



<b>Subject</b>	<b>Date of Meeting</b>	<b>Follow-up action required</b>	<b>Administration's response</b>
	7 March 2006	<p>The Administration was requested to –</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>(a) provide a written response on the issues raised in the overseas precedents referred to in the letter dated 6 March 2006 from Mr Ronny TONG;</li><li>(b) provide a written response on whether superintendents of police and Police officers involved in handling sensitive information were subject to the same integrity checking as that for panel judges;</li><li>(c) provide information on posts where judges were subject to standard checking before appointment; and</li><li>(d) provide information on the capacity in which judges authorised interception of communications and surveillance in other jurisdictions, and whether the judges were subject to any integrity checking</li></ul>	<p>Response awaited.</p> <p>- Ditto -</p> <p>- Ditto -</p> <p>- Ditto -</p>

<b>Subject</b>	<b>Date of Meeting</b>	<b>Follow-up action required</b>	<b>Administration's response</b>
3. Powers of the Independent Commission Against Corruption (ICAC) to search for and seize journalistic material	29 November 2004	<p>(a) The Department of Justice (D of J) was requested to provide a response on –</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>(i) the basis on which D of J, upon request from the court, referred the investigation of the press coverage of <i>habeas corpus</i> proceedings relating to a witness to ICAC;</li><li>(ii) why D of J did not refer the matter to an independent committee; and</li><li>(iii) whether there was any conflict of interest with ICAC carrying out the investigation work.</li></ul>	Response awaited.

<b>Subject</b>	<b>Date of Meeting</b>	<b>Follow-up action required</b>	<b>Administration's response</b>
		<p>(b) ICAC was requested to consider providing the following after all legal proceedings in respect of a case involving the press coverage of <i>habeas corpus</i> proceedings relating to a witness was completed –</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>(i) information which were relevant to the case but not provided to the court, if prosecution was instituted in respect of the case; and</li><li>(ii) all information relevant to the case, if prosecution was not to be instituted in respect of the case.</li></ul>	<p>Interim response circulated vide LC Paper No. CB(2)1159/05-06 on 16 February 2006.</p>

<b>Subject</b>	<b>Date of Meeting</b>	<b>Follow-up action required</b>	<b>Administration's response</b>
4. Provision of emergency ambulance service	7 June 2005	<p>The Administration was requested to provide –</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"><li data-bbox="1099 400 1637 959">(a) its quantitative analyses of the additional demand for emergency ambulance service arising from the opening of Disneyland and development of Lantau Island, the increased number of visitors associated with the Individual Visit Scheme and the international conferences to be held in Hong Kong, the opening of new ambulance depots, and advise whether the net increase in manpower proposed could meet with such increased demand;</li><li data-bbox="1099 1011 1626 1206">(b) statistics on the response time performance in the New Territories before and after the Third Generation Mobilising System came into operation; and</li><li data-bbox="1099 1259 1563 1406">(c) information on the implementation of a priority despatch system in overseas countries.</li></ul>	<p>Response awaited.</p> <p>- Ditto -</p> <p>- Ditto -</p>

Subject	Date of Meeting	Follow-up action required	Administration's response
<p>5. Notification mechanism between the Mainland authorities and the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Government and assistance to Hong Kong residents detained in the Mainland</p>	<p>5 July 2005</p>	<p>The Administration was requested to –</p> <p>(a) provide information on the criteria adopted by the Mainland authorities in determining whether visits by family members to detainees were allowed; and</p> <p>(b) follow up with the Mainland authorities the suggestions of allowing family members and officials of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Government to visit Hong Kong residents detained in the Mainland and provide a response.</p>	<p>Response awaited.</p> <p style="text-align: center;">- Ditto -</p>
<p>6. Transfer of sentenced persons between the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region (HKSAR) Government and the Macau Special Administrative Region (MSAR) Government</p>	<p>Meeting of the Bills Committee on Transfer of Sentenced Persons (Amendment) (Macau) Bill</p>	<p>The Administration undertook –</p> <p>(a) to draw up internal guidelines for determining “close ties” with a place when more such cases have been established, and provide a copy of the guidelines to the Panel; and</p>	<p>Response awaited.</p>

<b>Subject</b>	<b>Date of Meeting</b>	<b>Follow-up action required</b>	<b>Administration's response</b>
		(b) to inform the Panel of the progress of implementation of the Arrangement on Transfer of Sentenced Persons between the HKSAR Government and the MSAR Government one year after the Arrangement came into operation.	Response awaited.
7. Long-term Prison Development	1 November 2005	<p>The Administration was requested to provide the following when seeking funding for the Lo Wu Correctional Institution redevelopment project –</p> <p>(a) information on the progress of the establishment of transfer of sentenced persons arrangements with the Mainland; and</p> <p>(b) a comparison between the redevelopment of the Lo Wu Correctional Institution and the Chi Ma Wan Correctional Institution, and advise whether the redeveloped institutions at Lo Wu would be further developed to provide more than 1 400 places.</p>	<p>Response awaited.</p> <p>- Ditto -</p>

<b>Subject</b>	<b>Date of Meeting</b>	<b>Follow-up action required</b>	<b>Administration's response</b>
8. Civil celebrant of marriages	Meeting of the Bills Committee on Marriage (Introduction of Civil Celebrants of Marriages and General Amendments) Bill	The Administration was requested to report to the Panel on Security on the outcome of the Administration's review on the operation of the civil celebrant scheme one year after it has come into operation and whether other categories of persons, such as Justices of the Peace and LegCo Members, should be eligible for appointment as civil celebrants.	Response awaited.
9. Rules and directions for the questioning of suspects and the taking of statements : Caution Statement	3 January 2006	The Administration was requested to consider adding the sentence "You have the right to remain silent" to the beginning of the caution administered by law enforcement agencies when interviewing a suspect.	Response awaited.



Subject	Date of Meeting	Follow-up action required	Administration's response
10. Police cooperation on exchange of information in the detection of crime	3 January 2006	<p>The Administration was requested to -</p> <p>(a) consider providing past cases, with personal data excised in the information provided, where the Police released information to its counterparts in other jurisdictions for the detection of crime; and</p> <p>(b) provide information on whether subversion was among the list of crimes excluded from the information exchange framework of Interpol.</p>	<p>Response awaited.</p> <p>- Ditto -</p>
11. Crime situation in 2005	24 January 2006	<p>The Police was requested to provide -</p> <p>(a) statistics on persons prosecuted for offences committed after cautioned under the Superintendent's Discretion Scheme and the nature of the offences involved;</p> <p>(b) information on the percentage decrease in juvenile and youth population in 2005;</p> <p>(c) information on the value of drugs seized in 2005;</p>	<p>Response awaited.</p> <p>- Ditto -</p> <p>- Ditto -</p>

Subject	Date of Meeting	Follow-up action required	Administration's response
		<p>(d) information on the conviction rate of commercial crime cases;</p> <p>(e) information on the number of persons prosecuted for drink driving;</p> <p>(f) statistics on prosecutions relating to domestic violence cases; and</p> <p>(g) information on the percentage of domestic violence cases referred to the Department of Justice for advice on whether prosecution should be instituted.</p>	<p>Response awaited.</p> <p>- Ditto -</p> <p>- Ditto -</p> <p>- Ditto -</p>
<p>12. Security arrangements for the Sixth Ministerial Conference of the World Trade Organization held in Hong Kong from 13 to 18 December 2005</p>	<p>7 February 2006</p>	<p>The Administration was requested to -</p> <p>(a) provide information on the prosecutions instituted against demonstrators when international conferences were held in other jurisdictions, and the outcome of such prosecutions;</p> <p>(b) provide the Panel with a copy of the statement made by the Secretary for Security in 1992 regarding the rules and directions for the questioning of suspects and the taking of statements; and</p>	<p>Response awaited.</p> <p>- Ditto -</p>

Subject	Date of Meeting	Follow-up action required	Administration's response
		(c) advise whether there were guidelines on the use of force under different levels of disturbance.	
13. Legislative proposals for the implementation of the co-location arrangement	7 March 2006	<p>The Administration was requested to –</p> <p>(a) explain the issues considered by the Administration in coming up with its proposal to provide that the Chief Executive might by order modify or exclude any legislation in their application to the Hong Kong Port Area (HKPA); and</p> <p>(b) advise how the authorisation of the Central Authorities to enable certain areas in China that were outside Hong Kong to be declared as HKPA would be made.</p>	<p>Response awaited.</p> <p>- Ditto -</p>