Subcommittee to Study the Transport Needs of and Provision of Concessionary Public Transport Fares for Persons with Disabilities

List of follow-up actions for the 2006-2007 session

(position as at 3 April 2007)

Date of meeting	Follow-up actions required	Administration's response
	The Administration was requested to take the following actions — (a) to provide a list of the work done by the Environment, Transport and Works Bureau (ETWB) and the Health, Welfare and Food Bureau (HWFB) with timing of relevant events on the provision of concessionary public transport fares for persons with disabilities (PwDs) over the past four years or so. The information should include details of the relevant instructions given by the Bureau Secretaries and the forums or occasions at which the Administration discussed the subject with public transport operators; (b) to seek legal advice on whether the non-provision of concessionary public transport fares for those PwDs who need to be accompanied by a carer to use public transport services and/or those PwDs' carers would constitute a contravention of the Disability Discrimination Ordinance (Cap. 487) (DDO); and (c) to work out possible schemes for provision of concessionary public transport fares for PwDs having regard to the principles of equality as implemented by the DDO, to assess the number of PwDs who will benefit under each of the possible schemes, and to discuss with public transport operators the financial implications of those schemes. The advice of the Equal Opportunities Commission and the Department of Justice should be sought in respect of the legal issues that may arise from the schemes.	869/05-06(01) on 10 February 2006.

Date of meeting	Follow-up actions required	Administration's response
12.5.2006	The Administration was requested to take the following actions — (a) to convey to the Board of the MTR Corporation Limited (MTRCL) for consideration a member's view that from a welfare perspective, the policy intent of providing concessionary fares to PwDs was no different from that of providing concessionary fares to the elderly. Given that MTRCL had invested substantial funds in improving transport facilities for PwDs, provision of concessionary fares to PwDs would encourage them to travel more, making MTRCL's investment in improvements to transport facilities for PwDs more cost-effective. The Administration should report back on the outcome of the Board of MTRCL's consideration in this regard in due course;	Administration is awaited.
	(b) to brief members on the way forward for amending DDO to put it beyond doubt that selective provision of concessionary fares to PwDs would not constitute a contravention of DDO; and	•
	(c) to continue to liaise with public transport (PT) operators on the funding arrangements for the provision of concessionary fares to PwDs. If PT operators were unwilling to provide concessionary fares on their own, to work out various options for financing the provision of concessionary fares to PwDs from a welfare or transport perspective for members' consideration instead.	by the Administration issued vide LC Paper No. CB(1)

Date of meeting	Follow-up actions required	Administration's response
10.7.2006	The Administration was requested to take the following actions –	
	(a) to convey to the Secretary for the Environment, Transport and Works for further consideration members' view that the Administration should exercise its influence in the management boards of the two railway corporations with a view to introducing the proposed concessionary fare schemes for selected groups of PwDs at its earliest opportunity;	Administration is awaited.
	(b) to make every effort to speed up the work related to the survey for assessing the travelling characteristics of the selected groups of PwDs (the Survey), and to follow up with the independent research agency on the design of the questionnaire with regard to members' call to ensure that the findings of the survey should include the necessary quantitative information to enable the Government/PT operators to assess the financial implications of offering fare concessions to selected groups of PwDs, and estimate the additional trips that would be taken by PwDs and the revenue so generated with the introduction of the proposed concessionary fare schemes for PwDs; and	by the Administration issued vide LC Paper No. CB(1) 675/06-07(01) on 10 January 2007.
	(c) to brief members on the preliminary findings of the survey at the next meeting.	Relevant information provided by the Administration issued vide LC Paper No. CB(1) 675/06-07(01) on 10 January 2007.

- 4 -			
Date of meeting	Follow-up actions required	Administration's response	
15.1.2007	The Administration was requested to take the following actions –	Relevant information provided by the Administration issued	
	(a) to provide for the next meeting a concrete legislative timetable and progress report of the introduction of legislative amendments to DDO to put it beyond doubt that selective provision of concessionary fares to PwDs would not constitute a contravention of DDO; and	967/06-07(01) on 15 February	
	(b) to report its discussion with PT operators in following up the Survey at the next meeting.		

Date of meeting	Follow-up actions required	Administration's response
27.2.2007	"This Subcommittee urges the Equal Opportunities Commission to follow up and study the failure on the part of the relevant government departments and various public transport operators to implement the policies, measures and means regarding the provision of concessionary fares for persons with disabilities, and to consider conducting investigations in this respect and to submit a follow-up report to this Subcommittee." "This Subcommittee expresses extreme regret at the Government's persistent failure to come up with a transport policy to facilitate the integration of persons with disabilities into the community, and urges the Government to expeditiously implement a policy to provide half fare concession for persons with disabilities under the principle of shared responsibility between the Government and various public transport operators." (a) The Administration was requested to seriously consider the views and suggestion raised by members, and the two motions passed at the meeting. It was also requested to follow up the matters and give concrete responses at the next meeting; and (b) The Equal Opportunities Commission (EOC) was invited to take follow-up action for the first motion and provide a response at the next meeting.	Nos. CB(1)1292/06-07(01) and (02) on 3 April 2007

Council Business Division 1
<u>Legislative Council Secretariat</u>
3 April 2007