

(6) <u>Hon LI Kwok-ying</u> (Oral Reply)

Regarding the problem of tiles falling off from public housing and Home Ownership Scheme building walls, will the Government inform this Council:

- (a) whether it has taken measures to prevent the deterioration of the above problem, for example, by arranging for professionals to carry out comprehensive inspections on the estates concerned;
- (b) as most cases of tiles falling off occurred in such places as lift lobbies and corridors, of the measures to ensure residents' safety in such places; and
- of the number of reports it has received about tiles falling off in housing estates under the Tenants Purchase Scheme over the past 12 months; and whether the Housing Department is responsible for the repair works and the expenses incurred?

#(16) 李 華 明 議 員 (書 面 答 覆)

政府可否告知本會:

- (一) 是否知悉香港旅遊發展局("旅發局")的 員工編制、各職級員工的工作性質和範圍 及平均月薪;
- (二) 旅發局有否獲政府同意將合約制員工轉為按長期聘用條款受聘,並將員工的約滿酬金納入每月發放薪金範圍內,以及政府部門或其他受資助機構有否採取同樣的做法;若沒有採取同樣的做法,旅發局例外獲准採取該做法的原因;
- (三) 鑒於旅發局去年 315 名員工的開支總額超過 1 億 4,000 萬元,即每名員工的平均月薪超過 3 萬 7,000 元,旅發局員工的薪酬與其他受政府資助達 90%經費的公共機構的員工比較,是偏高、相若、還是偏低;若出現偏高或偏低的情況,原因爲何;及
- (四) 鑒於本年旅發局獲政府撥款 4 億 4,000 萬元,作爲未來兩年在全球進行宣傳及推廣的經費,旅遊事務署會否監察該筆撥款的用途及效益,以確保有關的推廣計劃物有所值及公帑得到有效運用?

(16) <u>Hon Fred LI</u> (Written Reply)

Will the Government inform this Council:

- (a) whether it knows the staff establishment of the Hong Kong Tourism Board ("HKTB"), the nature and scopes of work of its staff at various ranks as well as their average monthly salaries;
- (b) whether HKTB has obtained the Government's consent to its converting appointment of staff on contract terms to appointment on permanent terms, and including contract gratuity in the monthly salaries paid to the staff, and whether government departments or other subvented bodies have adopted similar practice; if they have not, the reasons for HKTB being allowed exceptionally to adopt such a practice;
- (c) as the staff cost for the HKTB's 315-strong establishment amounted to more than \$140 million in the past year, with the average monthly salary of each employee exceeding \$37,000, whether the HKTB staff's salaries are on the high side, about the same or on the low side compared to those of employees of other public bodies which receive 90% of their funding from the Government; if they are on the high or low side, of the reasons for that; and
- (d) as HKTB is granted funding of \$440 million this year for launching global promotion and marketing programmes in the coming two years, whether the Tourism Commission will monitor the use of these funds and the results achieved, so as to ensure that the relevant marketing programmes achieve value for money and the public funds are put to effective use?