## **Panel on Health Services**

<u>List of follow-up actions</u> (Position as at 5 March 2009)

Subject	Date of meeting	Follow-up action required	Administration's response
1. Further discussion on the obstetric service charge for non-eligible persons whose spouses are Hong Kong residents	30 April 2007	The Administration was requested to provide a written response to the motion passed by the Panel at the meeting on 16 April 2007 by early June 2007 at the latest. The wording of the motion reads as follows -	The effectiveness of the new obstetric service arrangements (including the obstetric service package charge) and complementary immigration measures are being closely monitored.
		"本委員會對於衞生福利及食物局及醫院管理局於今年2月初推行公立醫院內地孕婦的新收費政策(39,000元/48,000元)未有考慮對港人家庭(即父親爲香港居民而母親爲準來港婦女之家庭)的影響表示遺憾,並要求當局豁免港人家庭按新收費政策繳費。"	A refund mechanism on the new obstetric package charge was introduced on 29 October 2007 by the Hospital Authority (HA). The Administration's response on the refund mechanism was issued vide LC Paper No. CB(2) 533/07-08(01) on 6 December 2007.

- 2 -

Subject	Date of meeting	Follow-up action required	Administration's response
Subject	Date of meeting	(Translation)  "That this Panel expresses regret that the new obstetric package charge (\$39,000/\$48,000) implemented by the Health, Welfare and Food Bureau and the Hospital Authority in early February this year for Mainland women giving birth in public hospitals has failed to take into account its impact on Hong Kong families (i.e. those with the father being a Hong Kong resident and the mother a Two-way Permit holder), and urges the Government to exempt these families from the new fee charging policy."  The Administration was also requested to include in its written response to the Panel the suggestion of refunding fees paid at the time of booking for public obstetric services if the delivery did not take place eventually due to valid reasons such as a miscarriage.	Administration's response
2. Advance directives in relation to medical treatment	8 December 2008	The Administration was requested to provide a table setting out the concept of advance directives and euthanasia, the proposed implementation details of advance directives and the Administration' stance on legislation.	The Administration's response was issued to members vide LC Paper No. CB(2)941/08-09(01) on 23 February 2009.

- 3 -

	Subject	Date of meeting	Follow-up action required	Administration's response
3.	Operation of mortuaries in public hospitals	12 January 2009	<ul> <li>(a) information on the guidelines adopted by the mortuaries in public hospitals and the public mortuaries in relation to handling the bodies of the deceased, in particular as to whether double occupancy in the same compartment was allowed; and</li> <li>(b) a written response to the suggestion of strengthening the training to staff of the Hospital Authority to instill in them a greater sense of respect to the deceased.</li> </ul>	The Administration's response was issued to members vide LC Paper No. CB(2)1017/08-09(01) on 4 March 2009.
4.	Handling of request from the public for urgent medical assistant by public hospitals and the Caritas Medical Centre incident	12 January 2009	The Administration was requested to provide information on the existing and the new guidelines for handling requests from persons for emergency medical assistance within or in the vicinity of HA hospitals and clinics.	to members vide LC Paper No.
5.	Progress report on promoting healthy eating among school children	9 February 2009	The Administration was requested to provide findings of the following two surveys once they became available -  (a) Child Health Survey conducted by the University of Hong Kong; and  (b) Food Consumption Survey conducted by the Chinese University of Hong Kong.	

- 4 -

Subject	Date of meeting	Follow-up action required	Administration's response
6. Allocation of resources among hospital clusters by the Hospital Authority	9 February 2009	The Administration was requested to provide the following information in writing -  (a) the amount of funding allocated/to be allocated to each of the seven hospital clusters this year/next year as well as the ratio of such funding per 1 000 population of individual hospital cluster after the Financial Secretary had presented the 2009-2010 Budget to the Legislative Council on 25 February 2009;  (b) reasons/justifications as to why some hospital clusters were under-provided vis-à-vis other hospital clusters with similar number of population and whether any actions would be taken to address such discrepancies, and if so, what they were; and  (c) pros and cons of facility-based, population-based and "Pay for Performance" funding arrangements to hospital clusters.	The Administration will provide a written response.

Council Business Division 2
<u>Legislative Council Secretariat</u>
5 March 2009