Moving from *ex ante* to *ex post* regulation of PCCW's tariffs by issuing a new Fixed Carrier Licence

Office of the Telecommunications Authority 8 November 2004

# The *Ex Ante* Tariff Regulation under Existing PCCW's Licence

Horizont States Horizon States Content States Horizon States TA forms an opinion that PCCW is nondominant

- PCCW must seek TA's prior approval for every individual price offer
- TA's assessment takes 30 45 days maximum (to assess profitability analysis and other details related to offers) (2003 averages -17 days for revisions and 25 days for new services)
- PCCW must publish every individual price offer
- △No unauthorised discounts

## Limitations of Existing Ex Ante Regulation

# Ex ante regulation applied on 'all or nothing' basis

Here and the second sec

Inconsistent with principle that level of regulation should be proportionate and commensurate with market conditions 'Prior Tariff Approval Requirement' is No Longer Proportionate

His requirement was imposed in 1995 when liberalisation of local fixed network market commenced

- Proportionate in the early years of liberalisation when PCCW was 'superdominant'
- Market has moved on

# PCCW is No Longer 'Super-Dominant'

#Market fully liberalised since 2003
#PCCW's market share steadily declining to 69%

- Established competitors and rampant
  sales activities
- Local loops can be duplicated for an estimated 75% 80% of households
- **#**Alternative products (mobile, VoIP, etc.)

#### International best practice - UK

Ofcom determined that British Telecom (BT) has 'Significant Market Power' in Fixed Narrowband Retail Services Markets

- **#**Ofcom imposed following obligations on BT
  - △Price cap
  - △No undue discrimination
  - Price publication and notification
  - △Accounting separation
- Prior tariff approval from Ofcom not a requirement

#### International best practice - Australia

- Ex ante regulation on tariffs replaced by ex post regulation in 1997 when market was fully liberalised but when Telstra was still dominant
- Hereit Competition and Consumer Commission (ACCC)
- ACCC may publish tariffs filed by Telstra if benefits to public outweigh detriments due to lessening of competition and prejudice to commercial interest
- **Here is a sequirement in the sequirement is a sequirement if the second second**

Risk of Implementing *Ex Post* Regulation by Declaration of Non-Dominance

**%** The declaration of non-dominance

- Could block subsequent application of section 7L of Telecommunications Ordinance against abuse of dominance by PCCW
- would enable PCCW to offer price discrimination, including selective discounts, without transparency to TA and other competitors for monitoring of anticompetitive practices
- Haintaining ex post safeguards intact is essential to address any potential anticompetitive practices of PCCW which still has considerable market power

#### **Proposals in Consultation**

**Replacement of PCCW's Fixed Telecommunications Network Services** Licence by a Fixed Carrier Licence with *ex* ante tariff approval requirement removed **#PCCW** to publish tariffs of services **#PCCW** to notify TA of discounts to customers **#**TA may publish discounts notified where consumer interest so justifies (e.g. for residential telephone line charges)

## Proposals in Consultation (continued)

- Body Dominance test removed (no presumption of dominance or non-dominance)
  Body Dominance
- Conditions redundant to Telecommunications Ordinance removed (e.g. conditions on prohibition of anti-competitive practices removed as corresponding provisions already in Ordinance)
- % Current cost accounting requirements
  inserted



# End