Panel on Planning, Lands and Works

List of follow-up actions

(position as at 19 April 2005)

Subject (Responsible Bureau/Office)	Date of meeting	Follow-up actions required	Administration's response
1. Compensation	23.11.2004	The Administration and the Urban Renewal	The information papers provided by
arrangements for land		Authority (URA) were requested to –	the Administration and URA were
resumption for urban			issued to members vide LC Paper
renewal projects		` ' 1	· · ·
(HPLB)		Purchase Allowance rate was assessed for projects undertaken by the URA;	2005.
		(b) consider disclosing valuations made by professional firms appointed by the URA; and	
		(c) provide a consolidated response to the submissions made and concerns raised by the deputations.	

Subject (Responsible Bureau/Office)	Date of meeting	Follow-up actions required	Administration's response
2. Comprehensive review of planning and land policy and mechanism of Town Planning Board (TPB) (HPLB)	22.3.2005	The Administration agreed to take the following actions – (a) report to the Panel on the proposed measures for improving the enforcement of the cessation of user clause in the lease conditions of the private treaty grant; and (b) provide in writing the information on the distribution of professional representation on TPB.	Administration's response awaited.
3. Implementation of the Town Planning (Amendment) Ordinance 2004 (HPLB)	1.4.2005	The Administration was requested to — (a) provide a list of locations in individual districts at which notices about planning applications would be posted; (b) provide a sample form of submission; (c) in respect of the "reasonable steps" requirements, consider the merits of specifying the local Chinese and English newspapers in which notices of planning application should be published by the applicant;	The information paper provided by the Administration was issued to members vide LC Paper No. CB(1)1309/04-05 on 19 April 2005.

Subject (Responsible Bureau/Office)	Date of meeting	Follow-up actions required	Administration's response
		(d) consider how the difficulty could be overcome where Owners' Committees were uncooperative and refused to allow the posting of notices of planning applications at the buildings;	
		(e) consider the feasibility of posting notices of planning applications at prominent public transport points, such as railway stations and bus stops; and	
		(f) consider the merits of expressly stating in the relevant guideline that where TPB considered it appropriate, it might use administrative measures which were not specified in the guideline concerning notification requirements.	

Council Business Division 1 <u>Legislative Council Secretariat</u> 19 April 2005