立法會 Legislative Council

LC Paper No. CB(2)1156/09-10(06)

Ref: CB2/PL/AJLS

Panel on Administration of Justice and Legal Services

Updated background brief prepared by the Legislative Council Secretariat for the meeting on 29 March 2010

Five-yearly review of the criteria for assessing the financial eligibility of legal aid applicants

Purpose

This paper provides background information and summarizes the past discussions of the Panel on Administration of Justice and Legal Services on various issues relating to the current five-yearly review of criteria for assessing the financial eligibility of legal aid applicants.

Government's policy objective

- 2. According to Article 35 of the Basic Law, Hong Kong residents shall have the right to confidential legal advice, access to the courts, choice of lawyers for timely protection of their lawful rights and interests or for representation in the courts, and to judicial remedies. Article 14(1) of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR) guarantees all individuals the right to a fair hearing in both criminal and civil proceedings (which involves the determination of an individual's civil rights and obligations). Article 14(3) further provides that a person charged with criminal offence shall be entitled to "have legal assistance assigned to him, in any case where the interests of justice so require, and without payment by him if he does not have sufficient means to pay for it." The Hong Kong Bill of Rights Ordinance (Cap. 383) (HKBORO) incorporates into Hong Kong law the provisions of ICCPR as applied to Hong Kong.
- 3. The Government's policy objective on legal aid is to ensure that no one with reasonable grounds for taking legal action in the Hong Kong courts is prevented from doing so because of a lack of means.

The legal aid system

Legal aid framework

4. The Legal Aid Ordinance (Cap. 91) (LAO), enacted in 1967, sets out the legal framework for the administration of legal aid. Legal aid is provided by the Legal Aid Department (LAD) under the Ordinary Legal Aid Scheme (OLAS) and the

Supplementary Legal Aid Scheme (SLAS). Legal aid will be granted to applicants who satisfy the means test and the merits test.

5. The Legal Aid Services Council (LASC) was set up on 1 September 1996 under the Legal Aid Services Council Ordinance (Cap. 489) to supervise the provision of legal aid services in Hong Kong provided by LAD and to advise the Government on legal aid policy.

OLAS

- 6. To qualify for legal aid for civil proceedings under OLAS, an applicant's financial resources must not exceed \$175,800. An aided person may be required to make a contribution towards the cost of legal representation if, on a determination of his financial resources, he should be able to do so. The Director of Legal Aid (DLA) may waive the limit in meritorious cases involving a possible breach of HKBORO or an inconsistency with ICCPR.
- 7. To qualify for legal aid in criminal cases, an applicant's financial resources should not exceed \$175,800. An applicant charged with murder, treason or piracy with violence may apply to a judge for exemption of means test and of payment of contribution. DLA has the discretion to grant legal aid in criminal cases to an applicant whose financial resources exceed \$175,800 if he is satisfied that it is desirable in the interests of justice to do so subject to payment of a contribution, if required.

SLAS

8. SLAS is a self-financing scheme introduced in 1984. The scheme was limited initially to cover claims for damages for personal and fatal injuries. It was subsequently extended to cover employees' compensation claims in 1992 and medical, dental as well as legal professional negligence in 1995. Its current scope covers cases of personal injury or death, medical, dental and legal professional negligence where the claim is likely to exceed \$60,000. It also covers claims under the Employees' Compensation Ordinance (Cap. 282) irrespective of the amount of claim. The scheme is available to those whose financial resources exceed \$175,800 but do not exceed \$488,400. The costs of the scheme are met from the Supplementary Legal Aid Fund, which is financed by the applicants' contributions and damages or compensation recovered.

Panel discussions relevant to the current five-yearly review

Need for a fundamental review

9. After its review of the provision of legal services in 2001, the Panel requested the Administration to conduct a fundamental review on the objective, adequacy and effectiveness of the existing legal aid system with a view to enhancing the accessibility of legal aid services for those in need. Members expressed concern

that under the then existing financial eligibility limits, many applicants who had been refused legal aid on ground of means had a meritorious case to pursue and they were unable to do so due to the high costs of private litigation. They questioned whether the financial eligibility limits for legal aid had been realistically set. Members expressed the view that the Administration should review its existing broad-brush approach of setting a financial eligibility limit for applications across the board, and undertake a fundamental review on the criteria used for determining eligibility for legal aid, taking into account all relevant factors including the nature of the case and the seriousness of the offence.

- 10. The Administration's view at that time was that a comprehensive mechanism was already in place to review the financial eligibility limits for legal aid services. It comprised three levels of reviews -
 - (a) an annual review to take account of inflation so as to maintain the real value of the limits;
 - (b) a biennial review to reflect other relevant factors, including the changes in litigation costs; and
 - (c) a review once every five years of the criteria used to assess financial eligibility of legal aid applicants to examine the continual propriety.
- 11. In the light of the implementation of the Civil Justice Reform, the Panel all along held the view that there was an urgent need for the Administration to conduct an overall review of the legal aid system, instead of making merely piece-meal adjustments to the system.
- 12. The last five-yearly review of the criteria for assessing financial eligibility of legal aid applicants was conducted in May 2003. During the review, the Administration had revisited the rationale for the existing approach for assessing financial capacity and compared its approach with practices of some overseas legal aid regimes. The Administration stressed that its policy intention was not to review the overall approach in such a way as to make legal aid commonly available to even the better-off litigants in Hong Kong. The Administration had introduced in 2006 a number of deductible items in computing the disposable income and disposable capital. Details of the improvement measures arising from the 2003 five-yearly review as provided by the Administration are in **Appendix I**.

Current five-yearly review

13. The Administration consulted the Panel on the proposed scope of the current five-yearly review for assessing the financial eligibility of legal aid applicants at its meeting on 26 March 2007. The Panel further received progress reports on the review at its meetings on 26 May 2008 and 30 March 2009. Relevant discussions recently held by the Panel are summarized in the following paragraphs.

Financial capacity approach in assessing the financial eligibility of legal aid applicants

- 14. According to the Administration, two cardinal criteria, namely means (financial eligibility) and merits (the prospect of success, costs and benefit and the prospect of recovery) tests have been adopted to assess the legal aid applications. LAD adopts a "financial capacity" approach in assessing the means of legal aid applicants. Under this approach, an applicant's financial capacity is determined by reference to the aggregate of his yearly disposable income and disposable capital. Generally speaking, an applicant's disposable income is his gross income minus his standard personal allowances (the level of which is pegged currently to the 35-percentile household expenditure), rent or mortgage payments and salaries tax payment. An applicant's disposable capital is defined as the sum of his credit balance, the market value of non-money resources (e.g shares) and the value of business or share in a company. The values of any interest in the only or main dwelling in which the applicant resides is, however, disregarded in computing the amount of his disposable capital.
- 15. For the current five-yearly review of the criteria for assessing financial eligibility of legal aid applicants, the Administration advised the Panel that the financial capacity approach had been working satisfactorily and was not so complex as to be confusing for an applicant. Deputations put to the Panel that means test should be waived for (a) meritorious cases involving the fundamental rights of residents as stipulated in Chapter III of the Basic Law, and (b) certain applicants, e.g. applicants making employees' compensation claims, applicants who had been granted an award by the Labour Tribunal in connection with employment-related debts, applicants who had become totally incapacitated as a result of personal injury by accident in the course of the employment, or applicants who had reached retirement age.
- 16. The Administration advised the Panel that while its plan was to maintain the current financial capacity approach, the Administration would examine whether there was any room for improvement to the current financial capacity approach in assessing the financial resources of legal aid applicants. However, any proposal of waiving the means test for applicants with severe disability should not jeopardize the cardinal principles of the legal aid system. The Administration would also consider carefully the impact of expanding the discretionary power of DLA to waive the means test for civil legal aid cases and cases involving a breach of the fundamental rights of residents as stipulated in Chapter III of the Basic Law.

Methods of computing disposable income and disposable capital

17. According to the Legal Aid (Assessment of Resources and Contributions) Regulations (Cap. 91B), a person's disposable income is the income that person may reasonably expect to receive during the period of computation. It is calculated by his income minus a number of statutory deductible items, such as payment of salaries tax and maintenance payments. One deductible item is an allowance equivalent to

the 35-percentile household expenditure excluding rent. According to the Administration, the allowance reflects the general expenditure of a household in maintaining an acceptable standard of living.

- 18. In accordance with the statutory requirements, a person's disposable capital is the value of his/her resource of a capital nature, disregarding a number of items including the value of any interest in the only or main dwelling, and insurance money received in respect of the injuries to which the person's personal injury claim relates, to cover his/her future medical needs.
- 19. Members and deputations expressed the following views relating to the computation of disposable income and capital -
 - (a) the 35-percentile household expenditure in calculating disposable income of applicants should be increased; and
 - (b) relevant factors such as age, health and earning power should be taken into account in assessing the financial eligibility of a legal aid applicant. For example, the savings, the property and rental income arising therefrom of legal aid applicants who were very old, about to retire or those with severe disability should be disregarded in computing their disposable capital and disposable income respectively. Asset which was the only means of livelihood of a legal aid applicant should also be disregarded.
- 20. The Administration advised the Panel that it was examining critically the pros and cons of raising the 35-percentile household expenditure as well as the associated financial and other implications. The Administration also undertook to examine in the current review -
 - (a) whether the deductible items for computing disposable income was adequate to ensure that they continued to be able to serve current needs of the applicants; and
 - (b) whether there was room for improvement in the items to be disregarded in computing disposable capital.
- 21. As regards the assessment of the financial eligibility of elderly and disabled persons, the Administration further advised the Panel that it would make reference to the practices of other departments as well as overseas practices with regard to the treatment of the resources of elderly and disabled persons. The Administration would critically examine the proposal for an elderly and disabled persons' disregard, the scope of such disregard, eligibility for such disregard and the relevant implementation mechanism. The Administration would also look into matters such as the desirability of maintaining the total disregard for the applicant's main dwelling when considering the overall eligibility criteria.

22. When receiving the progress report on the current five-yearly review at the Panel meeting on 30 March 2009, members reiterated their view that an asset which was the only means of livelihood of a legal aid applicant should be disregarded in assessing his financial eligibility. They considered that a flexible approach should be adopted in assessing the financial eligibility of legal aid applicants. A case was cited where an application to SLAS concerning a personal injury case was rejected because the applicant who owned a taxi had failed the means test, notwithstanding the fact that the taxi was his only asset and means of livelihood. The Administration undertook to provide further information on the assessment of financial eligibility of the case when it reverted to the Panel on the recommendations on the current five-yearly review.

Financial eligibility limits and the review cycle

- 23. At present, a single financial eligibility limit applies to all types of cases under OLAS as well as criminal legal aid cases. Another limit exists for SLAS. Different rates of contribution are specified in the Legal Aid (Assessment of Resources and Contributions) Regulations for different brackets of financial The maximum rate of 67% only applies to financial resources exceeding resources. Statistics on the amount and percentage of contributions required to be \$1,200,000. paid by the aided persons under OLAS and SLAS and the number of persons aided under the two Schemes in 2006 to 2008 as provided by the Administration are in **Appendix II**. In the context of criminal legal aid, DLA has the discretion to waive the limit in the interests of justice. According to the Administration, DLA in practice seldom refuses criminal legal aid applications solely on means ground except for applicants who fail to provide full and frank disclosure on their means.
- 24. The Panel received the following views from deputations on the financial eligibility limits -
 - (a) the financial eligibility limit for SLAS should be increased, say to \$1 million, so as to allow the middle class who could not afford the high litigation costs to be eligible for legal aid and to have access to justice;
 - (b) the contribution rate payable by legally-aided persons and the financial eligibility limit under SLAS could be applied flexibly, e.g. legal aid could be granted to an applicant whose financial resources exceeded the statutory financial limit, on the condition that he would make a higher contribution rate, say 15%; and
 - (c) timely reviews should be conducted to reflect changes in litigation costs and to ensure that 70% of households which currently were financially eligible for OLAS and SLAS would not be adversely affected as a result of any delay in adjusting the limits.

- 25. Members also expressed the following views -
 - (a) the existing financial eligibility limits under OLAS and SLAS were unrealistically set and should be reviewed, as they failed to adequately safeguard the public's right to access to justice
 - (b) relaxing the criteria for assessing the financial eligibility of SLAS applicants could help increase the number of SLAS applications, hence augmenting the income of the SLAS fund; and
 - (c) the appropriateness of having a one-line financial eligibility limit for all types of cases should be reviewed, having regard to the policy objective that no one with reasonable grounds for taking or defending legal action in the Hong Kong court was prevented from doing so because of a lack of means. The Administration should adopt a more flexible and holistic approach in reviewing the legal aid system to ensure access to justice.
- 26. The Administration advised the Panel that an across-the-board financial eligibility limit was clear and simple to understand and administer. The Administration, however, would examine the appropriateness of having an one-line financial eligibility limit and revert to the Panel on the issue in the context of the current five-yearly review.
- 27. On the existing three levels of reviews on financial eligibility of legal aid applicants, the Administration pointed out that substantial resources and time had been deployed since 1997 for data collection in order to carry out several reviews on the overall approach for assessing the financial eligibility of legal aid applicants over a fixed period of time. It was about time to review the scope for streamlining the number and frequency of reviews based on the experience gained.
- 28. Members may wish to note that the former Subcommittee on Proposed Resolution under Section 7(a) of LAO had referred to the Panel for follow-up the issue of the mechanism for conducting biennial reviews of financial eligibility limits of legal aid applicants. During the course of its deliberation, the Subcommittee noted that no adjustment had been made to the financial eligibility limits to reflect changes in private litigation costs in the past biennial reviews, as the Administration had failed to obtain sufficient evidence of changes in private litigation costs from the two legal professional bodies, the Judiciary and LAD. At the request of the Subcommittee, the Administration had undertaken to review the methodology on collecting information on private litigation costs in the context of the current five-yearly review.

Scope of SLAS

- 29. The Panel all along held the view that given the success of SLAS in widening access to justice, there was a strong case for expanding the scope of SLAS. Members pointed out that SLAS started off as a small self-financing scheme with limited funding, and hence legal assistance could only be provided for restricted types of proceedings in order to maintain its financial viability. With the successful operation of SLAS over the years, it was time for the Administration to consider expanding the scope of SLAS. The Hong Kong Bar Association, the Law Society of Hong Kong and LASC were also supportive of the proposed expansion.
- 30. The Administration advised the Panel that to maintain its financial viability, SLAS was by design aimed at cases which involved monetary claims of a reasonable size, with a high success rate and a reasonably good chance of recovering damages. Any proposal to expand the scope of SLAS must not jeopardize the financial viability of the scheme and thus required thorough consideration. This was particularly the case as the Supplementary Legal Aid Fund was liable to pay the full legal costs of the opposite party as well as that of assigned lawyers if claims supported by the Fund were unsuccessful. The Administration, however, was considering the scope of SLAS, including the feasibility of regarding SLAS as a safety net legal aid scheme.
- 31. Members reiterated their concern that the current legal aid system was increasingly being restricted to those with little or no means at all, while a vast majority of middle-class people had no access to legal aid services. They considered that the expansion of SLAS would not have any adverse impact on the financial viability of the scheme, given that only applicants whose case or defence had been assessed to have a reasonable chance of success would be granted assistance under the scheme, and a percentage of damages or compensation would be recovered from successful SLAS cases and paid into the Supplementary Legal Aid Fund. Information provided by the Administration on the number of successful and unsuccessful SLAS cases in recent years, together with the net gain arising from recovery of damages/compensation in successful cases as well as the costs for unsuccessful ones is in **Appendix III**.
- 32. When the Panel was briefed on an information note prepared by the Research and Library Services Division of the Legislative Council (LegCo) Secretariat (RLSD) on the scope and expenditure of legal aid services in England and Wales of the United Kingdom (UK), the Province of Ontario of Canada and the State of New South Wales of Australia (IN03/08-09), members were informed that an applicant's income and his capital were separately assessed in these three places when determining his financial eligibility for legal aid. For instance, in Ontario, the upper financial eligibility limit in respect of annual income for a two-person family was CAN\$12,900 (about HK\$85,000). In UK, a person with gross income of less than £2,530 (about HK\$29,300) per month was eligible for civil legal aid. In UK, eligibility for civil legal aid was assessed on the basis of monthly income, while that for criminal legal aid weekly income. Members considered that judging from the

- 9 -

data, it would appear that in some overseas jurisdictions like UK, the middle class were better provided for in legal aid than was the case in Hong Kong. Members requested the Administration to provide information on how Hong Kong compared to other jurisdictions in terms of accessibility of the middle class to legal aid. It had also been suggested that to provide the middle class with greater access to justice, the Administration could consider setting up a fund to provide loans to litigants subject to the passing of a merits test. Members may wish to note that detailed information on the eligibility limits for legal aid in the selected places are available in the research report on "Legal aid systems in selected places" (RP01/08-09) prepared by RLSD.

33. In its Report on Conditional Fees published in July 2007, the Law Reform Commission (LRC) has recommended the expansion of SLAS on a gradual and incremental basis in two ways. The first is to raise the financial eligibility limits to bring a higher proportion of households within the Scheme's ambit. The second way is to increase the types of cases covered. LRC has further recommended in the Report the setting up of a Conditional Legal Aid Fund (the proposed Fund) to screen applications for the use of conditional fees, brief out cases to private lawyers, finance the litigation, and pay the opponent's legal costs should the litigation prove unsuccessful. According to the recommendation, the proposed Fund should have a generously set upper financial eligibility limit but no minimum financial eligibility limit should be set. The proposed Fund would engage the private lawyers on a conditional fee basis while the clients would be charged on a contingency fee basis. A feasibility study should be carried out to establish the proposed Fund as a statutory body under the governance of an independent board. The Administration has undertaken to address the issues concerning SLAS in the context of the five-yearly review at the forthcoming meeting on 29 March 2010 and will revert to the Panel on the other recommendations made in the LRC Report in June 2010.

Scope of legal aid

- 34. When receiving the progress reports of the current five-yearly review, members requested the Administration to consider in the review expanding the scope of legal aid from litigation to legal advice. During their past discussions on legal aid, members had also suggested that the Administration should consider restructuring the legal aid regime to provide "unbundled legal assistance", i.e. with private lawyers providing advice and assistance at key points in the proceedings. They considered that it would not only extend the scope of legal aid but would also assist LAD in assessing the merits of a case at difference stages of the proceedings and accordingly decide whether legal aid should continue to be granted. Members may wish to note that the issue of provision of publicly-funded legal advice service will be discussed under a separate discussion item at the forthcoming meeting on 29 March 2010.
- 35. Members had also expressed the following views on the scope of legal aid services -

- (a) many types of proceedings, such as those relating to defamation, disputes over partnerships and money claims in financial derivative products, were not covered under the existing legal aid system. The incident relating to the Lehman Brothers' minibonds pointed to the need to review the exclusion of cases involving money claims in respect of financial derivative products. The Administration should review critically the present scope of cases covered by legal aid;
- (b) with the increasing number of Hong Kong people working and living on the Mainland, the scope of legal aid should be extended to cover litigation cases on the Mainland involving Hong Kong people;
- (c) while legal representation was not required as a matter of procedure for an appeal against refusal of legal aid, the applicant concerned might need legal assistance to argue his case and refute the legal points made against his application if it was refused on merits; and
- (d) more assistance should be provided to employees in obtaining legal aid in employees' compensation cases and employer insolvency cases.
- 36. The Administration advised the Panel that defamation proceedings were excluded from legal aid in view of the difficulties inherent in assessing the merits of this type of cases and quantifying in monetary terms the damages for loss of reputation. As for proceedings relating to money claims in financial derivative products, the Administration's position was that it would not be a reasonable use of limited public funds to grant legal aid for disputes arising from investment in high risk financial products. The Administration, however, would review the types of cases currently excluded from the scope of legal aid and revert to the Panel on the matter at the forthcoming meeting on 29 March 2010.
- 37. On the proposal for extending the scope of legal aid service to cover litigation cases on the Mainland involving Hong Kong people, members may wish to note that during the debate on the motion moved by Dr Hon Priscilla LEUNG on "Relaxing the eligibility criteria for legal aid" at the Council meeting on 11 February 2009, the Administration stated that it would not extend legal aid service to the Mainland. In reply to Dr LEUNG's written question on the same issue raised at the Council meeting on 6 January 2010, the Administration reiterated that the coverage of legal aid was limited to proceedings in Hong Kong courts and the Administration would not extend the scope of legal aid to the Mainland.

Consultation on the current review

38. The Administration informed the Panel that stakeholders would be consulted on specific preliminary proposals formulated by the Administration having regard to the views expressed by the Panel and relevant organizations on the current review. The major stakeholders to be consulted would include LegCo Members, LASC and the two legal professional bodies. Members expressed the view that there had been

criticism that the consultation conducted by the Administration in the past was confined mainly to the organizations represented in LASC and there was a lack of wider scope of public consultation. They considered that the scope of consultation on the five-year review should be widened. At the Panel's request, the Administration agreed to provide for members' reference a list of the stakeholders to be consulted on the five-yearly review.

LATEST POSITION

39. The Administration advised in January 2010 that it would consult LASC and revert to the Panel on its recommendations on the current five-yearly review at the forthcoming meeting on 29 March 2010.

RESEARCH REPORT

40. To facilitate members to consider the various issues relating to legal aid, RLSD has undertaken a research on the legal aid systems in UK, the Province of Ontario of Canada and the State of New South Wales of Australia in respect of the following areas: scope of legal aid services, eligibility for legal aid, legal aid expenditure per capita, legal aid services at the community, legal aid fees for lawyers and authority responsible for providing legal aid services. The research report and relevant supplementary information papers are listed in **Appendix IV**.

RELEVANT PAPERS

41. A list of the relevant papers which are available on the LegCo website is in **Appendix V**.

Council Business Division 2 <u>Legislative Council Secretariat</u> 24 March 2010

Improvement Measures

Five-Yearly Review of the Criteria for Assessing Financial Eligibility of Legal Aid Applicants in 2003

- (a) in calculating a legal aid applicant's income, the loss or reduction of future income, subject to satisfactory proof to DLA, would be taken into account;
- (b) in calculating a legal aid applicant's disposable income, the following items should be included as deductible
 - (i) provision for care of all dependants (other than just dependant children) during the time that the legal aid applicant was at work if considered reasonable, provided that they were living with the applicant, and were unable to take care of themselves by reasons of mental or physical disabilities or infirmity;
 - (ii) extension of the above deductible to also self-employed applicants; and
 - (iii) maintenance payment for ex-spouse and children, either ordered by the court and actually paid, or in case of voluntary payment, the amount actually paid which was considered reasonable and which should not exceed the statutory allowances as if the ex-spouse and children were the applicant's dependants;
- (c) in assessing disposable capital of an applicant to pursue an accident-related personal injury claim, DLA should be able to disregard an amount of the insurance monies received by the applicant in respect of the injuries to which the claim relates, to cover such future expenses on treatment, equipment and care in the following three years, as considered by DLA to be reasonable;
- (d) the contribution rate for the SLAS should be reduced from the present 12% to 10%; and
- (e) the interest rate to be accrued and payable by the aided person if DLA agreed to defer enforcing the first charge on property recovered should be one that had regard to the movements in the market, instead of a fixed rate as at present. The rate would on one hand be lower than the commercial best lending rate and on the other hand, not incur a loss to the Government.

Amount and Percentage of Contributions required to be paid by Legally Aided Persons under the Ordinary Legal Aid Scheme in 2006

Financia	Financial Resources		No. of Aided Persons			Amount and Percentage of Contributions required to be
Exceed	Do not Exceed	Civil	Criminal	Total		paid
Not excee	ding \$20,000	6770	2162	8932	77.1%	0
\$20,000	\$40,000	970	77	1047	9.0%	\$1,000
\$40,000	\$60,000	617	39	656	5.7%	\$2,000
\$60,000	\$80,000	361	28	389	3.4%	5%
\$80,000	\$100,000	190	10	200	1.7%	10%
\$100,000	\$120,000	135	11	146	1.3%	15%
\$120,000	\$144,000	120	14	134	1.2%	20%
	\$155,800	28	0	28	0.2%	25%
¢1.44.000	(1.1.2006 – 15.6.2006)					
\$144,000	\$158,300	38	2	40	0.3%	25%
	(16.6.2006 – 31.12.2006)					
\$155,800	\$260,700	0	3	3	0.0%	30%
\$158,300	\$269,700	0	1	1	0.0%	30%
\$269,700	\$369,700	0	1	1	0.0%	35%
\$369,700	\$469,700	0	1	1	0.0%	40%
\$469,700	\$569,700	0	3	3	0.0%	45%
\$569,700	\$669,700	0	1	1	0.0%	50%
\$669,700	\$769,700	0	1	1	0.0%	55%
\$769,700	\$869,700	0	1	1	0.0%	60%
\$869,700	\$1,200,000	0	0	0	0.0%	65%
Exceeding	g \$1,200,000	0	2	2	0.0%	67%
Т	otal	9,229	2,357	11,586	100%	

Amount and Percentage of Contributions required to be paid by Legally Aided Persons under the Ordinary Legal Aid Scheme in 2007

Financial Resources		No. of Aided Persons			% of Aided Persons	Amount and Percentage of Contributions required to be
Exceed	Do not Exceed	Civil	Criminal	Total		paid
Not exce	eding \$20,000	5,530	2,305	7,835	75.6%	0
\$20,000	\$40,000	940	73	1,013	9.8%	\$1,000
\$40,000	\$60,000	531	35	566	5.5%	\$2,000
\$60,000	\$80,000	323	39	362	3.5%	5%
\$80,000	\$100,000	226	10	236	2.3%	10%
\$100,000	\$120,000	120	18	138	1.3%	15%
\$120,000	\$144,000	124	6	130	1.3%	20%
	\$158,300	30	1	31	0.3%	25%
	(1.1.2007 – 14.6.2007)					
\$144,000	\$162,300	33	2	35	0.3%	25%
\$144,000	(15.6.2007 – 13.12.2007)					
	\$165,700	0	0	0	0.0%	25%
	(14.12.2007 – 31.12.2007)					
\$158,300		0	2	2	0.0%	30%
\$162,300	\$269,700	0	7	7	0.1%	30%
\$165,700		0	0	0	0.0%	30%
\$269,700	\$369,700	0	2	2	0.0%	35%
\$369,700	\$469,700	0	1	1	0.0%	40%
\$469,700	\$569,700	0	4	4	0.0%	45%
\$569,700	\$669,700	1	1	2	0.0%	50%
\$669,700	\$769,700	0	0	0	0.0%	55%
\$769,700	\$869,700	0	0	0	0.0%	60%
\$869,700	\$1,200,000	0	1	1	0.0%	65%
Exceedir	ng \$1,200,000	0	0	0	0.0%	67%
Total		7,858	2,507	10,365	100%	

Amount and Percentage of Contributions required to be paid by Legally Aided Persons under the Ordinary Legal Aid Scheme in 2008

Financia	l Resources	No. of Aided Persons			% of Aided Persons	Amount and Percentage of Contributions required to be	
Exceed	Do not Exceed	Civil	Criminal	Total	paid		
Not excee	ding \$20,000	5,108	2,046	7,154	74.1%	0	
\$20,000	\$40,000	868	75	943	9.8%	\$1,000	
\$40,000	\$60,000	535	44	579	6.0%	\$2,000	
\$60,000	\$80,000	355	20	375	3.9%	5%	
\$80,000	\$100,000	205	12	217	2.2%	10%	
\$100,000	\$120,000	152	11	163	1.7%	15%	
\$120,000	\$144,000	126	3	129	1.3%	20%	
\$144,000	\$165,700	68	5	73	0.8%	25%	
\$165,700	\$269,700	1	7	8	0.1%	30%	
\$269,700	\$369,700	0	4	4	0.0%	35%	
\$369,700	\$469,700	0	1	1	0.0%	40%	
\$469,700	\$569,700	0	2	2	0.0%	45%	
\$569,700	\$669,700	0	1	1	0.0%	50%	
\$669,700	\$769,700	0	0	0	0.0%	55%	
\$769,700	\$869,700	0	0	0	0.0%	60%	
\$869,700	\$1,200,000	0	2	2	0.0%	65%	
Exceeding	Exceeding \$1,200,000		2	2	0.0%	67%	
T	otal	7,418	2,235	9,653	100%		

Amount of Contributions required to be paid by Legally Aided Persons under the Supplementary Legal Aid Scheme in 2006

Financial Resources		No. of Aided Persons	Amount of Contribution required to be paid \$
Exceed	Do not Exceed		
(1.1.2006	- 15.6.2006)	75	38,950
\$155,800	\$432,900	75	36,930
(16.6.2006	- 31.12.2006)	50	20.575
\$158,300	\$439,800	52	39,575
Т	otal	127	-

Amount of Contribution required to be paid by Legally Aided Persons under the Supplementary Legal Aid Scheme in 2007

Financial	Resources	No. of Aided Persons	Amount of Contribution required to be paid \$	
Exceed	Do not Exceed			
(1.1.2007 –	14.6.2007)	- 51	39,575	
\$158,300	\$439,800	31		
(15.6.2007 –	13.12.2007)	28	40,575	
\$162,300	\$450,800	20		
(14.12.2007 -	- 31.12.2007)	0	41 425	
\$165,700	\$460,300	U	41,425	
То	tal	79	-	

Amount of Contribution required to be paid by Legally Aided Persons under the Supplementary Legal Aid Scheme in 2008

Financial Resources		No. of Aided Persons	Amount of Contribution required to be paid \$
Exceed	Do not Exceed		
\$165,700	\$460,300	95	41,425

Appendix III

Information on Supplementary Legal Aid Scheme cases

	No. of successful cases	No. of unsuccessful cases	Net gain arising from recovery of damages/compensation in successful cases (\$)	Costs of unsuccessful cases (\$)
2005-06	76	4	5,320,583	384,558
2006-07	86	9	2,479,251	4,269,106
2007-08	94	14	2,999,017	18,992,031

Notes: The above information is based on cases the accounts of which were finalised during the relevant financial year which runs from 1 October to 30 September the following year.

Research report on "Legal aid systems in selected places" and relevant supplementary information papers prepared by the Research and Library Services Division

Paper No.	Relevant Document
RP01/08-09	Research report on "Legal aid systems in selected places"
IN01/09-10	Supplementary information on income level, number of legal aid applications received and granted, and updated figures of legal aid expenditure in Hong Kong and the selected places
FS05/09-10	Supplementary table on client contributions, costs received and legal aid expenditure in Hong Kong and the selected places

Five-yearly review of the criteria for assessing the financial eligibility of legal aid applicants

Relevant documents

<u>Meeting</u>	Meeting Date	Paper/Motion/Question
Legislative Council	7 November 2001	Official Record of Proceedings of the Council on the motion moved by Hon Audrey EU on "Upholding the Rule of Law" http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr01-02/english/counmtg/hansard/cm1107ti-translate-e-e.pdf
	9 January 2002	Official Record of Proceedings of the Council on a written question raised by Hon Audrey EU on "Unrepresented litigants in civil cases" http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr01-02/english/counmtg/hansard/cm0109ti-translate-e-e.pdf
	30 January 2002	Official Record of Proceedings of the Council on a written question raised by Hon Abraham SHEK on "Statistics of legal aid cases" http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr01-02/english/counmtg/hansard/cm0130ti-translate-epdf
Panel on Administration of Justice and Legal Services	25 April 2002	Submission from the Hong Kong Bar Association [LC Paper No. CB(2)1692/01-02(01)] (English version only) http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr01-02/english/panels/ajls/papers/aj0425cb2-1692-1e.pdf
		Submission from Hong Kong Family Welfare Society [LC Paper No. CB(2)1692/01-02(02)] (English version only) http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr01-02/engli sh/panels/ajls/papers/aj0425-1692-2e-s can.pdf

- 2 -

Meeting	Meeting Date	Paper/Motion/Question
		Submission from Hong Kong Press Council [LC Paper No. CB(2)1692/01-02(03)] (English version only) http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr01-02/english/panels/ajls/papers/aj0425-1692-3e-scan.pdf
		Submission from Hong Kong Council of Social Service [LC Paper No. CB(2)1692/01-02(04)] (Chinese version only)
		Submissions from 1st Step Association [LC Paper No. CB(2)1692/01-02(05) LC Paper No. CB(2)1741/01-02(01))] (Chinese version only)
		Submission from the Law Society of Hong Kong [LC Paper No. CB(2)1692/01-02(06)] (English version only) http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr01-02/engli sh/panels/ajls/papers/aj0425-1692-6e-s can.pdf
		Submission from Hong Kong Journalists Association [LC Paper No. CB(2)1692/01-02(07)] (English version only) http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr01-02/english/panels/ajls/papers/aj0425-1692-7e-scan.pdf
		Submission from Mr YEUNG Wai-sing, Eastern District Council [LC Paper No. CB(2)1726/01-02(01)] (Chinese version only)
		Submission from Association of the Rights of Industrial Accident Victims [LC Paper No. CB(2)1741/01-02(02)] (Chinese version only)

- 3 -

Meeting	Meeting Date	Paper/Motion/Question
		Submission from Hong Kong Confederation of Trade Unions [LC Paper No. CB(2)1741/01-02(03)] (Chinese version only) Minutes of meeting [LC Paper No. CB(2)2615/01-02] http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr01-02/english/panels/ajls/minutes/aj020425.pdf
Legislative Council	9 April 2003	Official Record of Proceedings of the Council on a written question raised by Hon Cyd HO on "Legal aid applications in respect of litigations concerning human rights" http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr02-03/english/counmtg/hansard/cm0409ti-translate-e-e.pdf Official Record of Proceedings of the Council on a written question raised by Hon Cyd HO on "Legal aid applications in respect of litigations concerning anti-discrimination legislation" http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr02-03/english/counmtg/hansard/cm0409ti-translate-e-e.pdf
Panel on Administration of Justice and Legal Services	23 June 2003	List of Issues for Review prepared by the Panel in July 2002 [LC Paper No. CB(2)2646/01-02(01)] http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr02-03/english/panels/ajls/papers/aj0623cb2-2646-1e.pdf Bar Association's letter dated 11 September 2002 responding to the List of Issues for Review
		[LC Paper No. CB(2)2784/01-02(01)] (English version only) http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr02-03/english/panels/ajls/papers/aj0623-2784-1e-scan.pdf

- 4 -

Meeting	Meeting Date	Paper/Motion/Question
		Judgment in Shem Yiu Fun, HCAL183/2002 [LC Paper No. CB(2)1542/02-03(01)] http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr02-03/english/panels/ajls/papers/aj0623-1542-1e-scan.pdf
		Administration's paper on "Annual and Biennial Review of Financial Eligibility Limits of Legal Aid Applicants" [LC Paper No. CB(2)2581/02-03(01)] http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr02-03/english/panels/ajls/papers/aj0623cb2-2581-le.pdf
		Administration's paper on "Five-yearly Review of the Criteria for Assessing Financial Eligibility of Legal Aid Applicants" [LC Paper No. CB(2)2581/02-03(02)] http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr02-03/english/panels/ajls/papers/aj0623cb2-2581-2e.pdf
		Administration's response on the List of Issues for Review [LC Paper No. CB(2)2581/02-03(03)] http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr02-03/english/panels/ajls/papers/aj0623cb2-2581-3e.pdf
		Minutes of meeting [LC Paper No. CB(2)3051/02-03] http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr02-03/english/panels/ajls/minutes/aj030623.pdf
	29 July 2003	Submission from the Hong Kong Bar Association [LC Paper No. CB(2)2639/02-03(01)] (English version only) http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr02-03/engli sh/panels/ajls/papers/aj0623cb2-2639- 1e-scan.pdf

- 5 -

Meeting	Meeting Date	Paper/Motion/Question
		Extract of letter dated 16 July 2003 from the Director of Administration to the Clerk to Panel concerning the submission from the Hong Kong Bar Association [LC Paper No. CB(2)2888/02-03(01)] http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr02-03/english/panels/ajls/papers/aj0728cb2-2888-le.pdf
		Submission from the Law Society of Hong Kong on "Review of the Legal Aid in Criminal Case Rules" [LC Paper No. CB(2)2908/02-03(01)] http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr02-03/english/panels/ajls/papers/aj0729cb2-2908-1-e.pdf
		Minutes of meeting [LC Paper No. CB(2)37/03-04] http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr02-03/english/panels/ajls/minutes/aj030729.pdf
	27 October 2003	Director of Administration's letter dated 20 October 2003 responding to the issues raised by the Panel at the meetings on 23 June and 29 July 2003 [LC Paper No. CB(2)159/03-04(03)] http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr03-04/english/panels/ajls/papers/aj1027cb2-159-3
		Minutes of meeting [LC Paper No. CB(2)387/03-04] http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr03-04/english/panels/ajls/minutes/aj031027.pdf
	29 January 2004	Administration's reply dated 14 November 2003 on "Court of Appeal Case CACC 365 of 2000" [LC Paper No. CB(2)370/03-04(01)] http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr03-04/english/panels/ajls/papers/aj1124cb2-370-1e.pdf

Meeting	Meeting Date	Paper/Motion/Question
		Submission from the Hong Kong Bar Association [LC Paper No. CB(2)644/03-04(01)] (English version only) http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr03-04/english/panels/ajls/papers/ajls1218cb2-644-1e-scan.pdf
		Administration's letter dated 15 January 2004 responding to the Hong Kong Bar Association's submission of 28 November 2003 [LC Paper No. CB(2)1094/03-04(01)] http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr03-04/english/panels/ajls/papers/aj0129cb2-1094-le-scan.pdf
		Submission from the Law Society of Hong Kong [LC Paper No. CB(2)1094/03-04(02)] (English version only) http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr03-04/english/panels/ajls/papers/aj0129cb2-1094-2e-scan.pdf
		Submission dated 12 December 2003 from the Legal Aid Services Council (LASC) on "Five-yearly Review of the Criteria for Assessing Financial Eligibility of Legal Aid Applicants" [LC Paper No. CB(2)1094/03-04(03)] (English version only) http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr03-04/english/panels/ajls/papers/aj0129cb2-1094-3e-scan.pdf
		Response from the LASC on the Court of Appeal's judgment in a criminal appeal cases [LC Paper No. CB(2)3166/03-04(01)] (English version only) http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr03-04/english/panels/ajls/papers/aj0129cb2-3166-1e-scan.pdf

- 7 -

Meeting	Meeting Date	Paper/Motion/Question
		Minutes of meeting [LC Paper No. CB(2)1741/03-04] http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr03-04/english/panels/ajls/minutes/aj040129.pdf
Legislative Council	5 May 2004	Official Record of Proceedings of the Council on a written question raised by Dr Hon LO Wing-lok on "Legal aid applications relating to claims of medical negligence" http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr03-04/english/counmtg/hansard/cm0505ti-translate-e-e.pdf
Panel on Administration of Justice and Legal Services	14 December 2004	Administration's paper on "Annual and biennial review of financial eligibility limits of legal aid applicants" [LC Paper No. CB(2)367/04-05(01)] http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr04-05/english/panels/ajls/papers/aj1214cb2-367-1 e.pdf
		Minutes of meeting [LC Paper No. CB(2)710/04-05] http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr04-05/english/panels/ajls/minutes/aj041214.pdf
Legislative Council	11 May 2005	Official Record of Proceedings of the Council on an oral question raised by Hon Margaret NG on "Payment of fee to the defence counsel in criminal legal aid cases in respect of preparation work" http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr04-05/english/counmtg/hansard/cm0511ti-translate-e.pdf
Panel on Administration of Justice and Legal Services		Administration's responses to the submissions from LASC and the Law Society of Hong Kong [LC Paper No. CB(2)58/04-05(01) LC Paper No. CB(2)58/04-05(02)] http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr04-05/english/panels/ajls/papers/aj1109cb2-58-1e.pdf

Meeting	Meeting Date	Paper/Motion/Question
		http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr04-05/english/panels/ajls/papers/aj1109cb2-58-2e.pdf
		Administration's paper on "Pilot Scheme on Mediation of Legally Aided Matrimonial Cases" [LC Paper No. CB(2)507/04-05(01)] http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr04-05/english/panels/ajls/papers/aj0124cb2-507-1e.pdf
		Administration's letter dated 4 April 2005 on "Pilot Scheme on Mediation of Legally Aided Matrimonial Cases" [LC Paper No. CB(2)1212/04-05(01)] http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr04-05/english/panels/ajls/papers/aj0425cb2-1212e-scan.pdf
		Administration's letter dated 8 July 2005 to the Law Society of Hong Kong on "2004 Biennial Review of Criminal Legal Aid Fees, Prosecution Fees and Duty Lawyer Fees" [LC Paper No. CB(2)2268/04-05(02)] (English version only) http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr04-05/english/panels/ajls/papers/ajcb2-2268-2e-scan.pdf
		Administration's letter dated 11 July 2005 on "Criminal Legal Aid Fees and Five-yearly Review of the Criteria for Assessing Financial Eligibility of Legal Aid Applicants" [LC Paper No. CB(2)2319/04-05(01)] http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr04-05/english/panels/ajls/papers/ajcb2-2319-1e-scan.pdf

- 9 -

Meeting	Meeting Date	Paper/Motion/Question
	23 January 2006	Background brief prepared by the LegCo Secretariat on "Provision of legal aid services" [LC Paper No. CB(2)904/05-06(01)] http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr05-06/english/panels/ajls/papers/aj0123cb2-904-1e.pdf Administration's paper on "Annual review of financial eligibility limits of legal aid applicants" [LC Paper No. CB(2)904/05-06(02)] http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr05-06/english/panels/ajls/papers/aj0123cb2-904-2
		e.pdf Submission from Mr Valentine S T YIM on "Annual review of financial eligibility limits of legal aid applicants" [LC Paper No. CB(2)963/05-06(01)] (English version only) http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr05-06/english/panels/ajls/papers/aj0123cb2-963-1 e-scan.pdf
		Minutes of meeting [LC Paper No. CB(2)1491/05-06] http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr05-06/english/panels/ajls/minutes/aj060123.pdf
		Administration's letter dated 17 March 2006 on "2005 annual review of financial limits of legal aid applicants" [LC Paper No. CB(2)1471/05-06(01)] http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr05-06/english/panels/ajls/papers/aj0123cb2-1471-1e.pdf
Legislative Council	3 May 2006	Official Record of Proceedings of the Council on the motion moved by the Chief Secretary for Administration on "Proposed resolution under the Legal Aid Ordinance" http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr05-06/english/counmtg/hansard/cm0503ti-translate-e.pdf

Meeting	Meeting Date	Paper/Motion/Question
Panel on Administration of Justice and Legal Services	27 November 2006	Administration's paper on "Annual and Biennial Review of Financial Eligibility Limits of Legal Aid Applicants" [LC Paper No. CB(2)431/06-07(04)] http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr06-07/english/panels/ajls/papers/aj1127cb2-431-4-e.pdf
		Minutes of meeting [LC Paper No. CB(2)887/06-07] http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr06-07/english/panels/ajls/minutes/aj061127.pdf
	26 March 2007	Background brief prepared by the LegCo Secretariat on "Provision of legal aid services" [LC Paper No. CB(2)1395/06-07(01)] http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr06-07/english/panels/ajls/papers/aj0326cb2-1395-1-e.pdf
		Administration's paper on "Five-yearly review of the criteria for assessing the financial eligibility of legal aid applicants" [LC Paper No. CB(2)1395/06-07(02)] http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr06-07/english/panels/ajls/papers/aj0326cb2-1395-2-e.pdf
		The Legal Aid Services Council's letter dated 26 March 2007 [LC Paper No. CB(2)1472/06-07(01)] (Chinese version only)
		Submission dated 26 March 2007 from the 1st Step Association [LC Paper No. CB(2)1472/06-07(02)] (Chinese version only)
		Information note from the LegCo Office of Hon Margaret NG [LC Paper No. CB(2)1472/06-07(03)] (Chinese version only)

Meeting	Meeting Date	Paper/Motion/Question
		Minutes of meeting [LC Paper No. CB(2)1966/06-07] http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr06-07/english/panels/ajls/minutes/aj070326.pdf
		Administration's letter dated 15 November 2007 on "2007 Annual Review of Financial Eligibility Limits of Legal Aid Applicants" [LC Paper No. CB(2)367/07-08(01)] http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr07-08/english/panels/ajls/papers/aj1126cb2-367-1-e.pdf
	26 May 2008	Background Brief prepared by the Legislative Council Secretariat on "Five-yearly review of the criteria for assessing the financial eligibility of legal aid applicants" [LC Paper No. CB(2)2010/07-08(01)] http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr07-08/english/panels/ajls/papers/aj0526cb2-2010-1-e.pdf
		Administration's paper on "Five-yearly review of the criteria for assessing the financial eligibility of legal aid applicants" [LC Paper No. CB(2)2011/07-08(04)] http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr07-08/english/panels/ajls/papers/aj0526cb2-2011-4-e.pdf
		Submission dated 26 May 2008 from Law Society of Hong Kong [LC Paper No. CB(2)2090/07-08(01)] (English version only) http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr07-08/english/panels/ajls/papers/aj0623cb2-2090-1-e.pdf
		Minutes of meeting [LC Paper No. CB(2)2818/07-08] http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr07-08/english/panels/ajls/minutes/aj080526.pdf

Meeting	Meeting Date	Paper/Motion/Question
Legislative Council	2 July 2008	Official Record of Proceedings of the Council on a written question raised by Hon James TO on "Inclusion of cash values of insurance policies in the calculation of capital assets in assets tests" http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr07-08/english/counmtg/hansard/cm0702-translate-e.pdf
Panel on Administration of Justice and Legal Services	24 November 2008	Information Note prepared by the Research and Library Services Division on "Scope and expenditure of legal aid services in selected places" [IN03/08-09] http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr08-09/english/sec/library/0809in03-e.pdf Background Brief prepared by the Legislative Council Secretariat on "Review of the provision of legal aid services" [LC Paper No. CB(2)309/08-09(07)] http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr08-09/english/panels/ajls/papers/aj1124cb2-309-7-e.pdf Administration's paper on "Provision of legal aid services" [LC Paper No. CB(2)309/08-09(08)] http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr08-09/english/panels/ajls/papers/aj1124cb2-309-8-e.pdf Submission from the Society for Community Organization on "Review of the provision of legal aid services" [LC Paper No. CB(2)335/08-09(01)] (Chinese version only) Minutes of meeting [LC Paper No. CB(2)584/08-09] http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr08-09/english/panels/ajls/minutes/aj20081124.pdf

Meeting	Meeting Date	Paper/Motion/Question
		Follow-up paper
		Administration's response on review of the provision of legal aid services [LC Paper No. CB(2)2011/08-09(01)] http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr08-09/english/panels/ajls/papers/aj1124cb2-2011-1-e.pdf
Legislative Council	17 December 2008	Official Record of Proceedings of the Council on an oral question raised by Dr Hon Margaret NG on "Free Legal Advice Scheme and Duty Lawyer Service" http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr08-09/english/counmtg/hansard/cm1217-translate-e.pdf
	11 February 2009	Official Record of Proceedings of the Council on the motion moved by Dr Hon Priscilla LEUNG Mei-fun on "Relaxing the eligibility criteria for legal aid" http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr08-09/english/counmtg/hansard/cm0211-translate-e.pdf
Panel on Administration of Justice and Legal Services	30 March 2009	Administration's paper on "Five-yearly review of the criteria for assessing the financial eligibility of legal aid applicants" [LC Paper No. CB(2)1152/08-09(04)] http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr08-09/english/panels/ajls/papers/aj0330cb2-1152-4-e.pdf
		Background brief prepared by the Legislative Council Secretariat on "Five-yearly review of the criteria for assessing the financial eligibility of legal aid applicants" [LC Paper No. CB(2)1152/08-09(05)] http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr08-09/english/panels/ajls/papers/aj0330cb2-1152-5-e.pdf

Meeting	Meeting Date	Paper/Motion/Question
		Law Society of Hong Kong's letter dated 27 March 2009 [LC Paper No. CB(2)1215/08-09(01)] (English version only) http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr08-09/english/panels/ajls/papers/aj0330cb2-1215-1-e.pdf
		Minutes of meeting [LC Paper No. CB(2)1603/08-09] http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr08-09/engli sh/panels/ajls/minutes/aj20090330.pdf
Subcommittee on Proposed Resolution under Section 7(a) of the Legal Aid Ordinance (Cap. 91)	4 May 2009	Minutes of meeting [LC Paper No. CB(2)2124/08-09] http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr08-09/engli sh/hc/sub_leg/sc60/minutes/sc6020090 504.pdf
Panel on Administration of Justice and Legal Services	22 October 2009	Minutes of meeting [LC Paper No. CB(2)506/09-10] http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr09-10/engli sh/panels/ajls/minutes/aj20091022.pdf

Council Business Division 2 <u>Legislative Council Secretariat</u> 24 March 2010