

立法會 *Legislative Council*

立法會 CB(3)135/08-09 號文件

2008 年 11 月 14 日內務委員會會議文件

定於 2008 年 11 月 19 日立法會會議上提出的質詢

提問者：

- | | | |
|------|---|------------------|
| (1) | 李鳳英議員 | (口頭答覆) |
| (2) | 梁家傑議員 | (口頭答覆) |
| (3) | 李國麟議員 | (口頭答覆) |
| (4) | 涂謹申議員
(取代其原先提出的質詢) | (口頭答覆) (新的質詢) |
| (5) | 陳淑莊議員 | (口頭答覆) |
| (6) | 方剛議員
(由於林大輝議員已放棄其
獲編配的口頭質詢時段，
由方剛議員提出的第 20 項
質詢已改編為第 6 項) | (書面答覆) (原先編號：20) |
| (7) | 陳茂波議員 | (書面答覆) |
| (8) | 甘乃威議員 | (書面答覆) |
| (9) | 李慧琼議員 | (書面答覆) |
| (10) | 李華明議員 | (書面答覆) |
| (11) | 李國寶議員 | (書面答覆) |
| (12) | 張學明議員 | (書面答覆) |
| (13) | 劉慧卿議員 | (書面答覆) |
| (14) | 劉江華議員 | (書面答覆) |
| (15) | 馮檢基議員 | (書面答覆) |
| (16) | 涂謹申議員 | (書面答覆) |
| (17) | 劉江華議員 | (書面答覆) |
| (18) | 馮檢基議員 | (書面答覆) |
| (19) | 王國興議員 | (書面答覆) |

註 :

NOTE :

議員將採用這種語言提出質詢

Member will ask the question in this language

監管升降機的保養工作

#(4) 涂謹申議員 (口頭答覆)

上月在公共屋邨富善邨發生的升降機墜地事件，引起公眾關注升降機的安全問題。就此，政府可否告知本會：

- (一) 為甚麼機電工程署於上述涉及懸吊纜索斷裂的升降機嚴重事故發生後，沒有即時作出公布，而只是在10日後有傳媒查詢時才予以證實；過去3年，升降機的擁有人或註冊升降機承建商（下稱“承建商”）有和沒有按法例即時將升降機事故通知該署的個案分別有多少宗、該署公布了多少宗，以及因沒有即時作出通知或其他違例情況而被定罪的人士被判處甚麼懲罰；
- (二) 鑒於現時公共屋邨內由不同廠商生產的升降機會以招標方式交予單一承建商提供保養服務，當局會不會檢討該項安排，以及會不會全面檢查公共屋邨內所有其他由上述事故所涉承建商提供保養服務的升降機；及
- (三) 當局現時怎樣監管各類型樓宇的升降機的維修和保養工作，以及會不會加強監管，例如突擊檢查註冊升降機工程師每年進行的安全檢查工作、查驗承建商採用的零件的質素是否符合法定要求及安全標準，以及修訂現行指引或守則（例如規定檢查和維修工作須由最少兩名維修工人進行，並設定最低的檢查時數等）？

Monitoring of lift maintenance

(4) Hon James TO Kun-sun (Oral Reply)

The incident of a lift in Fu Shin Estate, a public housing estate, plunging to the ground, which occurred last month, has aroused public concern about the safety of lifts. In this connection, will the Government inform this Council:

- (a) why the Electrical and Mechanical Services Department ("EMSD") did not immediately make an announcement following the occurrence of the aforesaid serious incident which involved breakage of suspension ropes of the lift, but confirmed it only upon media enquiries 10 days later; of the respective numbers of cases in the past three years in which owners of lifts or registered lift contractors ("the contractors") notified and did not notify EMSD immediately of incidents involving lifts as required by law, the number of such cases announced by EMSD, as well as the penalties imposed on those people convicted on grounds of not immediately making a notification or other contraventions;
- (b) given that currently a single contractor is selected by tender to undertake maintenance of the lifts in a public housing estate which were manufactured by different manufacturers, whether the authorities will review the arrangement, and whether they will conduct comprehensive inspections on all the other lifts in public housing estates which are maintained by the contractor involved in the above incident; and
- (c) how the authorities presently monitor the repair and maintenance of lifts in various kinds of buildings, and whether they will step up their monitoring, such as carrying out surprise checks on the annual safety inspections conducted by registered lift engineers, checking if the quality of the parts used by the contractors meets the statutory requirements and safety standards, as well as amending existing guidelines or codes of practice (e.g. to require that the inspection and maintenance work be carried out by at least two repair workers, and to introduce a minimum number of inspection hours, etc.)?