LEGCO PANEL ON WELFARE SERVICES

Review of the System for Processing Applications for Disability Allowance under the Social Security Allowance Scheme

Purpose

This paper provides additional information on the Social Welfare Department (SWD)'s system for processing applications for Disability Allowance (DA) under the Social Security Allowance Scheme.

Background

2. At the meeting of the Welfare Panel on 12 March 2007, Members agreed to further discuss the DA Scheme.

Specific Issues

3. We have considered the views regarding the requests and suggestions raised at the aforementioned meeting. Our responses to the specific points are set out below.

(A) Appeal arrangement

- 4. DA applicants may appeal to the Social Security Appeal Board (SSAB) if they are not satisfied with SWD's decision on their application. In respect of appeal cases on the ground of disability, SSAB would arrange with the Hospital Authority (HA) for the appellant to undergo a medical assessment by an independent Medical Assessment Board (MAB). SSAB would reach a decision on the basis of the medical assessment results of the MAB. The information on DA appeals processed by SSAB in the past years is provided in Annex A.
- 5. The Administration constantly reviews the appeal arrangement to enhance the efficiency of the operation. For instance, since August 2006, HA has invited more private medical doctors to sit on the MAB so that meetings can be held more frequently to expedite the appeal process. To further expedite the processing time for medical appeals, we have consulted HA and will increase the frequency of conducting MABs to 12 each year (i.e. to hold the MAB on a monthly basis) and aim at conducting four extra MABs in 2007-08 to facilitate the clearance of the backlog.
- 6. At the Panel meetings on 11 December 2006 and 12 March 2007, concerned groups and some Members suggested providing the written explanation of the MAB's conclusion to the appellants. We have consulted HA

and revised the medical assessment form for the MAB to provide explanation for unsuccessful appeals and additional comments, if available. Please refer to Annex B for the assessment form.

(B) Professional training and support for medical doctors sitting on MAB

- 7. Members suggested strengthening the professional training and support for medical doctors sitting on the MABs. HA has advised that doctors sitting on the MABs make decisions on DA appeal cases on the basis of the relevant medical assessment reports. When required, the chairmen of MAB can always ask for more detailed specialist reports to facilitate the MABs in making decisions on individual cases.
- 8. Members are invited to note the contents of this paper.

Health, Welfare and Food Bureau Social Welfare Department May 2007

Annex A

Information on Disability Allowance appeals processed by Social Security Appeal Board

Financial year	No. of appeal cases processed by SSAB	No. of successful appeals	No. of cases referred by SSAB to MAB for re-assessment	No. of cases on which the decision of SSAB was contrary to the medical assessment results of MAB (Note)	No. of appeals which had yet to be dealt with by MAB (position as at 31 March 2007)
2005/06	115	23	-	-	-
2006/07	205	56	-	-	130

Note: SSAB will take a decision on the basis of the assessment of the MAB.



Medical Assessment Board

Normal Disability Allowance (NDA) / Higher Disability Allowance (HDA) under the Social Security Allowance (SSA) Scheme

(other than profoundly deaf cases)

		(date) to examine and report on the state of health of *Mr/Mdr, *M/F, (age), HKIC No									
		assembled and proceeded to examine the case of * Mr/Mdm noted that *Mr/Mdm had been suffering from									
he B	oard	's Assessment									
	A.	A. Not eligible for Disability Allowance (DA)									
	1. *	1. *He/She is <u>NOT</u> in a position broadly equivalent to a person with a 100% loss of earning capacity and <u>NOT</u> falling into:									
		□ conditions covered under Categories (I)(A) (i) to (viii) or (I)(B)(i) to (vi) listed in B below; and/or									
		conditions resulting in significant restrictions in activities of daily living and requiring substantial help from others.									
		i.e. *His/Her nature/degree of disability falls into the condition listed in (I)(C) of SWD 395.									
	2.	Comments on the physical findings and supportive evidence for assessment, as appropriate:									
	3.	Ineligible as from									
	B.	Eligible for NDA but not HDA									
	1.	*He/She is in a position broadly equivalent to a person with a 100% loss of earning capacity. *His/Her nature/degree of disability falls into the following conditions listed in (I)(A)/(I)(B) of SWD 395:									
		(I)(A)									
		 □ (i) loss of functions of two limbs □ (ii) loss of functions of both hands or all fingers and both □ (vi) paraplegia □ (vii) illness, injury or deformity resulting in being 									
		thumbs bedridden (iii) loss of functions of both feet (viii) any other conditions including visceral diseases (iv) total loss of sight resulting in total disablement									
		(specify)									
		(I)(B) ☐ (i) organic brain syndrome ☐ (iv) neurosis									
		☐ (ii) mental retardation ☐ (v) personality disorder ☐ (iii) psychosis ☐ (vi) any other conditions resulting in total mental disablement									
ı	2	(specify)									
	2.	*His/Her need for attention/supervision does not fall into any condition listed in SWD 395 (Supplementary).									
	3.	Comments on the physical findings and supportive evidence for assessment, as appropriate:									

	C.	. Eligible for HDA									
	1.	*He/She is in a position broadly equivalent to a person with a 100% loss of earning capacity. *His/Her									
		nature/degree of disability falls into the following conditions listed in (I)(A)/(I)(B) of SWD 395:									
		(I)(A)									
			vi) vii)	paraplegia illness, injury or deformity resulting in being bedridden							
		 ☐ (iii) loss of functions of both feet ☐ (iv) total loss of sight ☐ (v) total paralysis (quadriplegia) 	viii)	any other conditions including visceral diseases resulting in total disablement							
				(specify)							
		(I)(B)									
		ii) mental retardation	iv) v) vi)	neurosis personality disorder any other conditions resulting in total mental							
				disablement (specify)							
	2.	*His/Her need for attention/supervision falls into the following condition(s) listed in SWD 205 (Supplementary):									
	۷.	*His/Her need for attention/supervision falls into the following condition(s) listed in SWD 395 (Supplementary):									
	(i) FREQUENT ATTENTION throughout the DAY AND PROLONGED or REPEATED ATTEN the NIGHT in connection with his/her bodily functions, e.g. totally bedridden, quadriplegia;										
			OR								
		(ii) CONTINUAL SUPERVISION in order to avoid endangering himself/herself or others, e.g. severely demented/mentally retarded.									
		AND									
		[III] For a child aged under 15, he/she MUST ALSO REQUIRE CONSTANT ATTENTION and SUPERVISION substantially IN EXCESS of that normally required by a child of the same age and sex. Suggested aspects for consideration include life-threatening conditions, hyperactivity uncontrollable by medication and/or therapy, etc.									
	For a child aged under 15, assessment is required on whether *he/she is in conditions (i) + (iii)										
	3.	3. Eligibility Period : * month(s) / year(s) / permanently as from									
D. For mentally impaired persons											
		The Board is of the opinion that *Mr/Mdm	is :	mentally *fit / unfit for making a statement.							
	E.	. Other comments :									
Dr.		Dr.		Dr.							
Chairr	nan	Member		Member							
☐ Ti	ck as	s appropriate * Delete as appropriate									