立法會 Legislative Council

LC Paper No. CB(2)2297/06-07 (These minutes have been seen by the

Administration)

Subcommittee on Mutual Legal Assistance in Criminal Matters (Malaysia) Order

Minutes of meeting held on Friday, 15 June 2007, at 10:45 am in Conference Room B of the Legislative Council Building

Members : Hon James TO Kun-sun (Chairman)

present Hon Margaret NG

Hon LAU Kong-wah, JP

Hon Audrey EU Yuet-mee, SC, JP

Member : Hon Miriam LAU, GBS, JP

absent

Ref: CB2/SS/6/06

Public Officers: Ms Manda CHAN

attending Principal Assistant Secretary for Security

Ms Susana SIT

Senior Government Counsel

Mr Vidy CHEUNG

Senior Government Counsel

Miss Jane LEE

Assistant Secretary for Security

Clerk in : Miss Mary SO

attendance Chief Council Secretary (2) 5

Staff in : Mr Kelvin LEE

attendance Assistant Legal Adviser 1

Mr Raymond LAM Senior Council Secretary (2) 5

Ms Camy YOONG Clerical Assistant (2) 1

I. Election of Chairman

Mr James TO was elected Chairman of the Subcommittee.

2. <u>The Subcommittee</u> deliberated (index of proceedings attached at **Annex**).

II. Meeting with the Administration

- 3. The Administration was requested to provide a written response to the following questions raised by members at the meeting -
 - (a) to explain the operation of Article 8(3) of Schedule 1 to the Mutual Legal Assistance in Criminal Matters (Malaysia) Order (the Malaysia Order), and to provide one to two past requests for assistance from other jurisdictions which included an undertaking to the effect that the information or evidence provided by Hong Kong would not be used by the Requesting Party for purposes other than those stated in the request;
 - (b) to advise whether a person who had given consent to give evidence under Article 15 or 16 and travelled to Malaysia but subsequently withdrew his consent would be prosecuted for contempt of court under Article 17(3) of Schedule 1 to the Malaysia Order; and
 - (c) to explain how the principle of double criminality would operate under Article 27(2) of Schedule 1 to the Malaysia Order in relation to the time of commission of an offence and the respective time when the act concerned was criminalised in Hong Kong and in Malaysia.
- 4. <u>The Chairman</u> asked whether Hong Kong could refuse to provide assistance to Malaysia if the request related to an offence which carried the death penalty in Malaysia.
- 5. The Administration advised that the Government of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region and the Government of Malaysia had agreed that assistance for death penalty offences could be refused pursuant to the "essential interest" provision under Article 4(1)(f) of Schedule 1 to the Malaysia Order. Hong Kong had made clear to Malaysia that Hong Kong

Action

would refuse to provide assistance if the request was related to an offence which carried death penalty in Malaysia, unless Malaysia gave sufficient assurance that death penalty would not be imposed or carried out.

III. Way forward

- 6. <u>The Chairman</u> said that no further meeting needed to be held, unless members decide otherwise upon receipt of the Administration's response to the issues raised in paragraph 3 above.
- 7. There being no other business, the meeting ended at 12:10 pm.

Council Business Division 2 <u>Legislative Council Secretariat</u> 26 June 2007

Proceedings of meeting of the Subcommittee on

Mutual Legal Assistance in Criminal Matters (Malaysia) Order on Friday, 15 June 2007, at 10:45 am

in Conference Room B of the Legislative Council Building

Time marker	Speaker	Subject(s)	Action Required
000000 - 000319	Hon Margaret NG Hon James TO Hon LAU Kong-wah	Election of Chairman	
000320 - 001239	Admin Chairman Hon Audrey EU	Article-by-article comparison between Article 1 of Schedule 1 to the Mutual Legal Assistance in Criminal Matters (Malaysia) Order (the Malaysia Order) and the model agreement on mutual legal assistance in criminal matters (MLA)	
001240 - 002337	Admin Chairman ALA1	Article-by-article comparison between Article 2 of Schedule 1 to the Malaysia Order and the model agreement on MLA	
002338 - 002345	Admin	Article-by-article comparison between Article 3 of Schedule 1 to the Malaysia Order and the model agreement on MLA	
002346 - 003011	Admin Chairman	Article-by-article comparison between Article 4 of Schedule 1 to the Malaysia Order and the model agreement on MLA; refusal of providing assistance for death penalty offences pursuant to the "essential public interest" provision under Article 4(1)(f) of Schedule 1 to the Malaysia Order	
003012 - 003346	Admin Chairman	Article-by-article comparison between Articles 5 and 6 of Schedule 1 to the Malaysia Order and the model agreement on MLA	

Time marker	Speaker	Subject(s)	Action Required
003347 - 003416	Admin	Article-by-article comparison between Article 7 of Schedule 1 to the Malaysia Order and the model agreement on MLA	2004
003417 - 004600	Admin Chairman	Article-by-article comparison between Article 8 of Schedule 1 to the Malaysia Order and the model agreement on MLA	Admin to explain the operation of Article 8(3) of Schedule 1 to the Mutual Legal Assistance in Criminal Matters (Malaysia) Order (the Malaysia Order), and to provide one to two past requests for assistance from other jurisdictions which included an undertaking to the effect that the information or evidence provided by Hong Kong would not be used by the Requesting Party for purposes other than those stated in the request
004601 - 004633	Admin	Article-by-article comparison between Articles 9 and 10 of Schedule 1 to the Malaysia Order and the model agreement on MLA	
004634 - 005151	Admin Hon Audrey EU Chairman	Article-by-article comparison between Article 11 of Schedule 1 to the Malaysia Order and the model agreement on MLA	
005152 - 005158	Admin	Article-by-article comparison between Article 12 of Schedule 1 to the Malaysia Order and the model agreement on MLA	

Time marker	Speaker	Subject(s)	Action Required
005159 - 005232	Admin	Article-by-article comparison between Article 13 of Schedule 1 to the Malaysia Order and the model agreement on MLA	
005233 - 005249	Admin	Article-by-article comparison between Article 14 of Schedule 1 to the Malaysia Order and the model agreement on MLA	
005250 - 005353	Admin Chairman	Article-by-article comparison between Article 15 of Schedule 1 to the Malaysia Order and the model agreement on MLA	
005354 - 005425	Admin	Article-by-article comparison between Article 16 of Schedule 1 to the Malaysia Order and the model agreement on MLA	
005426 - 010350	Admin	Article-by-article comparison between Article 17 of Schedule 1 to the Malaysia Order and the model agreement on MLA	Admin to advise whether a person who had given consent to give evidence under Article 15 or 16 and travelled to Malaysia but subsequently withdrew his consent would be prosecuted for contempt of court under Article 17(3) of Schedule 1 to the Malaysia Order
010351 - 010710	Admin	Article-by-article comparison between Articles 18 and 19 of Schedule 1 to the Malaysia Order and the model agreement on MLA	
010711 - 010733	Admin	Article-by-article comparison between Article 20 of Schedule 1 to the Malaysia Order and the model agreement on MLA	

Time marker	Speaker	Subject(s)	Action Required
010734 - 010758	Admin	Article-by-article comparison between Article 21 of Schedule 1 to the Malaysia Order and the model agreement on MLA	
010759 - 010824	Admin	Article-by-article comparison between Article 22 of Schedule 1 to the Malaysia Order and the model agreement on MLA	
010825 - 010840	Admin	Article-by-article comparison between Article 23 of Schedule 1 to the Malaysia Order and the model agreement on MLA	
010841 - 010852	Admin	Article-by-article comparison between Article 24 of Schedule 1 to the Malaysia Order and the model agreement on MLA	
010853 - 010858	Admin	Article-by-article comparison between Article 25 of Schedule 1 to the Malaysia Order and the model agreement on MLA	
010859 - 010914	Admin	Article-by-article comparison between Article 26 of Schedule 1 to the Malaysia Order and the model agreement on MLA	
010915 - 011759	Admin Chairman	Article-by-article comparison between Article 27 of Schedule 1 to the Malaysia Order and the model agreement on MLA	Admin to explain how the principle of double criminality would operate under Article 27(2) of Schedule 1 to the Malaysia Order in relation to the time of commission of an offence and the respective time when the act concerned was criminalised in Hong Kong and in Malaysia

Time marker	Speaker	Subject(s)	Action Required
011800 - 012249	Chairman Admin	Effect and scope of Article 21(4) of Schedule 1 to the Malaysia Order	
012250 - 012442	Chairman Admin	Examination of Schedule 2 to the Malaysia Order	
012443 - 012544	Chairman	Way forward	

Council Business Division 2 <u>Legislative Council Secretariat</u> 26 June 2007