# 立法會 Legislative Council

立法會 CB(3)512/06-07 號文件

## 2007年4月27日內務委員會會議文件

# 定於 2007 年 5 月 2 日立法會會議上提出的質詢

### 提問者:

(1)	鄭家富議員	(口頭答覆)
(2)	梁國雄議員	(口頭答覆)
(3)	田北俊議員	(口頭答覆)
(4)	譚耀宗議員	(口頭答覆)
(5)	李國英議員	(口頭答覆)(新的質詢)
	(取代其原先提出的質詢)	
(6)	周粱淑怡議員	(口頭答覆)
(7)	張超雄議員	(書面答覆)
(8)	陳智思議員	(書面答覆)
(9)	梁耀忠議員	(書面答覆)
(10)	郭家麒議員	(書面答覆)
(11)	陳偉業議員	(書面答覆)
(12)	李國英議員	(書面答覆)
(13)	劉慧卿議員	(書面答覆)
(14)	馮檢基議員	(書面答覆)
(15)	劉江華議員	(書面答覆)
(16)	梁耀忠議員	(書面答覆)(新的質詢)
	(取代其原先提出的質詢)	
(17)	劉慧卿議員	(書面答覆)
(18)	馮檢基議員	(書面答覆)
(19)	劉江華議員	(書面答覆)
(20)	李華明議員	(書面答覆)

註:

NOTE :

- # 議員將採用這種語言提出質詢
- # Member will ask the question in this language

#### #(5) 李國英議員 (口頭答覆)

關於在地鐵月台加裝幕門,政府可否告知本會, 是否知悉:

- (一) 地鐵月台加裝幕門計劃的總開支是多少;
- (二) 鑒於地鐵有限公司自 2000 年 7 月起向使用八達通卡的乘客收取每程一毫的額外收費,以資助其加裝幕門計劃,地鐵乘客至今所繳額外收費總額;及
- (三) 鑒於地鐵有限公司曾在兩年前表示會參考 迪士尼綫的經驗及乘客的接受程度,研究 於現有地面或高架車站安裝月台幕門、月 台閘門或其方案的可行性,有關研究的最 新進展是甚麼?

#### (5) <u>Hon LI Kwok-ying</u> (Oral Reply)

Regarding the retrofitting of MTR platform screen doors, will the Government inform this Council:

- (a) of the total cost of the retrofit programme;
- (b) given that the MTR Corporation Limited ("MTRCL") has been charging an extra \$0.1 for each MTR Octopus journey since July 2000 to fund the retrofit programme, of the total amount of extra fares which has been paid by MTR passengers so far; and
- (c) given that MTRCL had indicated two years ago that it would further study the experience and passenger acceptability of the Disneyland Resort Line with the objective of examining the feasibility of retrofitting platform screen doors, platform gates or any other alternatives at at-grade and aboveground stations, of the latest progress of the study?

#### #(16) 梁耀忠議員 (書面答覆)

財政司司長於今年 2 月發表本財政年度政府財政預算案時表示,政府會寬免本財政年度首兩季的差帥。據報,香港房屋委員會("房委會")將在今年 7 月才把本財政年度首季差帥退回租住公屋("公屋")租戶。就此,政府可否告知本會:

- (一) 有否評估延遲退回上述差飾是否對公屋租 戶不公平;若有評估,結果爲何;及

#### (16) <u>Hon LEUNG Yiu-chung</u> (Written Reply)

In presenting the Budget for the current financial year in February this year the Financial Secretary stated that rates for the first two quarters of the current financial year would be waived. It has been reported that the Hong Kong Housing Authority ("HA") will not refund the rates for the first quarter of the current financial year to public rental housing ("PRH") tenants until July this year. In this connection, will the Government inform this Council whether:

- (a) it has assessed if the delay in refunding the above rates is unfair to PRH tenants; if an assessment has been made, of the results; and
- (b) it will request HA to immediately refund the rates for the first quarter of the current financial year to PRH tenants; if not, the reasons for that?