# Submission to Home Affairs Bureau Legislative Council

#### 3 March 2007

## Outline of Comments on the Race Discrimination Bill

#### YK Chong

Please read the following table with the provisions referred to in the Race Discrimination Bill. Otherwise, you feel difficult to understand.

m

You may read the Bill, the LegCo Brief and Booklets by visiting HAB's web-page at <a href="http://www.hab.gov.hk/en/policy\_responsibilities/the\_rights\_of\_the\_individuals/equal\_racebill.ht">http://www.hab.gov.hk/en/policy\_responsibilities/the\_rights\_of\_the\_individuals/equal\_racebill.ht</a>

<u> </u>		
Section/Clause	Comments/Proposals/Questions	Remarks/References/
of the Bill		<u>Questions</u>
Preamble	Proposal: state clearly the objective of this	Does this Bill fully comply
	legislation is to implement the International	with the ICERD as applied
	Convention on the Elimination of all forms of	to HKSAR? If not,
	Racial Discrimination (ICERD) and shall fully	which clauses cannot be
	comply with and fulfill in good faith the obligations	complied with? Why?
	and commitments HKSAR have assumed under	If yes, why don't adopt a
	international human rights treaties as applied to	preamble that clarifies the
	HKSAR. (cf. article 8 of the 1992 Declaration on	interpretation of this
	the Rights of persons belonging to national or	legislation should be in
	ethnic, religious and linguistic minorities).	accordance with the
		ICERD?
	The Preamble of the Australian Racial	
	Discrimination Act 1975 is a reference:	May also consider stating the
		objective of the legislation is
	"WHEREAS a Convention entitled the "International	to implement (and/or give
	Convention on the Elimination of all Forms of Racial	effect to) the ICERD and
	Discrimination" (being the Convention a copy of the	relevant anti-discrimination
	English text of which is set out in the Schedule) was	provisions in the
	opened for signature on 21 December 1965:	International Covenant on
	AND WHEREAS the Convention entered into force on	Economic, Social and
	2 January 1969:	Cultural Rights (ICESCR),
	AND WHEREAS it is desirable, in pursuance of all	International Covenant on
	relevant powers of the Parliament, including, but not	Civil and Political Rights
	limited to, its power to make laws with respect to	(ICCPR), and the
		· · · · ·

	external affairs, with respect to the people of any race for whom it is deemed necessary to make special laws and with respect to immigration, to make the provisions contained in this Act for the prohibition of racial discrimination and certain other forms of discrimination and, in particular, to make provision for giving effect to the Convention: BE IT THEREFORE ENACTED by the Queen, the Senate and the House of Representatives of Australia, as follows:"	International Labour Convention (ILC) No. 100 (Equal Remuneration Convention, 1951) and No. 111 (Discrimination (Employment and Occupation) Convention, 1958).
	Part I: Interpretation & Application	
1(2) Appoint a Commencement Day	The Secretary for Home Affairs has the power of appointing a commencement day. In <i>Leung Kwok</i> <i>Hung, Koo Sze Yiu v CE of HKSAR</i> , HCAL 107/2005, the judgment stated that "it was open to the Legislative Council to restrict the discretionary duty imposed on the Chief Executive (CE), for example, by providing in s.1(2) that the Ordinance must be brought into operation within a specified period of time. The Legislative Council (LegCo) chose not to do so." (para. 57) and held that it is not a legal duty for CE to appoint a commencement day but there is a legal obligation to keep the matter under review. In the light of such holding, a date being set out in the Bill is more appropriate, such as the practice in the Smoking (Public Health) Amendment Ordinance 2006. At page 8 of the HAB booklet "Joining hands for social harmony with respect, affection, race & equality" in December 2006, it states that the EOC will "draw up code of practice which will provide guideline for people involved in each of the areas of activities covered by the Bill before the relevant legislative	

	cases did the victims get the monetary compensation granted by the Court under s6 per	justification of not following the examples of
	Since the enactment of the BORO, how many	drafting? What is the
	circumstances."	overseas examples of such
	provide that "a court may grant such remedy or reliefas it considers appropriate and just in the	Would you provide
	appear in BORO. Indeed, s6 of the BORO does	Ordinances.
	capacity". However, this statement does not	anti-discrimination
	committed by persons acting in an official	in line of the exiting
	remedy, notwithstanding that the violation has been	This amendment proposal
	recognized are violated shall have an effective	exercise of its powers."
	any person whose rights or freedoms as herein	of its functions or the
	provides that the State undertakes to "ensure that	woman in the performance
	if BORO is violated. Article 2(3) of the ICCPR	discriminate against a
	importantly, it is very difficult to get compensation	the Government to
	individual parents to sue the Government. More	SDO: "it is unlawful for
	action. Without EOC, it is very difficult for	add a clause similar to s21
	Investigation Report before commencing the	binds the Government" an
	above case, EOC published its Formal	replace by "This Ordinanc
	effective implementation mechanism. In the	Proposal: Delete s3 and
	Bill of Rights is very brief and does not have an	
	Rights Ordinance, Cap. 383, BORO. Indeed, the	November 2006 by HAB
	Government will be bound by the HK Bill of	Discrimination Bill dated 2
	matter. The Government may respond that the	Council Brief on Race
	of places in secondary schools is a public policy	and 34 of the Legislative
	happen pursuant to this Ordinance as the allocation	See paragraphs 21, 22, 24
	Director of Education (HCAL1555/2000) cannot	of race"
	from this Bill because they are not similar to acts by a private person. Litigations like <i>EOC v the</i>	for the Government to discriminateon the ground
	Virtually, all the public policy matters are exempted	Bill should make it unlawf
Government	kind similar to an act done by a private person."	2004 ("CP") states that "th
Binds the	or for the purposes of the Government that is of a	Consultation Paper in Sep
3	It states "This Ordinance applies to an act done by	Paragraph 56 of the
	day, such as) 1 Oct 2008"	
	Ordinance shall come into operation on (a specified	
	Proposal: delete s1(2) and substitute by "This	
	SDO on education is operative.	

	common law)? What are the amounts of those compensations and the violation of BORO in question? What are the legal authorities and the Government's position on this (the court may grant monetary compensation by solely relying on s6 of BORO)?	anti-discrimination Ordinances that "this Ordinance binds the Government "? Any discussion on this during the consultation period?
	Part II: Discrimination & Harassment	
4	UK judges interpreted narrowly on "requirement or	Para 2C of CRE, "Reform of
Adopt a sensible	condition" in the old definition.	the Race Relations Act
definition of	In O'Flynn case, "it is sufficient to show only that	1976", 30/4/1998, pp17-18.
Indirect	there is "a risk" that conditions may operate to the	O' Flynn v Adjudication
Discrimination	detriment of a particular racial group."	Officer [1996]All ER (EC)
	In 1998, the UK Commissioner for Racial	541
	Equality, "CRE" recommended this definition:	S4(2): a requirement or
	"indirect discrimination occurs where an apparently	condition is justifiable
	neutral provision, criterion, practice or policy	either(b) if it is not
	which is applied to persons of all racial groups	reasonably practicable for
	cannot be as easily satisfied or complied with by	the discriminator
	persons of a particular racial group or where there	discriminates against another
	is a <i>risk</i> that the provision, criterion, practice or	person not to apply the
	policy may operate to the disadvantage of persons	requirement or condition.
	of a particular racial group, unless the provision,	S4(5): nothing in s4(3) or (4)
	criterion, practice or policy can be justified by	is to be construed as
	objective factors unrelated to race."	requiring the discriminator to
	Consider s4 of DDO: "For the purposes of this	confer any benefit, suffer
	Ordinance, in determining what constitutes	any detriment, provide any
	unjustifiable hardship, all relevant circumstances of	services or facilities or incur
	the particular case are to be taken into account	any expenditure
	including- (a) the reasonableness of any	
	accommodation to be made available to a person	What is the justification of
	with a disability; (b) the nature of the benefit or	not following the examples
	detriment likely to accrue or be suffered by any	of the existing three
	persons concerned; (c) the effect of the disability of	anti-discrimination
	a person concerned; and (d) the financial	Ordinances. Are there
	circumstances of and the estimated amount of	any overseas examples for
	expenditure (including recurrent expenditure)	this? Any discussion on

	required to be made by the person claiming	this during the consultation
	unjustifiable hardship."	period?
	Proposal: Amend s4 according to the above	
	CRE's recommendation. Delete s4(2)(b) as it	
	sets a very unreasonably low standard, i.e. very	
	difficult to prove indirect discrimination. The	
	test should be "failure to consider alternatives".	
	in Kaur v David Lloyd Leisure Limited Nottingham	
	ET, 2600421/02 the Tribunal held that the dismissal	
	by redundancy of a single mother was really	
	because of her inability to work the shifts of a duty	
	manager. The ET found that the employer gave no	
	or scant consideration to sharing or splitting shifts	
	and did not consult the applicant's colleagues. The	
	requirement to work the shifts (to avoid	
	redundancy) was indirect discrimination.	
	In light of s4(5), do you think the society need	
	not do any measures but the discriminatory	
	practices will be improved?	
	Proposal: Delete $s4(2)(b)$ and $s4(5)$ because it	
	defeats the purpose of this section. There is no	
	such provision in existing discrimination laws.	
2, 5, 8(6)	The Bill's protection is narrower than that in	See also Australian Racial
Relative and	Consultation Paper: the spouse and relative.	Discrimination Act 1975,
Associate replace	Proposal: extend the scope of transferred	discriminating against a
Near Relative	discrimination and applies to "associate" (under	relative or associate of
	ss2, 5 of Disability Discrimination Ordinance, Cap	someone of a particular
	487, "DDO") instead of the near relative only.	ethnicity or other status is
	Associate in s2(1) of DDO means "(a) a spouse of	unlawful.
	the person; (b) another person who is living with	
	the person on a genuine domestic basis; (c) a	
	relative of the person; (d) a carer of the person; and	
	(e) another person who is in a business, sporting or	
	recreational relationship with the person".	
	As to the definition of relative, Australian	
	Discrimination Act 1975 is a reference: "relative,	
	in relation to a person, means a person who is	
	related to the first-mentioned person by blood,	

<b></b>		
	marriage, affinity or adoption and includes a person	
	who is wholly or mainly dependent on, or is a	
	member of the household of, the first-mentioned	
	person."	
7	S7 renders hostile learning environment as	Para. 2D of UK
Harassment	unlawful racial harassment shows an improvement	CRE, "Reform of the Race
	when comparing with the CP and the relevant	Relations Act 1976",
	provisions in existing SDO and DDO.	30/4/1998.
	These two sections show improvement when	
	compared with present anti-discrimination laws.	
8(3)	During the consultation period in late 2004, the	General Comment No. 8
Meaning of Race	Government's stand is to leave the issue (whether	(2006) CRC, paragraph 20.
	new arrivals should be protected under this Bill) to	Cornell, Stephen and
	be decided by the court. All human rights	Hartmann, Douglas,
	instruments must be regarded as a living	Ethnicity and Race: Making
	instrument, whose interpretation develops over	identities in a changing
	time. By ruling out the possibilities of the	world (California: Pine
	Convention offering protection to newly arrivals,	Forge Press, 1998) p23, 25.
	this unreasonably restricts the development of	Barth, F., "Ethnic Groups
	human rights laws. In addition, races are not	and Boundaries (1969)" in
	natural forces but social constructs that stemmed	May S., Modood, T. and
	from human perception and classification. A	Squires, J. (eds.) Ethnicity,
	racial difference is culturally determined and racial	nationalism and minorities
	categories change over time. Ethnicity is a social	rights (Cambridge:
	and cultural construction and not unchanging traits.	Cambridge University Press,
	Ethnic groups are situational defined in relationship	2004), p9
	to their social interactions with other groups.	Commission for racial
	Interpretation of race and ethnicity vary over time,	Equality v Dutton [1989]1
	place and context.	All ER 306 (Court of
		Appeal, UK); Ansell-King v
	On 13 May 2005, the United Nations ESCR Rights	police [1979]2 N.Z.L.R. 531,
	Committee in its Concluding Observations	at 543; Mandla (Sewa Singh)
	(paragraph 79) states that "the Committee is	<i>v Dowell Lee</i> [1983]2 A.C.
	concerned that, in the proposed racial	548, at 562.
	discrimination law, the protection afforded by this	See the website of Australian
	law will not cover migrants from the Mainland	Human Rights and Equal
	despite the widespread <i>de jure</i> (legally) and <i>de</i>	Opportunities Commission,
	<i>facto</i> (in reality) discrimination against them on the	racial discrimination,
	basis of their origin. The Committee is also	available at

concerned that, according to the proposals made by the Hong Kong Home Affairs Bureau, the new law will not affect the existing immigration legislation in HKSAR".

### What is the difficulty to protect the new arrivals under the new race law? Even if new

immigrants may not fall within the definition of race under ICERD, it is a good practice encouraged by UN to render protection that is above the minimum standard set out in human rights treaties.

In Australia, the "race" was defined in the Racial Discrimination Act 1975 to include race, colour,

decent, national or ethnic origin, being an immigrant or being a relative and associate of someone of a particular ethnicity or other status. In U.K. and New Zealand, being discriminated on the grounds of nationality and citizenship is unlawful under the provisions of the race law. The law should also protect those who are not ethnic minorities but perceived as such.

Proposal: should extend the protection to new<br/>arrivals from the mainland and ethnic minorities by<br/>adding the following grounds (in addition to the<br/>five): language, place of origin outside the<br/>HKSAR, nationality, residency (HK resident<br/>status), status of being, or having been, an<br/>immigrantNorthwest Territories;Nationality: UK, New<br/>Zealand, all the six<br/>territories, Canada:<br/>Northwest Territories;

Proposal: Delete s8(3)(b)(c)(d) and amend 8(1) and **Citizenship**: UK, New bring in the concept of perceived or imputed race. Zealand and Canada:

Proposal: "actual or perceived" before the words "race, colour, decent, national or ethnic origin..." (*cf.* New York Senate Bill s1925--2003) www.humanrights.gov.au See the website of the Human Rights Commission, Human Rights in New Zealand, available at www.hrc.co.nz

Does the definition of s8 comply with ICERD? If yes, why don't simply incorporate art 1 of ICERD?

In DDO, there is a concept of imputed disability.

The scope of prohibition in the anti-discrimination laws: Language: South Africa, Canada: Quebec Linguistic background or origin: Canada: Yukon; **Place of origin**: Canada: Alberta, New Brunswick, Saskatchewan and Northwest Territories: Zealand, all the six Australian states and the two territories, Canada: Manitoba, Saskatchewan and Northwest Territories; Zealand and Canada: Ontario; Former and current immigrant status: Australia: Tasmania. Perceived race: Canada: Manitoba and Saskatchewan

	Part III: Discrimination & Harassment in Employment	
10(8) Sunset clause	Proposal: 3 year sunset clause should reduce to not more than 1 due to the experience of the implementation of three anti-discrimination ordinances for over 10 years.	
11(2)	Genuine occupational qualification: exemptions	Para. 4 of UK CRE, "Reform
Genuine	on employment should be as few and as narrow as	of the Race Relations Act
Occupational	possible. The framework for exemptions should be	1976", 30/4/1998 and art 4
Qualification	narrowed to encompass jobs where being of a	of "Establishing a general
(GOQ)	particular racial group can be shown to be an	framework for equal
(332)	essential defining feature. The employer must be	treatment in employment and
	able to show that the racial group of the job-holder	occupation", the European
	is an essential defining feature. The criterion of	Union's Council Directive
	authenticity is too wide.	2000/78/EC of 27/11/2000
	A characteristic constitutes genuine and	
	determining occupational requirement provided	Para. 4 of UK CRE, "Reform
	that the objective is legitimate and the requirement	of the Race Relations Act
	is proportionate. What is the objectives of the	1976", 30/4/1998 and art 4
	requirements as set out in s11(2)(c)(d)(e)? Are	of "Establishing a general
	the objectives legitimate? Are the	framework for equal
	requirements proportionate?	treatment in employment and occupation", the European
	S11(2)(c): "the job involve working in a place	Union's Council Directive
	where food or drink is (for payment or not)	2000/78/EC of 27/11/2000
	provided authenticity for consumption in a	
	particular setting". The exception is too wide to	In Ontario, the Human
	be legitimate and proportionate. <b>Must</b>	Rights Code prohibits
	traditional Chinese food be cooked by a	language discrimination.
	Chinese? Why must waiters, cashiers and	The Human Rights
	those who wash dishes be Chinese? Will the	Commission's Policy on
	catering industry virtually, or to a large extent,	Discrimination and
	be exempted? How many people may be	Language suggests that
	affected? How to protect the ethnic minorities in	language-proficiency can be
	HK being dismissed by a western style	established as a boda fide
	restaurant on the ground of race?	occupational requirement if
		it can be proved that it is a
	Exemption of personal service can easily be	reasonable and legitimate

shuged Cosicil membres destant lemment and	magnine mant of a ich
abused. Social workers, doctors, lawyers and	requirement of a job.
many other service providers render personal	Fluency in a particular
services, employers of such industries may escape	language may also be a
from legal liability of committing racial	BFOR in some employment
discrimination.	or service situations. (See
Sections 4A and 5 of the UK Race Relations Act	www.ohrc.on.ca/english/publ
provides a good reference: Discrimination on racial	ications/language-policy.pdf
grounds is allowed in certain limited circumstances,	visited on 1 March 2007)
when being from a particular racial group is a 'genuine	
occupational requirement' (GOR) or a 'genuine	
occupational qualification' (GOQ). GOR and GOQ	
exceptions are very restrictively defined Employers are	
strongly advised to seek legal advice on using a GOR or	
GOQ exception, before advertising the post. All	
advertisements indicating an intention to discriminate	
are unlawful, unless a statutory exception applies.	
Proposal: Delete s11(2)(c), (d) and (e) unless they	
are clearly and narrowly defined.	
13(1)(c)(i), Any measures to protect local employees? It is	
15(5)(c)(i) because the prevailing terms of employment	
Exceptions re offered to persons with those skills, knowledge or	
work expertise in places outside HK (not HK's terms) are	
regarded.	
14Does this section violate article 26 of the	Consider article 6 of the
Exception on ICCPR? Does it comply with all the ILO	Migration for Employment
local and conventions? Who ensure that this Bill	Convention (Revised) 1949
overseas terms of <b>complies with all the ILO conventions, in</b>	
employment particular those apply to HK?	
16 Should clarify the definition is line with overseas	Refer to para. 1-2 of
Extra-territorial jurisdictions in UK and Australia and follow the	"Equal Opportunities
effect EOC proposal submitted to the Chief Executive in	legislative review proposals
Feb 1999, "the EOC Proposal", to make it clear	for amendment of the SDO
that this section has extra-territorial effect and	and DDO",
protect against unlawful acts committed outside	LegCo Paper No.
HK. "Extend the definition of "an establishment	CB(C)830/00-01(01)
in HK" to protect HK residents working wholly or	
mainly outside HK for businesses or companies	
registered in HK."	
Proposal: adopt the UK model: The Race Relations	

	Act 1976 (Amendment) Regulations 2003:	
	Meaning of employment at establishment in	
	Great Britain	
	11 (1) In section 8 of the 1976 Act (meaning of	
	employment at establishment in Great Britain), in	
	subsection (1), for the words "unless the employee"	
	to the end, substitute $-$ "if the employee $-$ (a) does his	
	work wholly or partly in Great Britain; or (b) does his	
	work wholly outside Great Britain and subsection (1A)	
	applies". (2) After subsection (1) insert - " (1A) This	
	subsection applies if, in a case involving discrimination	
	on grounds of race or ethnic or national origins, or	
	harassment $-$ (a) the employer has a place of business at	
	an establishment in Great Britain;(b) the work is for the	
	purposes of the business carried on at that	
	establishment; and (c) the employee is ordinarily	
	resident in Great Britain - (i) at the time when he applies	
	for or is offered the employment, or (ii) at any time	
	during the course of the employment."	
17	The Consultation Paper does not set out	Para. 4 of UK CRE, "Reform
Partnership	partnerships of fewer than 6 partners as one of the	of the Race Relations Act
	exemptions. However, s17 provides for	1976", 30/4/1998
	exemption of a firm with less than 6 partners.	
	As concluded by CRE, there is no justification for	
	restricting application of the new law to	
	partnerships of a particular size. What is the	
	justification for restricting application to	
	partnerships of fewer than 6?	
	2003 UK legal amendment should be taken into	
	account: The Race Relations Act 1976	
	(Amendment) Regulations 2003:	
	Partnership	
	<b>12.</b> In section 10 of the 1976 Act (partnerships) –	
	(a) after subsection (1), insert – "(1A) The limitation of	
	subsection (1) to six or more partners does not apply in	
	relation to discrimination on grounds of race or ethnic	
	or national origins. (1B) It is unlawful for a firm, in	
	relation to a position as a partner in the firm, to subject	
	to harassment a person who holds or has applied for that	

	position."; (b) in subsection (2), for the words	
	"Subsection (1)" substitute "Subsections (1), (1A) and	
	(1B)"; (c) in subsection (3), for the words "being of a	
	particular racial group" to the end substitute "section 4A	
	or 5 would apply to such employment"; and	
	(d) at the end insert - "(6) In subsection (1)(d)(ii)	
	reference to the expulsion of a person from a position as	
	partner includes, where the discrimination is on grounds	
	of race or ethnic or national origins, reference –	
	(a) to the termination of that person's partnership by the	
	expiration of any period (including a period expiring by	
	reference to an event or circumstance), not being a	
	termination immediately after which the partnership is	
	renewed on the same terms; and (b) to the termination	
	of that person's partnership by any act of his (including	
	the giving of notice) in circumstances such that he is	
	entitled to terminate it without notice by reason of the	
	conduct of the other partners.".	
	Proposal: delete such an exemption on the number.	
19	Is it an over-legislation to include s19(2) and	
Qualifying bodies	Schedule 3? Please provide overseas example	
	of similar provisions.	
20	S20(2) states "nothing in subsection (1) is to be	
Vocational	construed as requiring a person(a) to	
training	modifyarrangements regarding holidays and	
	medium of instruction; (b) to make different	
	arrangements on those matters for persons of any	
	racial groups."	
	Proposal: Delete s20(2) as this legitmatizes those	
	discriminatory arrangements.	
	Part IV: Discrimination & Harassment	
	other than in Employment	
26	Consider language discrimination as an indirect	See website of the UN High
Education,	racial discrimination in light of General Comment	Commissioner for Human
Language	No. 13 of CESCR (1999) on "the right to	Rights and the UN
	education": "The Committee interprets article	Committee on Elimination of
	2(2)in the light of the UNESCO Convention	Racial Discrimination
		1

	against Discrimination in Educationthe ICERD,	(CERD) at <u>www.ohchr.org</u>
	the CRC"). In the CERD's Concluding	and
	Observations on Mongolia's Report (2006), the	http://www.ohchr.org/english
	Committee "is also concerned about the lack of	/bodies/cerd/index.htm
	measures to ensure that children whose mother	respectively
	tongue is a minority languageare provided with	
	adequate opportunities to learn Mongolian as a	
	second language, art.5(e)(v) and (vi)". In its	
	Estonia's Report (2006), "the (CERD) Committee	
	reiterates its previous concern that the scope of the	
	requirement of Estonian language proficiency,	
	including in the private sector, may have a	
	discriminatory effect on the availability of	
	employment to members of this community (art.	
	5(e)(i))".	
	In Lau v Nichols 414 U. S. 563 [1974], the	
	Supreme Court held that the failure of the school	
	system of San Francisco to provide supplemental	
	English language instruction to about 1,800	
	Chinese students denied from a meaningful	
	opportunity to participate in the public educational	
	program. It was a violation of Title VI of the	
	Civil Rights Act 1964 which prohibited	
	discrimination based on race, colour, or national	
	origin in any programme or activity receiving	
	Federal financial assistance.	
	Does s26(2) breach or comply with articles 2 and	
	26 of the ICCPR (articles 1(1) and 22 of the	
	BORO), article 5(e)(v) of the ICERD, article	
	2(2) of the ICESCR and the 1960 Convention	
	against Discrimination in Education?	
	Proposal: Delete s26(2) as this legitimatizes those	
	discriminatory arrangements.	
30	What is the rationale of having such an	
Small dwellings	exemption? What is the effect if such an	
	exemption is dropped?	
34(2)	In light of the definition in $s8(2)(3)$ and the Basic	
Discrimination in	Law being the supreme law in the HKSAR, is it	
election	an over-legislation to include s34(2)?	

20(1)		
39(1)	Follow the EOC Proposal to extend the protection	
Harassment in	against racial harassment to service providers	
providing	instead of service users only. In Nov 2000, the	
services	Administration agreed in principle to the EOC	
	Proposal regarding SDO.	
39(3)(4)	It does not protect tenant against another tenant or	
Harassment in	sub-tenant; or sub-tenant against another	
tenancy	sub-tenant. Amend this section to protect tenants	
	and sub-tenants from racial harassment occupying	
	the same premises. The Government agreed in	
	principle to the EOC Proposal regarding SDO and	
	DDO. Proposal: follow the EOC Proposal.	
39(10)	This provision shows an improvement when	
Harassment in	comparing with s4 of SDO. However, the	
club	definition of "club" is very narrow and not in the	
	sense of an ordinary NGO: "club means an	
	association, incorporate or unincorporate, of not	
	less than 30 persons associated together for social,	
	literary, cultural, political, sporting, athletic or	
	other lawful purposes that- (a) provides and	
	maintains its facilities, in whole or in part, from the	
	funds of the association; and (b) sells or supplies	
	liquor for consumption on its premises". (s2)	
	Proposal: delete the above (b) re definition of club.	
	Part V: Other Unlawful Acts	
41	How many proceedings were brought by	
Discriminatory	individuals and EOC under relevant provisions	
Practices	in SDO, DDO and FSDO respectively?	
45 & 46	Do ss45 and 46 comply with article 20 of the	For details, see para. 18-23,
Vilification	ICCPR in respect of racial hatred?	"ECRI General Policy
	The words "if his conduct includes threatening	Recommendation No. 7 on
	physical harm" are too restrictive.	National Legislation to
	Proposal: consider the recommendation of the	combat racism and racial
	European Council to extend the scope of criminal	discrimination", 13/12/2002.
	law to prohibit racial discrimination.	UK CRE,
	Criminal law should prohibit an intentional acts: (a)	"Reform of the Race
	public incitement to violence, hatred or	Relations Act 1976",
L	· · ·	· · ·

	discrimination; (b) public insults and defamation;	30/4/1998, pp6-7
	(c) threats on the ground of race, color, language,	
	religion, nationality, or national or ethnic origin; (d)	See also UN Office of the
	public expression, with a racist aim, of the	High Commissioner for
	superiority of a grouping of persons on the ground	Human Rights, ("OHCHR"),
	of race, etc; (e) public denial, trivialization,	"Model National Legislation
	justification or condoning, with a racist aim, of	for the Guidance of
	crimes of genocide, crimes against humanity or war	Governments in the
	of crimes; (f) public dissemination, distribution	enactment of further
	with a racist aim of written, pictorial or any	legislation against racial
	materials containing manifestations covered by (a)	discrimination"
	to (e) above; (g) creation or the leadership of a	
	group which promotes racism.	see the UN OHCHR, Human
	The new law should stipulate that a racially	Rights Standards and
	motivated crime should result in increase in	Practice for the Police,
	sentence.	January 2004, pp8-9
	Another reference to incitement is whether the	
	expression amounts to incitement to violence.	
	(Skokie v National Socialist Party 373 NE 2d.21	
	[1978], R.A.V. v City if St. Paul 505 U.S. 377	
	(1992))	
	In summer 2004, there was a city forum held by	
	RTHK. A guest speaker was seriously vilified by	
	an elderly in Victoria Park. The elderly has	
	committed crimes under the DDO but the	
	policemen there did not take action. The	
	Government should raise the anti-discrimination	
	law awareness of the police to enforce the law.	
	Part VI Exemption to Part 3-5	
Exceptions, in	In Annex B to the LegCo Brief: the explanatory	Legislative Council Brief on
particular,	note on the exception clauses in the Race	Race Discrimination Bill
54-58	Discrimination Bill, the HAB admits that sections	dated
	8(2) & (3), 13, 14, 15(5), 18(5), 19(2), 20(2), 26(2),	29 November 2006,
	32, 34(2), 54, 58 are "new provisions neither found	HAB/CR/1/19/102, the
	in existing anti-discrimination laws in Hong Kong	"LegCo Brief". The Court of

	international standards unless being justified on	context of freedom of
	two aspects: (a) why do all other common law	assembly as follows: "(a) the
	jurisdictions' laws function without such	restriction must be rationally
	exemptions? Or why all others can but we cannot?	connected with one or more
	(b) why the existing anti-discrimination laws can	of the legitimate purposes;
	be implemented without the exemptions? The	and (b) the means used to
	Administration has to justify the special	impair the rights of peaceful
	circumstances of (a) HK and (b) race (different	assembly must be no more
	from sex, disability and family status).	than necessary to accomplish
	Do they comply with ICERD? What are the	the legitimate purpose in
	effects of deleting these provisions in light of	question". [2005]3 HKLRD
	other provisions (ss8, 11-16 and Part VI) in the	166 C-D
	Bill?	
	Paragraph 26 of the LegCo Brief provides:	
	"Consistent with the principles of rationality and	
	proportionality, which have been widely adopted	
	by international human rights authorities, each of	
	the proposed exception clauses has been critically	
	examined against the following criteria and	
	benchmarks—(a) the provision serves a legitimate	
	and needed purpose; (b) it is justified on reasonable	
	grounds; and (c) the exception is proportional to the	
	objective and to the level of protection required	
	(i.e. it is not excessive)." Using the criteria set by	
	the Government, many of the exceptions in this	
	part must fail the test. The Administration	
	should justify each and every exception (ss54-58)	
	according to the above standard by showing (a)	
	whether there is legitimate and needed purpose;	
	(b) whether the restriction is rationally	
	connected with one or more of the legitimate	
	purposes; and (c) whether the means used to	
	impair the rights of non-discrimination is no	
	more than necessary to accomplish the	
	legitimate purpose in question.	
54	Delete it as it is too broad and,	
Nationality	unnecessary due to s8(3)(d)	

nor in other common law jurisdictions." This

implies that they are probably below the

Final Appeal interprets the

proportionality test in the

55	In the Aumeeruddy-Cziffra et al. v Mauritius	Aumeeruddy-Cziffra et al. v
Immigration	(35/78), the UN Human Rights Committee opined	Mauritius (35/78) in Sarah
legislation	that the immigration laws of Mauritius	Joseph & ors, <i>The</i>
legistation	discriminated against Mauritian women that	ICCPR—Cases, Materials,
	violated articles 2(1), 3 and 26 of the ICCPR.	and Commentary, Oxford
	violated articles 2(1), 5 and 20 of the ICCI K.	University Press, 1995,
	. "The reservation (to ICCPR) on immigration	•
		p540.
	matters does not fall into any of the examples set	Unlike CEDD, the
	out in paragraph 8 of General Comment no. 24(by	Unlike CERD, the
	the UN Human Rights Committee). In any event,	application of ICCPR to
	I am not prepared to make any ruling on this issue.	HKSAR, the Govt. "reserve
	The implication of such a ruling on international	the right to continue to apply
	obligations had not been fully canvassed in this	such immigration legislation
	case." The consequence of an unacceptable	governing entry into, stay in
	reservation is that the covenants will be operative	and departure from the
	for the reserving party without the benefit of the	HKSAR".
	reservation.	
		See relevant European
	Consider views of UN committees in the relevant	Council's Directives and the
	UN Concluding observations.	above Concluding
		Observations on HK reports.
	Please note that no such declaration or reservation	
	on immigration legislation was made to CERD.	
	What is the justification of not outlawing the	
	two-week rule in this Bill?	
	Proposal: delete it as it is too broad and it	
	legitimatizes discriminatory stipulations like	
	two-week rule.	
56	In a school with incorporated management	S40BI(2) of Education
Act under	committee ("IMC"), if a manager of an IMC	Ordinance: A manager shall
statutory	discriminates against a student on the grounds of	not incur any civil liability in
authority not	race, may the student sue the manager after this	respect of anything done or
affected	race law come into force? Consider the possible	omitted to be done by him in
	conflict between s40BI of Education (Amendment)	good faith in the
	Ordinance 2004 and RDO, HKBORO.	performance or purported
	Proposal: Delete it in order to mainstream racial	performance of any function
	equality in the existing laws.	of his office as the manager.

57	Why must these two sections be exempted?	
Application to	What are the rationales of having such an	
NT land	exemption? What are the effects if such	
58	exemptions are dropped?	
Exemption for	Delete s58 as it legitimatizes discriminatory	
languages	practice	
	Part VII & VIII: EOC & Enforcement	
60, 79	"The EOC is of the views that a voluntary	See ss63, 64 of SDO and
Powers of EOC	undertaking or agreement would be desirable if it	para. 9 of the above LegCo
	were formally recognized by the legislation and	Paper No.
	could be enforced in the same manner as	CB(C)830/00-01(01).
	enforcement notices."	Refer to UN OHCHR,
	Proposal: follow the EOC Proposal to introduce	"Model National Legislation
	voluntary and binding undertakings that are legally	for the Guidance of Govts in
	enforceable.	the enactment of further
		legislation against racial
	Proposal: the implementation body should have	discrimination";
	power to give advisory opinions to private and	CERD General
	public bodies, review government policy towards	Recommendation No. 17;
	protection against racial discrimination. It shall	The resolution of
	be established in accordance with a procedure that	Commission
	affords all necessary guarantees to ensure the	on Human Rights 1992/54
	pluralist representation of the social forces	of March 1992;
	(of civilian society).	para. 35 of the Concluding
		Observations of UN
	Proposal: the implementation body should comply	Committee ESCR on HK
	with the Paris Principle (1991) and the	report dated 11/5/2001;
	General Comment of UN Committee ESCR No.	UN OHCHR, Fact Sheet No.
	10. It should be composed of a variety of	19, "National Institutions for
	members from diverse backgrounds, reflect the	the Promotion and Protection
	ethnic diversity of society, gender balance and the	of Human Rights", April
	range of vulnerable groups in our society. A	1993;
	transparent process of selection and appointment	
	should involve wide consultation and a process for	Para 2.1-2.3,
	public nomination of candidates. The members	"Commonwealth Secretariat,
	should be appointed for a fixed term of 5 years. It	National Human Rights
	should consist of at least 3 leading members who	Institution—Best Practice",

		2001	
	serve on a full-time basis.	2001.	See also s63 of SDO
	Deproval functions and a second of the		
	Proposal: functions and powers of the		
	implementation body: should include power to sue,		
	in particular in case of discriminatory practices.		
	EOC can only take legal action against indirect		
	discrimination after formal investigation. Should		
	follow the EOC Proposal to enable EOC to bring		
	civil proceedings against those who have		
	discriminatory practices without going through the		
	process of formal investigation. The Government		
	agreed in principle to the EOC Proposal regarding		
	SDO and DDO to enable EOC to seek declaratory		
	and injunctive relief in the District Court in respect		
	of discriminatory acts, policies and practices.		
	Before the first reading of the Bill, EOC has the		
	benefit of giving advice to HAB on the draft Bill		
	for about a year. What are the proposals made		
	by EOC that have not been accepted by the		
	Administration?		
	Schedules		
Schedule 2	What is the rationale of having clauses 9 & 11?		
clauses 9, 11	Is it an over-legislation in light of ss26(2), 58?		
	What is the effect of deleting clauses 9 and 11 of		
	schedule 2 in respect of the Native-speaking		
	English Teacher Scheme?		
Schedule 5	What is the rationale of having an exemption as		
CSSA Scheme	set out in schedule 5? What is the effect of		
	deleting schedule 5?		
	0		
	What should be added to this Bill?		
Positive Duty of	S71 of UK Race Relations Act 1976 ("RRA")	Reco	mmendations of the
the Government	imposes a duty on local governments (general	Rej	port of the Stephen
	duty). May sue or by judicial review if Govt. does	Law	rence Inquiry, 1999:
	not comply with this. "It is incumbent upon every	See	the web-page of UK

	institution to anoming their policies and prestings to	Commissioner for regist
	institution to examine their policies and practices to	Commissioner for racial
	guard against disadvantaging any section of the	equality ("CRE") at
	community".	www.cre.gov.uk/duty/index.
		<u>html</u>
	Consider racial profiling and institutional racism,	
	ethnic monitoring, race equality policy, scheme,	Refer to UK CRE, "Race
	strategy and impact assessment.	Equality impact assessment:
	Govt. and public authorities should take account of	a step-by-step guide" visited
	racial equality in the day-to-day work of	the website on 26/9/2004 at
	policy-making, service delivery, employment	www.cre.gov.uk/duty/reia/in
	practice and other functions. After the Stephen	<u>dex.html</u>
	Lawrence Inquiry, the Race Relations	
	(Amendment) Act 2000 extended such duty to all	
	public authorities and added the specific duty and	
	employment duty.	
	Proposal: Govt. and public authorities should be	
	under a positive duty to eliminate unlawful racial	
	discrimination, promote equal opportunities and	
	good relations between persons and racial groups	
	(general duty). Specific duty: Secretary for Home	
	Affairs/implementation body has power to set out	
	what a public authority must do to comply with	
	general duty. Employment duty: requires public	See para 12 of SDO Code of
	authorities to monitor by ethnicity the numbers of	Practice on employment and
	employees in post and applicants for employment,	para 13 of DDO Code of
	training and promotion.	<i>Practice on employment</i> and
		UK Equal Pay Act
	Proposal: There should be provisions stipulating	
	"equal pay for equal work" and "equal pay for	
	work of equal value".	
	work of equal value .	
	Whether the proposed legislation would help foster	
	a culture of mutual respect and tolerance should be	
	assessed by continuing survey and research to	
	monitor the situation.	
	Proposal: should introduce race equality impact	
	assessment.	
Burden of Proof	Proposal: "the rules on the burden of proof must be	Para. (21) and art 8 of
	adapted when there is prima facie case of	European Union's Council

	discrimination and, for the principle of equal	Directive 2000/43/EC of 29
	treatment to be applied effectively, the burden of	June 2000: "Implementing
	proof must shift back to the respondent (alleged	the principle of equal
	perpetrator) when evidence of such discrimination	treatment between persons
	is brought."	irrespective of racial or
		ethnic origin", in Official
	Proposal: the new law should enable a court to	Journal of the European
	consider a complaint where the discrimination	Communities, 19/7/2000.
	affects a number of people who wish to bring a	
	group complaint, without the need for each person	See para 6F of Commission
	to bring proceedings separately. Where all	for Racial Equality, "CRE",
	members of a racial group are discriminated, the	"Reform of the Race
	court should allow "class action" to relax the rule	Relations Act 1976",
	of bringing proceedings/loco standi.	30/4/1998, p39.
Liability of	At the "Forum on preventing sexual harassment in	United Nations, "World
Educational	universities" held by EOC and Women's	Conference against racism,
establishments	Commission on 17 August 2004, the then EOC	racial discrimination,
	assistant legal adviser stated that the possible	xenophobia and related
	amendment to SDO was that "educational	intolerance-declaration and
	establishment to be made liable for unlawful sexual	programme of action", Sept
	harassment done by students."	2001, pp73-74.
	Proposal: educational establishments should be	S46 of SDO.
	liable for the racial harassment done by their	See also para. 6.22 of "DDO
	students in the campus or during the schools'	Code of Practice on
	activities unless they can prove that they have taken	Education"
	reasonably practicable steps to prevent the students	
	from doing the harassment.	
Interpretation	Article 31(1) of the Vienna Convention on the Laws	Cf. s4, BORO, article 8 of
	of Treaties states that treaties are "interpreted in	the 1992 Declaration on the
	good faith in accordance with the ordinary meaning	Rights of persons belonging
	to be given to the terms of the treaty in their	to national or ethnic,
	context and in the light of its object and purpose."	religious and linguistic
	Nowak M added that the followings need to be	minorities, article 8 of the
	considered during the interpretation: the entire text	1981 Declaration on
	of a treaty including its preamble and annexes, the	Elimination of all forms of
	deeds and agreements between the parties relating	Intolerance and of
	to the treaty. In cases of doubt as to object and	Discrimination based on

purpose, international monitoring bodies are generally of the opinion that the interpretation should favour the protection of the individual.Religion and Belief. and article 4(4) of the 2006 Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (not yet into force)1. This Ordinance shall be construed so as to be consistent with the ICERD as applied to HK.Nowak, M, Introduction to the purposes, principles and provisions of; andNowak, M, Introduction to the International Human Rights Regime (Leideb/Boston: Martinus Nijhoff Publishers, 2003), p65c. restricting or derogating from any of the human rights and fundamental freedoms recognized or existing pursuant to HK laws, conventions, regulation or custom on the pretext that the present Convention does not recognizes them to a lesser extent. defined in HK laws or in d. affecting any provisions which are more conducive to the realization of the rights of ethnic minorities and which may be contained in the HK laws or in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the international human rights treaties including ICERD, ICESCR, ICCPR, CEDAW, CAT and CRC as applied to HKSAR.Before the handover, UK signed and ratified ICERD for HK on 11/10/1966 and 73/1969 respectively with some declarations and reservations?			l .
should favour the protection of the individual. Proposal: add an interpretation clause like this:Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (not yet into force)1. This Ordinance shall be construed so as to be consistent with the ICERD as applied to HK.Nothing in the Ordinance may be construed as a. preventing the fulfillment of ; b. permitting any act or omission contrary to the purposes, principles and provisions of; and c. restricting or derogating from any of the human rights and fundamental freedoms recognized or existing pursuant to HK laws, conventions, regulation or custom on the pretext that the present Convention does not recognize such rights or freedoms or that it recognizes them to a lesser extent. defined in HK laws or in d. affecting any provisions which are more conducive to the realization of Human Rights and the international human rights treaties including ICERD, ICESCR, ICCPR, CEDAW, CAT and CRC as applied to HKSAR.Sefore the handover, UK signed and ratified ICERD for HK on 11/10/1966 and 7/3/1969 respectively with some declarations and to the application of ICERD? What is the action plan and time table to withdraw theBefore the handover, UK signed and ratified ICERD for HK on 11/10/1966 and 7/3/1969 respectively with some declarations and		purpose, international monitoring bodies are	Religion and Belief. and
Proposal: add an interpretation clause like this:Persons with Disabilities1. This Ordinance shall be construed so as to be consistent with the ICERD as applied to HK.Persons with Disabilities (not yet into force)2. Nothing in the Ordinance may be construed as a. preventing the fulfillment of ; b. permitting any act or omission contrary to the purposes, principles and provisions of; andNowak, M, Introduction to the International Human Rights Regime (Leideb/Boston: Martinus Nijhoff Publishers, 2003), c. restricting or derogating from any of the human rights and fundamental freedoms recognized or existing pursuant to HK laws, conventions, regulation or custom on the pretext that the present Convention does not recognize such rights or freedoms or that it recognizes them to a lesser extent. defined in HK laws or in- the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the international human rights treaties including ICERD, ICESCR, ICCPR, CEDAW, CAT and CRC as applied to HKSAR.Before the handover, UK signed and ratified ICERD for HK on 11/10/1966 and 7/3/1969 respectively with some declarations and		generally of the opinion that the interpretation	article 4(4) of the 2006
1. This Ordinance shall be construed so as to be consistent with the ICERD as applied to HK.(not yet into force)2. Nothing in the Ordinance may be construed as a. preventing the fulfillment of ; b. permitting any act or omission contrary to the purposes, principles and provisions of; and c. restricting or derogating from any of the human rights and fundamental freedoms recognized or existing pursuant to HK laws, conventions, regulation or custom on the pretext that the present Convention does not recognize such rights or freedoms or that it recognizes them to a lesser extent. defined in HK laws or in d. affecting any provisions which are more conducive to the realization of the rights of ethnic minorities and which may be contained in the HK laws or in- the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the international human rights treaties including ICERD, ICESCR, ICCPR, CEDAW, CAT and CRC as applied to HKSAR.Before the handover, UK signed and ratified ICERD for HK on 11/10/1966 and 7/3/1969 respectively with some declarations and some declarat		should favour the protection of the individual.	Convention on the Rights of
consistent with the ICERD as applied to HK.Nowak, M, Introduction to the purposes, principles and provisions of; and c. restricting or derogating from any of the human rights and fundamental freedoms recognized or existing pursuant to HK laws, conventions, regulation or custom on the pretext that the present Convention does not recognize such rights or freedoms or that it recognizes them to a lesser extent. defined in HK laws or in d. affecting any provisions which are more conducive to the realization of the rights of ethnic minorities and which may be contained in the HK laws or in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the international human rights treaties including ICERD, ICESCR, ICCPR, CEDAW, CAT and CRC as applied to HKSAR.Before the handover, UK signed and ratified ICERD for HK on 11/10/1966 and 7/3/1969 respectively with some declarations and to the application of ICERD? What is the action plan and time table to withdraw the respectively with some declarations and		Proposal: add an interpretation clause like this:	Persons with Disabilities
2. Nothing in the Ordinance may be construed as a. preventing the fulfillment of ; b. permitting any act or omission contrary to the purposes, principles and provisions of; and c. restricting or derogating from any of the human rights and fundamental freedoms recognized or existing pursuant to HK laws, conventions, regulation or custom on the pretext that the present Convention does not recognize such rights or freedoms or that it recognizes them to a lesser extent. defined in HK laws or in d. affecting any provisions which are more conducive to the realization of the rights of ethnic minorities and which may be contained in the HK laws or in- the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the international human rights treaties including ICERD, ICESCR, ICCPR, CEDAW, CAT and CRC as applied to HKSAR.Before the handover, UK signed and ratified ICERD for HK on 11/10/1966 and 7/3/1969 respectively with some declarations and		1. This Ordinance shall be construed so as to be	(not yet into force)
a. preventing the fulfillment of ; b. permitting any act or omission contrary to the purposes, principles and provisions of; andthe International Human Rights Regime (Leideb/Boston: Martinus Nijhoff Publishers, 2003), p65c. restricting or derogating from any of the human rights and fundamental freedoms recognized or existing pursuant to HK laws, conventions, regulation or custom on the pretext that the present Convention does not recognize such rights or freedoms or that it recognizes such rights or in d. affecting any provisions which are more conducive to the realization of the rights of ethnic minorities and which may be contained in the HK laws or in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the international human rights treaties including ICERD, ICESCR, ICCPR, CEDAW, CAT and CRC as applied to HKSAR.Before the handover, UK signed and ratified ICERD for HK on 11/10/1966 and 7/3/1969 respectively with some declarations and		consistent with the ICERD as applied to HK.	
b. permitting any act or omission contrary to the purposes, principles and provisions of; and <i>Rights Regime</i> (Leideb/Boston: Martinus Nijhoff Publishers, 2003),c. restricting or derogating from any of the human rights and fundamental freedoms recognized or existing pursuant to HK laws, conventions, regulation or custom on the pretext that the present Convention does not recognizes them to a lesser extent. defined in HK laws or in d. affecting any provisions which are more conducive to the realization of the rights of ethnic minorities and which may be contained in the HK laws or in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the international human rights treaties including ICERD, ICESCR, ICCPR, CEDAW, CAT and CRC as applied to HKSAR.Before the handover, UK signed and ratified ICERD for HK on 11/10/1966 and 7/3/1969 respectively with some declarations and		2. Nothing in the Ordinance may be construed as	Nowak, M, Introduction to
the purposes, principles and provisions of; and(Leideb/Boston: Martinus Nijhoff Publishers, 2003),c. restricting or derogating from any of the human rights and fundamental freedoms recognized or existing pursuant to HK laws, conventions, regulation or custom on the pretext that the present Convention does not recognizes such rights or freedoms or that it recognizes them to a lesser extent. defined in HK laws or in d. affecting any provisions which are more conducive to the realization of the rights of ethnic minorities and which may be contained in the HK laws or in- the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the international human rights treaties including ICERD, ICESCR, ICCPR, CEDAW, CAT and CRC as applied to HKSAR.Before the handover, UK signed and ratified ICERD for HK on 11/10/1966 and 7/3/1969 respectively with some declarations and		a. preventing the fulfillment of ;	the International Human
andNijhoff Publishers, 2003),c. restricting or derogating from any of the human rights and fundamental freedoms recognized or existing pursuant to HK laws, conventions, regulation or custom on the pretext that the present Convention does not recognize such rights or freedoms or that it recognizes them to a lesser extent. defined in HK laws or in d. affecting any provisions which are more conducive to the realization of the rights of ethnic minorities and which may be contained in the HK laws or in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the international human rights treaties including ICERD, ICESCR, ICCPR, CEDAW, CAT and CRC as applied to HKSAR.Before the handover, UK signed and ratified ICERD for HK on 11/10/1966 and 7/3/1969 respectively with some declarations and		b. permitting any act or omission contrary to	Rights Regime
c. restricting or derogating from any of the human rights and fundamental freedoms recognized or existing pursuant to HK laws, conventions, regulation or custom on the pretext that the present Convention does not recognizes such rights or freedoms or that it recognizes them to a lesser extent. defined in HK laws or in d. affecting any provisions which are more conducive to the realization of the rights of ethnic minorities and which may be contained in the HK laws or in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the international human rights treaties including ICERD, ICESCR, ICCPR, CEDAW, CAT and CRC as applied to HKSAR.Before the handover, UK signed and ratified ICERDReservation to the ICERDThe anti-racial discrimination law comes late for more than 38 years.Before the handover, UK signed and ratified ICERD for HK on 11/10/1966 and 7/3/1969 respectively with some declarations and		the purposes, principles and provisions of;	(Leideb/Boston: Martinus
human rights and fundamental freedoms recognized or existing pursuant to HK laws, conventions, regulation or custom on the pretext that the present Convention does not recognize such rights or freedoms or that it recognizes them to a lesser extent. defined in HK laws or in d. affecting any provisions which are more conducive to the realization of the rights of ethnic minorities and which may be contained in the HK laws or in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the international human rights treaties including ICERD, ICESCR, ICCPR, CEDAW, CAT and CRC as applied to HKSAR.Before the handover, UK signed and ratified ICERDReservation to the ICERDThe anti-racial discrimination law comes late for more than 38 years.Before the handover, UK signed and ratified ICERD for HK on 11/10/1966 and 7/3/1969 respectively with some declarations and		and	Nijhoff Publishers, 2003),
recognized or existing pursuant to HK laws, conventions, regulation or custom on the pretext that the present Convention does not recognize such rights or freedoms or that it recognizes them to a lesser extent. defined in HK laws or in d. affecting any provisions which are more conducive to the realization of the rights of ethnic minorities and which may be contained in the HK laws or in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the international human rights treaties including ICERD, ICESCR, ICCPR, CEDAW, CAT and CRC as applied to HKSAR.Before the handover, UK signed and ratified ICERDReservation to the ICERDThe anti-racial discrimination law comes late for more than 38 years.Before the handover, UK signed and ratified ICERD for HK on 11/10/1966 and 7/3/1969 respectively with some declarations and		c. restricting or derogating from any of the	p65
conventions, regulation or custom on the pretext that the present Convention does not recognize such rights or freedoms or that it recognizes them to a lesser extent. defined in HK laws or in d. affecting any provisions which are more conducive to the realization of the rights of ethnic minorities and which may be contained in the HK laws or in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the international human rights treaties including ICERD, ICESCR, ICCPR, CEDAW, CAT and CRC as applied to HKSAR.Before the handover, UK signed and ratified ICERDReservation to the ICERDThe anti-racial discrimination law comes late for more than 38 years.Before the handover, UK signed and ratified ICERD for HK on 11/10/1966 and 7/3/1969 respectively with some declarations and		human rights and fundamental freedoms	
pretext that the present Convention does not recognize such rights or freedoms or that it recognizes them to a lesser extent. defined in HK laws or in d. affecting any provisions which are more conducive to the realization of the rights of ethnic minorities and which may be contained in the HK laws or in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the international human rights treaties including ICERD, ICESCR, ICCPR, CEDAW, CAT and CRC as applied to HKSAR.Before the handover, UK signed and ratified ICERDReservation to the ICERDThe anti-racial discrimination law comes late for more than 38 years.Before the handover, UK signed and ratified ICERD for HK on 11/10/1966 and 7/3/1969 respectively with some declarations and		recognized or existing pursuant to HK laws,	
recognize such rights or freedoms or that it recognizes them to a lesser extent. defined in HK laws or indefined in HK laws or ind. affecting any provisions which are more conducive to the realization of the rights of ethnic minorities and which may be contained in the HK laws or in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the international human rights treaties including ICERD, ICESCR, ICCPR, CEDAW, CAT and CRC as applied to HKSAR.Reservation to the ICERDThe anti-racial discrimination law comes late for more than 38 years.Before the handover, UK signed and ratified ICERD for HK on 11/10/1966 and 7/3/1969 respectively with some declarations and		conventions, regulation or custom on the	
recognizes them to a lesser extent. defined in HK laws or inrecognizes them to a lesser extent. defined in HK laws or ind. affecting any provisions which are more conducive to the realization of the rights of ethnic minorities and which may be contained in the HK laws or in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the international human rights treaties including ICERD, ICESCR, ICCPR, CEDAW, CAT and CRC as applied to HKSAR.Reservation to the ICERDThe anti-racial discrimination law comes late for more than 38 years.Before the handover, UK signed and ratified ICERDReservation to the ICERDThe anti-racial discrimination law the reservations to the application of ICERD? What is the action plan and time table to withdraw the some declarations and		pretext that the present Convention does not	
defined in HK laws or indefined in HK laws or ind. affecting any provisions which are more conducive to the realization of the rights of ethnic minorities and which may be contained in the HK laws or in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the international human rights treaties including ICERD, ICESCR, ICCPR, CEDAW, CAT and CRC as applied to HKSAR.Reservation to the ICERDThe anti-racial discrimination law comes late for more than 38 years.Before the handover, UK signed and ratified ICERD for HK on 11/10/1966 and 7/3/1969 respectively with some declarations and		recognize such rights or freedoms or that it	
d. affecting any provisions which are more conducive to the realization of the rights of ethnic minorities and which may be contained in the HK laws or in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the international human rights treaties including ICERD, ICESCR, ICCPR, CEDAW, CAT and CRC as applied to HKSAR.Here ether contained in the HK laws or in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the international human rights treaties including ICERD, ICESCR, ICCPR, CEDAW, CAT and CRC as applied to HKSAR.Before the handover, UK signed and ratified ICERDReservation to the ICERDThe anti-racial discrimination law comes late for more than 38 years.Before the handover, UK signed and ratified ICERD for HK on 11/10/1966 and 7/3/1969 respectively with some declarations and		recognizes them to a lesser extent.	
conducive to the realization of the rights of ethnic minorities and which may be contained in the HK laws or in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the international human rights treaties including ICERD, ICESCR, ICCPR, CEDAW, CAT and CRC as applied to HKSAR.Second CRC as applied to HKSAR.Reservation to the ICERDThe anti-racial discrimination law comes late for more than 38 years.Before the handover, UK signed and ratified ICERD for HK on 11/10/1966 and 7/3/1969 respectively with some declarations and		defined in HK laws or in	
ethnic minorities and which may be contained in the HK laws or in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the international human rights treaties including ICERD, ICESCR, ICCPR, CEDAW, CAT and CRC as applied to HKSAR.Image: CRC astronom CRC astronom DiscellaneousReservation to the ICERDThe anti-racial discrimination law comes late for more than 38 years.Before the handover, UK signed and ratified ICERDWhy don't HKSAR withdraw the reservations to the application of ICERD? What is the action plan and time table to withdraw the some declarations and7/3/1969 respectively with		d. affecting any provisions which are more	
contained in the HK laws or in the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the international human rights treaties including ICERD, ICESCR, ICCPR, CEDAW, CAT and CRC as applied to HKSAR.Second CRC as applied to HKSAR.Reservation to the ICERDThe anti-racial discrimination law comes late for more than 38 years.Before the handover, UK signed and ratified ICERDWhy don't HKSAR withdraw the reservations to the application of ICERD? What is the action plan and time table to withdraw the some declarations and7/3/1969 respectively with some declarations and		conducive to the realization of the rights of	
the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the international human rights treaties including ICERD, ICESCR, ICCPR, CEDAW, CAT and CRC as applied to HKSAR.Image: CRC as applied to HKSARReservation to the ICERDThe anti-racial discrimination law comes late for more than 38 years.Before the handover, UK signed and ratified ICERDWhy don't HKSAR withdraw the reservations to the application of ICERD? What is the action plan and time table to withdraw the some declarations and7/3/1969 respectively with some declarations and		ethnic minorities and which may be	
the international human rights treaties including ICERD, ICESCR, ICCPR, CEDAW, CAT and CRC as applied to HKSAR.MiscellaneousMiscellaneousReservation to the ICERDThe anti-racial discrimination law comes late for more than 38 years.Before the handover, UK signed and ratified ICERD for HK on 11/10/1966 and 7/3/1969 respectively with some declarations and		contained in the HK laws or in	
ICERD, ICESCR, ICCPR, CEDAW, CAT and CRC as applied to HKSAR.MiscellaneousReservation to the ICERDThe anti-racial discrimination law comes late for more than 38 years.Before the handover, UK signed and ratified ICERDWhy don't HKSAR withdraw the reservations to the application of ICERD? What is the action plan and time table to withdraw the some declarations and		the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and	
CRC as applied to HKSAR.MiscellaneousReservation to the ICERDThe anti-racial discrimination law comes late for more than 38 years.Before the handover, UK signed and ratified ICERDWhy don't HKSAR withdraw the reservations to the application of ICERD? What is the action plan and time table to withdraw the some declarations and		the international human rights treaties including	
Reservation to the ICERDThe anti-racial discrimination law comes late for more than 38 years.Before the handover, UK signed and ratified ICERDWhy don't HKSAR withdraw the reservations to the application of ICERD? What is the action plan and time table to withdraw the7/3/1969 respectively with some declarations and		ICERD, ICESCR, ICCPR, CEDAW, CAT and	
Reservation to the ICERDThe anti-racial discrimination law comes late for more than 38 years.Before the handover, UK signed and ratified ICERDWhy don't HKSAR withdraw the reservations to the application of ICERD? What is the action plan and time table to withdraw the some declarations and7/3/1969 respectively with some declarations and		CRC as applied to HKSAR.	
Reservation to the ICERDThe anti-racial discrimination law comes late for more than 38 years.Before the handover, UK signed and ratified ICERDWhy don't HKSAR withdraw the reservations to the application of ICERD? What is the action plan and time table to withdraw the some declarations and7/3/1969 respectively with some declarations and			
the ICERDmore than 38 years.signed and ratified ICERDWhy don't HKSAR withdraw the reservationsfor HK on 11/10/1966 andto the application of ICERD? What is the action plan and time table to withdraw the7/3/1969 respectively withsome declarations and		Miscellaneous	
the ICERDmore than 38 years.signed and ratified ICERDWhy don't HKSAR withdraw the reservationsfor HK on 11/10/1966 andto the application of ICERD? What is the action plan and time table to withdraw the7/3/1969 respectively withsome declarations and			
Why don't HKSAR withdraw the reservationsfor HK on 11/10/1966 andto the application of ICERD?What is the7/3/1969 respectively withaction plan and time table to withdraw thesome declarations and	Reservation to	The anti-racial discrimination law comes late for	Before the handover, UK
to the application of ICERD? What is the action plan and time table to withdraw the7/3/1969 respectively with some declarations and	the ICERD	more than 38 years.	signed and ratified ICERD
action plan and time table to withdraw the some declarations and		Why don't HKSAR withdraw the reservations	for HK on 11/10/1966 and
L L		to the application of ICERD? What is the	7/3/1969 respectively with
reservations? reservations.		action plan and time table to withdraw the	some declarations and
		reservations?	reservations.
Proposal: the PRC Government should withdraw		Proposal: the PRC Government should withdraw	
the declaration (re art 6) and reservation (re art 22)		the declaration (re art 6) and reservation (re art 22)	
on ICERD.		on ICERD.	

Resources	According to Annex C to the LegCo Brief, "the	Annex C "Implications of
	extra costs to be incurred to ensure compliance	the Proposal" to the LegCo
	with the Bill, if any, are not expected to be	Brief on the Race
	significant" and the Police may require	Discrimination Bill dated 29
	additional resources to carry out the investigation	November 2006
	and prosecution, "although this cannot be	
	quantified at this stage".	
	What are the additional resources (one-off and	
	recurrent funding respectively) given to EOC	
	for the preparation and implementation for this	
	law?	
	Proposal: the Government should provide adequate	
	one-off funding and recurrent funding to EOC, if it	
	becomes the implementation body, to enable it to	
	fulfill its functions properly.	
Consultation	Is there a consultation report after the	
	consultation period(from mid Sept 2004 to early	
	Feb in 2005)? Regarding the proposals in this	
	Bill that are not set out in the Consultation	
	Paper, may the Government show any support	
	from the submissions made during the	
	consultation period?	

Any comment, feel free to contact me <u>ykchong@alumni.cuhk.net</u> Thank you!

Chong Yiu Kwong, solicitor, LLM(human rights), CUHK part-time lecturer 1 March 2007.