Subcommittee to Study the Transport Needs and Provision of Concessionary Public Transport Fares for Persons with Disabilities

List of follow-up actions for the 2005-06 session

(position as at 4 July 2006)

Date of meeting	Follow-up actions required	Administration's response
	 (a) to provide a list of the work done by the Environment, Transport and Works Bureau (ETWB) and the Health, Welfare and Food Bureau (HWFB) with timing of relevant events on the provision of concessionary public transport fares for persons with disabilities (PwDs) over the past four years or so. The information should include details of the relevant instructions given by the Bureau Secretaries and the forums or occasions at which the Administration discussed the subject with public transport operators; (b) to seek legal advice on whether the non-provision of concessionary public transport fares for those PwDs who need to be accompanied by a carer to use public transport services and/or those PwDs' carers would constitute a contravention of the Disability Discrimination Ordinance (Cap. 487) (DDO); and (c) to work out possible schemes for provision of concessionary public transport fares for PwDs having regard to the principles of equality as implemented by the DDO, to assess the number of PwDs who will benefit under each of the possible schemes, and to discuss with public transport operators the financial implications of those schemes. The advice of the Equal Opportunities Commission and the Department of Justice should be sought in respect of the legal issues that may arise from the schemes. 	869/05-06(01) on 10 February 2006.

Date of meeting	Follow-up actions required	Administration's response
12.5.2006	The Administration was requested to take the following actions — (a) to convey to the Board of the MTR Corporation Limited (MTRCL) for consideration a member's view that from a welfare perspective, the policy intent of providing concessionary fares to PwDs was no different from that of providing concessionary fares to the elderly. Given that MTRCL had invested substantial funds in improving transport facilities for PwDs, provision of concessionary fares to PwDs would encourage them to travel more, making MTRCL's investment in improvements to transport facilities for PwDs more cost-effective. The Administration should report back on the outcome of the Board of MTRCL's consideration in this regard in due course;	Administration is awaited.
	(b) to brief members on the way forward for amending DDO to put it beyond doubt that selective provision of concessionary fares to PwDs would not constitute a contravention of DDO; and	_
	(c) to continue to liaise with public transport (PT) operators on the funding arrangements for the provision of concessionary fares to PwDs. If PT operators were unwilling to provide concessionary fares on their own, to work out various options for financing the provision of concessionary fares to PwDs from a welfare or transport perspective for members' consideration instead.	by the Administration issued vide LC Paper No. CB(1)

Council Business Division 1
<u>Legislative Council Secretariat</u>
4 July 2006