## **Panel on Health Services**

List of follow-up actions (Position as at 14 April 2009)

Subject	Date of meeting	Follow-up action required	Administration's response
<ol> <li>Further discussion on the obstetric service charge for non-eligible persons whose spouses are Hong Kong residents</li> </ol>	30 April 2007	The Administration was requested to provide a written response to the motion passed by the Panel at the meeting on 16 April 2007 by early June 2007 at the latest. The wording of the motion reads as follows - "本委員會對於衛生福利及食物局及醫院管 理局於今年 2 月初推行公立醫院內地孕婦 的新收費政策(39,000 元/48,000 元)未有考 慮對港人家庭(即父親爲香港居民而母親爲 準來港婦女之家庭)的影響表示遺憾,並要 求當局豁免港人家庭按新收費政策繳費。"	The effectiveness of the new obstetric service arrangements (including the obstetric service package charge) and complementary immigration measures are being closely monitored. A refund mechanism on the new obstetric package charge was introduced on 29 October 2007 by the Hospital Authority (HA). The Administration's response on the refund mechanism was issued vide LC Paper No. CB(2) 533/07-08(01) on 6 December 2007.

- 2 -				
Subject	Date of meeting	Follow-up action required	Administration's response	
		(Translation) "That this Panel expresses regret that the new obstetric package charge (\$39,000/\$48,000) implemented by the Health, Welfare and Food Bureau and the Hospital Authority in early February this year for Mainland women giving birth in public hospitals has failed to take into account its impact on Hong Kong families (i.e. those with the father being a Hong Kong resident and the mother a Two-way Permit holder), and urges the Government to exempt these families from the new fee charging policy." The Administration was also requested to include in its written response to the Panel the suggestion of refunding fees paid at the time of booking for public obstetric services if the delivery did not take place eventually due to valid reasons such as a miscarriage.		
2. Progress report on promoting healthy eating among school children	9 February 2009	<ul> <li>The Administration was requested to provide findings of the following two surveys once they became available -</li> <li>(a) Child Health Survey conducted by the University of Hong Kong; and</li> <li>(b) Food Consumption Survey conducted by the Chinese University of Hong Kong.</li> </ul>	—	

Subject	Date of meeting	Follow-up action required	Administration's response
<ol> <li>Allocation of resources among hospital clusters by the Hospital Authority</li> </ol>	9 February 2009	<ul> <li>The Administration was requested to provide the following information in writing -</li> <li>(a) the amount of funding allocated/to be allocated to each of the seven hospital clusters this year/next year as well as the ratio of such funding per 1 000 population of individual hospital cluster after the Financial Secretary had presented the 2009-2010 Budget to the Legislative Council on 25 February 2009;</li> <li>(b) reasons/justifications as to why some hospital clusters were under-provided vis-à-vis other hospital clusters with similar number of population and whether any actions would be taken to address such discrepancies, and if so, what they were; and</li> <li>(c) pros and cons of facility-based, population-based and "Pay for Performance" funding arrangements to hospital clusters.</li> </ul>	The Administration will provide a written response.

Subject	Date of meeting	Follow-up action required	Administration's response
<ol> <li>Development of a territory-wide electronic healthcare record sharing system</li> </ol>	9 March 2009	<ul> <li>The Administration was requested to provide the following information in writing before seeking the support of the Finance Committee and the Establishment Sub-committee for funding and staffing resources to take the electronic healthcare record (eHR) programme forward -</li> <li>(a) detailed breakdown of the estimated capital costs of \$702 million for the First Stage eHR Development Programme;</li> <li>(b) timetable on putting in place a legal framework for safeguarding the data privacy and security of the eHR sharing system; and</li> <li>(c) estimated capital and recurrent costs to be borne by private healthcare providers participating in the eHR sharing system.</li> </ul>	response.

Council Business Division 2 Legislative Council Secretariat 14 April 2009