

The Law Society of Hong Kong Submissions on Minimum Wage Bill

Section No.		Comment
1.	Section 2 (Interpretation)	
(a)	Definition of "employee with a disability"	It is unlikely that a contract of employment will set out "the work required under the contract of employment". Normally, it will simply set out a generic position.
		Whilst this may be a point to be considered as part of the assessment in Schedule 2, we suggest the words "in performing the work required under the contract of employment" be deleted from this definition.
(b)	Definition of "hours of work"	Rather than "- see section 3" this should be "has the meaning given by section 3".
(c)	Definition of "a place of employment"	The words ", in accordance with the contract of employment or with the agreement or at the direction of the employer," should be deleted and inserted into section 3(1).
(d)	Definition of "student intern"	This is very narrow. It will result in a sharp reduction in internships for undergraduates. Suggest do not limit this to academic internships.
(e)	Definition of "wage period"	"- See section 4" should be deleted and replaced by "has the meaning given by section 4".
2.	Section 3 (Hours of Work)	
(a)	Section 3(1)	This section is all encompassing. As such the words "must be taken to include" should be replaced by "shall be".
		In addition, the words ", in accordance with the contract of employment or with the agreement or at the direction of the employer," should be inserted immediately after the words "at a place of employment" in section 3(1)(a).

Section No.		Comment
(b)	Section 3(2)	The words "must be taken not to" should be replaced with "do not". The words "other than a place of employment that is outside Hong Kong and is not his or her usual place of employment" should be deleted as these words can be interpreted to imply that travel of this type should be included.
3.	Section 4 (Wage period)	It would be simpler to adopt the current meaning of "wage period" in section 22 Employment Ordinance.("EO")
4.	Section 5 (Wages)	
(a)	Section 5 (Wages)	The term "payable and in respect of that period" appears to contradict section 7(1) which refers to "paid wages in respect of any wage period".
		Is it amounts payable or paid which are relevant?
(b)	Section 5(2)	This section should only apply to hourly paid employees. The concern is that an employee paid monthly but contracted to work only a set number of hours per month could argue that a substantial part of his or her monthly salary is paid in respect of time in which they are not required to work.
(c)	Section 5(4)	Presumably such arrears of wages should be counted as part of the wages payable in the wage period to which they relate?
		If this is the case then sub-section (4) should be clarified to make this point.
(d)	Section 5(5)	This is confused. Especially in respect of a wage period which is 7 days. To which "period" do the words "in respect of that period" refer?
5.	Section 6 (Application)	
(a)	Section 6(3)	The words "in, or in connection with, a household and" should be deleted. They are already included in the definition of "domestic worker".
6.	Section 7 (Payment of min	imum wages)
(a)	Section 7(1)	An employee "is entitled to be <u>paid</u> wages in respect of any wage period". However, section 5 identifies wages

Section No.	Comment
	which are "payable" in respect of a wage period. In addition, section 9 also refers to "wages payable".
	There is difference between wages being "payable" and wages which are "paid". This leads to confusion in the legislation.
7. Section 8 (Hourly rate)	
(a) Section 8(2)	What happens between the end of the trial period and the PWD obtaining an assessment? Presumably the PWD gets the full minimum hourly wage. If the PWD then becomes an "employee with a disability" then the employee becomes entitled to a reduced amount after the trial period, but what happens to the overpayment of wages since the trial period?
	It is perfectly possible that a PWD may request an assessment some months/years after a trial period. In such circumstances how does section 8(2) operate?
(b) Section 8(3)	We do not see what this section is adding. It should be deleted.
8. Section 20	This gives rise to a new potential offence under the EO, namely failing to record the hours worked of an employee. There will be many occasions where an employee is earning a salary which makes the minimum wage irrelevant. In such circumstances the administrative obligation to keep a record of hours worked should be removed as being an irrelevance.
	The obligation to keep records should be restricted to employees who are hourly paid or who have monthly/weekly wages below a specified threshold.
9. Schedule 2 (Assessment of PWDs)	This appears to be a very cumbersome and inefficient process. Why does it need to apply separately for every job?

The Law Society of Hong Kong Employment Law Committee 15 September 2009 128434v2