

立法會

Legislative Council

立法會 CB(3)221/05-06 號文件

2005 年 12 月 16 日內務委員會會議文件

定於 2005 年 12 月 21 日立法會會議上提出的質詢

提問者：

- | | | |
|------|-------------------------------------|----------------|
| (1) | 呂明華議員 | (口頭答覆) |
| (2) | 劉皇發議員 | (口頭答覆) |
| (3) | 黃定光議員
<i>(取代其原先提出的質詢)</i> | (口頭答覆)(新的質詢) |
| (4) | 李柱銘議員
<i>(取代其原先提出的質詢)</i> | (口頭答覆)(新的質詢) |
| (5) | 余若薇議員 | (口頭答覆) |
| (6) | 陳鑑林議員
<i>(取代其原先提出的質詢)</i> | (口頭答覆)(新的質詢) |
| (7) | 劉慧卿議員
<i>(獲編配質詢時段的楊森議員已撤回質詢)</i> | (書面答覆)(新的質詢) |
| (8) | 霍震霆議員 | (書面答覆) |
| (9) | 田北俊議員 | (書面答覆)(質詢已被撤回) |
| (10) | 何俊仁議員 | (書面答覆) |
| (11) | 單仲偕議員 | (書面答覆) |
| (12) | 楊孝華議員 | (書面答覆) |
| (13) | 王國興議員 | (書面答覆) |
| (14) | 李國麟議員 | (書面答覆) |
| (15) | 張學明議員 | (書面答覆) |
| (16) | 郭家麒議員 | (書面答覆) |
| (17) | 譚香文議員 | (書面答覆) |
| (18) | 李永達議員 | (書面答覆) |
| (19) | 鄭家富議員 | (書面答覆) |
| (20) | 李國英議員 | (書面答覆) |

註 ：

NOTE ：

議員將採用這種語言提出質詢

Member will ask the question in this language

#(3) 黃定光議員 (口頭答覆)

據報，有內地公安部官員承認有內地人持“個人遊簽注”赴港犯罪，並表示會與香港警方聯手加強打擊這類犯罪分子。就此，政府可否告知本會：

- (一) 自個人遊計劃實施以來，持“個人遊簽注”來港的內地人因涉嫌犯各類罪行而被捕的分項人數；及
- (二) 警方將如何與內地公安部加強合作，遏止這類罪案？

(3) Hon WONG Ting-kwong (Oral Reply)

It has been reported that an officer of the Mainland Public Security Bureau has admitted that some Mainlanders came to Hong Kong with endorsements under the Individual Visit Scheme ("IVS") for committing crimes, and has indicated that the authorities concerned would step up cooperation with the Hong Kong Police to crack down on such criminals. In this connection, will the Government inform this Council:

- (a) of a breakdown on the number of Mainlanders arrested since the implementation of the IVS, by the types of crimes allegedly committed by them, who came to Hong Kong with endorsements under the IVS; and
- (b) how the Police would strengthen its cooperation with the Public Security Bureau of the Mainland to curb such crimes?

(4) 李柱銘議員 (口頭答覆)

政府的政制發展專責小組在其第五號報告中指出，該小組在其第四號報告的諮詢期間，接獲關於功能團體未來路向的意見，包括建議在香港特別行政區(簡稱“香港特區”)立法機關設立“兩院制”。就此，政府可否告知本會，有否評估在香港特區立法機關設立“兩院制”會不會違反《基本法》的規定；若會，理據是甚麼；若不會，原因是甚麼？

(4) Hon Martin LEE (Oral Reply)

The Constitutional Development Task Force of the Government has pointed out in its Fifth Report that during the consultation on its Fourth Report, the views received on the future of functional constituencies included the suggestions for setting up a "bicameral system" in the legislature of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region ("HKSAR"). In this connection, will the Government inform this Council whether it has assessed if the establishment of a "bicameral system" in the legislature of HKSAR will contravene the Basic Law; if it will, of the rationale for that; if it will not, the reasons for that?

(6) 陳鑑林議員 (口頭答覆)

深圳規劃局於本月初公布《深圳 2030 城市發展策略》，表達了深圳與香港合作共同發展、共同建設一個國際都會的願望。關於深港同城化，政府可否告知本會：

- (一) 會不會與深圳當局設立恆常機制，研究《深圳 2030 城市發展策略》和香港規劃署所擬備的《香港 2030：規劃遠景與策略》可以如何配合；
- (二) 除了興建中的深港西部通道和研究中的東部通道外，當局有沒有研究其他跨界通道；若沒有，原因是甚麼；及
- (三) 為提高效率和促進深港同城化的進程，現有各個邊境口岸將在何時實行一地兩檢的通關模式安排？

(6) Hon CHAN Kam-lam (Oral Reply)

In the Shenzhen 2030 Development Strategy published early this month, the Shenzhen Planning Bureau stated its wish for Shenzhen and Hong Kong to cooperate and develop jointly into an international metropolis. Regarding the integration of Shenzhen and Hong Kong, will the Government inform this Council:

- (a) whether it will establish a regular mechanism with the Shenzhen authorities to consider how the Shenzhen 2030 Development Strategy and the Hong Kong 2030: Planning Vision and Strategy prepared by the Hong Kong Planning Department may tie in with each other;
- (b) whether, in addition to the Hong Kong-Shenzhen Western Corridor under construction and the Eastern Corridor under consideration, the authorities have studied other cross-boundary links; if not, of the reasons for that; and
- (c) of the timing for the existing boundary control points to implement co-location of immigration and customs facilities, in order to enhance efficiency and promote the integration of Shenzhen and Hong Kong?

#(7) 劉慧卿議員 (書面答覆)

當局於2002年2月獲撥款3億元設立社區投資共享基金，旨在建立社會資本。截至本年8月，該基金已向82個團體提供撥款，總額達65,151,283元。就此，行政機關可否告知本會：

- (一) 該基金委員會、評審小組委員會及基金的秘書處分別：
- (i) 就評審資助申請而召開會議的次數、該等會議的出席和缺席委員名單及每次會議評審申請的數目；及
- (ii) 進行實地探訪的次數，以及出席該等探訪的委員名單；
- (二) 獲得撥款的計劃的行政費用佔撥款總額的百分比；及
- (三) 有否設立機制讓資助申請被拒的團體提出上訴；若有，至今曾提出上訴及得直的團體數目；若否，原因為何？

(7) Hon Emily LAU (Written Reply)

The Community Investment and Inclusion Fund ("CIIF") set up by the authorities with the provision of \$300 million in February 2002 aims to develop social capital. As at August this year, CIIF has allocated a total of \$65,151,283 for 82 organizations. In this connection, will the Executive Authorities inform this Council:

- (a) in respect of the CIIF Committee, its Assessment Sub-Committee and the CIIF Secretariat:
- (i) of the respective numbers of meetings convened for assessing funding applications, the lists of present and absent members at such meetings, as well as the number of applications assessed at each meeting; and
- (ii) of the respective numbers of site visits conducted and the lists of members attending such visits;
- (b) of the percentage of the projects' administrative costs in the total amount of funds allocated to the projects; and
- (c) whether an appeal mechanism is in place for the organizations whose funding applications have been rejected; if so, of the numbers of organizations which have lodged appeals and those whose appeals have been allowed; if not, the reasons for that?