立法會 Legislative Council

LC Paper No. CB(2)1547/09-10(06)

Ref : CB2/PL/MP

Panel on Manpower

Background brief prepared by the Legislative Council Secretariat for the meeting on 20 May 2010

Occupational Safety Performance in Hong Kong

Purpose

This paper summarizes past discussions by the Panel on Manpower ("the Panel") on occupational safety in Hong Kong.

Deliberations of the Panel on Manpower

- 2. The Panel discussed Hong Kong's occupational safety performance at its meetings on 20 May 2004, 16 June 2005, 15 June 2006, 21 December 2006, 21 June 2007, 20 December 2007, 21 January 2009, 16 July 2009, 22 October 2009 and 21 January 2010. The deliberations are summarized in the following paragraphs.
- 3. According to the Administration, the Labour Department ("LD") attached great importance to enhancing occupational safety, and was committed to ensuring that risks to the safety of people at work were properly managed and addressed through legislation, law enforcement, promotion, education and training. Through the concerted efforts of all parties concerned, including employers, employees, contractors, safety professionals and the Government, there had been steady improvement in Hong Kong's occupational safety performance in recent years.

Requirement to report occupational injuries

4. Some members said that many contractors in the construction industry had deliberately not reported occupational injuries in order to maintain a good safety record and a better chance of winning contracts. They asked whether the Administration would consider adopting measures, such as making it mandatory for medical doctors to report occupational injuries, to address the problem.

5. The Administration responded that there were statutory requirements on the notification of occupational diseases and most occupational injuries had been reported. It was tackling the problem through law enforcement, publicity and education.

Causes for industrial accidents

- 6. Some members asked whether the Administration had carried out case studies to identify the causes for industrial accidents. They considered that such information could help the Administration plan and enhance the publicity and education programmes on industrial safety for targeted groups and industries.
- 7. The Administration responded that it had conducted in-depth analyses on fatal industrial accidents. Case analyses had been provided to trade unions, training institutes, the media and occupational safety officers for dissemination to relevant sectors.

Work-related injuries of self-employed persons

- 8. Some members suggested that consideration should be given to making it mandatory for all work-related injuries to be reported to LD, including those of self-employed persons.
- 9. The Administration stressed that careful consideration should be given to the idea of requiring self-employed persons to report work injuries since it would require introduction of new legislation to give effect to the requirement. The likely impact of this policy should be fully assessed, and the agreement of all stakeholders had to be sought before implementation. The Administration added that in deciding whether a person was self-employed, the court would take into account all relevant facts of a case, including the circumstances, the evidence and precedent cases, if any, before concluding its judgment.
- 10. Some members considered that the Administration should compile work injury statistics from a wider perspective, and provide members with figures which covered all accidents occurring on construction sites, regardless of whether the injuries were related to employees or employers. With such information, members could have a more comprehensive picture of the safety performance of the construction industry.

Occupational safety of persons working at height

- 11. Some members were concerned about fatalities caused by falling of workers from height.
- 12. The Administration advised that LD would continue to seek improvements in work-at-height safety in the construction industry in close partnership with relevant stakeholders, including the Occupational Safety and Health Council ("OSHC"), the Construction Industry Council ("CIC"), trade associations, labour unions, professional

bodies as well as other government bureaux/departments. It would -

- (a) in light of the expected increase in new works owing to the commencement of major infrastructure projects, continue to focus its enforcement efforts on work-at-height safety, with emphasis on scaffolding works, use of ladders and working platforms, and step up cooperation with the Development Bureau and relevant departments to ensure proper attention to safety issues from the design stage to every subsequent stage of project implementation and delivery;
- (b) in anticipation of the growth in repair, maintenance, alteration and addition ("RMAA") works owing to the ageing of buildings and various initiatives of the Government to accelerate minor works for creation of jobs, step up enforcement, publicity and promotional efforts targeting work-at-height, scaffolding safety, and RMAA works;
- (c) in collaboration with CIC, identify measures to enhance site safety of working in lift shaft. CIC had established a task force on the issue and would engage relevant stakeholders including relevant government departments, trade associations and labour unions on the development of practical guidelines. LD would take enforcement actions as necessary to ensure that requirements as spelt out in the guidelines were adopted by the industry;
- (d) in view of the importance of fostering a strong safety culture among employers and employees, especially in respect of the highly hazardous work-at-height processes, continue to target publicity and promotion in this area, including organizing publicity campaigns targetting work-at-height, scaffolding safety, and RMAA works; and
- (e) in view of the large proportion of small and medium-sized contractors undertaking RMAA works in Hong Kong, continue to work in collaboration with OSHC to promote the sponsorship schemes to provide small and medium-sized contractors with financial assistance to improve their safety performance in relation to work-at-height.

Industrial accidents related to the operation of tower cranes

- 13. Some members asked about the measures taken by the Administration to minimize industrial accidents related to the operation of tower cranes after a fatal accident in July 2007.
- 14. The Administration advised that an Informal Task Force on Safety of Tower Cranes ("ITF") established by CIC had conducted a systemic and thorough process review of tower crane operations from pre-erection, to installation, operation and maintenance. Having concluded its study in end-2007, ITF formulated a set of

Guidelines on Safety of Tower Cranes ("the Guidelines") setting out the good practices for enhancing the safety of tower crane operations for adoption by the construction industry. The Guidelines were published in June 2008 and amended in early 2010.

15. The Administration informed members that -

- (a) LD had included in its enforcement work promotion of compliance with the recommendations set out in the Guidelines. A number of special promotional/enforcement campaigns had been conducted;
- (b) between July and October 2008, LD launched a promotional campaign to assess the situation of compliance with the Guidelines and to encourage compliance. A special technical team of LD had checked all 210 tower cranes in operation at construction sites to encourage commitment by stakeholders to adopting the measures set out in the Guidelines. Although some duty holders were not yet able to comply with the measures at the time, it was noted that many duty holders were taking active steps towards compliance. The result was encouraging; and
- (c) LD had further followed up to gauge the compliance situation with a special enforcement campaign between November and December 2008. Where imminent risks of death or serious bodily injury were detected, LD officers would issue suspension notices to ensure speedy rectification.

RMAA works safety

- 16. According to information provided by the Administration, most RMAA works were of a small-scale and scattered in nature, and undertaken by small contractors within a relatively short time span. The contractors were generally less conversant with the occupational safety and health legislation and their workers were less aware of safety precautionary measures. RMAA works had soared in recent years because of the tightening of enforcement against unauthorized building structures and the ageing of buildings. The growing trend was expected to continue in the coming years due to the proposed introduction of the Mandatory Building Inspection and Window Inspection Schemes by the Buildings Department, and the launch of various subsidy schemes to encourage maintenance of old buildings.
- 17. Some members expressed concern that owners and occupiers of premises undergoing RMAA works would be liable for workers' occupational safety, if accidents occurred at their home. They pointed out that in a case where a worker was employed by a contractor, the contractor rather than the owner/occupier of the premises should be responsible for the former's occupational safety. They also expressed concern about occupational injuries in the catering industry and pointed out

that many of these injuries resulted from overwork due to manpower shortage.

- 18. The Administration advised that an owner/occupier could be subject to civil liabilities when an accident occurred in the conduct of RMAA works at home. The questions were whether an employer-employee relationship could be established between the worker and the contractor and whether the owner/occupier had any control over the work site. LD had established with the Hong Kong Association of Property Management Companies, Housing Department, Hong Kong Housing Society and Urban Renewal Authority a referral mechanism to enable the collection of intelligence on RMAA works, and facilitate prompt and targeted enforcement actions on such high-risk activities. In the past year, over 1 000 cases involving RMAA works had been referred to LD by property management companies, Hong Kong Housing Society, Urban Renewal Authority and other sources. Apart from regular inspections, LD had also conducted inspections in evenings and during holidays. In addition, it had collaborated with District Councils to promote RMAA safety.
- 19. Some members were concerned that the number of fatalities arising from RMAA works had accounted for a considerable proportion of all construction fatalities. They enquired about the conviction rate for prosecutions instituted against non-compliance of safety standards.
- 20. The Administration advised that for cases where legal proceedings had been concluded, the conviction rate was 85%. According to the law, an employer who failed to provide safe workplaces for employees might be subject to legal sanction. Likewise, employees had the responsibility to comply with safety measures when carrying out works. However, legal proceedings were rarely instituted against workers when there was non-compliance. There was hence a need to promote awareness of work safety to both employers and employees in the trade. In this connection, LD had provided some tailor-made programmes for workers. For example, during a gathering for workers, LD would deliver safety talks before dinner started. There was also Announcement in Public Interest appealing to workers' concern about safety at work.
- 21. Some members expressed concern that the number of fatalities arising from RMAA works was on the rise. They hoped that the collaboration between LD and DCs on promoting awareness of RMAA work safety could be further enhanced. Although LD had, in collaboration with OSHC, launched a sponsorship scheme in 2005 to help small and medium-sized enterprises purchase safety equipment for RMAA works, there were only 359 applications with over \$1.15 million of subsidy granted within three years.
- 22. The Administration responded that the safety of RMAA works was not just a matter for people in the trade, but also for the community at large. To this end, LD had extended its promotional coverage by partnering with different district organizations, including DCs, property management companies and other local organizations, to promote RMAA safety. LD had also launched a series of intensive

promotion and publicity campaigns, targeting at RMAA works activities, focusing particularly on RMAA works and safety of work-at-height. The number of applications for safety equipment sponsorship had been low because SMEs might not be aware of the availability of such a scheme.

- 23. Members noted that the percentage of RMAA works accidents in the construction industry had increased from 37.9% in 2004 to 50.1% in 2007. Based on the statistics provided by the Administration, it would appear that site inspection was an effective means to deter unsafe practices. Some members asked whether the Administration would consider conducting site inspections on a monthly basis instead of a half-yearly basis.
- 24. The Administration responded that this area was among the foci of LD's work. Special enforcement campaigns had been and would continue to be launched. In 2008, over 3 000 inspections were made at different RMAA workplaces. In addition, LD had set up a Central Inspection Team to deal with investigation of complaints from workers against unsafe work conditions in their workplaces and to conduct surprise inspections at randomly selected workplaces of different geographical areas.

Occupational safety under hot weather

- 25. Some members expressed concern about the occupational safety of cleaning workers working in an enclosed area such as an aircraft cabin under very hot weather.
- 26. The Administration responded that LD had conveyed to cleaning contractors about the ventilation problem in aircraft cabins. Remedial measures, such as opening the cabin doors and using blowers to enhance the ventilation, had subsequently been taken to improve the working environment.
- 27. Members noted that in 2008, CIC released the "Guidelines on Site Safety Measures for Working in Hot Weather" to promote the awareness of contractors and construction workers of the risk associated with working in hot weather, and recommended specific practices and measures for reference by the industry. Some members considered that the Administration should step up site inspection to prevent non-compliance with the guidelines. As the Occupational Safety and Health Ordinance provided that employers had the general duty to provide a safe and healthy workplace for employees, members asked about the application of general duty, the party which had the authority to determine the scope of the general duty, and whether the power to order suspension of work under very hot weather, such as by a foreman, could be regarded as a general duty exercised on behalf of the employer. A member took the view that the general duty should include suspension of work under extremely hot weather in order to ensure the safety of workers.
- 28. Some members expressed concern about the guidelines being only applicable to the construction industry, but not other industries such as laundries and restaurants where workers were exposed to a higher risk of heat stroke in summer. They also

noted that the guidelines were not legally binding. As regards the checklist for assessing the risk of heat stroke, some members pointed out that an employer might not take any action even if an assessment indicated that there was a risk of heat stroke. Similarly, a worker might not be granted rest breaks even if the assessment indicated that he was subject to a risk of heat stroke.

- 29. The Administration responded that LD had stepped up site inspection in very The concept of general duty followed a common sense approach under which a reasonable person was expected to deal with a matter in a reasonable manner having regard to the circumstances surrounding the case. As to whether suspension of work was necessary under very hot weather, it would depend on the circumstances. The employers' associations of the construction industry had earlier taken action to inform the media about the provision of rest breaks to construction workers working in hot weather as appropriate. The Administration informed members that the construction process involved a number of inter-related steps and the delay of one step might affect the entire process. Suspension of work on a continuous basis, say two to three consecutive days due to very hot weather warning announced by the Hong Kong Observatory, would affect not only the construction schedule but also the livelihood of construction workers who were paid on a daily basis.
- 30. The Administration pointed out that the checklist developed by LD provided guidance for employers to assess the risk of heat stroke at workplaces. If there were discrepancies in the assessments, it could be resolved through discussion by the parties and agreement on the appropriate preventive measures to be taken. The checklist had also provided examples of preventive measures, such as a rest break after 20 to 40 minutes of work for construction workers under extremely hot weather.

On-site safety officer

- 31. Some members considered that in order to reduce accidents in construction sites, there was a need for the Administration to review the employment policy of on-site safety officers. An employer or a contractor was currently required to employ an on-site safety officer to monitor compliance with safety measures in the construction site. Given that an on-site safety officer who reported his employer's non-compliance with safety measures might result in his dismissal, he could hardly discharge his duties with due diligence. These members suggested that on-site safety officer should be recruited by LD and be accountable to LD, while his wages would be paid by the employer or contractor.
- 32. The Administration responded that in accordance with the law, a registered safety officer who was employed to take care of occupational safety and health matters at workplaces was duty-bound to provide professional advice to the employer and help ensure that effective measures had been implemented. An employer was ultimately accountable for the safety and health of his employees at the workplace. The Administration would study and discuss the proposal with relevant stakeholders.

Recent measures to enhance occupational safety

- 33. According to the Administration, LD would continue to keep up its efforts to reduce the number of occupational injuries in the coming years by working in close partnership with relevant stakeholders, including OSHC, CIC, trade associations, labour unions, professional bodies as well as other government bureaux/departments. Highlights of the Administration's work in 2009 included -
 - (a) focusing its enforcement efforts on construction hazards, such as work-at-height, use of electricity, repair and maintenance of lifts and escalators, tower crane operations, use of construction vehicles and mobile plant in light of the increased new works arising from the commencement of major infrastructure projects;
 - (b) stepping up enforcement, publicity and promotional efforts targeting work-at-height, scaffolding safety, and RMAA works in anticipation of growing minor renovation and maintenance activities and various initiatives by the Government to accelerate minor works for the creation of jobs;
 - (c) continuing to foster a strong safety culture and enhance the safety and health awareness of employers and employees in the construction and catering industries via publicity and promotion;
 - (d) organizing publicity campaigns for the construction industry targeting work-at-height, scaffolding safety, and RMAA works with focus on the safe use of truss-out scaffolds; and
 - (e) continuing to launch various sponsorship schemes in collaboration with OSHC to provide SMEs with financial assistance to improve their occupational safety and health performance.

Relevant papers

34. Members are invited to access the Legislative Council's website at http://www.legco.gov.hk to view the relevant papers and minutes of meetings.

Council Business Division 2
<u>Legislative Council Secretariat</u>
14 May 2010