立法會 Legislative Council

LC Paper No. CB(3) 135/08-09

Paper for the House Committee meeting on 14 November 2008

Questions scheduled for the Legislative Council meeting on 19 November 2008

Questions by:

(1)	II. a II. E. a a aire	(011)	
(1)	Hon LI Fung-ying	(Oral reply)	
(2)	Hon Alan LEONG Kah-kit	(Oral reply)	
(3)	Dr Hon Joseph LEE Kok-long	(Oral reply)	
(4)	Hon James TO Kun-sun	(Oral reply)	(New question)
	(Replacing his previous question)		
(5)	Hon CHAN Tanya	(Oral reply)	
(6)	Hon Vincent FANG Kang	(Written reply)	(Original No: 20)
	(As Dr Hon LAM Tai-fai has given up		
	the oral question slot allocated to him,		
	question no. 20 to be raised by Hon		
	Vincent FANG Kang has been		
	re-numbered as no. 6)		
(7)	Hon Paul CHAN Mo-po	(Written reply)	
(8)	Hon KAM Nai-wai	(Written reply)	
(9)	Hon Starry LEE Wai-king	(Written reply)	
(10)	Hon Fred LI Wah-ming	(Written reply)	
(11)	Dr Hon David LI Kwok-po	(Written reply)	
(12)	Hon CHEUNG Hok-ming	(Written reply)	
(13)	Hon Emily LAU Wai-hing	(Written reply)	
(14)	Hon LAU Kong-wah	(Written reply)	
(15)	Hon Frederick FUNG Kin-kee	(Written reply)	
(16)	Hon James TO Kun-sun	(Written reply)	
(17)	Hon LAU Kong-wah	(Written reply)	
(18)	Hon Frederick FUNG Kin-kee	(Written reply)	
(19)	Hon WONG Kwok-hing	(Written reply)	

註 :

NOTE :

- # 議員將採用這種語言提出質詢
- # Member will ask the question in this language

監管升降機的保養工作

#(4) <u>涂 謹 申 議 員</u> (口 頭 答 覆)

上月在公共屋邨富善邨發生的升降機墜地事件,引起公眾關注升降機的安全問題。就此,政府可否告知本會:

- (二) 鑒於現時公共屋邨內由不同廠商生產的升降機會以招標方式交予單一承建商提供保養服務,當局會不會檢討該項安排,以及會不會全面檢查公共屋邨內所有其他由上述事故所涉承建商提供保養服務的升降機;及

Monitoring of lift maintenance

(4) <u>Hon James TO Kun-sun</u> (Oral Reply)

The incident of a lift in Fu Shin Estate, a public housing estate, plunging to the ground, which occurred last month, has aroused public concern about the safety of lifts. In this connection, will the Government inform this Council:

- (a) why the Electrical and Mechanical Services Department ("EMSD") did not immediately make an announcement following the occurrence of the aforesaid serious incident which involved breakage of suspension ropes of the lift, but confirmed it only upon media enquiries 10 days later; of the respective numbers of cases in the past three years in which owners of lifts or registered lift contractors ("the contractors") notified and did not notify EMSD immediately of incidents involving lifts as required by law, the number of such cases announced by EMSD, as well as the penalties imposed on those people convicted on grounds of not immediately making a notification or other contraventions:
- (b) given that currently a single contractor is selected by tender to undertake maintenance of the lifts in a public housing estate which were manufactured by different manufacturers, whether the authorities will review the arrangement, and whether they will conduct comprehensive inspections on all the other lifts in public housing estates which are maintained by the contractor involved in the above incident; and
- how the authorities presently monitor the repair and maintenance of lifts in various kinds of buildings, and whether they will step up their monitoring, such as carrying out surprise checks on the annual safety inspections conducted by registered lift engineers, checking if the quality of the parts used by the contractors meets the statutory requirements and safety standards, as well as amending existing guidelines or codes of practice (e.g. to require that the inspection and maintenance work be carried out by at least two repair workers, and to introduce a minimum number of inspection hours, etc.)?