

Panel on Home Affairs**List of follow-up actions**

(as at 8 November 2006)

Subject	Date of meeting	Follow-up action required	Administration's response
1. Development of a stadium complex and sports facilities in South East Kowloon	15.1.03	The Administration was requested to provide detailed recommendations on the project.	Response awaited.
2. Review of built heritage conservation policy	9.11.04	The Administration was requested to provide a written response to the motion moved by Mr Albert CHAN urging the Government to put on hold the tendering procedure for the Central Police Station and Victoria Prison. The motion was passed by the Panel.	Response awaited.
3. Reports on Privacy and Media Intrusion and Civil Liability for Invasion of Privacy published by the Law Reform Commission of Hong Kong (LRC)	14.1.05	The Administration was requested to report on its position on the two LRC's reports as soon as possible.	Response awaited.
4. Briefing by the Secretary for Home Affairs on the Chief Executive's Policy Address 2005	17.1.05	The Administration agreed to provide the findings of the review on the implementation of gender mainstreaming when it was completed, and an interim report of the review in due course.	The Administration confirmed in writing on 7.11.06 that the findings of the review and proposed measures to further promote gender mainstreaming in the Government were set out in the booklet entitled "Gender Mainstreaming: Hong Kong Experience" published by the Women Commission in January 2006, which was also provided to all LegCo Members.

Subject	Date of meeting	Follow-up action required	Administration's response
5. Review of advisory and statutory bodies (ASBs)	8.7.05	<p>The Administration was requested to provide –</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">(a) a paper setting out the reasons for some of the members of district-based advisory committees having served in the same posts for over six years and why the Administration had difficulties in removing them from the committees;(b) a paper on what initiatives were being introduced by the Home Affairs Bureau (HAB) for the purpose of bringing in more talents from different backgrounds to the ASBs under its purview;(c) a paper setting out the criteria of appointment and the principles being used by bureaux in making appointments to ASBs; and(d) response to Mr Albert HO's suggestion that the Administration should work out recommendations on how existing method of appointment for each ASB should be changed so that it could best tap the talents in the relevant field, and arrange for each Panel to discuss the recommendations for the ASBs under its purview.	Response awaited.
6. Government's proposed arrangements for	20.7.05	The Administration was requested to provide –	The Administration plans to

Subject	Date of meeting	Follow-up action required	Administration's response
<p>staging the 2008 Olympic and Paralympic Equestrian Events</p>		<p>(a) information on the reprovisioning plan, including photographs and architectural drawing of YMCA Wu Kwai Sha Youth Village for illustration;</p> <p>(b) details of the terms and conditions of the contract which would be signed between the Government and the Beijing Organising Committee for the Games of the 29th Olympiad (BOCOG); and</p> <p>(c) details of the financial arrangements to be worked out by the Government and BOCOG.</p> <p>The Administration to request the Hong Kong Jockey Club (HKJC) to provide the findings and recommendations of the consultancy study commissioned by HKJC on site investigation.</p>	<p>brief the Panel on the subject in due course.</p>
<p>7. Proposed guidelines for conducting duty visits outside Hong Kong issued to the Equal Opportunities Commission (EOC) and the Office of the Privacy Commissioner for Personal Data (PCO)</p>	<p>9.12.05</p>	<p>The Administration was requested to provide a paper on the monitoring systems for overseas duty visits conducted by -</p> <p>(a) statutory bodies such as the Trade Development Council, the Airport Authority, the Hong Kong Tourism Board, the Kowloon-Canton Railway Corporation and the Hospital Authority; and</p>	<p>Response awaited.</p>

Subject	Date of meeting	Follow-up action required	Administration's response
		<p>(b) the Commissioner/Independent Commission Against Corruption, the Ombudsman and the Chairperson of the Securities and Futures Commission (SFC).</p> <p>The Administration was requested to –</p> <p>(a) review the governance structure of PCO as well as SFC and any other public organisations such as the Monetary Authority; and</p> <p>(b) review the currently proposed guidelines taking into consideration members' comments and revert to the Panel once it had reached a consensus with EOC and PCO.</p>	
<p>8. Implementation of recommendations made in the Report of the Independent Panel of Inquiry on the Incidents Relating to the Equal Opportunities Commission (EOC) and the recommendations made in two other reports of the internal reviews conducted by EOC</p>	<p>13.1.06</p>	<p>The Administration was requested to provide information on the following –</p> <p>(a) to conduct research into the past discussions of LegCo during its scrutiny of the Sex Discrimination Bill to see why the Administration and the legislature had decided at that time that the EOC Chairperson should be a full-time executive position;</p> <p>(b) how the functions and powers between the EOC Chairperson and the Chief Executive</p>	<p>Response awaited.</p>

Subject	Date of meeting	Follow-up action required	Administration's response
		<p>Officer (CEO) would be separated; and</p> <p>(c) a detailed analysis of the policy implications of the proposal.</p> <p>for consultation with the Panel, before the Bill was drafted.</p> <p>The Administration was also requested to provide a timetable as to when it would conduct the consultation with EOC and the relevant bodies on the proposal of separating the posts of the EOC Chairperson and CEO, and when it would submit the above information to this Panel for discussion.</p>	
<p>9. Further discussion on the concluding observations adopted by UN Committee on the first report of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region under the Convention on the Rights of the Child (CRC)</p>	<p>10.2.06</p>	<p>The Administration agreed to convey Mr Albert HO's concern about the existing arrangement that, if the offenders in a case included both persons who were under the age of 18 and adults, these young offenders would have to stand trial together with the other adult offender(s) in court to the Department of Justice and provide a written response later.</p>	<p>The Administration's response was issued vide LC Paper No. CB(2)78/06-07(01) on 16 October 2006.</p>
<p>10. Management and resumption of private streets</p>	<p>12.5.06</p>	<p>The Administration was requested to provide the following information –</p>	<p>Response awaited.</p>

Subject	Date of meeting	Follow-up action required	Administration's response
		<ul style="list-style-type: none">(a) membership of the Private Street Resumption Committee;(b) a list of the 79 private streets including the dates of and reasons for their deletion;(c) parties which had been consulted on the decisions to delete the 79 private streets;(d) the authorities which had made the decisions and whether the decisions were supported by the District Officers concerned;(e) why Fort Street and Nation Street were not resumed, and whether there was any plan to repair the blocked drains along Fort Street and to rectify the environmental hygiene problem at Kam Ping Street;(f) the basis for possible compensation claims to be made in respect of the 79 private streets if they were to be resumed;(g) names of the private streets which had been deleted because of their improved condition, and what follow-up actions the Administration would take to deal with problems in those which had not improved in their condition; and	

Subject	Date of meeting	Follow-up action required	Administration's response
		<p>(h) regarding private streets, whether bitumen works would also be carried out for the road after it had been paved by the Administration.</p> <p>The Administration was also requested to give written response to the Chairman's suggestions that the Administration should consider granting an enhanced plot ratio in case of redevelopment as an alternative to financial compensation, and the feasibility of stipulating in the law that the Government would not need to make compensations if 80% to 90% of the affected owners consented not to seek compensations.</p>	
<p>11. Hearing of the United Nations Committee on Elimination of Discrimination against Women on the Second Report of the HKSAR under the Convention on the Elimination of All Forms of Discrimination against Women</p>	<p>9.6.06</p>	<p>The Administration was requested to provide the following information –</p> <p>(a) the way forward regarding the review on the existing service model for victims of sexual violence after completion of the Rain Lily's five-year pilot scheme;</p> <p>(b) the way forward regarding the five single-parent centres and the provision of New Arrivals Employment and Guidance Centres after a review of the operation of the Integrated Family Services Centres; and</p>	<p>(a) The Administration briefed the Panel on Welfare Services of the outcome and way forward of the review of services for victims of sexual violence at its meeting held on 3 July 2006. Relevant information paper was issued under LC Paper No. CB(2)2541/05-06(01).</p>

Subject	Date of meeting	Follow-up action required	Administration's response
		(c) to conduct a review on how far the public hospitals, public clinics and Maternal and Child Health Centres had complied with the requirements of the Design Manual: Barrier Free Access 1997, and to provide the outcome of the review.	
12. Further discussion on Hong Kong's Cultural Policy	17.7.06	The Administration was requested to provide information on surveys conducted by the Leisure and Cultural Services Department (LCSD) to gauge audience's/visitors' views on the performing arts activities presented by LCSD and on museum services as well as the views of those who had never participated in these activities, including reasons of their lack of interest.	The Administration's response was issued vide LC Paper No. CB(2)120/06-07 on 19 October 2006.
13. Briefing by the Secretary for Home Affairs on the Chief Executive's Policy Address 2006	16.10.06	<p>The Administration was requested to provide information on -</p> <p>(a) the leisure and sports facilities planned to be provided in the next five years at a total capital cost of \$9 billion; and</p> <p>(b) the Administration's plan to support the vocational development and the livelihood of elite athletes in Hong Kong.</p>	Response awaited.

Council Business Division 2
Legislative Council Secretariat
8 November 2006