

立法會
Legislative Council

LC Paper No. FC155/09-10
(These minutes have been seen
by the Administration)

Ref : CB1/F/1/2

Finance Committee of the Legislative Council

Minutes of the 16th meeting
held at the Legislative Council Chamber
on Saturday, 16 January 2010, at 3:45 pm

Members present:

Hon Emily LAU Wai-hing, JP (Chairman)
Prof Hon Patrick LAU Sau-shing, SBS, JP (Deputy Chairman)
Hon Albert HO Chun-yan
Hon LEE Cheuk-yan
Hon Fred LI Wah-ming, SBS, JP
Dr Hon Margaret NG
Hon James TO Kun-sun
Hon CHEUNG Man-kwong
Hon CHAN Kam-lam, SBS, JP
Hon Mrs Sophie LEUNG LAU Yau-fun, GBS, JP
Hon LEUNG Yiu-chung
Dr Hon Philip WONG Yu-hong, GBS
Hon WONG Yung-kan, SBS, JP
Hon LAU Kong-wah, JP
Hon LAU Wong-fat, GBM, GBS, JP
Hon Miriam LAU Kin-yee, GBS, JP
Hon Timothy FOK Tsun-ting, GBS, JP
Hon TAM Yiu-chung, GBS, JP
Hon Abraham SHEK Lai-him, SBS, JP
Hon LI Fung-ying, BBS, JP
Hon Tommy CHEUNG Yu-yan, SBS, JP
Hon Albert CHAN Wai-yip
Hon Frederick FUNG Kin-kee, SBS, JP
Hon Audrey EU Yuet-mee, SC, JP
Hon Vincent FANG Kang, SBS, JP
Hon WONG Kwok-hing, MH
Hon LEE Wing-tat

Dr Hon Joseph LEE Kok-long, SBS, JP
Hon Jeffrey LAM Kin-fung, SBS, JP
Hon Andrew LEUNG Kwan-yuen, SBS, JP
Hon Alan LEONG Kah-kit, SC
Hon LEUNG Kwok-hung
Hon CHEUNG Hok-ming, GBS, JP
Hon WONG Ting-kwong, BBS, JP
Hon Ronny TONG Ka-wah, SC
Hon CHIM Pui-chung
Hon KAM Nai-wai, MH
Hon Cyd HO Sau-lan
Hon Starry LEE Wai-king
Dr Hon LAM Tai-fai, BBS, JP
Hon CHAN Hak-kan
Hon Paul CHAN Mo-po, MH, JP
Hon CHAN Kin-por, JP
Hon Tanya CHAN
Dr Hon Priscilla LEUNG Mei-fun
Dr Hon LEUNG Ka-lau
Hon CHEUNG Kwok-che
Hon WONG Sing-chi
Hon WONG Kwok-kin, BBS
Hon WONG Yuk-man
Hon IP Wai-ming, MH
Hon IP Kwok-him, GBS, JP
Hon Mrs Regina IP LAU Suk-yee, GBS, JP
Dr Hon PAN Pey-chyou
Hon Paul TSE Wai-chun
Dr Hon Samson TAM Wai-ho, JP

Members absent:

Ir Dr Hon Raymond HO Chung-tai, SBS, S.B.St.J., JP
Dr Hon David LI Kwok-po, GBM, GBS, JP
Hon Andrew CHENG Kar-foo

Public officers attending:

Professor K C CHAN, SBS, JP

Secretary for Financial Services and
the Treasury

Mr Stanley YING, JP

Permanent Secretary for Financial
Services and the Treasury (Treasury)

Ms Bernadette LINN, JP

Deputy Secretary for Financial
Services and the Treasury (Treasury)¹

Action

Ms Elsie YUEN	Principal Executive Officer (General), Financial Services and the Treasury Bureau (The Treasury Branch)
Ms Eva CHENG, JP	Secretary for Transport and Housing
Mr Philip YUNG Wai-hung, JP	Deputy Secretary for Transport and Housing (Transport)1
Mr Fletch CHAN Wai-wai	Principal Assistant Secretary (Transport)3, Transport and Housing Bureau
Mr WAI Chi-sing, JP	Director of Highways
Mr LAM Sai-hung	Chief Engineer (Railway Development)2-3, Highways Department
Mr David TO Kam-biu	Assistant Commissioner (Planning), Transport Department
Ms YING Fun-fong	Chief Engineer (Transport Planning), Transport Department
Mr LAW Hin-wing	Assistant Director of Lands (Acquisition), Lands Department
Miss Eliza MA King-fong	Chief Estate Surveyor (Railway Development Section/Head Office), Lands Department
Mr Augustine NG	Project Director, West Kowloon Cultural District Office, Home Affairs Bureau
Mr Malcolm GIBSON	Head of Project Engineering, MTR Corporation Limited
Miss Maggie SO	Senior Manager (Projects and Property Communications), MTR Corporation Limited
Mr Andy FOK Chiu-yan	Construction Manager (Express Rail Link Tunnels), MTR Corporation Limited

Clerk in attendance:

Mrs Constance LI	Assistant Secretary General 1
------------------	-------------------------------

Staff in attendance:

Ms Anita SIT	Chief Council Secretary (1)5
Ms Angel SHEK	Senior Council Secretary (1)1
Miss Joanne FONG	Senior Council Secretary (1)7
Mr Ken WOO	Council Secretary (1)2

Action

Ms Alice CHEUNG	Senior Legislative Assistant (1)1
Mr Frankie WOO	Senior Legislative Assistant (1)3
Ms Christy YAU	Legislative Assistant (1)1
Ms Clara LO	Legislative Assistant (1)3

Action

Item No. 1 - FCR(2009-10)44

**RECOMMENDATIONS OF THE PUBLIC WORKS SUBCOMMITTEE
MADE ON 2 AND 3 DECEMBER 2009**

Head 706 - Highway

PWSC(2009-10)68 53TR Hong Kong section of Guangzhou–Shenzhen–Hong Kong Express Rail Link - construction of railway works

PWSC(2009-10)69 57TR Hong Kong section of Guangzhou–Shenzhen–Hong Kong Express Rail Link - construction of non-railway works

PWSC(2009-10)72 35CA Special ex-gratia payments in relation to the Hong Kong section of the Guangzhou–Shenzhen–Hong Kong Express Rail Link

The Committee continued the deliberation on items PWSC(2009-10)68, 69 and 72 relating to the Hong Kong section of Guangzhou–Shenzhen–Hong Kong Express Rail Link (XRL) project.

2. The Chairman advised that the Committee would continue to deal with the motions proposed to be moved by members under paragraph 37A of the Finance Committee Procedure (FC Procedure). For each of the proposed motions that she considered to be directly relevant to the items under consideration, she would invite the member concerned to read out the motion he/she proposed, while members would also receive copies of the proposed motions.

3. At the invitation of the Chairman, Ms Cyd HO read out the motion she proposed to move, as follows –

"That, as the resumption of agricultural land and domestic properties from the residents of Choi Yuen Tsuen (CYT) due to the works of the Guangzhou–Shenzhen–Hong Kong Express Rail Link will disrupt the existing community life of the residents, the Government must arrange for the relocation of CYT, provide an environment which is comparable to their present community life and domestic properties, and extend the deadline of rehousing registration to the end of April 2010."

Action

4. The Chairman put the following question to vote –

"That this Committee should proceed forthwith the motion proposed to be moved by Ms Cyd HO under paragraph 37A of the FC Procedure."

5. The Chairman first called upon members to vote for/against the question by raising their hands. Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung claimed a division.

6. The voting results of individual members were as follows –

For:

Mr Albert HO	Mr LEE Cheuk-yan
Mr Fred LI	Dr Margaret NG
Mr James TO	Mr CHEUNG Man-kwong
Mr LEUNG Yiu-chung	Mr Albert CHAN
Mr Frederick FUNG	Ms Audrey EU
Mr LEE Wing-tat	Dr Joseph LEE
Mr Alan LEONG	Mr Ronny TONG
Mr KAM Nai-wai	Ms Cyd HO
Miss Tanya CHAN	Mr CHEUNG Kwok-che
Mr WONG Sing-chi	Mr WONG Yuk-man
(20 members)	

Against:

Mr CHAN Kam-lam	Mrs Sophie LEUNG
Dr Philip WONG	Mr WONG Yung-kan
Mr LAU Kong-wah	Mr LAU Wong-fat
Ms Miriam LAU	Mr Timothy FOK
Mr TAM Yiu-chung	Ms LI Fung-ying
Mr Tommy CHEUNG	Mr Vincent FANG
Mr WONG Kwok-hing	Mr Andrew LEUNG
Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming	Mr WONG Ting-kwong
Mr CHIM Pui-chung	Prof Patrick LAU
Ms Starry LEE	Dr LAM Tai-fai
Mr CHAN Hak-kan	Mr Paul CHAN
Mr CHAN Kin-por	Mr WONG Kwok-kin
Mr IP Wai-ming	Mr IP Kwok-him
Mrs Regina IP	Dr PAN Pey-chyou
Mr Paul TSE	Dr Samson TAM
(30 members)	

7. Of the 51 members present, 20 voted for and 30 voted against the motion. The Chairman declared that as the above question was not agreed by a majority of members, the Committee would not proceed forthwith the motion proposed by Ms Cyd HO.

Action

8. At the invitation of the Chairman, Mr Ronny TONG read out the motion he proposed to move, as follows –

"That, as the Government still pushes through the construction of the Guangzhou–Shenzhen–Hong Kong Express Rail Link (XRL) despite opposition from various fronts and locates the terminus in West Kowloon, which makes it hardly accessible to the 3.8 million residents in the New Territories, the Government must provide various connecting facilities and services to ensure that the wrong choice of location will not render the XRL inaccessible to the residents in the New Territories."

9. The Chairman put the following question to vote –

"That this Committee should proceed forthwith the motion proposed to be moved by Mr Ronny TONG under paragraph 37A of the FC Procedure."

10. The Chairman first called upon members to vote for/against the question by raising their hands. Mr Albert CHAN claimed a division.

11. The voting results of individual members were as follows –

For:

Mr Albert HO	Mr LEE Cheuk-yan
Mr Fred LI	Dr Margaret NG
Mr James TO	Mr CHEUNG Man-kwong
Mr LEUNG Yiu-chung	Mr Albert CHAN
Mr Frederick FUNG	Ms Audrey EU
Mr LEE Wing-tat	Dr Joseph LEE
Mr Alan LEONG	Mr Ronny TONG
Mr KAM Nai-wai	Ms Cyd HO
Miss Tanya CHAN	Mr CHEUNG Kwok-che
Mr WONG Sing-chi	Mr WONG Yuk-man

(20 members)

Against:

Mr CHAN Kam-lam	Mrs Sophie LEUNG
Dr Philip WONG	Mr WONG Yung-kan
Mr LAU Kong-wah	Mr LAU Wong-fat
Ms Miriam LAU	Mr Timothy FOK
Mr TAM Yiu-chung	Ms LI Fung-ying
Mr Tommy CHEUNG	Mr Vincent FANG
Mr WONG Kwok-hing	Mr Andrew LEUNG
Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming	Mr WONG Ting-kwong
Mr CHIM Pui-chung	Prof Patrick LAU
Ms Starry LEE	Dr LAM Tai-fai
Mr CHAN Hak-kan	Mr Paul CHAN

Mr CHAN Kin-por
Mr IP Wai-ming
Mrs Regina IP
Mr Paul TSE
(30 members)

Mr WONG Kwok-kin
Mr IP Kwok-him
Dr PAN Pey-chyou
Dr Samson TAM

12. Of the 51 members present, 20 voted for and 30 voted against the motion. The Chairman declared that as the above question was not agreed by a majority of members, the Committee would not proceed forthwith the motion proposed by Mr Ronny TONG.

13. At the invitation of the Chairman, Mr Albert HO read out the motion he proposed to move, as follows –

"That, as the Government still pushes through the construction of the Guangzhou–Shenzhen–Hong Kong Express Rail Link (XRL) despite strong opposition from the public, the Government should study the feasibility of providing a XRL station at Kam Sheung Road."

14. The Chairman put the following question to vote –

"That this Committee should proceed forthwith the motion proposed to be moved by Mr Albert HO under paragraph 37A of the FC Procedure."

15. Mr Albert HO claimed a division.

16. The voting results of individual members were as follows –

For:

Mr Albert HO
Mr Fred LI
Mr James TO
Mr LEUNG Yiu-chung
Mr Frederick FUNG
Mr LEE Wing-tat
Mr Alan LEONG
Mr KAM Nai-wai
Miss Tanya CHAN
Mr WONG Sing-chi
(20 members)

Mr LEE Cheuk-yan
Dr Margaret NG
Mr CHEUNG Man-kwong
Mr Albert CHAN
Ms Audrey EU
Dr Joseph LEE
Mr Ronny TONG
Ms Cyd HO
Mr CHEUNG Kwok-che
Mr WONG Yuk-man

Against:

Mr CHAN Kam-lam
Dr Philip WONG
Mr LAU Kong-wah
Ms Miriam LAU

Mrs Sophie LEUNG
Mr WONG Yung-kan
Mr LAU Wong-fat
Mr Timothy FOK

Mr TAM Yiu-chung	Ms LI Fung-ying
Mr Tommy CHEUNG	Mr Vincent FANG
Mr WONG Kwok-hing	Mr Andrew LEUNG
Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming	Mr WONG Ting-kwong
Mr CHIM Pui-chung	Prof Patrick LAU
Ms Starry LEE	Dr LAM Tai-fai
Mr CHAN Hak-kan	Mr Paul CHAN
Mr CHAN Kin-por	Mr WONG Kwok-kin
Mr IP Wai-ming	Mr IP Kwok-him
Mrs Regina IP	Dr PAN Pey-chyou
Mr Paul TSE	Dr Samson TAM
(30 members)	

17. Of the 51 members present, 20 voted for and 30 voted against the motion. The Chairman declared that as the above question was not agreed by a majority of members, the Committee would not proceed forthwith the motion proposed by Mr Albert HO.

18. Mr Paul TSE stated that in voting against the questions put forth by the Chairman, he was only against proceeding with the motions proposed but not against the motions as such. Mr LEE Cheuk-yan stated his view that if a member was in support of a proposed motion, he/she should vote for the question put forth by the Chairman so that the motion could be debated on.

19. At the invitation of the Chairman, Mr LEE Cheuk-yan read out the motion he proposed to move, as follows –

"That the Government should require all contractors undertaking the XRL-related works to use locally-manufactured prefabricated components in case these components have to be used in order to ensure that the job opportunities in the construction sector provided by the relevant works can be maximized."

20. The Chairman put the following question to vote –

"That this Committee should proceed forthwith the motion proposed to be moved by Mr LEE Cheuk-yan under paragraph 37A of the FC Procedure."

21. The Chairman first called upon members to vote for/against the question by raising their hands. Mr LEE Cheuk-yan claimed a division.

22. The voting results of individual members were as follows –

For:

Mr Albert HO

Mr Fred LI

Mr LEE Cheuk-yan

Dr Margaret NG

Mr James TO
Mr LEUNG Yiu-chung
Mr Frederick FUNG
Mr LEE Wing-tat
Mr Alan LEONG
Mr KAM Nai-wai
Miss Tanya CHAN
Mr WONG Sing-chi
(20 members)

Mr CHEUNG Man-kwong
Mr Albert CHAN
Ms Audrey EU
Dr Joseph LEE
Mr Ronny TONG
Ms Cyd HO
Mr CHEUNG Kwok-che
Mr WONG Yuk-man

Against:

Mr CHAN Kam-lam
Dr Philip WONG
Mr LAU Kong-wah
Ms Miriam LAU
Mr TAM Yiu-chung
Mr Vincent FANG
Mr Andrew LEUNG
Mr WONG Ting-kwong
Prof Patrick LAU
Dr LAM Tai-fai
Mr Paul CHAN
Mr WONG Kwok-kin
Mr IP Kwok-him
Dr PAN Pey-chyou
Dr Samson TAM
(29 members)

Mrs Sophie LEUNG
Mr WONG Yung-kan
Mr LAU Wong-fat
Mr Timothy FOK
Mr Tommy CHEUNG
Mr WONG Kwok-hing
Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming
Mr CHIM Pui-chung
Ms Starry LEE
Mr CHAN Hak-kan
Mr CHAN Kin-por
Mr IP Wai-ming
Mrs Regina IP
Mr Paul TSE

23. Of the 50 members present, 20 voted for and 29 voted against the motion. The Chairman declared that as the above question was not agreed by a majority of members, the Committee would not proceed forthwith the motion proposed by Mr LEE Cheuk-yan.

24. At the invitation of the Chairman, Mr Frederick FUNG read out the motion he proposed to move, as follows –

"That, during the construction of the Guangzhou–Shenzhen–Hong Kong Express Rail Link (XRL) by the Government, should the strata of Tai Kok Tsui be subject to land subsidence and ground settlement caused by the XRL-related works and render it impossible for the residents to stay in their existing homes, the Government should compensate the local property owners according to market value to make up for local residents' losses due to the works implemented by the Government in a hasty manner."

Action

25. The Chairman put the following question to vote –

"That this Committee should proceed forthwith the motion proposed to be moved by Mr Frederick FUNG under paragraph 37A of the FC Procedure."

26. The Chairman first called upon members to vote for/against the question by raising their hands. Mr Albert CHAN claimed a division.

27. The voting results of individual members were as follows –

For:

Mr Albert HO	Mr LEE Cheuk-yan
Mr Fred LI	Dr Margaret NG
Mr James TO	Mr CHEUNG Man-kwong
Mr LEUNG Yiu-chung	Mr Albert CHAN
Mr Frederick FUNG	Ms Audrey EU
Mr LEE Wing-tat	Mr Alan LEONG
Mr Ronny TONG	Mr KAM Nai-wai
Ms Cyd HO	Miss Tanya CHAN
Mr CHEUNG Kwok-che	Mr WONG Sing-chi
Mr WONG Yuk-man	
(19 members)	

Against:

Mr CHAN Kam-lam	Mrs Sophie LEUNG
Dr Philip WONG	Mr WONG Yung-kan
Mr LAU Kong-wah	Mr LAU Wong-fat
Ms Miriam LAU	Mr Timothy FOK
Mr TAM Yiu-chung	Ms LI Fung-ying
Mr Tommy CHEUNG	Mr Vincent FANG
Mr WONG Kwok-hing	Mr Andrew LEUNG
Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming	Mr WONG Ting-kwong
Mr CHIM Pui-chung	Prof Patrick LAU
Ms Starry LEE	Dr LAM Tai-fai
Mr CHAN Hak-kan	Mr Paul CHAN
Mr CHAN Kin-por	Mr WONG Kwok-kin
Mr IP Wai-ming	Mr IP Kwok-him
Mrs Regina IP	Dr PAN Pey-chyou
Mr Paul TSE	Dr Samson TAM
(30 members)	

28. Of the 50 members present, 19 voted for and 30 voted against the motion. The Chairman declared that as the above question was not agreed by a majority of members, the Committee would not proceed forthwith the motion proposed by Mr Frederick FUNG.

29. At the invitation of the Chairman, Mr Albert HO read out the motion he proposed to move, as follows –

"That, as the Government still pushes through the construction of the Guangzhou–Shenzhen–Hong Kong Express Rail Link (XRL) despite strong opposition from various fronts and locates the terminus in West Kowloon, obligating residents in Tuen Mun, Tin Shui Wai and Yuen Long to pay the expensive West Rail fares before they can make use of the XRL, the Government should press the MTR Corporation Limited, upon the commissioning of the XRL, to offer fare concessions to commuters who take the West Rail for using the XRL, so as to ensure that residents in Tuen Mun and Yuen Long will not have to incur heavy traffic expenses for using the XRL."

30. The Chairman put the following question to vote –

"That this Committee should proceed forthwith the motion proposed to be moved by Mr Albert HO under paragraph 37A of the FC Procedure."

31. The Chairman first called upon members to vote for/against the question by raising their hands. Mr Albert HO claimed a division.

32. The voting results of individual members were as follows –

For:

Mr Albert HO	Mr LEE Cheuk-yan
Mr Fred LI	Dr Margaret NG
Mr James TO	Mr CHEUNG Man-kwong
Mr LEUNG Yiu-chung	Mr Albert CHAN
Mr Frederick FUNG	Ms Audrey EU
Mr LEE Wing-tat	Dr Joseph LEE
Mr Alan LEONG	Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung
Mr Ronny TONG	Mr KAM Nai-wai
Ms Cyd HO	Miss Tanya CHAN
Mr CHEUNG Kwok-che	Mr WONG Sing-chi
Mr WONG Yuk-man	
(21 members)	

Against:

Mr CHAN Kam-lam	Mrs Sophie LEUNG
Dr Philip WONG	Mr WONG Yung-kan
Mr LAU Kong-wah	Mr LAU Wong-fat
Ms Miriam LAU	Mr Timothy FOK
Mr TAM Yiu-chung	Ms LI Fung-ying
Mr Tommy CHEUNG	Mr Vincent FANG

Mr WONG Kwok-hing	Mr Andrew LEUNG
Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming	Mr WONG Ting-kwong
Mr CHIM Pui-chung	Prof Patrick LAU
Ms Starry LEE	Dr LAM Tai-fai
Mr CHAN Hak-kan	Mr Paul CHAN
Mr CHAN Kin-por	Mr WONG Kwok-kin
Mr IP Wai-ming	Mr IP Kwok-him
Mrs Regina IP	Dr PAN Pey-chyou
Mr Paul TSE	Dr Samson TAM
(30 members)	

33. Of the 52 members present, 21 voted for and 30 voted against the motion. The Chairman declared that as the above question was not agreed by a majority of members, the Committee would not proceed forthwith the motion proposed by Mr Albert HO.

34. At the invitation of the Chairman, Mr WONG Sing-chi read out the motion he proposed to move, as follows –

"That, as the Government still pushes through the construction of the Guangzhou–Shenzhen–Hong Kong Express Rail Link (XRL) despite opposition from various fronts and locates the terminus in West Kowloon, which is far away from Sheung Shui and Tai Po, obligating residents in Sheung Shui and Tai Po to pay expensive fares to take the East Rail and then interchange for the West Rail before they can use the XRL, the Government must press the MTR Corporation Limited, upon the commissioning of the XRL, to offer fare concessions to commuters who take the East Rail and then interchange for the West Rail for using the XRL, so as to ensure that residents in Sheung Shui and Tai Po will not have to incur heavy traffic expenses for using the XRL."

35. The Chairman put the following question to vote –

"That this Committee should proceed forthwith the motion proposed to be moved by Mr WONG Sing-chi under paragraph 37A of the FC Procedure."

36. The Chairman first called upon members to vote for/against the question by raising their hands. Mr Albert CHAN claimed a division.

37. The voting results of individual members were as follows –

For:

Mr Albert HO	Mr LEE Cheuk-yan
Mr Fred LI	Dr Margaret NG
Mr James TO	Mr CHEUNG Man-kwong

Mr LEUNG Yiu-chung
Mr Frederick FUNG
Mr LEE Wing-tat
Mr Alan LEONG
Mr Ronny TONG
Ms Cyd HO
Mr CHEUNG Kwok-che
Mr WONG Yuk-man
(21 members)

Mr Albert CHAN
Ms Audrey EU
Dr Joseph LEE
Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung
Mr KAM Nai-wai
Miss Tanya CHAN
Mr WONG Sing-chi

Against:

Mr CHAN Kam-lam
Dr Philip WONG
Mr LAU Kong-wah
Ms Miriam LAU
Mr TAM Yiu-chung
Mr Tommy CHEUNG
Mr WONG Kwok-hing
Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming
Mr CHIM Pui-chung
Ms Starry LEE
Mr CHAN Hak-kan
Mr CHAN Kin-por
Mr IP Wai-ming
Mrs Regina IP
Mr Paul TSE
(30 members)

Mrs Sophie LEUNG
Mr WONG Yung-kan
Mr LAU Wong-fat
Mr Timothy FOK
Ms LI Fung-ying
Mr Vincent FANG
Mr Andrew LEUNG
Mr WONG Ting-kwong
Prof Patrick LAU
Dr LAM Tai-fai
Mr Paul CHAN
Mr WONG Kwok-kin
Mr IP Kwok-him
Dr PAN Pey-chyou
Dr Samson TAM

38. Of the 52 members present, 21 voted for and 30 voted against the motion. The Chairman declared that as the above question was not agreed by a majority of members, the Committee would not proceed forthwith the motion proposed by Mr WONG Sing-chi.

39. At the invitation of the Chairman, Mr LEE Wing-tat read out the motion he proposed to move, as follows –

"That, as the Government still pushes through the construction of the Guangzhou–Shenzhen–Hong Kong Express Rail Link (XRL) despite opposition from various fronts, the Administration should report regularly to the residents of Wonderland Villas the condition of the land strata of their estate so that they can have a clear understanding of the impact of the XRL on the strata of their residential properties."

Action

40. The Chairman put the following question to vote –

"That this Committee should proceed forthwith the motion proposed to be moved by Mr LEE Wing-tat under paragraph 37A of the FC Procedure."

41. The Chairman first called upon members to vote for/against the question by raising their hands. Mr LEE Wing-tat claimed a division.

42. The voting results of individual members were as follows –

For:

Mr Albert HO	Mr LEE Cheuk-yan
Mr Fred LI	Dr Margaret NG
Mr James TO	Mr CHEUNG Man-kwong
Mr LEUNG Yiu-chung	Mr Albert CHAN
Mr Frederick FUNG	Ms Audrey EU
Mr LEE Wing-tat	Dr Joseph LEE
Mr Alan LEONG	Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung
Mr Ronny TONG	Mr KAM Nai-wai
Ms Cyd HO	Miss Tanya CHAN
Mr CHEUNG Kwok-che	Mr WONG Sing-chi
Mr WONG Yuk-man	
(21 members)	

Against:

Mr CHAN Kam-lam	Mrs Sophie LEUNG
Dr Philip WONG	Mr WONG Yung-kan
Mr LAU Kong-wah	Mr LAU Wong-fat
Ms Miriam LAU	Mr Timothy FOK
Mr TAM Yiu-chung	Ms LI Fung-ying
Mr Tommy CHEUNG	Mr Vincent FANG
Mr WONG Kwok-hing	Mr Andrew LEUNG
Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming	Mr WONG Ting-kwong
Mr CHIM Pui-chung	Prof Patrick LAU
Ms Starry LEE	Dr LAM Tai-fai
Mr CHAN Hak-kan	Mr Paul CHAN
Mr CHAN Kin-por	Dr LEUNG Ka-lau
Mr WONG Kwok-kin	Mr IP Wai-ming
Mr IP Kwok-him	Mrs Regina IP
Dr PAN Pey-chyou	Mr Paul TSE
Dr Samson TAM	
(31 members)	

43. Of the 53 members present, 21 voted for and 31 voted against the motion. The Chairman declared that as the above question was not agreed by a majority of

Action

members, the Committee would not proceed forthwith the motion proposed by Mr LEE Wing-tat.

44. At the invitation of the Chairman, Mr LEE Wing-tat read out the motion he proposed to move, as follows –

"That, as the Government still pushes through the construction of the Guangzhou–Shenzhen–Hong Kong Express Rail Link despite strong opposition from the public and enforce land resumption at Choi Yuen Tsuen (CYT), the Government must offer rehousing arrangements to the affected CYT residents and provide them with housing which is similar to their existing living environment."

45. The Chairman put the following question to vote –

"That this Committee should proceed forthwith the motion proposed to be moved by Mr LEE Wing-tat under paragraph 37A of the FC Procedure."

46. The Chairman first called upon members to vote for/against the question by raising their hands. Mr LEE Wing-tat claimed a division.

47. The voting results of individual members were as follows –

For:

Mr Albert HO	Mr LEE Cheuk-yan
Mr Fred LI	Dr Margaret NG
Mr James TO	Mr CHEUNG Man-kwong
Mr LEUNG Yiu-chung	Mr Albert CHAN
Mr Frederick FUNG	Ms Audrey EU
Mr LEE Wing-tat	Dr Joseph LEE
Mr Alan LEONG	Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung
Mr Ronny TONG	Mr KAM Nai-wai
Ms Cyd HO	Miss Tanya CHAN
Mr CHEUNG Kwok-che	Mr WONG Sing-chi
Mr WONG Yuk-man	
(21 members)	

Against:

Mr CHAN Kam-lam	Mrs Sophie LEUNG
Dr Philip WONG	Mr WONG Yung-kan
Mr LAU Kong-wah	Mr LAU Wong-fat
Ms Miriam LAU	Mr Timothy FOK
Mr TAM Yiu-chung	Ms LI Fung-ying
Mr Tommy CHEUNG	Mr Vincent FANG
Mr WONG Kwok-hing	Mr Andrew LEUNG
Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming	Mr WONG Ting-kwong

Mr CHIM Pui-chung
Ms Starry LEE
Mr CHAN Hak-kan
Mr CHAN Kin-por
Mr WONG Kwok-kin
Mr IP Kwok-him
Dr PAN Pey-chyou
Dr Samson TAM
(31 members)

Prof Patrick LAU
Dr LAM Tai-fai
Mr Paul CHAN
Dr LEUNG Ka-lau
Mr IP Wai-ming
Mrs Regina IP
Mr Paul TSE

48. Of the 53 members present, 21 voted for and 31 voted against the motion. The Chairman declared that as the above question was not agreed by a majority of members, the Committee would not proceed forthwith the motion proposed by Mr LEE Wing-tat.

49. At the invitation of the Chairman, Mr KAM Nai-wai read out the motion he proposed to move, as follows –

"As the Guangzhou–Shenzhen–Hong Kong Express Rail Link (XRL) involves \$66.9 billion of public funds and the findings of the Public Opinion Programme of the University of Hong Kong published recently show that 59% of the respondents said they knew 'very little' or 'quite little' about the entire XRL project, indicating that most people do not understand the substance and social impact of the XRL, I now move under paragraph 37A of the FC Procedure to demand that the Government shelve the funding proposals of the XRL and assess the public awareness of the XRL with an opinion poll conducted by an independent organization, and re-submit the funding proposals after having ascertained that the majority of the people of Hong Kong clearly understand the substance of the XRL and its impact on the society."

50. The Chairman put the following question to vote –

"That this Committee should proceed forthwith the motion proposed to be moved by Mr KAM Nai-wai under paragraph 37A of the FC Procedure."

51. The Chairman first called upon members to vote for/against the question by raising their hands. Mr KAM Nai-wai claimed a division.

52. The voting results of individual members were as follows –

For:

Mr Albert HO
Mr Fred LI
Mr James TO
Mr LEUNG Yiu-chung

Mr LEE Cheuk-yan
Dr Margaret NG
Mr CHEUNG Man-kwong
Mr Albert CHAN

Mr Frederick FUNG
Dr Joseph LEE
Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung
Mr KAM Nai-wai
Miss Tanya CHAN
Mr WONG Sing-chi
(20 members)

Mr LEE Wing-tat
Mr Alan LEONG
Mr Ronny TONG
Ms Cyd HO
Mr CHEUNG Kwok-che
Mr WONG Yuk-man

Against:

Mr CHAN Kam-lam
Dr Philip WONG
Mr LAU Kong-wah
Ms Miriam LAU
Mr TAM Yiu-chung
Mr Tommy CHEUNG
Mr WONG Kwok-hing
Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming
Mr CHIM Pui-chung
Ms Starry LEE
Mr CHAN Hak-kan
Mr CHAN Kin-por
Mr WONG Kwok-kin
Mr IP Kwok-him
Dr PAN Pey-chyou
Dr Samson TAM
(31 members)

Mrs Sophie LEUNG
Mr WONG Yung-kan
Mr LAU Wong-fat
Mr Timothy FOK
Ms LI Fung-ying
Mr Vincent FANG
Mr Andrew LEUNG
Mr WONG Ting-kwong
Prof Patrick LAU
Dr LAM Tai-fai
Mr Paul CHAN
Dr LEUNG Ka-lau
Mr IP Wai-ming
Mrs Regina IP
Mr Paul TSE

53. Of the 52 members present, 20 voted for and 31 voted against the motion. The Chairman declared that as the above question was not agreed by a majority of members, the Committee would not proceed forthwith the motion proposed by Mr KAM Nai-wai.

54. Ms Audrey EU said that she voted for the question but her vote was not reflected in the voting results as shown on the screen of the electronic voting system. The Chairman advised that as she had already declared the voting results, it would not be in order to amend the voting results to reflect Ms EU's vote. Ms EU requested that her vote for the motion be recorded in the minutes of the meeting. The Chairman agreed.

55. At the invitation of the Chairman, Mr Frederick FUNG read out the motion he proposed to move, as follows –

"That, as the construction of the Guangzhou–Shenzhen–Hong Kong Express Rail Link (XRL) by the Government may affect the strata of land of Tai Kok Tsui, the SAR Government should release information regularly to the affected residents in Tai Kok Tsui so that they can clearly

understand the impact of the XRL on the strata of their residential properties."

56. The Chairman put the following question to vote –

"That this Committee should proceed forthwith the motion proposed to be moved by Mr Frederick FUNG under paragraph 37A of the FC Procedure."

57. The Chairman first called upon members to vote for/against the question by raising their hands. Mr Frederick FUNG claimed a division.

58. The voting results of individual members were as follows –

For:

Mr Albert HO	Mr LEE Cheuk-yan
Mr Fred LI	Dr Margaret NG
Mr James TO	Mr CHEUNG Man-kwong
Mr LEUNG Yiu-chung	Mr Albert CHAN
Mr Frederick FUNG	Ms Audrey EU
Mr LEE Wing-tat	Dr Joseph LEE
Mr Alan LEONG	Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung
Mr Ronny TONG	Mr KAM Nai-wai
Ms Cyd HO	Miss Tanya CHAN
Mr CHEUNG Kwok-che	Mr WONG Sing-chi
Mr WONG Yuk-man	
(21 members)	

Against:

Mr CHAN Kam-lam	Mrs Sophie LEUNG
Dr Philip WONG	Mr WONG Yung-kan
Mr LAU Kong-wah	Mr LAU Wong-fat
Ms Miriam LAU	Mr Timothy FOK
Mr TAM Yiu-chung	Ms LI Fung-ying
Mr Tommy CHEUNG	Mr Vincent FANG
Mr WONG Kwok-hing	Mr Andrew LEUNG
Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming	Mr WONG Ting-kwong
Mr CHIM Pui-chung	Prof Patrick LAU
Ms Starry LEE	Dr LAM Tai-fai
Mr CHAN Hak-kan	Mr Paul CHAN
Mr CHAN Kin-por	Dr LEUNG Ka-lau
Mr WONG Kwok-kin	Mr IP Wai-ming
Mr IP Kwok-him	Mrs Regina IP
Dr PAN Pey-chyou	Mr Paul TSE
Dr Samson TAM	
(31 members)	

59. Of the 53 members present, 21 voted for and 31 voted against the motion. The Chairman declared that as the above question was not agreed by a majority of members, the Committee would not proceed forthwith the motion proposed by Mr Frederick FUNG.

60. At the invitation of the Chairman, Mr WONG Yuk-man read out the motion he proposed to move, as follows –

"That, as the latest opinion poll and social campaign indicate that those who oppose the Guangzhou–Shenzhen–Hong Kong Express Rail Link (XRL) are mainly young people, I move under paragraph 37A of the FC Procedure that, as the Government still pushes through the construction of the XRL despite opposition from various fronts, the Government must clearly explain and introduce to the young people the importance and cost-effectiveness of the XRL, with a view to abating the discontent of the young people of Hong Kong arising from the construction of the XRL by the Government."

61. The Chairman put the following question to vote –

"That this Committee should proceed forthwith the motion proposed to be moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man under paragraph 37A of the FC Procedure."

62. The Chairman first called upon members to vote for/against the question by raising their hands. Mr WONG Yuk-man claimed a division.

63. The voting results of individual members were as follows –

For:

Mr Albert HO	Mr LEE Cheuk-yan
Mr Fred LI	Dr Margaret NG
Mr James TO	Mr CHEUNG Man-kwong
Mr LEUNG Yiu-chung	Mr Albert CHAN
Mr Frederick FUNG	Ms Audrey EU
Mr LEE Wing-tat	Dr Joseph LEE
Mr Alan LEONG	Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung
Mr Ronny TONG	Mr KAM Nai-wai
Ms Cyd HO	Miss Tanya CHAN
Mr CHEUNG Kwok-che	Mr WONG Sing-chi
Mr WONG Yuk-man	
(21 members)	

Against:

Mr CHAN Kam-lam	Mrs Sophie LEUNG
-----------------	------------------

Dr Philip WONG	Mr WONG Yung-kan
Mr LAU Kong-wah	Mr LAU Wong-fat
Ms Miriam LAU	Mr Timothy FOK
Mr TAM Yiu-chung	Ms LI Fung-ying
Mr Tommy CHEUNG	Mr Vincent FANG
Mr WONG Kwok-hing	Mr Andrew LEUNG
Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming	Mr WONG Ting-kwong
Mr CHIM Pui-chung	Prof Patrick LAU
Ms Starry LEE	Dr LAM Tai-fai
Mr CHAN Hak-kan	Mr Paul CHAN
Mr CHAN Kin-por	Dr LEUNG Ka-lau
Mr WONG Kwok-kin	Mr IP Wai-ming
Mr IP Kwok-him	Mrs Regina IP
Dr PAN Pey-chyou	Mr Paul TSE
Dr Samson TAM	
(31 members)	

64. Of the 53 members present, 21 voted for and 31 voted against the motion. The Chairman declared that as the above question was not agreed by a majority of members, the Committee would not proceed forthwith the motion proposed by Mr WONG Yuk-man.

65. At the invitation of the Chairman, Mr LEUNG Yiu-chung read out the motion Mr LEE Wing-tat and Mr LEUNG himself jointly proposed to move, as follows –

"That, as the Government still pushes through the construction of the Guangzhou–Shenzhen–Hong Kong Express Rail Link (XRL) despite opposition from various fronts, the Administration should report regularly to the residents of Hutchison Estate, Kwai Chung the condition of the land strata of their Estate so that they can clearly understand the impact of the XRL on the strata of their residential properties."

66. The Chairman put the following question to vote –

"That this Committee should proceed forthwith the motion jointly proposed to be moved by Mr LEE Wing-tat and Mr LEUNG Yiu-chung under paragraph 37A of the FC Procedure."

67. The Chairman first called upon members to vote for/against the question by raising their hands. Mr LEUNG Yiu-chung claimed a division.

68. The voting results of individual members were as follows –

For:

Mr Albert HO

Mr LEE Cheuk-yan

Mr Fred LI
Mr James TO
Mr LEUNG Yiu-chung
Mr Frederick FUNG
Mr LEE Wing-tat
Mr Alan LEONG
Mr Ronny TONG
Ms Cyd HO
Mr CHEUNG Kwok-che
Mr WONG Yuk-man
(21 members)

Dr Margaret NG
Mr CHEUNG Man-kwong
Mr Albert CHAN
Ms Audrey EU
Dr Joseph LEE
Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung
Mr KAM Nai-wai
Miss Tanya CHAN
Mr WONG Sing-chi

Against:

Mr CHAN Kam-lam
Dr Philip WONG
Mr LAU Kong-wah
Ms Miriam LAU
Mr TAM Yiu-chung
Mr Tommy CHEUNG
Mr WONG Kwok-hing
Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming
Mr CHIM Pui-chung
Ms Starry LEE
Mr CHAN Hak-kan
Mr CHAN Kin-por
Mr WONG Kwok-kin
Mr IP Kwok-him
Dr PAN Pey-chyou
Dr Samson TAM
(31 members)

Mrs Sophie LEUNG
Mr WONG Yung-kan
Mr LAU Wong-fat
Mr Timothy FOK
Ms LI Fung-ying
Mr Vincent FANG
Mr Andrew LEUNG
Mr WONG Ting-kwong
Prof Patrick LAU
Dr LAM Tai-fai
Mr Paul CHAN
Dr LEUNG Ka-lau
Mr IP Wai-ming
Mrs Regina IP
Mr Paul TSE

69. Of the 53 members present, 21 voted for and 31 voted against the motion. The Chairman declared that as the above question was not agreed by a majority of members, the Committee would not proceed forthwith the motion jointly proposed by Mr LEE Wing-tat and Mr LEUNG Yiu-chung.

70. At the invitation of the Chairman, Ms Audrey EU read out the motion she proposed to move, as follows –

"That, as the Government still pushes through the construction of the Guangzhou–Shenzhen–Hong Kong Express Rail Link (XRL) despite opposition from various fronts, the Administration must, upon the commissioning of the XRL, report annually to the relevant Panels of the Legislative Council on the turnover, operating cost, profit or loss, cash flow and liability of the Hong Kong section of the XRL, with a view to

facilitating the public's understanding of the financial position of the XRL."

71. The Chairman put the following question to vote –

"That this Committee should proceed forthwith the motion proposed to be moved by Ms Audrey EU under paragraph 37A of the FC Procedure."

72. The Chairman first called upon members to vote for/against the question by raising their hands. Ms Audrey EU claimed a division.

73. The voting results of individual members were as follows –

For:

Mr Albert HO	Mr LEE Cheuk-yan
Mr Fred LI	Dr Margaret NG
Mr James TO	Mr CHEUNG Man-kwong
Mr LEUNG Yiu-chung	Mr Albert CHAN
Mr Frederick FUNG	Ms Audrey EU
Mr LEE Wing-tat	Dr Joseph LEE
Mr Alan LEONG	Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung
Mr Ronny TONG	Mr KAM Nai-wai
Ms Cyd HO	Miss Tanya CHAN
* Mr CHEUNG Kwok-che	Mr WONG Sing-chi
Mr WONG Yuk-man	
(21 members)	

** Before the Chairman declared the voting results, Mr CHEUNG Kwok-che reported that his vote was not shown on the screen of the electronic voting machine. He stated that he voted for the question.*

Against:

Mr CHAN Kam-lam	Mrs Sophie LEUNG
Dr Philip WONG	Mr WONG Yung-kan
Mr LAU Kong-wah	Mr LAU Wong-fat
Ms Miriam LAU	Mr Timothy FOK
Mr TAM Yiu-chung	Ms LI Fung-ying
Mr Tommy CHEUNG	Mr Vincent FANG
Mr WONG Kwok-hing	Mr Andrew LEUNG
Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming	Mr WONG Ting-kwong
Mr CHIM Pui-chung	Prof Patrick LAU
Ms Starry LEE	Dr LAM Tai-fai
Mr CHAN Hak-kan	Mr Paul CHAN
Mr CHAN Kin-por	Dr LEUNG Ka-lau
Mr WONG Kwok-kin	Mr IP Wai-ming
Mr IP Kwok-him	Mrs Regina IP

Dr PAN Pey-chyou
Dr Samson TAM
(31 members)

Mr Paul TSE

74. Of the 53 members present, 21 voted for and 31 voted against the motion. The Chairman declared that as the above question was not agreed by a majority of members, the Committee would not proceed forthwith the motion proposed by Ms Audrey EU.

75. At 4:36 pm, the Chairman informed members that she had decided to hold an additional meeting at 6:00 pm on the same day if the current meeting, which was scheduled to end at 5:45 pm, could not complete the consideration of items PWSC (2009-10)68, 69 and 72.

76. At the invitation of the Chairman, Dr Margaret NG read out the motion she proposed to move, as follows –

"That this Committee condemns the authorities for ignoring the doubts regarding the legality of the 'co-location of immigration and customs facilities' in the SAR and bulldozing through its request for the passage of the funding proposals of the Guangzhou–Shenzhen–Hong Kong Express Rail Link."

77. The Chairman put the following question to vote –

"That this Committee should proceed forthwith the motion proposed to be moved by Dr Margaret NG under paragraph 37A of the FC Procedure."

78. The Chairman first called upon members to vote for/against the question by raising their hands. Dr Margaret NG claimed a division.

79. The voting results of individual members were as follows –

For:

Mr Albert HO
Mr Fred LI
Mr James TO
Mr LEUNG Yiu-chung
Mr Frederick FUNG
Mr LEE Wing-tat
Mr Alan LEONG
Mr Ronny TONG
Ms Cyd HO
Mr CHEUNG Kwok-che
Mr WONG Yuk-man
(21 members)

Mr LEE Cheuk-yan
Dr Margaret NG
Mr CHEUNG Man-kwong
Mr Albert CHAN
Ms Audrey EU
Dr Joseph LEE
Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung
Mr KAM Nai-wai
Miss Tanya CHAN
Mr WONG Sing-chi

Against:

Mr CHAN Kam-lam
Dr Philip WONG
Mr LAU Kong-wah
Ms Miriam LAU
Mr TAM Yiu-chung
Mr Tommy CHEUNG
Mr WONG Kwok-hing
Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming
Mr CHIM Pui-chung
Ms Starry LEE
Mr CHAN Hak-kan
Mr CHAN Kin-por
Mr WONG Kwok-kin
Mr IP Kwok-him
Dr PAN Pey-chyou
Dr Samson TAM
(31 members)

Mrs Sophie LEUNG
Mr WONG Yung-kan
Mr LAU Wong-fat
Mr Timothy FOK
Ms LI Fung-ying
Mr Vincent FANG
Mr Andrew LEUNG
Mr WONG Ting-kwong
Prof Patrick LAU
Dr LAM Tai-fai
Mr Paul CHAN
Dr LEUNG Ka-lau
Mr IP Wai-ming
Mrs Regina IP
Mr Paul TSE

80. Of the 53 members present, 21 voted for and 31 voted against the motion. The Chairman declared that as the above question was not agreed by a majority of members, the Committee would not proceed forthwith the motion proposed by Dr Margaret NG.

81. At the invitation of the Chairman, Miss Tanya CHAN read out the motion she proposed to move, as follows –

"That, given the extensive and long-term adverse traffic impact of the construction of the Guangzhou–Shenzhen–Hong Kong Express Rail Link on Tsim Sha Tsui, Jordan, Yau Ma Tei and the West Kowloon District, the authorities must bear the costs of all traffic improvement measures, as well as consult and notify as soon as possible the affected members of the public and the West Kowloon Cultural District Authority."

82. The Chairman put the following question to vote –

"That this Committee should proceed forthwith the motion proposed to be moved by Miss Tanya CHAN under paragraph 37A of the FC Procedure."

83. The Chairman first called upon members to vote for/against the question by raising their hands. Miss Tanya CHAN claimed a division.

Action

84. The voting results of individual members were as follows –

For:

Mr Albert HO	Mr LEE Cheuk-yan
Mr Fred LI	Dr Margaret NG
Mr James TO	Mr CHEUNG Man-kwong
Mr LEUNG Yiu-chung	Mr Albert CHAN
Mr Frederick FUNG	Ms Audrey EU
Mr LEE Wing-tat	Dr Joseph LEE
Mr Alan LEONG	Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung
Mr Ronny TONG	Mr KAM Nai-wai
Ms Cyd HO	Miss Tanya CHAN
Mr CHEUNG Kwok-che	Mr WONG Sing-chi
Mr WONG Yuk-man	
(21 members)	

Against:

Mr CHAN Kam-lam	Mrs Sophie LEUNG
Dr Philip WONG	Mr WONG Yung-kan
Mr LAU Kong-wah	Mr LAU Wong-fat
Ms Miriam LAU	Mr Timothy FOK
Mr TAM Yiu-chung	Ms LI Fung-ying
Mr Tommy CHEUNG	Mr Vincent FANG
Mr WONG Kwok-hing	Mr Andrew LEUNG
Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming	Mr WONG Ting-kwong
Mr CHIM Pui-chung	Prof Patrick LAU
Ms Starry LEE	Dr LAM Tai-fai
Mr CHAN Hak-kan	Mr Paul CHAN
Mr CHAN Kin-por	Dr LEUNG Ka-lau
Mr WONG Kwok-kin	Mr IP Wai-ming
Mr IP Kwok-him	Mrs Regina IP
Dr PAN Pey-chyou	Mr Paul TSE
Dr Samson TAM	
(31 members)	

85. Of the 53 members present, 21 voted for and 31 voted against the motion. The Chairman declared that as the above question was not agreed by a majority of members, the Committee would not proceed forthwith the motion proposed by Miss Tanya CHAN.

86. At the invitation of the Chairman, Mr James TO read out the motion he proposed to move, as follows –

"That, as the construction of the Guangzhou–Shenzhen–Hong Kong Express Rail Link by the Government may have implications on the development rights of the property owners concerned in Tai Kok Tsui, this

Committee requests the Urban Renewal Authority to consider comprehensive redevelopment for the affected areas in order to minimize the implications on the property owners."

87. The Chairman put the following question to vote –

"That this Committee should proceed forthwith the motion proposed to be moved by Mr James TO under paragraph 37A of the FC Procedure."

88. The Chairman first called upon members to vote for/against the question by raising their hands. Mr James TO claimed a division.

89. The voting results of individual members were as follows –

For:

Mr Albert HO
Mr Fred LI
Mr James TO
Mr LEUNG Yiu-chung
Mr Frederick FUNG
Mr LEE Wing-tat
Mr Alan LEONG
Mr Ronny TONG
Ms Cyd HO
Mr CHEUNG Kwok-che
Mr WONG Yuk-man
(21 members)

Mr LEE Cheuk-yan
Dr Margaret NG
Mr CHEUNG Man-kwong
Mr Albert CHAN
Ms Audrey EU
Dr Joseph LEE
Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung
Mr KAM Nai-wai
Miss Tanya CHAN
Mr WONG Sing-chi

Against:

Mr CHAN Kam-lam
Dr Philip WONG
Mr LAU Kong-wah
Ms Miriam LAU
Mr TAM Yiu-chung
Mr Tommy CHEUNG
Mr WONG Kwok-hing
Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming
Mr CHIM Pui-chung
Ms Starry LEE
Mr CHAN Hak-kan
Mr CHAN Kin-por
Mr IP Wai-ming
Mrs Regina IP
Mr Paul TSE
(30 members)

Mrs Sophie LEUNG
Mr WONG Yung-kan
Mr LAU Wong-fat
Mr Timothy FOK
Ms LI Fung-ying
Mr Vincent FANG
Mr Andrew LEUNG
Mr WONG Ting-kwong
Prof Patrick LAU
Dr LAM Tai-fai
Mr Paul CHAN
Mr WONG Kwok-kin
Mr IP Kwok-him
Dr PAN Pey-chyou
Dr Samson TAM

Action

90. Of the 52 members present, 21 voted for and 30 voted against the motion. The Chairman declared that as the above question was not agreed by a majority of members, the Committee would not proceed forthwith the motion proposed by Mr James TO.

91. At the invitation of the Chairman, Mr Ronny TONG read out the motion he proposed to move, as follows –

"That this Committee strongly condemns the SAR Government for ignoring the aspirations of Hong Kong people and pushing through the passage of the funding proposals of the Guangzhou–Shenzhen–Hong Kong Express Rail Link (XRL) without comprehensive and reasonable consultations nor thorough consideration of various problems related to the XRL project."

92. The Chairman put the following question to vote –

"That this Committee should proceed forthwith the motion proposed to be moved by Mr Ronny TONG under paragraph 37A of the FC Procedure."

93. The Chairman first called upon members to vote for/against the question by raising their hands. Mr Ronny TONG claimed a division.

94. The voting results of individual members were as follows –

For:

Mr Albert HO	Mr LEE Cheuk-yan
Mr Fred LI	Dr Margaret NG
Mr CHEUNG Man-kwong	Mr LEUNG Yiu-chung
Mr Albert CHAN	Mr Frederick FUNG
Ms Audrey EU	Mr LEE Wing-tat
Dr Joseph LEE	Mr Alan LEONG
Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung	Mr Ronny TONG
Mr KAM Nai-wai	Ms Cyd HO
Miss Tanya CHAN	Mr CHEUNG Kwok-che
Mr WONG Sing-chi	Mr WONG Yuk-man
(20 members)	

Against:

Mr CHAN Kam-lam	Mrs Sophie LEUNG
Dr Philip WONG	Mr WONG Yung-kan
Mr LAU Kong-wah	Mr LAU Wong-fat
Ms Miriam LAU	Mr Timothy FOK
Mr TAM Yiu-chung	Ms LI Fung-ying
Mr Tommy CHEUNG	Mr Vincent FANG
Mr WONG Kwok-hing	Mr Andrew LEUNG

Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming
Mr CHIM Pui-chung
Ms Starry LEE
Mr CHAN Hak-kan
Mr CHAN Kin-por
Mr WONG Kwok-kin
Mr IP Kwok-him
Dr PAN Pey-chyou
Dr Samson TAM
(31 members)

Mr WONG Ting-kwong
Prof Patrick LAU
Dr LAM Tai-fai
Mr Paul CHAN
Dr Priscilla LEUNG
Mr IP Wai-ming
Mrs Regina IP
Mr Paul TSE

95. Of the 52 members present, 20 voted for and 31 voted against the motion. The Chairman declared that as the above question was not agreed by a majority of members, the Committee would not proceed forthwith the motion proposed by Mr Ronny TONG.

96. At the invitation of the Chairman, Ms Cyd HO read out the motion she proposed to move, as follows –

"That, as the authorities have not conducted any social impact assessment on the works and facilities in relation to the Guangzhou–Shenzhen–Hong Kong Express Rail Link, this Committee considers that the authorities must immediately engage an independent consultant to conduct social impact assessment and formulate remedial measures for the residents affected by such works and facilities."

97. Ms Miriam LAU sought clarification on whether the wording "馬上" in the written version of the proposed motion or the wording "從速" in the proposed motion read out by Ms Cyd HO should be used. Ms Cyd HO replied that the wording "馬上" should be used.

98. The Chairman put the following question to vote –

"That this Committee should proceed forthwith the motion proposed to be moved by Ms Cyd HO under paragraph 37A of the FC Procedure."

99. The Chairman first called upon members to vote for/against the question by raising their hands. Mr Albert CHAN claimed a division.

100. The voting results of individual members were as follows –

For:

Mr Albert HO
Mr Fred LI
Mr CHEUNG Man-kwong
Mr Albert CHAN

Mr LEE Cheuk-yan
Dr Margaret NG
Mr LEUNG Yiu-chung
Mr Frederick FUNG

Ms Audrey EU
Dr Joseph LEE
Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung
Mr KAM Nai-wai
Miss Tanya CHAN
Mr WONG Sing-chi
(20 members)

Mr LEE Wing-tat
Mr Alan LEONG
Mr Ronny TONG
Ms Cyd HO
Mr CHEUNG Kwok-che
Mr WONG Yuk-man

Against:

Mr CHAN Kam-lam
Dr Philip WONG
Mr LAU Kong-wah
Ms Miriam LAU
Mr TAM Yiu-chung
Mr Tommy CHEUNG
Mr WONG Kwok-hing
Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming
Mr CHIM Pui-chung
Ms Starry LEE
Mr CHAN Hak-kan
Mr CHAN Kin-por
Mr WONG Kwok-kin
Mr IP Kwok-him
Dr PAN Pey-chyou
Dr Samson TAM
(31 members)

Mrs Sophie LEUNG
Mr WONG Yung-kan
Mr LAU Wong-fat
Mr Timothy FOK
Ms LI Fung-ying
Mr Vincent FANG
Mr Andrew LEUNG
Mr WONG Ting-kwong
Prof Patrick LAU
Dr LAM Tai-fai
Mr Paul CHAN
Dr Priscilla LEUNG
Mr IP Wai-ming
Mrs Regina IP
Mr Paul TSE

101. Of the 52 members present, 20 voted for and 31 voted against the motion. The Chairman declared that as the above question was not agreed by a majority of members, the Committee would not proceed forthwith the motion proposed by Ms Cyd HO.

102. At the invitation of the Chairman, Mr Alan LEONG read out the motion he proposed to move, as follows –

"That, as the Government has recently directed a number of criticisms at The Professional Commons, which has introduced alternative opinions for the location of the Hong Kong terminus of the Guangzhou–Shenzhen–Hong Kong Express Rail Link (XRL), while The Professional Commons considers that such criticisms have misrepresented the truth, indicating that the Government has not seriously assessed the opinions from The Professional Commons; I now move under paragraph 37A of the FC Procedure that, 'as the Government pushes through the construction of the XRL despite opposition from various fronts, the Government should engage an independent consultant to assess the suggestions of The Professional Commons, and if the criticisms of the

Government are found to be misrepresenting the truth, it should make a public apology to The Professional Commons."

103. The Chairman put the following question to vote –

"That this Committee should proceed forthwith the motion proposed to be moved by Mr Alan LEONG under paragraph 37A of the FC Procedure."

104. The Chairman first called upon members to vote for/against the question by raising their hands. Mr Alan LEONG claimed a division.

105. The voting results of individual members were as follows –

For:

Mr Albert HO	Mr LEE Cheuk-yan
Mr Fred LI	Dr Margaret NG
Mr James TO	Mr CHEUNG Man-kwong
Mr LEUNG Yiu-chung	Mr Albert CHAN
Mr Frederick FUNG	Ms Audrey EU
Mr LEE Wing-tat	Dr Joseph LEE
Mr Alan LEONG	Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung
Mr Ronny TONG	Mr KAM Nai-wai
Ms Cyd HO	Miss Tanya CHAN
Mr CHEUNG Kwok-che	Mr WONG Sing-chi
Mr WONG Yuk-man	
(21 members)	

Against:

Mr CHAN Kam-lam	Mrs Sophie LEUNG
Dr Philip WONG	Mr WONG Yung-kan
Mr LAU Kong-wah	Mr LAU Wong-fat
Ms Miriam LAU	Mr Timothy FOK
Mr TAM Yiu-chung	Ms LI Fung-ying
Mr Tommy CHEUNG	Mr Vincent FANG
Mr WONG Kwok-hing	Mr Andrew LEUNG
Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming	Mr WONG Ting-kwong
Mr CHIM Pui-chung	Prof Patrick LAU
Ms Starry LEE	Dr LAM Tai-fai
Mr CHAN Hak-kan	Mr Paul CHAN
Mr CHAN Kin-por	Dr Priscilla LEUNG
Mr WONG Kwok-kin	Mr IP Wai-ming
Mr IP Kwok-him	Mrs Regina IP
Dr PAN Pey-chyou	Mr Paul TSE
Dr Samson TAM	
(31 members)	

Action

106. Of the 53 members present, 21 voted for and 31 voted against the motion. The Chairman declared that as the above question was not agreed by a majority of members, the Committee would not proceed forthwith the motion proposed by Mr Alan LEONG.

107. At the invitation of the Chairman, Mr WONG Sing-chi read out the motion Mr WONG Yuk-man and Mr WONG himself jointly proposed to move, as follows –

"That this Committee commends and expresses thanks to post-80s youngsters, youngsters participating in ascetic, the Anti-Express Railway Group and The Professional Commons for their serious concerns about the Guangzhou–Shenzhen–Hong Kong Express Rail Link (XRL) funding, as well as their valuable opinions in relation to the XRL."

108. The Chairman put the following question to vote –

"That this Committee should proceed forthwith the motion jointly proposed to be moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man and Mr WONG Sing-chi under paragraph 37A of the FC Procedure."

109. The Chairman first called upon members to vote for/against the question by raising their hands. Mr WONG Sing-chi claimed a division.

110. The voting results of individual members were as follows –

For:

Mr Albert HO	Mr LEE Cheuk-yan
Mr Fred LI	Dr Margaret NG
Mr James TO	Mr CHEUNG Man-kwong
Mr LEUNG Yiu-chung	Mr Albert CHAN
Mr Frederick FUNG	Ms Audrey EU
Mr LEE Wing-tat	Dr Joseph LEE
Mr Alan LEONG	Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung
Mr Ronny TONG	Mr KAM Nai-wai
Ms Cyd HO	Miss Tanya CHAN
Mr CHEUNG Kwok-che	Mr WONG Sing-chi
Mr WONG Yuk-man	
(21 members)	

Against:

Mr CHAN Kam-lam	Mrs Sophie LEUNG
Dr Philip WONG	Mr WONG Yung-kan
Mr LAU Kong-wah	Mr LAU Wong-fat
Ms Miriam LAU	Mr Timothy FOK
Mr TAM Yiu-chung	Ms LI Fung-ying
Mr Tommy CHEUNG	Mr Vincent FANG

Mr WONG Kwok-hing
Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming
Mr CHIM Pui-chung
Ms Starry LEE
Mr CHAN Hak-kan
Mr CHAN Kin-por
Mr WONG Kwok-kin
Mr IP Kwok-him
Dr PAN Pey-chyou
Dr Samson TAM
(31 members)

Mr Andrew LEUNG
Mr WONG Ting-kwong
Prof Patrick LAU
Dr LAM Tai-fai
Mr Paul CHAN
Dr Priscilla LEUNG
Mr IP Wai-ming
Mrs Regina IP
Mr Paul TSE

111. Of the 53 members present, 21 voted for and 31 voted against the motion. The Chairman declared that as the above question was not agreed by a majority of members, the Committee would not proceed forthwith the motion jointly proposed by Mr WONG Yuk-man and Mr WONG Sing-chi.

112. At the invitation of the Chairman, Mr LEUNG Yiu-chung read out the motion he proposed to move, as follows –

"That the Government should require the contractor to hire disabled persons during the construction of the Guangzhou–Shenzhen–Hong Kong Express Rail Link (XRL); and apart from hiring such persons in the operation of the XRL in the future, offer half-fare concession to the disabled."

113. The Chairman put the following question to vote –

"That this Committee should proceed forthwith the motion proposed to be moved by Mr LEUNG Yiu-chung under paragraph 37A of the FC Procedure."

114. The Chairman first called upon members to vote for/against the question by raising their hands. Mr LEUNG Yiu-chung claimed a division.

115. The voting results of individual members were as follows –

For:

Mr Albert HO
Mr Fred LI
Mr James TO
Mr LEUNG Yiu-chung
Mr Frederick FUNG
Mr LEE Wing-tat
Mr Alan LEONG
Mr Ronny TONG

Mr LEE Cheuk-yan
Dr Margaret NG
Mr CHEUNG Man-kwong
Mr Albert CHAN
Ms Audrey EU
Dr Joseph LEE
Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung
Mr KAM Nai-wai

Ms Cyd HO
Mr CHEUNG Kwok-che
Mr WONG Yuk-man
(21 members)

Miss Tanya CHAN
Mr WONG Sing-chi

Against:

Mr CHAN Kam-lam
Dr Philip WONG
Mr LAU Kong-wah
Ms Miriam LAU
Mr TAM Yiu-chung
Mr Tommy CHEUNG
Mr WONG Kwok-hing
Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming
Mr CHIM Pui-chung
Ms Starry LEE
Mr CHAN Hak-kan
Mr CHAN Kin-por
Mr IP Wai-ming
Mrs Regina IP
Mr Paul TSE
(30 members)

Mrs Sophie LEUNG
Mr WONG Yung-kan
Mr LAU Wong-fat
Mr Timothy FOK
Ms LI Fung-ying
Mr Vincent FANG
Mr Andrew LEUNG
Mr WONG Ting-kwong
Prof Patrick LAU
Dr LAM Tai-fai
Mr Paul CHAN
Mr WONG Kwok-kin
Mr IP Kwok-him
Dr PAN Pey-chyou
Dr Samson TAM

116. Of the 52 members present, 21 voted for and 30 voted against the motion. The Chairman declared that as the above question was not agreed by a majority of members, the Committee would not proceed forthwith the motion proposed by Mr LEUNG Yiu-chung.

117. At the invitation of the Chairman, Mr Paul TSE read out the motion he proposed to move, as follows –

"That, although the construction project of Guangzhou–Shenzhen–Hong Kong Express Rail Link (XRL) will probably be passed, many members of the public have considerable reservation on the consultation process; this Committee therefore urges the Government to implement appropriate remedial measures as soon as possible, and actively makes reference to the motions moved by various members during the consideration of the funding proposals of the XRL project by the FC, so as to improve administration and demonstrate its determination in attaching importance to public opinion."

118. The Chairman put the following question to vote –

"That this Committee should proceed forthwith the motion proposed to be moved by Mr Paul TSE under paragraph 37A of the FC Procedure."

Action

119. The Chairman first called upon members to vote for/against the question by raising their hands. Mr Albert HO claimed a division.

120. Ms Audrey EU raised a question on a point of order. She asked whether the mover of a motion could vote against the motion. At the invitation of the Chairman, the Legal Adviser advised that in respect of a motion that had been moved, there was no provision in the Rules of Procedure (RoP) requiring the mover to vote for or against the motion.

121. Mr Albert CHAN asked whether it was permissible under the RoP for other members to seek elucidation from the member who proposed to move a motion on his/her position on the motion. At the invitation of the Chairman, the Legal Adviser advised that the procedure allowing members to seek elucidation from the mover of a motion only applied to the proceedings where a motion was being debated.

122. Mr WONG Kwok-hing suggested that as he or other members had claimed division for the questions put by the Chairman in respect of the foregoing proposed motions, in order to expedite the process, the Chairman would henceforth order the ringing of the division bell rightaway on each of those questions without first calling upon members to raise their hands. The Chairman agreed to Mr WONG's suggestion.

123. The voting results of individual members were as follows –

For:

Mr Albert HO	Mr LEE Cheuk-yan
Mr Fred LI	Dr Margaret NG
Mr James TO	Mr CHEUNG Man-kwong
Mr LEUNG Yiu-chung	Mr Albert CHAN
Mr Frederick FUNG	Ms Audrey EU
Dr Joseph LEE	Mr Alan LEONG
Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung	Mr Ronny TONG
Mr KAM Nai-wai	Ms Cyd HO
Miss Tanya CHAN	Mr CHEUNG Kwok-che
Mr WONG Sing-chi	Mr WONG Yuk-man
(20 members)	

Against:

Mr CHAN Kam-lam	Mrs Sophie LEUNG
Dr Philip WONG	Mr WONG Yung-kan
Mr LAU Kong-wah	Mr LAU Wong-fat
Ms Miriam LAU	Mr Timothy FOK
Mr TAM Yiu-chung	Ms LI Fung-ying
Mr Tommy CHEUNG	Mr Vincent FANG
Mr WONG Kwok-hing	Mr Andrew LEUNG
Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming	Mr WONG Ting-kwong

Mr CHIM Pui-chung
Ms Starry LEE
Mr CHAN Hak-kan
Mr CHAN Kin-por
Mr WONG Kwok-kin
Mr IP Kwok-him
Dr PAN Pey-chyou
Dr Samson TAM
(31 members)

Prof Patrick LAU
Dr LAM Tai-fai
Mr Paul CHAN
Dr Priscilla LEUNG
Mr IP Wai-ming
Mrs Regina IP
Mr Paul TSE

124. Of the 52 members present, 20 voted for and 31 voted against the motion. The Chairman declared that as the above question was not agreed by a majority of members, the Committee would not proceed forthwith the motion proposed by Mr Paul TSE.

125. At the invitation of the Chairman, Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung read out the motion he proposed to move, as follows –

"That, as the SAR Government has betrayed its promise and deceived the people by first breaking its own words and changing course suddenly, and even subjecting itself to being self-contradictory by abandoning the original proposal to share the tracks of West Rail Line and the XRL, then by rejecting the engagement of an independent professional consultant to study whether the terminus can be moved away from 'West Kowloon' to reduce public spending and mitigate the impacts on the residents of Choi Yuen Tsuen, Wonderland Villas, Sheung Kwai Chung Village, Ha Kwai Chung Village and Tai Kok Tsui; and more surprisingly, the people concerned have not been consulted directly, leaving their interests not properly protected; moreover, under the continuous protests from the people and the increasing opposition in public opinion following the gradual disclosure of information, the Government still insists on its own course and merely excuses itself from the queries of members of this Committee on the 'co-location' arrangement by claiming that such arrangement is under study, depriving members of the public from their right to know about the implementation of this arrangement, thus making them unable to know that, if the implementation of this arrangement results in Mainland public security officials taking enforcement actions in Hong Kong, the existing right of protection of the Hong Kong people under the Basic Law will surely be jeopardized; and if co-location is not practicable, the so-called express rail will most likely lose its high speed efficiency to complicated clearance procedures and turn into a 'white elephant' which will result in wastage of public money; as to patronage forecast, the Government has time and again declined to disclose its mode and basis of forecast, and has exaggerated the patronage to fabricate the relevant employment opportunities and economic efficiencies, which is the

most serious falsehood; this Committee urges the Government to apologize and immediately withdraw the funding proposal."

126. The Chairman put the following question to vote –

"That this Committee should proceed forthwith the motion proposed to be moved by Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung under paragraph 37A of the FC Procedure."

127. As suggested and agreed on earlier, the Chairman proceeded to order a division.

128. Mr Albert CHAN asked whether it would be in order for the Chairman to claim a division. The Chairman responded that it was in order for her to order a division without first calling upon members to cast votes by raising their hands. At the invitation of the Chairman, the Legal Adviser explained that there had been past cases where such an arrangement was accepted. The main issue was to ensure the accuracy of the number of votes cast and counted.

129. The voting results of individual members were as follows –

For:

Mr Albert HO	Mr LEE Cheuk-yan
Mr Fred LI	Dr Margaret NG
Mr CHEUNG Man-kwong	Mr LEUNG Yiu-chung
Mr Albert CHAN	Mr Frederick FUNG
Ms Audrey EU	Mr LEE Wing-tat
Mr Alan LEONG	Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung
Mr Ronny TONG	Mr KAM Nai-wai
Ms Cyd HO	Miss Tanya CHAN
Mr CHEUNG Kwok-che	Mr WONG Sing-chi
Mr WONG Yuk-man	
(19 members)	

Against:

Mr CHAN Kam-lam	Mrs Sophie LEUNG
Dr Philip WONG	Mr WONG Yung-kan
Mr LAU Kong-wah	Mr LAU Wong-fat
Ms Miriam LAU	Mr Timothy FOK
Mr TAM Yiu-chung	Ms LI Fung-ying
Mr Tommy CHEUNG	Mr Vincent FANG
Mr WONG Kwok-hing	Mr Andrew LEUNG
Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming	Mr WONG Ting-kwong
Mr CHIM Pui-chung	Prof Patrick LAU
Ms Starry LEE	Dr LAM Tai-fai
Mr CHAN Hak-kan	Mr Paul CHAN

Mr CHAN Kin-por
Mr WONG Kwok-kin
Mr IP Kwok-him
Dr PAN Pey-chyou
Dr Samson TAM
(31 members)

Dr Priscilla LEUNG
Mr IP Wai-ming
Mrs Regina IP
Mr Paul TSE

130. Of the 51 members present, 19 voted for and 31 voted against the motion. The Chairman declared that as the above question was not agreed by a majority of members, the Committee would not proceed forthwith the motion proposed by Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung.

131. At the invitation of the Chairman, Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung read out the motion he proposed to move, as follows –

"That, as the Government has planned to shift its resources for the XRL which costs \$66.9 billion by way of cutting back the Category A projects, rendering construction workers in Hong Kong to suffer from the loss of immediate positions before they can enjoy the benefits brought about by the XRL project, this Committee urges the Government to undertake that Category A projects will increase as planned; if not, this Committee urges the Government to shelve the funding application immediately."

132. The Chairman put the following question to vote –

"That this Committee should proceed forthwith the motion proposed to be moved by Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung under paragraph 37A of the FC Procedure."

133. The Chairman ordered a division.

134. During the ringing of the division bell, Mr WONG Kwok-hing suggested that the word "菅" in the proposed motion of Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung should be amended to "營".

135. The voting results of individual members were as follows –

For:

Mr Albert HO
Mr Fred LI
Mr CHEUNG Man-kwong
Mr Albert CHAN
Ms Audrey EU
Mr Alan LEONG
Mr Ronny TONG
Ms Cyd HO

Mr LEE Cheuk-yan
Dr Margaret NG
Mr LEUNG Yiu-chung
Mr Frederick FUNG
Mr LEE Wing-tat
Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung
Mr KAM Nai-wai
Miss Tanya CHAN

Mr CHEUNG Kwok-che
Mr WONG Yuk-man
(19 members)

Mr WONG Sing-chi

Against:

Mr CHAN Kam-lam
Dr Philip WONG
Mr LAU Kong-wah
Ms Miriam LAU
Mr TAM Yiu-chung
Mr Tommy CHEUNG
Mr WONG Kwok-hing
Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming
Mr CHIM Pui-chung
Ms Starry LEE
Mr CHAN Hak-kan
Mr CHAN Kin-por
Mr WONG Kwok-kin
Mr IP Kwok-him
Dr PAN Pey-chyou
Dr Samson TAM
(31 members)

Mrs Sophie LEUNG
Mr WONG Yung-kan
Mr LAU Wong-fat
Mr Timothy FOK
Ms LI Fung-ying
Mr Vincent FANG
Mr Andrew LEUNG
Mr WONG Ting-kwong
Prof Patrick LAU
Dr LAM Tai-fai
Mr Paul CHAN
Dr Priscilla LEUNG
Mr IP Wai-ming
Mrs Regina IP
Mr Paul TSE

136. Of the 51 members present, 19 voted for and 31 voted against the motion. The Chairman declared that as the above question was not agreed by a majority of members, the Committee would not proceed forthwith the motion proposed by Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung.

137. At the invitation of the Chairman, Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung read out the motion he proposed to move, as follows –

"That, as many members of this Committee have not declared their interests at Subcommittee meetings and other meetings during the Government's funding application for XRL, which has deprived the people's right to know in the voting on whether to engage a professional consultant to conduct independent assessments of the alignment and operation of the XRL, this Committee urges the Government to retrospectively engage independent professionals to reassess the current XRL proposal before applying for funding."

138. The Chairman put the following question to vote –

"That this Committee should proceed forthwith the motion proposed to be moved by Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung under paragraph 37A of the FC Procedure."

Action

139. The Chairman ordered a division.

140. The voting results of individual members were as follows –

For:

Mr Albert HO	Mr LEE Cheuk-yan
Mr Fred LI	Dr Margaret NG
Mr CHEUNG Man-kwong	Mr LEUNG Yiu-chung
Mr Albert CHAN	Mr Frederick FUNG
Ms Audrey EU	Mr LEE Wing-tat
Mr Alan LEONG	Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung
Mr Ronny TONG	Mr KAM Nai-wai
Ms Cyd HO	Miss Tanya CHAN
Mr CHEUNG Kwok-che	Mr WONG Sing-chi
Mr WONG Yuk-man	
(19 members)	

Against:

Mr CHAN Kam-lam	Mrs Sophie LEUNG
Dr Philip WONG	Mr WONG Yung-kan
Mr LAU Kong-wah	Mr LAU Wong-fat
Ms Miriam LAU	Mr Timothy FOK
Mr TAM Yiu-chung	Ms LI Fung-ying
Mr Tommy CHEUNG	Mr WONG Kwok-hing
Mr Andrew LEUNG	Mr WONG Ting-kwong
Mr CHIM Pui-chung	Prof Patrick LAU
Ms Starry LEE	Dr LAM Tai-fai
Mr CHAN Hak-kan	Mr Paul CHAN
Mr CHAN Kin-por	Dr Priscilla LEUNG
Mr WONG Kwok-kin	Mr IP Wai-ming
Mr IP Kwok-him	Mrs Regina IP
Dr PAN Pey-chyou	Mr Paul TSE
Dr Samson TAM	
(29 members)	

141. Of the 49 members present, 19 voted for and 29 voted against the motion. The Chairman declared that as the above question was not agreed by a majority of members, the Committee would not proceed forthwith the motion proposed by Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung.

142. At the invitation of the Chairman, Mr Albert CHAN read out the motion he proposed to move, as follows –

"Given that the Government has claimed that the Guangzhou-Shenzhen-Hong Kong Express Rail Link (XRL) is expected to generate an economic benefit of \$87 billion for Hong Kong over

50 years of operation, I now move under paragraph 37A of the FC Procedure that 'the Administration be required to report annually on the economic benefits brought to Hong Kong by the XRL after its completion, and if the economic benefits it brings to Hong Kong are lower than expected, the Administration should hold the consultation company making such assessment accountable and recover the losses from the company.'"

143. The Chairman put the following question to vote –

"That this Committee should proceed forthwith the motion proposed to be moved by Mr Albert CHAN under paragraph 37A of the FC Procedure."

144. The Chairman ordered a division.

145. The voting results of individual members were as follows –

For:

Mr Albert HO	Mr LEE Cheuk-yan
Mr Fred LI	Dr Margaret NG
Mr CHEUNG Man-kwong	Mr LEUNG Yiu-chung
Mr Albert CHAN	Mr Frederick FUNG
Ms Audrey EU	Mr LEE Wing-tat
Mr Alan LEONG	Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung
Mr Ronny TONG	Mr KAM Nai-wai
Ms Cyd HO	Miss Tanya CHAN
Mr CHEUNG Kwok-che	Mr WONG Sing-chi
Mr WONG Yuk-man	
(19 members)	

Against:

Mr CHAN Kam-lam	Mrs Sophie LEUNG
Dr Philip WONG	Mr WONG Yung-kan
Mr LAU Kong-wah	Mr LAU Wong-fat
Ms Miriam LAU	Mr Timothy FOK
Mr TAM Yiu-chung	Ms LI Fung-ying
Mr Tommy CHEUNG	Mr Vincent FANG
Mr WONG Kwok-hing	Mr Andrew LEUNG
Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming	Mr WONG Ting-kwong
Mr CHIM Pui-chung	Prof Patrick LAU
Ms Starry LEE	Dr LAM Tai-fai
Mr CHAN Hak-kan	Mr Paul CHAN
Mr CHAN Kin-por	Dr Priscilla LEUNG
Mr WONG Kwok-kin	Mr IP Wai-ming
Mr IP Kwok-him	Mrs Regina IP
Dr PAN Pey-chyou	Mr Paul TSE

Action

Dr Samson TAM
(31 members)

146. Of the 51 members present, 19 voted for and 31 voted against the motion. The Chairman declared that as the above question was not agreed by a majority of members, the Committee would not proceed forthwith the motion proposed by Mr Albert CHAN.

147. At the request of Ms Cyd HO, the Chairman ordered that each Party/group and each member without political affiliation be allowed to speak for one minute to offer their concluding statement before the Committee proceeded to vote on items PWSC(2009-10)68, 69 and 72.

148. On the enquiry and request of Dr Margaret NG, the Chairman replied that the items PWSC(2009-10)68, 69 and 72 would be voted on separately, and that she would read out each item before inviting members for voting.

149. Ms Cyd HO, Mr LEE Cheuk-yan, Mr Frederick FUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr LEUNG Yiu-chung, Mr Albert HO, Ms Miriam LAU, Ms Audrey EU, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mrs Regina IP and Mr Albert CHAN gave their concluding remarks on the Government's funding proposals on the XRL project.

150. Mr Paul CHAN declared that he was a member of the Board of the West Kowloon Cultural District Authority; and an independent non-executive director of The Wharf (Holdings) Limited, China Construction Bank (Asia), and CCB International (Holdings) Ltd. Members of his family owned certain properties in Tai Kok Tsui. Mr CHAN then spoke on allegations against him about conflict of interests.

151. At 5:44 pm, the Chairman declared the adjournment of the meeting and reminded members that an additional meeting would be held at 6:00 pm, after a 15-minute break.

152. The Committee agreed that a verbatim transcript should be provided on the discussion of the three items.

(Verbatim transcript of the proceedings of this meeting is at the **Appendix**.)

153. The meeting was adjourned at 5:45 pm.

Legislative Council Secretariat
5 August 2010

立法會財務委員會
第十六次會議紀要

日期：2010年1月16日(星期六)
時間：下午3時45分
地點：立法會會議廳

總目 —— 公路

- | | | |
|-----------------|------|--------------------------------|
| PWSC(2009-10)68 | 53TR | 廣深港高速鐵路香港段
—— 鐵路建造工程 |
| PWSC(2009-10)69 | 57TR | 廣深港高速鐵路香港段
—— 非鐵路建造工程 |
| PWSC(2009-10)72 | 35CA | 就廣深港高速鐵路香港
段項目發放的特設特惠
津貼 |

(逐字紀錄本)

Finance Committee of the Legislative Council

**Minutes of the 16th meeting
held at the Legislative Council Chamber
on Saturday, 16 January 2010, at 3:45 pm**

Head 706 - Highway

PWSC(2009-10)68 53TR Hong Kong section of Guangzhou–Shenzhen–Hong Kong Express Rail Link - construction of railway works

PWSC(2009-10)69 57TR Hong Kong section of Guangzhou–Shenzhen–Hong Kong Express Rail Link - construction of non-railway works

PWSC(2009-10)72 35CA Special ex-gratia payments in relation to the Hong Kong section of the Guangzhou–Shenzhen–Hong Kong Express Rail Link

(Verbatim Transcript)

主席：我們請局長回來，亦請其他議員回來。我們現在時間已到，我們這一節又開始了，3時45分開始。請大家回來，我們請局長和其他議員，請他們進來。我們會處理何秀蘭議員這個議案。

其實，秘書剛才是按照不要斬這麼多樹的安排，就不是所有人都有的。不過我們大家坐下來，我看看你們是不是足夠人有。我會邀請提出議案的議員把字眼讀出來，但不要說其他東西了。本來是我來讀的，但還是議員自己讀吧。局長已在，是否等議員進來坐下，我看看是否多數人都.....即需要有的人都會有何秀蘭議員的議案在手上。局長，你都有，局長，對嗎？大家可以看看那些字眼。

對了，請各位議員就坐吧。我們現在開始，這一節是3時45分至5時45分。我們現在開始處理議案，秘書亦幫我們一直影印其他陸續來的。但現在第一個，應該很多議員都會有，在你面前的是何秀蘭議員的議案。我請提出動議的人可以讀出他的字眼，但不需要說其他東西了。何秀蘭議員。

何秀蘭議員：謝謝主席。這個議案是為菜園村居民提出的。

主席：請讀吧。

何秀蘭議員：按《財務委員會會議程序》第37A條，本人動議：“因廣深港高鐵工程，當局將收回菜園村居民的耕地和居所，破壞居民既有的社區生活，當局必須為菜園村安排搬村，提供與現有的社區生活和居所相若的環境，並將登記搬遷的截止日期延至2010年4月底。”

主席：是，謝謝何議員。好了，我現在付諸大家表決，同不同意，立即處理。

請贊成的舉手。

(議員舉手)

反對的舉手。

(議員舉手)

梁國雄議員要求記名表決，表決鐘會響兩分鐘。

(廣播：會議廳的會議即將進行表決。)

好了，各位議員，請大家按鈕表決。

有沒有問題？如果沒有問題，我們顯示結果。

出席的議員是51位，贊成是20位，反對是30位。這個議案被否決。

我們接下來是處理下一個，就是由湯家驊議員動議的。秘書現在派發給大家，即是有需要的那些啊。秘書，我們是用不斬太多樹木的方法。先給一份給湯議員，先給一份給湯議員好不好？先給動議人，其他的那些黨或者你們的組合，你最好舉一下手。湯議員，職員會給你一份，但不要一人一份，因為太多呢，我們可不想。我們盡量環保，但亦要令議員得悉那個字眼。

你們舉手，誰想要的就舉手。職員請看看誰要，舉手的你才給他吧。何秀蘭議員都要，你給一份給何議員吧，後面那裏。

你們這邊請舉定手。舉定手，職員就會給你。舉高一點吧，讓他看到你。他這裏是一疊的呢，不止一個，是一疊的。請舉手。誰舉手？誰要的就舉手。來前面這裏，有沒有給政府？給局長一份吧，給一份給兩個局長。給一份給副秘書長這裏吧。

大家會收到這一疊，我們逐個去做。以下這個是由湯家驊議員提出的。湯議員，請你讀出吧。

湯家驊議員：按照《財務委員會會議程序》第37A條，本人動議：“政府在各方反對下，仍強行興建廣深港高速鐵路，而將鐵路站設於西九龍，令新界380萬居民難以使用。故此，政府必須提供各項接駁設施及服務，確保不會因為選址錯誤而令到新界區市民不能使用廣深港高速鐵路。”

主席：謝謝湯議員。大家清晰這個了，現在請大家顯示你是否贊成立即處理。

贊成的請舉手。

(議員舉手)

謝謝。反對的請舉手。

(議員舉手)

陳偉業議員要求記名表決。表決鐘會響兩分鐘。

下一個是第4號，是何俊仁議員的，請何俊仁議員準備。大家亦可以看看，如果哪位沒有何議員的那份，請舉手，秘書會給你。

(廣播：會議廳的會議即將進行表決。)

剛才秘書給了一疊給你們，但有一張是散的，是13號那張，是由馮檢基議員提出的。有沒有誰沒有這張散的？如果沒有，我就給你。即除了夾住的那一疊，應該還有一張是散的。如果大家都有，那就行了。我們依着次序下去吧。

第四個動議是何俊仁議員的，待會他會讀出來。緊接何俊仁議員的，第五是李卓人議員的。我們依着這樣做吧。

好了，大家請按鈕，請表決。

大家有沒有問題？如果沒有，請顯示結果。

出席的是51位，贊成是20位，反對是30位。這個動議被否決。

接下來請何俊仁議員。

何俊仁議員：多謝主席。我的動議是這樣的，按照《財務委員會會議程序》第37A條，本人動議的字眼是這樣："政府在公眾強烈反對的情況下，仍然強行興建廣深港高速鐵路，政府是應該研究在錦上路加設廣深港高速鐵路的可行性。"

主席：謝謝。好了，現在請大家表示是否贊成立即處理。請贊成……

何俊仁議員：點名投票。

主席：好的，好的。表決鐘會響兩分鐘。

謝偉俊議員：……你馬上處理這些議案，我們不是反對那個內容，因為市民可能不知道，以為我們反對那個內容。

主席：好的，好的，你的意見會顯示。其實我們開這個會議，全部都會有逐字紀錄的。

接下來第五個動議是李卓人議員的，請準備；接着第六個是馮檢基議員的。

(廣播：會議廳的會議即將進行表決。)

是，李卓人議員。

李卓人議員：我想澄清一下，剛才謝偉俊所說的，他說不反對內容，可是反對討論，其實你等於都是反對內容。

主席：不要辯論了，不要辯論了。

李卓人議員：其實這樣是不行的，因為如果你真的贊成內容，你便應該贊成討論，然後投票，這才是一致的。

謝偉俊議員：我們要求馬上處理這些問題而已，我們不是反對內容，這是很清楚的。

主席：算吧，算吧。我相信各位同事說了你們的立場，不要緊吧。

(廣播：會議廳的會議即將進行表決。)

主席：好，請大家按鈕表決。

主席：秘書看看有沒有問題，秘書。

主席：大家有沒有問題？如果沒有問題，請顯示結果。

出席的是51位，贊成是20位，反對是30位，所以這項議案被否決。

接着下一個是由李卓人議員提出的，李議員。

李卓人議員：主席，我這個是為了建造業的就業機會的。"政府應規定高鐵有關的所有工程承建商，如要用預製組件，必須在本地生產，以確保有關工程為建造業提供最多的就業機會。"因為我問政府時，它已表明是不肯規定的，希望大家支持。

主席：好，多謝李議員。好，大家現在表示，贊成的請舉手。

(議員舉手)

主席：反對的請舉手。

(議員舉手)

主席：李議員要求記名表決。表決鐘會響兩分鐘。

下一位是馮檢基議員，請馮檢基議員準備，接着是何俊仁議員，然後是黃成智議員。

(廣播：會議廳的會議即將進行表決。)

謝偉俊議員：讀出來時應該是贊成馬上處理的請舉手，而不是贊成請舉手，因為觀眾是不知道的。

主席：好的，好的，多謝你謝偉俊議員的意見。

謝偉俊議員：多謝。

主席：我都說我是很順得人的啦，哈哈哈哈哈！

我讀回這裏吧，即議員是同意，應該立即處理。我用這個字眼，用回《議事規則》的字眼，多謝議員。

是，譚議員。

譚耀宗議員：主席，剛才應該是說反對立即處理，不要說反對這個議案。

主席：好的，好的，多謝你們。

李卓人議員：等於反對了，其實。

主席：好了，不要辯論了。

(廣播：會議廳的會議即將進行表決。)

李卓人議員：你們真是離譜，這樣扭曲。日後還有甚麼時間可以處理？財委會已經過了，已經投票了，撥了款，過了廟還有甚麼可以處理？

主席：好了，現在請大家按鈕，表示你是否同意應該立刻處理李卓人議員剛才提出的議案。

黃國健議員：主席，我這個……我這個沒有燈。

主席：沒有燈，大家看看為甚麼黃國健議員……

黃國健議員：哦……是，是。(眾笑)

主席：不要緊，有了嗎？不要緊，我會問清楚大家有沒有問題，我們才顯示結果的。

有沒有問題？如果沒有問題，現在顯示結果。

出席的議員是50位，贊成是20位，反對是29位，即過半數委員不同意立即處理李卓人議員的議案，所以不能處理。接下來第六個，是馮檢基議員的議案，馮議員。

馮檢基議員：主席，我是代表大角咀居民說的。按照《財務委員會會議程序》第37A條，本人動議："政府興建廣深港高鐵期間，如大角咀地層因廣深港高鐵工程而面臨地陷及沉降，因而令居民不能在現時的住宅居住，政府應向當地業主提供與市值相同的賠償，以補償政府倉促動工為當地居民帶來的損失。"

主席：好的，多謝馮議員。現在各位議員請舉手表示，是否同意立即處理馮議員這個動議。贊成的請舉手。

(議員舉手)

主席：謝謝。反對的請舉手。

(議員舉手)

主席：陳偉業議員要求記名表決。表決鐘會響兩分鐘。

下一個是何俊仁議員的動議，請何議員準備，接着是黃成智議員的動議。

(廣播：會議廳的會議即將進行表決。)

主席：好了，請大家表決，這是有關馮議員的議案，大家顯示你是否同意立即處理.....沒有燈？弄好了沒有？林大輝議員那裏沒有.....行嗎？沒有燈，秘書去看看為甚麼他的.....失靈嗎？可以了嗎？

有沒有人.....有沒有問題？大家有沒有問題？可以了嗎？秘書，如果可以的話，請顯示結果。

出席的是50位，贊成是19位，反對是30位，我宣布被否決，即是說過半數議員不同意立即處理馮檢基議員的議案。我們到何俊仁那部分。何俊仁議員。

主席：沒按鈕是沒有問題的，這是議員的權來的。我們請何俊仁議員，讀出你的議案，何俊仁議員。

何俊仁議員：好的，謝謝主席。

主席：請各位議員、請各位議員、請各位議員不要——肅靜吧，讓何俊仁議員讀出他的議案吧。

何俊仁議員：大家聽着吧。

主席：哈哈。

何俊仁議員：本人動議："政府在各方反對下仍強行興建廣深港高速鐵路，並將總站設於西九龍，令屯門區、天水圍區及元朗區居民須支付高昂的費用乘搭西鐵，才可使用廣深港高速鐵路，故此政府必須促使港鐵在廣深港高速鐵路落成後，為因使用廣深港高速鐵路而乘搭西鐵的乘客提供折扣優惠，以確保屯門、元朗及天水圍區的居民不會因為使用廣深港高速鐵路而承受高昂的交通費。"

主席：是的，謝謝何議員。請大家表示，誰贊成立即處理這個議案的請舉手。

(議員舉手)

主席：謝謝。反對的請舉手。

(議員舉手)

主席：是，何議員要求記名表決。表決鐘會響兩分鐘。

主席：接着下來是黃成智議員，然後是李永達議員。

(廣播：會議廳的會議即將進行表決。)

主席：如果各位議員有議案想提出，希望與我們合作，盡快交給我。我們看了便會影印，然後發放給大家。

主席：好，請大家按鈕。

有沒有人有問題？秘書有沒有問題？有些沒有表決，不要緊。有沒有問題？不要緊。有沒有問題？如果沒有問題的話，請顯示結果。

出席的議員有52位，贊成的有21位，反對的有30位，所以大部分議員——過半數議員不同意立即處理何俊仁議員的議案，所以這項議案被否決。

主席：下一位是黃成智議員，請黃成智議員讀出你那個……

黃成智議員：好的，謝謝主席。按照《財務委員會會議程序》第37A條，本人動議："政府在各方反對下仍強行興建廣深港高速鐵路，而將總站設於遠離上水及大埔區的西九龍，令上水及大埔居民須支付高昂的費用乘搭東鐵，再轉乘西鐵，才可使用廣深港高速鐵路，政府必須促使港鐵在廣深港高鐵落成後，為因使用廣深港高速鐵路而乘搭東鐵再轉乘西鐵的乘客提供折扣優惠，以確保上水及大埔區市民不會因為使用廣深港高速鐵路而承受高昂的交通費。"多謝主席。

主席：謝謝黃議員。大家贊成立即處理的，請舉手。

(議員舉手)

主席：反對的請舉手。

(議員舉手)

主席：陳偉業議員要求記名表決。表決鐘會響兩分鐘。

主席：下一位是李永達議員，請李議員準備。其實李議員，跟着那兩項都是李永達議員的。接着是甘乃威議員，然後便回到馮檢基議員，因為12號那個已經沒有了，我們由11跳到13。

(廣播：會議廳的會議即將進行表決。)

主席：好，請大家按鈕。

秘書請先看看，看看夠不夠。有沒有問題？如果沒有問題，請顯示結果。

出席的議員有52位，贊成的有21位，反對的有30位，所以是多於半數的議員不同意立即處理黃成智議員的議案，所以議案被否決。

主席：接着下來是李永達議員，請你讀出你的議案。

李永達議員：多謝主席。按照《財務委員會會議程序》第37A條，本人動議："政府在各方反對下仍強行興建廣深港高速鐵路，政府當局應定期向華景山莊居民匯報地層情況，令華景山莊居民可以清楚瞭解廣深港高鐵對其住宅物業地層的影響。"多謝主席。

主席：謝謝。請大家顯示，誰贊成是立即處理的，請舉手。

(議員舉手)

主席：謝謝。反對的請舉手。

(議員舉手)

主席：李永達議員要求記名表決。表決鐘會響兩分鐘。

主席：下一位仍然是李永達議員。

(廣播：會議廳的會議即將進行表決。)

主席：好了，各位議員。秘書，先看看有沒有問題。

有沒有問題？大家有沒有問題？如果沒有問題，請顯示結果。

出席的議員有53位，贊成的有21位，反對的有31位，超過半數的議員不同意立即處理李永達議員的動議，所以議案被否決。李議員，請你繼續提出你的下一項議案。

李永達議員：多謝主席。按照《財務委員會會議程序》第37A條，本人動議："政府在公眾強烈反對的情況下仍然強行興建廣深港高速鐵路，並強行收回菜園村的土地，故此政府必須為受收地影響菜園村居民提供搬遷安排，並提供與現時居住環境相似的居所。"多謝主席。

主席：多謝李議員。有誰贊成立即處理李議員的動議，請舉手。

(議員舉手)

主席：謝謝。反對的請舉手。

(議員舉手)

主席：謝謝。李議員要求記名表決。表決鐘會響兩分鐘。

主席：下一位是甘乃威議員，接着是馮檢基議員，然後是黃毓民議員。

(廣播：會議廳的會議即將進行表決。)

主席：請大家按鈕。

謝謝，這份不用複印那麼多，你複印了多少？拿一些給政府看吧！

秘書，看看有沒有問題，看看投票有沒有問題。沒有問題。

各位議員有沒有問題？如果沒有問題，請顯示結果。

出席的議員有53位，贊成的有21位，反對的有31位，這項議案未能獲得過半數議員同意，所以被否決。

接着我們請甘乃威議員提出議案。

甘乃威議員："有鑒於廣深港高鐵涉及公帑達669億元，而在近期公布的港大民意調查中，卻顯示59%市民自稱對整個高鐵項目認識"好少"或"幾少"，顯示大部分市民均不瞭解廣深港高鐵的內容及對社會影響。本人現按照《財務委員會會議程序》第37A條，動議要求政府擱置廣深港高速鐵路撥款，並以獨立機構的民調評估市民對廣深港高速鐵路的認知程度，在確定大部分香港市民均清楚明白廣深港高鐵的內容及對社會構成的影響後才重新申請撥款。"

主席：謝謝甘議員。現在請大家顯示，有誰同意立即處理，請舉手。

(議員舉手)

主席：謝謝。反對的請舉手。

(議員舉手)

主席：謝謝。甘議員要求記名表決。表決鐘會響兩分鐘。接下來是馮檢基議員，然後是黃毓民議員，接着一個聯合的是李永達議員和梁耀忠議員，你們看看由哪一位唸出來。

(廣播：會議廳的會議即將進行表決。)

主席：好了，請大家按鈕。

秘書，看看有沒有問題？如果大家沒有問題，請顯示結果。

出席的議員有52位，贊成的有20位，反對的有31位，這項議案未能獲得過半數的議員同意立刻處理，所以我們不會處理。

接下來.....沒有顯示.....不好意思，因為我已經宣布了。所以，議員日後如果看到沒有顯示，請盡快通知我，我會先行處理。

余若薇議員：主席，那麼，紀錄呢？

主席：紀錄顯示余議員是贊成的。不過，我相信秘書長也會看看我們的燈號。接下來由馮檢基議員動議，馮議員。

馮檢基議員：多謝主席。按照《財務委員會會議程序》第37A條，本人動議："特區政府興建廣深港高速鐵路，可能導致大角咀地層情況有所影響，特區政府應定期向受影響大角咀居民發放資訊，令大角咀居民可以清楚瞭解廣深港高鐵對其住宅物業地層的影響。"

主席：謝謝馮議員。請大家顯示是否贊成立即處理，贊成的請舉手。

(議員舉手)

主席：謝謝。反對的請舉手。

(議員舉手)

主席：謝謝。馮議員要求記名表決，表決鐘會響兩分鐘。.....大家請看一看，不行的話，盡快告訴我，如果你那個投票的按鈕有問題。接下來是黃毓民議員，然後是李永達及梁耀忠議員，然後是余若薇議員。

(廣播：會議廳的會議即將進行表決。)

好了，請大家表決，請大家按鈕。看看有沒有問題？如果你的燈有問題，請告訴我們。秘書有沒有問題？沒有問題。各位議員有沒有問題，如果沒有，我們顯示結果。

出席的議員有53位，贊成的有21位，反對的有31位，即表示沒有過半數委員同意立即處理。我們不會處理馮檢基議員的議案。

接下來是黃毓民議員。

黃毓民議員：主席，"有鑒於最近香港的民調以及社會行動均顯示反對高鐵的聲音主要來自年青市民，本人按照《財務委員會會議程序》第37A條，動議'政府在各方反對下仍強行興建廣深港高速鐵路，政府必須向年青人清楚解釋及介紹廣深港高速鐵路的重要性及成本效益，以減少香港年青市民對政府興建高鐵的不滿。'"

主席：謝謝黃議員。贊成馬上處理的，請舉手。

(議員舉手)

主席：謝謝。反對的請舉手。

(議員舉手)

主席：黃議員要求記名表決。表決鐘會響兩分鐘。

接下來是李永達及梁耀忠的聯合動議，然後是余若薇議員的動議，接着大家會收到的是吳靄儀議員的，然後是陳淑莊議員，然後是涂謹申議員。哪位還沒收到剛才我所說的最後3份？還沒收到的請舉手，我們的職員會派發給大家。有一疊是剛剛新收到的，是17、18、19號，職員把那一疊剛收到的派給議員吧。你派發17、18、19號吧，有些議員還沒有，你派發給他們吧。

(廣播：會議廳的會議即將進行表決。)

請各位議員按鈕表決。大家看看你那個表決器有沒有問題。如果有問題的話，請告訴我們。秘書先看看。有沒有問題？大家議員有沒有問題？如果沒有問題，請顯示結果。

出席的議員有53位，贊成的有21位，反對的有31位，不足過半數議員支持，所以我們不會立刻處理黃毓民議員的議案。

接下來是李永達及梁耀忠議員的聯合議案，梁耀忠議員，請你讀出來。

梁耀忠議員：主席，按照《財務委員會會議程序》第37A條，李永達與本人動議："政府在各方反對下仍強行興建廣深港高速鐵路，政府當局應定期向葵涌和記新村居民匯報地層情況，令葵涌和記新村居民可以清楚瞭解廣深港高鐵路對其住宅物業地層的影響。"

主席：謝謝梁議員。好，大家贊成立即處理的，請舉手。

(議員舉手)

主席：謝謝。反對的請舉手。

(議員舉手)

主席：謝謝。梁議員要求記名表決。表決鐘會響兩分鐘。

接着梁議員這項議案之後是余若薇議員，然後是吳靄儀議員、陳淑莊議員、涂謹申議員、湯家驊議員及何秀蘭議員。如果沒有議案副本，議案副本不足夠，請舉手，我們的職員會給你一份。

(廣播：會議廳的會議即將進行表決。)

請大家按鈕。有沒有問題？秘書有沒有問題？是否所有議員已經按鈕？大家有沒有問題？秘書，可以了。請顯示結果。出席的議員有53位，贊成的有21位，反對的有31位，不足過半數議員支持立即處理，所以議案被否決。

接下來是余若薇議員的議案。余若薇議員。

余若薇議員：謝謝主席。本人現按照《財務委員會會議程序》第37A條動議："政府在各方反對下仍強行興建廣深港高速鐵路，政府當局必須在廣深港高速鐵路落成後，每年向立法會相關委員會匯報廣深港高速鐵路香港段的營業額、經營成本、盈

利或虧損、現金流及負債情況，讓公眾瞭解廣深港高速鐵路的財務情況。”

主席：謝謝余議員。請大家贊成立即處理的舉手。

(議員舉手)

主席：謝謝。反對的請舉手。

(議員舉手)

主席：余議員要求記名表決。表決鐘會響兩分鐘。

主席：各位議員，我們這一節會議是由3時45分至5時45分共兩個小時；為了有足夠時間處理，我現在決定，如果這一節處理不了，我們到時休息15分鐘，6點鐘會繼續兩個小時的一節。秘書稍後會發通知給大家，我現在作了這個決定。

陳偉業議員：再有100個動議又怎麼辦？你加到12點嗎？

主席：不是，其實有些議員叫我們也不過到今天傍晚黃昏而已，然後明天再做罷了。

(廣播：會議廳的會議即將進行表決。)

主席：好，請大家按鈕。

秘書看看有沒有問題。大家表決有沒有問題？看看議員有沒有問題。大家是否全都已按鈕？

好了，秘書看看有沒有問題。如果沒有，請顯示結果。

出席的議員是52位……張國柱議員。

張國柱議員：我是應該贊成的。

主席：甚麼？

張國柱議員：我應該贊成才按鈕。

主席：你的鐘又壞了？你那個……

張國柱議員：不知道是不是……

主席：你能按到嗎？你試……能夠按到嗎？

張國柱議員：現在沒有，現在按不到。

主席：按不到。不過，我們會記錄張國柱議員，即是你也是贊成的？

張國柱議員：是。

主席：出席的是52位，贊成是21位，反對是31位，所以不夠過半數的議員支持，是……

(有議員舉手)

主席：是。

劉健儀議員：……贊成的才是21位，因為你沒有投票。

主席：是，所以……

秘書：所以紀錄是53位，投票的有21位贊成，31位反對。

主席：……是，現在更正，53位出席，贊成是21位，反對是31位。

所以，這個得不到過半數委員同意，我們不會處理。接下來是吳靄儀議員。

吳議員。

吳靄儀議員：多謝主席。主席，按照《財務委員會會議程序》第37A條，本人動議："本委員會譴責當局罔顧在特區內進行'一地兩檢'的合法性成疑，仍然強行要求通過高鐵撥款建議。"

主席：謝謝吳議員。

各位贊成立即處理的請舉手。

(議員舉手)

主席：謝謝。反對的請舉手。

(議員舉手)

主席：謝謝。吳議員要求記名表決。表決鐘會響兩分鐘。

接着是陳淑莊議員，然後是涂謹申議員，然後是湯家驊議員，然後是何秀蘭議員，然後是梁家傑議員。如果大家沒有的，請舉手，職員會給大家。職員看看，如果議員沒有那些議案的，請交給議員。

(廣播：會議廳的會議即將進行表決。)

主席：好了，請大家按鈕投票。

大家看看有沒有問題。有沒有人的投票機器有問題？秘書有沒有問題？好，如果沒有問題，請顯示結果。

出席的議員是53位，贊成是21位，反對是31位，所以是不夠過半數議員同意立即處理吳靄儀的議案，這個被否決。

接下來，請陳淑莊議員。

陳淑莊議員：主席，我稍後讀出來時，是會有兩個字加在裏面的。按《財務委員會會議程序》第37A條，本人動議："因興建廣深港高速鐵路為尖沙咀、佐敦、油麻地及西九龍地區帶來廣泛及長遠的負面交通影響，當局必須負起所有交通改善措施的費用及盡快諮詢和通知受影響的市民及西九文化區管理局。"

主席：謝謝陳議員。

大家贊成立即處理的請舉手。

(議員舉手)

主席：謝謝。反對的請舉手。

(議員舉手)

主席：謝謝。陳議員要求記名表決。表決鐘會響兩分鐘。

緊接陳議員的是涂謹申議員，然後是湯家驊議員，然後何秀蘭議員，然後梁家傑議員。如果誰沒有議案的，請舉手，我們的職員會交給大家。

(廣播：會議廳的會議即將進行表決。)

主席：好，請大家按鈕表決。

看看有沒有問題。如果有問題，請說出來。秘書看看有沒有問題。好了，沒有問題。如果沒有問題，請顯示結果。

出席的議員是53位，贊成的21位，反對的31位。不夠過半數的議員支持立即處理，所以我們不會處理陳淑莊議員的議案。

接下來是涂謹申議員。

涂謹申議員：主席，我肚瀉到沒有氣力。

主席：請說吧，涂議員。

涂謹申議員：不是，因為吃了藥很辛苦。

主席：請保重，涂議員。

涂謹申議員：按照《財務委員會會議程序》第37A條，本人動議：
"鑒於政府興建廣深港高速鐵路導致大角咀相關業主發展權可能受到影響，本會要求市建局考慮在受影響地區作出綜合重建，減少業主受到影響。"

主席：謝謝，涂議員。贊成立即處理的請舉手。

(議員舉手)

主席：謝謝。反對的請舉手。

(議員舉手)

主席：涂議員要求記名表決。表決鐘會響兩分鐘。

接下來便是湯家驊議員，然後是何秀蘭議員，然後是梁家傑議員。

(廣播：會議廳的會議即將進行表決。)

主席：請大家按鈕表決。請大家按鈕。

有沒有問題？秘書看看有沒有問題。沒有問題，我們顯示結果。

出席的議員52位，贊成的21位，反對的30位，不夠過半數議員支持立即處理，所以我們不會處理。

湯家驊議員，請讀出你的議案。

湯家驊議員：按照《財務委員會會議程序》第37A條，本人動議：
"本會強烈譴責特區政府在未進行全面及合理諮詢和詳細考慮各種問題下漠視港人意願強行通過撥款申請。"

主席：是的，多謝湯議員。贊成立即處理的請舉手。

(議員舉手)

主席：謝謝。反對立即處理的請舉手。

(議員舉手)

主席：湯議員要求記名表決。表決鐘會響兩分鐘。

接下來便是何秀蘭議員，然後是梁家傑議員。

(廣播：會議廳的會議即將進行表決。)

主席：好了，請大家按鈕。

各位有沒有問題？秘書沒有問題。請顯示結果。

出席的議員是52位，贊成的20位，反對的31位，不夠過半數的議員同意馬上處理，所以湯家驊議員的議案是不會處理。

接下來是何秀蘭議員。

何秀蘭議員：謝謝主席。按照《財務委員會會議程序》第37A條，本人動議："鑒於當局並沒有就廣深港高鐵工程及設施進行社會影響評估，本委員會認為當局必須從速聘請獨立顧問進行社會影響評估，並為受工程及設施影響的居民制訂補救措施。"

主席：謝謝。贊成立即處理這項議案的舉手。

(有議員舉手)

主席：是的。

劉健儀議員：因為……給我們那張是用"馬上"的，但何秀蘭議員剛才讀出來的是"從速"，想知道哪個版本是對的呢？

何秀蘭議員：用"馬上"吧，對不起。

主席：那使用"馬上"吧。

何秀蘭議員：謝謝，謝謝劉健儀議員。

主席：謝謝。贊成立即處理的請舉手，謝謝。

(議員舉手)

主席：反對的請舉手，謝謝。

(議員舉手)

主席：陳偉業議員要求記名表決。表決鐘會響兩分鐘。

接下來是梁家傑議員的動議。

(廣播：會議廳的會議即將進行表決。)

陳偉業議員：官員在休息一下嗎？

主席：政府官員休息，他們現在都要休息。

陳偉業議員：現時正在處理動議。

主席：不要緊，他們都是行出行入的。應耀康秘書長現在不是休息的嗎？也是不在的。不要緊了，我們自己懂得處理，即總之有需要時，立刻會在這裏的。

你看陳偉業議員多"錫"你們(笑)。

(廣播：會議廳的會議即將進行表決。)

主席：好了，請大家按鈕表決。

秘書請看看投票有沒有問題。各位議員，看看你的投票有沒有問題。如果沒有問題，我們顯示結果。

出席的議員是52位，贊成是20位，反對是31位，不足過半數議員支持立即處理，所以我們不會處理何秀蘭議員的議案。

現在輪到梁家傑議員。

梁家傑議員：主席，"有鑒於政府近日對就廣深港高速鐵路香港站選址提出另類意見的公共專業聯盟作出多項批評，而公共專業聯盟認為該等批評乃歪曲事實，此舉顯示政府沒有認真評估公共專業聯盟的意見，本人現按照《財務委員會會議程序》第37A條，動議'政府在各方反對下仍強行興建廣深港高速鐵路，政府應聘請獨立顧問評估公共專業聯盟的建議，如發現政府所作出的批評失實，政府應向公共專業聯盟作出公開道歉。'"

主席：謝謝。各位贊成立即處理的請舉手。

(議員舉手)

主席：反對的請舉手。

(議員舉手)

主席：我認為參與.....梁家傑議員要求記名表決，表決鐘會響兩分鐘。

(廣播：會議廳的會議即將進行表決。)

主席：好了，各位議員，現在請大家按鈕投票。

現在職員正派發第23號的議案，這是黃毓民議員和黃成智議員動議的，派發給大家參閱。哪個組合要的，請舉手，職員可以派給你。

大家表決有沒有問題？如果沒有問題，我們顯示結果。

出席的議員是53位，贊成是21位，反對是31位，我們不足過半數議員同意立即處理，所以我們不會處理梁家傑議員的議案。

主席：接着的23號是黃毓民和黃成智的議案，現在職員正在派發，還有哪位沒有的，請舉手。職員看看哪位議員沒有，請你交給他吧。這是由黃成智議員讀出的，你等一等，黃議員，我們希望議員有議案在手。劉健儀議員是嗎？林大輝議員你交給她吧。還有哪位議員想要而未有的？黃定光議員想要.....劉健儀議員有了。還有這邊，職員，請交一份給黃定光議員。好了，大家都有，我請黃成智議員讀出議案。

黃成智議員：多謝主席。本人按照《財務委員會會議程序》第37A條動議："本委員會對80後青年、參與苦行的青年、反高鐵大聯盟及公共專業聯盟高度關注廣深港高鐵撥款，並就廣深港高鐵提供寶貴意見表示讚揚及致謝。"多謝主席。

主席：謝謝黃議員。哪位贊成立即處理這項議案的，請舉手。謝謝。

(議員舉手)

主席：反對的請舉手。

(議員舉手)

主席：黃議員要求記名表決。表決鐘會響兩分鐘。

接着是梁耀忠議員的議案，然後是謝偉俊議員的議案，然後是梁國雄議員的議案。大家如果沒有便請舉手，我們職員會交給大家.....現在一直有議員提出。

(廣播：會議廳的會議即將進行表決。)

如果未有我剛才提及的數項議案，請你舉手，職員會送一份給你。

(廣播：會議廳的會議即將進行表決。)

主席：好，請大家表決，請按鈕。

大家有沒有問題？請大家盡快按鈕，有沒有問題？如果沒有問題，請顯示結果。

出席的議員是53位，贊成是21位，反對是31位，我們不夠過半數的議員同意，所以我們不會立即處理黃毓民議員和黃成智議員的議案。

接着是梁耀忠議員，請你讀出議案。

梁耀忠議員：多謝主席。主席，按照《財務委員會會議程序》第37A條，本人動議："政府應在興建廣深港高鐵時，規定承建商要聘用殘疾人士；同時，在未來營運時，除要聘用殘疾人士外，並應給予殘疾人士半價乘車優惠。"

主席：謝謝梁議員。贊成立即處理的請舉手，謝謝。

(議員舉手)

主席：反對的請舉手，謝謝。

(議員舉手)

主席：梁議員要求記名表決。表決鐘會響兩分鐘。

下一個是謝偉俊議員的議案，接着是梁國雄議員的議案。

(廣播：會議廳的會議即將進行表決。)

主席：請各位議員按鈕表決。大家看看有沒有問題，請大家表

決。秘書，有沒有問題？如果大家沒有問題，我們顯示結果。

出席的議員是52位，贊成21位，反對30位。我們不夠過半數的議員同意，我們不會立即處理梁耀忠議員的議案。

接下來是謝偉俊議員。

沒有謝偉俊議員的那一份，請職員給一份何秀蘭議員。職員看看哪位議員沒有，請舉手。這邊還有一些議員沒有的，可不可以大家先給他一份。黃毓民議員和梁國雄議員都沒有，請送一份給他們。

好，謝偉俊議員，請你讀出你的議案。

謝偉俊議員：多謝主席。

動議：按《財務委員會會議程序》第37A條，本人動議：“廣深港高速鐵路”(下稱'高鐵')興建項目雖可能獲通過，惟不少市民對諮詢過程有相當保留。因此本委員會促請政府盡快作出適當補救措施，並積極參考多位議員在'財委會'審議高鐵項目時提出的各項動議，以改善施政，及體現其重視民意決心。”

主席：謝謝。

謝偉俊議員：多謝主席。

主席：各位贊成立即處理的請舉手。

(議員舉手)

主席：各位反對的請舉手。

(議員舉手)

主席：何俊仁議員要求記名表決。表決鐘會響兩分鐘。

(廣播：會議廳的會議即將進行表決。)

余若薇議員：程序問題，規程問題。

主席：聽不到。各位請靜一些，是。

余若薇議員：規程問題，主席。我想問清楚，因為我知道這是謝偉俊的動議，那他是不是可以自己反對他自己的動議？

主席：應該可以。法律顧問，你認為應該沒有問題，是嗎？議員提出，那些人是可以反對的，他反對……

余若薇議員：根本他……那他不如撤銷，他為甚麼……如果他自己……

主席：我相信他是有這樣的自由，余議員，他喜歡便讓他提吧。我們現在不是要辯論，或者你們在場外辯論吧。總之他合乎規程就可以做。

余若薇議員：是不是法律顧問表示，他自己提的動議，他可以自己反對的？

主席：他是可以反對，是不是，法律顧問？法律顧問，你說說，議員想得到你的意見。

法律顧問馬耀添先生：主席，如果有議案動議了的話，動議人的立場是怎樣，《議事規則》是沒有規定他一定是贊成或者反對的。

余若薇議員：我害怕有些人以為我們的議員精神分裂。

主席：不要緊，余議員。很多人以為我們這樣那樣，哪害怕得那麼多。

陳偉業議員。

陳偉業議員：主席，我知道現在那個程序是不准許討論。但是，如果就這些投票……

主席：不是，先等一等，先等一等。現在鐘是不是正在行走？我們不如先表決，好不好？我們不要……因為時間已經夠了，我剛才聽不到它完了。不是，是何俊仁議員要求的，所以鐘是走着的。走完再多走一次？不要緊，再多走一次。即何俊仁議員要求記名表決，陳偉業議員，你有甚麼事？

陳偉業議員：在程序上，要求那個議員要澄清他的……

主席：不用了，我相信不要了。

陳偉業議員：程序上行不行？我只是問程序上行不行？要求澄清。

主席：法律顧問。

陳偉業議員：即不能辯論，可不可以要求澄清？

主席：法律顧問。

你問了問題，你要給機會法律顧問說，你才能聽到的。法律顧問。

法律顧問馬耀添先生：主席，澄清的程序只適用於在辯論過程中，就着其他議員的發言……

主席：好了，謝謝。大家準備了，快可以投票。

是，王國興議員。

王國興議員：我現在趁響鐘時間問一問你，其實……

主席：還有幾多個？

王國興議員：所有動議都要記名投票，你是不是可以省卻無須問？

主席：不用問。好的，好的，因為他們都要……

王國興議員：那便可以處理得快一些，是不是？

主席：好的，謝謝。

王國興議員：多謝主席。

主席：接着謝偉俊議員的，是第26號，是梁國雄議員的動議，第27號亦是梁國雄議員。如果大家未收到請舉手，職員就會送給大家。

主席：好了，請大家按鈕。

秘書看看有沒有問題。

各位議員有沒有問題？

秘書，現在顯示結果。出席的議員52位，贊成20位，反對31位，不夠過半數議員支持立即處理，所以我不會處理謝偉俊議員的議案。

接下來就是梁國雄議員，請你讀出你的議案。

梁國雄議員：多謝主席。

主席：梁議員，不用站起來，梁議員。

梁國雄議員：多謝主席。

我現在動議，按照《財務委員會會議程序》第37A條，本人動議如下："鑒於特區政府出爾反爾，蒙騙民眾，先自食其言，突然轉軌，不惜以昨日之我打倒今日之我放棄原來西鐵與高鐵共用路軌方案，繼又拒絕聘請獨立專家顧問，研判可否將車站遷移至'西九'總站選址，以節省公帑，減少對菜園村、華景山莊、葵涌上下邨及大角咀居民之影響。而且，竟又不向當事人直接諮詢，令其權益得不到應有保障。更且，在市民連續不斷抗爭，民意因資料陸續披露而日益反對下，仍一意孤行，對本會議員有關'一地兩檢'安排之質疑，又指稱尚在研究之中推搪，剝奪市民對此實行之知情權，不能知悉若實行而引致內地公安越境執法，必然危害本港市民現時享有受《基本法》保障之權。而'一地兩檢'不果，則所謂高鐵，則勢必因檢查繁複，喪失高速效能，浪費公帑而淪為大白象。至於估計客流方面，政府又三番四次拒絕透露其估計模式及根據，誇大客流，從而編造製造就業機會及相關經濟效益，閉眼瞎說，莫此為甚。本委員會要求政府謝罪道歉，並立即收回撥款建議。"欽此。

主席：好了，哪位贊成我們立即處理這個議案的請舉手。謝謝。

(議員舉手)

主席：我們現在記名表決。謝謝。表決鐘會響兩分鐘。

接下來的動議亦是梁國雄議員提出的。

(廣播：會議廳的會議即將進行表決。)

陳偉業議員：提出？要有人提出，要說明哪一個要求記名表決。

主席：主席都可以說的，主席是可以說的。法律顧問，你提一提。

法律顧問馬耀添先生：這個過往的做法都是接受的，雖然最主要的是確保票數是精確的。

主席：下一個是第27號，是梁國雄議員的。大家如果沒有便請舉手，我們的職員會給你一份。

(廣播：會議廳的會議即將進行表決。)

主席：好了，請議員表決，請按鈕。秘書看看有沒有問題。

主席：大家有沒有問題？如果沒有問題，請顯示結果。

出席議員51位，贊成的19位，反對的31位。不夠過半數議員支持立刻處理，我們不會處理梁國雄議員的議案。

主席：以下亦是梁國雄議員的。梁議員請你讀出你的議案。

梁國雄議員：哦，多謝主席。主席，因為我剛才好像投不到票。算數吧，由它吧。

主席：請讀吧，梁議員。

梁國雄議員：我現在讀出我的動議。本人現按照《財務委員會會議程序》第37A條動議："鑒於政府以削減甲級工程為手段，為669億之高鐵挹注，致使本港建築營造業工人未見其利，先見其害，喪失唾手可得之工作崗位。本會要求政府應保證甲級工程項目如常按計劃增長；若否，本會促請政府立即擱置撥款申請。"

主席：我現在下令，表決鐘會響兩分鐘，然後議員便表決。

(廣播：會議廳的會議即將進行表決。)

王國興議員：.....那個"營造"寫成了"菅造"。

主席：甚麼字？

王國興議員：草"菅"人命的"菅"。

主席：是嗎？

王國興議員：是啊，更改便可以了。

主席：好的。

(廣播：會議廳的會議即將進行表決。)

主席：好了，請大家按鈕。

有沒有人有問題？有沒有議員有問題？你們的按鈕有沒有問題？可能人都有問題，不過最重要是按鈕有沒有問題？去到這階段，其實也許都不懂得分人和按鈕的了。好了，秘書看看有沒有……大家有沒有問題？大家的按鈕有沒有問題？秘書有沒有問題？如果沒有問題，我們顯示結果。

出席的議員51位，贊成的19位，反對的31位。不夠過半數議員支持立即處理這項議案，所以這項議案被否決。

主席：接下來是第28號，亦是梁國雄議員的動議，現在已放在大家面前，沒有的請舉手，有的便請看看，我會邀請梁議員讀出來。梁議員請你讀出。

梁國雄議員：多謝主席。按照《財務委員會會議程序》第37A條，本人動議如下："鑒於高鐵撥款申請過程中，本會有不少議員於小組委員會及其他會議中，未有申報所涉之個人利益，致令於投票決定應否聘請專業顧問，為高鐵走線、營運進行獨立之評估，剝奪市民之知情權。本會呼籲政府申請撥款前，追聘獨立專業人士，重新評估現時之高鐵方案。"

主席：謝謝。我現在下令打鐘，兩分鐘的表決鐘，請打鐘。

(廣播：會議廳的會議即將進行表決。)

主席：請各位議員按鈕表決。

大家表決，有沒有問題？秘書有沒有問題？如果沒有問題，我們顯示結果。

出席的議員49位，贊成的19位，反對的29位。我們是不夠過半數的議員贊成馬上處理，所以我們不會處理梁國雄議員的議案。

剛剛陳偉業議員亦給我另外一個動議，我們未有時間去影印。但這是很簡單的，如果大家議員不介意，我會交給陳偉業議員，由他讀給大家聽。

職員，請交給陳偉業議員。陳偉業議員讀完後，我們大家可以表決。謝謝。

陳偉業議員：主席，"有鑒於政府聲稱預計廣深港高鐵的50年營運期內可為香港帶來870億元的經濟效益，本人現按照《財務委員會會議程序》第37A條動議："要求政府當局在落成廣深港高速鐵路後，每年須匯報廣深港高鐵為香港帶來的經濟效益。若廣深港高鐵為香港帶來的經濟效益低於預期，政府當局應向評估經濟效益的顧問公司追究責任及追討損失。"

主席：謝謝陳議員。我相信都很清楚了吧。我現時下令打鐘兩分鐘，讓議員表決。

(廣播：會議廳的會議即將進行表決。)

好，請大家按鈕。

各位議員，有沒有問題？投票有沒有問題？秘書？如果沒有問題，請顯示結果。

出席的議員51位，贊成的19位，反對的31位。我們是不夠過半數的議員同意馬上處理，所以我們不會處理陳偉業議員的議案。

我們一共處理了28個議案。我們現在如果沒有其他事項，我便把這個文件付諸表決。我現在提出把PWSC(2009-10)第68號文件付諸表決。請各位贊成這個文件的委員舉手。

是。

何秀蘭議員：我希望有時間讓議員就他們的投票決定，作出一個簡短的總結。

主席：我相信算了吧，各位同事，我明白，大家都是很長，我相信如果1分鐘.....各位同事，我們這個會議是去到5時45分，我希望大家合作，在這個之前吧。如果不是，我們又要到時休會，然後又再回來。

吳議員。

吳靄儀議員：想問一問，澄清一下，我們這個是一個文件所有的項目，還是.....

主席：不是，分開3個。

吳靄儀議員：那你可否在每一份文件之前，提一提我們那個文件是關於甚麼？

主席：我會。但我希望各位先講一講何議員那一樣，但不是講幾次。我希望大家都同意，就是每一個人或者一個組織說1分鐘左右，其實很多東西剛才在那些議案那裏都說過了，好不好？我們希望可以大家合作，在45分或者去到40分之前處理好，因為我們還要表決。如果不是，到了45分我們又要休會了。

陳茂波議員。

陳茂波議員：主席，我想澄清一個規程問題而已。之前的會議發言已經申報了利益，在今次投票前是否還需要申報利益？

主席：要啊，要啊。其實，現在都應該要申報的了。申報利益是要做的，此外，有些議員想表達他的政黨的意見，如果這樣，說1分鐘吧，希望你代表你的黨。如果或許你自己是"單丁"的，我們也把它處理，好不好？

怎麼了？葉議員。

葉國謙議員：如果你過了15分鐘之後……

主席：我們這個會議是去到45分。

葉國謙議員：是的。

主席：但剛才我已經下令，6時是繼續開的。

葉國謙議員：那行了，那行了。

主席：所以是有時間的。既然大家都來到這個位了，我希望大家真的是1分鐘左右，如果你是"單丁"議員，講一講你自己的看法，或者講一講你的黨的看法，如果要講的話。何議員，你提出，你先講吧。

何秀蘭議員：謝謝主席。在過去那24小時的會議裏面，政府有兩個問題完全沒有答案，一個是"一地兩檢"，一個是虧本時如何"填氹"。在3件事上完全迴避，就是居民索償的數字、周邊交通措施的隱蔽成本，以及被拖延工程引起的失業數字。有兩件事是完全沒有做的，一個是社會影響評估，一個是人口政策評估。

今天政府是慘勝的。不過，它自己輸誠信之餘，就要香港人輸669億。所以，主席，我是一定會投反對票的。

主席：謝謝何議員。接着是李卓人議員，然後是馮檢基議員。

李卓人議員：主席，今次整個過程都是一個"大石壓死蟹"的過程。當然，政府在這個議會有足夠票，就覺得外面甚麼聲音反對，做得夠不夠諮詢，自己那些道理夠不夠，問題是否答得清楚，它都可以完全不理了，因為它自己有足夠票，我覺得這是這個議會的悲哀。

但是，我說回職工盟對整個文件的立場，就是我們覺得根本天價669億是整個公共開支的差不多四分之一，其實是令香港少了很多機會成本的。變成如果這些錢好好地用來做另一些工程，會製造多些就業機會。

另一方面，我們擔心做了這些工程之後，669億之後，其實政府亦會將公共開支的其他方面收縮，這樣是影響了整個香港的其他方面的發展。所以，最後香港市民和工人都是得不償失的。多謝主席。

主席：馮檢基議員，接着是王國興議員。

馮檢基議員：主席，我和民協原則上、基本上覺得，經濟上、道路網絡上，原則上是需要和內地打通網絡的。但是，在做的過程上，我們覺得香港亦有自己的一個主體意見，一定要做得好才行。而特別這是一個需要這麼多錢的計劃，更加要在諮詢工作方面，對當區譬如交通問題以至受影響的業主的索償問題等等，都一定要做得好。其實，在整個過程中，我的瞭解，特別我們西九龍受影響的大角咀，整個諮詢是做得非常非常差。但那個問題相對於以往曾經做過的一些市建局的諮詢，以及市建局做漏了步驟也願意重新再做一次，這樣去比較，我覺得今次高鐵的工作，其實是值得政府再想想，將諮詢工作再做一次，重新徵詢一些受影響的街坊、業主的。

主席：王國興議員，接着是梁耀忠議員。

王國興議員：多謝主席。對於這項高鐵工程，工聯會由始至終都嚴謹認真地參與審議工作，亦以"是其是、非其非"的態度要求政府考慮我們的意見。現在提出的方案，我們認為負面的影響已經減至最低，正面的效益則是最大。政府亦向我們承諾，會把受影響的菜園村居民的賠償級數提升至甲級，並會酌情處理有關的賠償問題。我們希望政府能夠履行對我們的承諾，認真處理賠償及安置工作。同時，對於優先聘用本地工人一事，無論是文員也好、專家也好、工人也好，都要認真落實，保障本地工人的就業機會。多謝主席。

主席：梁耀忠議員，接着是何俊仁議員。

梁耀忠議員：主席，我和街工均不贊成這次的撥款。首先，政府聲稱這次已經進行諮詢，但諮詢只是流於單向的諮詢發布，並不鼓勵市民積極發表意見。現在，市民不斷發出反對聲音和作出評論，但政府完全沒有理會，這也算是諮詢嗎？這些諮詢已經淪為交功課，但很可惜，這些是不及格的功課。所以，我們無法撥款支持。此外，剛才陳偉業議員的議案是非常好的，他說50年內只有870億元的回報，但我們已經花了669億元，50年時間只有這麼少的回報，這也值得嗎？

此外，帶來的民生影響非常重大，但很可惜，政府這次沒有考慮到，與其把如此龐大的資金投資在這方面的發展，投資於其他項目或可帶來更大的效益。可是，政府並無加以考慮，所以我覺得非常遺憾。我們不能夠支持這次的撥款。

主席：何俊仁議員，接着是陳茂波議員。

何俊仁議員：多謝主席。民主黨一直很努力參與整個審議過程。大家知道，我們的前提並非反對高鐵。可是，在這個項目中，牽涉龐大資金，影響許多相關利益，尤其是眾多弱勢社羣，而未來的營運等等事宜會影響經濟發展，總站的設計、定位也會影響整個區域未來的發展，無論是在西九也好，在錦上路也好，即西九的交通也是非常重要的，可能會影響很大。現在，很多問題還沒有能令我們滿意的答覆，諮詢亦明顯不足。其實，這次在外面參與的很多朋友，包括Professional Commons，以及外面的很多年青人，無論是八十後也好，九十後也好，他們都是本着關心香港的心來參與。所以，我們在此衷心向他們致謝。

主席：謝謝。下一位是陳茂波議員，接着是劉健儀議員。

陳茂波議員：主席，我想申報，我是西九龍文化區管理局董事局成員，我的家人在大角咀擁有物業。此外，我是九龍倉集團有限公司、建設銀行(亞洲)有限公司及建銀國際有限公司的獨立非執行董事。有人指稱我有利益衝突，因為九龍倉有意競投高鐵上蓋物業，這項指控是無中生有的，我在九龍倉的董事會從未聽說它們有意競投高鐵上蓋物業。我看到報章報道後，也曾致電九龍倉查詢，它們表示沒有這回事。主席，我申報完畢。

主席：劉健儀議員，接着是余若薇議員。

劉健儀議員：謝謝主席。這個廣深港高速鐵路項目——前稱“區域快線”——在2000年討論鐵路發展策略時，自由黨一直表示支持，亦積極參與2005年的政府介紹。在2006年及07年，在鐵路事宜小組中，我們亦就共用方案及專用方案積極參與每一項程序。直至2008年，政府決定落實計劃，申請27億元作詳細研究，自由黨也是每一步跟進。可是，最近在2009年5月開始，因為菜園村居民提出反對，由那個階段開始，公眾才對此項目表示關注。之前，在這個地步之前，是沒有的。鑒於此事現時深受關注，而這個項目事實上須動用660多億元，我要求、自由黨要求政府日後在鐵路事宜小組或立法會其他小組提供更多資料，與我們一起跟進這個項目。謝謝主席。

主席：余若薇議員，接着是李鳳英議員。

余若薇議員：主席，公民黨原則是支持興建高鐵的，但反對這次撥款，1分鐘不能說出全部理由。第一，這並非真的“高鐵”，最多只可稱為“中鐵”；第二，在“一地兩檢”方面，政府一直不願意說老實話，即實行“一地兩檢”是違反《基本法》的，它一直只說正在研究，延遲說出真相；第三，在成本效益方面，政府向我們提供資料已經顯示，它是過於樂觀的，而其後的報告，它一直不願意提交予大家參閱。此外，諮詢不足，我也不用說，因為鄭局長自己也承認這一點。第五，就是西九的交通，不單是西九站周邊，對整個西九龍也十分深遠，政府還要很遲才向我們提供交通研究報告。第六，在就業方面，也有所誇大，因為它隱瞞了其他工程不能上馬。

主席：謝謝你，余議員。

余若薇議員：還有環評，也是有利益衝突，以及菜園村的處理，我們都覺得不滿意。

主席：李鳳英議員，接着是葉劉淑儀議員。

李鳳英議員：多謝主席。我也希望局長繼續妥善處理這次受高鐵工程影響的菜園村村民的搬村及補償問題，以及大角咀居民的憂慮，還有日後受工程影響的其他村或其他市區市民的補償和憂慮。此外，還要真正承諾，當落實一項基建工程時，真的要確保本地工人有優先就業的機會。謝謝主席。

主席：葉劉淑儀議員，接着是陳偉業議員。

葉劉淑儀議員：我是運輸事務委員會和工務小組的成員，一直都有關心這個項目。我很瞭解受影響居民的關注。雖然我沒有進行民調，但我也跟很多市民接觸，不論是基層市民或年青人，都有很多人表示支持興建這條鐵路。我相信興建這條鐵路是符合香港長遠及整體利益的。如果撥款獲得批准，我會繼續監察政府如何協助那些受影響的居民。最後，我也想肯定這幾天出席這個會議的政府及港鐵的專業團體，他們都非常努力，我覺得他們都賺了這個月的人工，多賺了，也值得我們欣賞這些公務人員的努力。

主席：陳偉業議員。

陳偉業議員：主席，社民連在過去兩年不斷重申，我們指責這個所謂的"高鐵"規劃錯誤，評估粗疏，以法拉利的價錢購買甲蟲，是嗎？更是強姦民意，強搶民產。整樁事件反映政府失誤、立法會失職，亦令市民失望。主席，在整個問題明顯看到這次事件中，政府是以"大石壓死蟹"的形式處理，高層早有定奪——可能在特首的層面便早有定奪——完全漠視嚴謹規劃的重要性，導致市民需要承擔巨大損失，而這個巨大的損失，在未來數十年，可能香港市民還要掏腰包填錢。所以，社民連堅決反對今天的撥款。

主席：各位議員，到了45分，這一節便會完結。我現在有6位議員在排隊，劉江華、張國柱、方剛、梁君彥、林大輝及梁國雄。可能還有其他議員想要發言，每人1分鐘，所以我會現在休會。我也先告訴局長，當每位議員完成1分鐘的發言後，我也會給你一點時間發言，以作出總結。

我們現在休息15分鐘，6時再進行下一節。