

# **立法會**

## **Legislative Council**

LC Paper No. CB(1)1386/09-10

(These minutes have been seen  
by the Administration)

Ref: CB1/PS/1/08/1

### **Panel on Transport**

#### **Subcommittee on Matters Relating to Railways**

**Minutes of meeting on  
Tuesday, 9 February 2010, at 8:30 am  
in Conference Room A of the Legislative Council Building**

**Members present :** Hon Miriam LAU Kin-yee, GBS, JP (Chairman)  
Ir Dr Hon Raymond HO Chung-tai, SBS, S.B.St.J., JP  
Hon LAU Kong-wah, JP  
Hon Andrew CHENG Kar-foo  
Hon Abraham SHEK Lai-him, SBS, JP  
Hon LI Fung-ying, BBS, JP  
Hon WONG Kwok-hing, MH  
Hon Jeffrey LAM Kin-fung, SBS, JP  
Hon Ronny TONG Ka-wah, SC  
Hon KAM Nai-wai, MH  
Hon IP Wai-ming, MH  
Hon Mrs Regina IP LAU Suk-yee, GBS, JP

**Members attending :** Prof Hon Patrick LAU Sau-shing, SBS, JP  
Hon CHAN Kin-por, JP  
Hon IP Kwok-him, GBS, JP

**Members absent :** Hon LEE Wing-tat  
Hon CHEUNG Hok-ming, GBS, JP  
Hon WONG Sing-chi

**Public Officers  
attending** : **Agenda item IV**

Mr YAU Shing-mu  
Under Secretary for Transport and Housing

Mr C W CHOW  
Principal Assistant Secretary for Transport & Housing

Mr WAN Man-lung  
Principal Government Engineer / Railway Development  
Highways Department

Miss Eliza MA  
Chief Estate Surveyor / Railway Development Section  
Lands Department

**Agenda item V**

Mr YAU Shing-mu  
Under Secretary for Transport and Housing

Mr C W CHOW  
Principal Assistant Secretary for Transport & Housing

Mr WAN Man-lung  
Principal Government Engineer / Railway Development  
Highways Department

**Attendance by  
invitation** : **Agenda items IV & V**

MTR Corporation Limited

Mr Malcolm GIBSON  
Head of Project Engineering

Miss Maggie SO  
Senior Manager - Projects and Property Communications

**Clerk in attendance :** Ms Joanne MAK  
Chief Council Secretary (1)2

**Staff in attendance :** Mr Ken WOO  
Council Secretary (1)2

Miss Winnie CHENG  
Legislative Assistant (1)5

Action

- 
- I Confirmation of minutes of meeting**  
(LC Paper No. CB(1)1016/09-10) — Minutes of the meeting held on 4 December 2009)
- The minutes of the meeting held on 4 December 2009 were confirmed.
- II Information papers issued since last meeting**  
(LC Paper No. CB(1)699/09-10(01))
- Submission from the Hong Kong Utility Research Centre on the Hong Kong section of the Guangzhou-Shenzhen-Hong Kong Express Rail Link (XRL) project
  - Submission from South Tokwawan Concern Group on the Shatin to Central Link (SCL) project
  - Submission from 黃埔花園第 11 期紫荊苑業主委員會 on the proposed ventilation shaft at Shung King Street for the Kwun Tong Line Extension project
  - Submission from a member of the public on the XRL project
  - Submission from 翔龍灣業主委員會項目統籌小組 on the SCL scheme
  - Submission from a Mr LIU on the Hong Kong section of the XRL project
  - Submission from a Mr CHENG on the Hong Kong section of the XRL project
  - Submission on the design of the MTR Diamond Hill Station under the SCL project from a member of the public
- LC Paper No. CB(1)699/09-10(02)
- LC Paper No. CB(1)699/09-10(03)
- LC Paper No. CB(1)731/09-10(01)
- LC Paper No. CB(1)820/09-10(01)
- LC Paper No. CB(1)876/09-10(01)
- LC Paper No. CB(1)940/09-10(01)
- LC Paper No. CB(1)940/09-10(02)

Action

- LC Paper No. CB(1)940/09-10(03) — MTR Corporation Limited's reply letter dated 25 January 2010 to the letter dated 28 December 2009 from 翔龍灣業主委員會 (LC Paper No. CB(1)820/09-10(01)) on the SCL project
- LC Paper No. CB(1)1047/09-10(01) — MTR Corporation Limited's reply letter dated 29 January 2010 to the letter dated 19 January 2010 by 明愛莫張瑞勤社區中心西港島綫居民關注組 on the ventilation shaft on Hill Road under the West Island Line project
- LC Paper No. CB(1)1101/09-10(01) — Administration's reply letter dated 1 February 2010 to the letter dated 28 December 2009 from 翔龍灣業主委員會 (LC Paper No. CB(1)820/09-10(01))

2. Members noted the above papers which had been issued since the last regular meeting.

**III Items for discussion at the next regular meeting on 16 April 2010**

- (LC Paper No. CB(1)1018/09-10(01) — List of outstanding items for discussion  
LC Paper No. CB(1)1018/09-10(02) — List of follow-up actions)

3. Members agreed to discuss the Kwun Tong Line Extension project and the mechanism of regular report on the construction of the Hong Kong section of the Guangzhou-Shenzhen-Hong Kong Express Rail Link at the next regular meeting to be held on 16 April 2010 at 8:30 am. At the suggestion of Mr WONG Kwok-hing, members also agreed to schedule a special meeting to discuss "Recent railway incidents", which should cover the incident of a train heading Tung Chung failing to open its doors at Lai King Station on 5 February 2010 and the East Rail Line service disruption on 21 January 2010. Members agreed to hold the special meeting on 18 March 2010 at 8:30 am.

(Post-meeting note: The special meeting originally scheduled for 18 March 2010 had been re-scheduled to 26 March 2010 as the Council meeting on 17 March 2010 was resumed on 18 March 2010 for continuation of unfinished business.)

**IV West Island Line project**

- |                                   |  |
|-----------------------------------|--|
| (LC Paper No. CB(1)1018/09-10(03) | — Administration's paper on West Island Line project   |
| LC Paper No. CB(1)1050/09-10      | — Background brief on West Island Line project prepared by the Legislative Council Secretariat |
| LC Paper No. CB(1)1141/09-10(01)  | — Powerpoint presentation materials provided by the MTR Corporation Limited)                   |

4. The Subcommittee deliberated (index of proceedings attached at **Annex**).

5. With the aid of Powerpoint, the MTR Corporation Limited (MTRCL) briefed members the latest progress of the West Island Line (WIL) project.

*Proposed ventilation shafts on Hill Road and Bonham Road*

6. Mr KAM Nai-wai queried why the option of entirely removing the proposed ventilation shafts on Hill Road and Bonham Road from the project scope was not included in the Administration's paper for discussion. Mr KAM was of the view that this had violated the undertaking made by the Director of Highways at the Finance Committee meeting on 3 July 2009 when the funding applications for the WIL project were discussed. Mr IP Kwok-him also urged the Administration/MTRCL to strive for removing the shafts from the project design. Mr KAM Nai-wai and Mrs Regina IP asked whether there were proposals submitted by tenderers which sought to eliminate the ventilation shafts on Hill Road and Bonham Road, and the timing for awarding relevant contracts.

7. The Administration/MTRCL responded that ventilation shafts were essential facilities to underground railway systems to allow air circulation and would not emit harmful gases. The requirements for minimizing the size and impact of ventilation shafts had been incorporated into the relevant tender documents. The Administration advised that there were proposals from tenderers proposing to leave out the ventilation shafts or to reduce their sizes and impact. The MTRCL was examining the proposals and the assessment was expected to be completed in March 2010. Members requested that information on the assessment outcome should be provided to the Subcommittee.

8. After discussion, Mr KAM Nai-wai moved the following motion which was seconded by Mr Andrew CHENG -

"強烈要求政府及港鐵以取消山道及般咸道通風井的方案作為承建商獲取西港島線西營盤及大學站工程合約的優先條件。"

(Translation)

"That this Subcommittee strongly requests the Government and the MTRCL to designate the option of not constructing ventilation shafts at Hill Road and Bonham Road as a preference in awarding works contracts for the Sai Ying Pun and University Stations of the West Island Line to the contractors."

9. The Chairman put the motion to vote. After voting, the Chairman declared that five members voted for and no member voted against the motion. The Chairman declared that the motion was carried. The Administration was requested to follow up.

#### *Blasting arrangements*

10. Mr IP Kwok-him and Mr Jeffrey LAM expressed concern about the arrangements to be made to notify the local residents of the date, time and location of the blasting operations before the commencement of the blasting works in order to avoid panic of the general public. Mr KAM Nai-wai suggested that a social worker team should be established to pay visits to the affected households to address their concerns and provide information about the blasting works. The Administration responded that three Community Liaison Groups (CLGs), each for the Sai Ying Pun, The University of Hong Kong and the Kennedy Town sections, had been set up for the purpose. The MTRCL advised that the blasting assessment reports had been submitted to the Mines Division of the Civil Engineering and Development Department for approval and a summary of the works would be uploaded to the MTRCL website. Leaflets on the blasting arrangements were being prepared for distribution. Pre-construction condition surveys of the buildings in the vicinity of the WIL alignment had been conducted. Reminders of the blasting works would be made through briefings for residents and posting notices at their lift lobbies.

#### *Resumption of land, compensation and rehousing arrangements*

11. Members expressed concern about the compensation/rehousing arrangement in connection with the necessary land/strata resumption and temporary road closure under this project. The MTRCL assured members that temporary traffic management measures would be implemented to minimize the traffic impact. Moreover, a person who had a compensatable interest in the land/strata to be

Action

resumed or temporarily occupied could claim for damage under the Railways Ordinance. An appeal mechanism was also in place to hear appeals lodged by affected owners.

12. Members noted that two private buildings had been resumed and reverted to the Government to facilitate tunnel construction works. Ms LI Fung-ying asked about the arrangements for owners/occupiers who had not yet accepted the compensation offers. The Administration responded that the Lands Department had issued compensation offers to the affected owners/occupiers in November 2009. As at mid-January 2010, about 23% of them had accepted the offers. The rest had either accepted provisional payments or were still considering the offers. In parallel, the Housing Department was processing the rehousing of eligible owners/occupiers affected by the resumption.

- V      **South Island Line (East) project**  
(LC Paper No. CB(1)1018/09-10(04))
- LC Paper No. CB(1)1019/09-10
- LC Paper No. CB(1)1141/09-10(02)
- LC Paper No. CB(1)1018/09-10(05)
- LC Paper No. CB(1)1018/09-10(06)
- LC Paper No. CB(1)1102/09-10(01)
- LC Paper No. CB(1)1118/09-10(01)
- LC Paper No. CB(1)1118/09-10(02)
- Administration's paper on South Island Line (East) project
  - Background brief on the South Island Line (East) project prepared by the Legislative Council Secretariat
  - Powerpoint presentation materials provided by the MTR Corporation Limited on South Island Line (East) project
  - Case report on South Island Line (Wong Chuk Hang Section) from the Complaints Division
  - Submission from The Independent Schools Foundation Academy
  - Submission from the Focus Group on MTR South Island Line Scheme of the Southern District Council
  - Submission from Mr LAM Kai-fai, Southern District Councillor
  - Submission from Designing Hong Kong Limited

LC Paper No. CB(1)1129/09-10(01)	— Joint submission from Residence Bel-Air, Baguio Villa, Aegean Terrace, Tam Towers and Parent-Teacher Association of the ISF Academy
LC Paper No. CB(1)1129/09-10(02)	— Submission from Mr FUNG Wai-kwong, Southern District Councillor
LC Paper No. CB(1)604/09-10(02)	— Submission from Residence Bel-Air Owners' Committee objecting to the proposed barging point at Kong Sin Wan)

13. The Subcommittee deliberated (index of proceedings attached at **Annex**).
14. Mr CHAN Kin-por declared interest as a resident of Residence Bel-Air.
15. With the aid of Powerpoint, the MTRCL briefed members on the latest progress of the South Island Line (East) (SIL (East)) project.

*Locations of temporary barging points*

16. Mr CHAN Kin-por said that residents of Residence Bel-Air were in strong objection to the proposed barging point at Telegraph Bay as they were very concerned about the impact of the facility on the environment and the neighbourhood. He said that the expected traffic impact could pose danger to students as there were many schools in the vicinity. He said that at the relevant case conference held on 1 February 2010, Duty Roaster Members concerned including himself had made clear their unanimous objection to the proposed barging point at Telegraph Bay. Members were of the view that alternative locations should be explored including the Ocean Park as far as possible. (In this connection, Mrs Regina IP declared interest and informed the meeting that the Chairman of the Ocean Park Corporation was a member of her electioneering team at previous LegCo elections.) The Administration explained that while it would re-consider alternative locations including the Ocean Park and the Typhoon Shelter Aberdeen South, these two locations might not be feasible due to technical constraints.

17. Mr Jeffrey LAM enquired about the traffic mitigation measures to cope with the anticipated large increase in the number of trucks in the area, since the excavated materials would be transported by trucks. The Administration responded that by careful planning of the transport route, it was possible to entail less than 200 trucks a day for transporting the excavated materials. The route was still being refined taking into consideration residents' concerns and local

circumstances such as the number of schools in the vicinity. The Administration advised that the MTRCL was carrying out environmental impact assessment and construction traffic impact assessment for the two proposed barging points at Telegraph Bay and Lee Nam Road. In the light of the assessment outcome, road improvement works would be carried out to cope with additional traffic.

18. Mrs Regina IP asked to put on record her concern as to whether the MTRCL had misled residents of South Horizons to believe that the barging facilities under the SIL (East) project would be set up in the vicinity of South Horizons if no other suitable locations could be identified. The MTRCL clarified that no such actions had been taken.

19. After discussion, Mr KAM Nai-wai moved the following motion which was seconded by Mrs Regina IP -

"本小組委員會強烈要求政府及港鐵取消選擇鋼綫灣作為臨時拆建物料躉船轉運站，以免對薄扶林區包括碧瑤灣及貝沙灣居民及附近學校產生巨大不良影響。"

(Translation)

"That this Subcommittee strongly requests the Government and the MTRCL not to choose Telegraph Bay as the site for the temporary barging point, so as to avoid causing substantial adverse impact on the residents of Pok Fu Lam, including those living in Baguio Villa and Residence Bel-Air as well as the schools nearby."

20. The Chairman put the motion to vote. The Chairman declared that four members voted for the motion, one member abstained from voting and no member voted against the motion. The Chairman declared that the motion was carried. The Administration was requested to follow up.

*Proposed temporary magazine at Chung Hom Shan*

21. Mr IP Kwok-him and Prof Patrick LAU urged the Administration/MTRCL to re-consider setting up the temporary magazine at Shek O Quarry in order to minimize impact to local residents. The Administration advised that the Shek O Quarry site was farther away from the works sites. Nevertheless, the MTRCL was proceeding with a quantitative risk assessment for the temporary magazine and the transport and storage of explosives, including a quantitative risk assessment for the proposed sites at Chung Hom Shan and Shek O Quarry. The Administration aimed to have the assessment report ready within about two months' time. The Administration would consult the Subcommittee on the assessment outcome when available.

Admin

Admin

Action

22. After discussion, Mrs Regina IP moved the following motion which was seconded by Mr KAM Nai-wai -

"本小組委員會強烈要求政府及港鐵放棄以春坎山作為臨時爆炸品倉庫的選址，並盡快向本會匯報其他可行安排。"

(Translation)

"That this Subcommittee strongly requests the Government and the MTRCL to abort the proposed setting up of a temporary magazine at Chung Hom Shan and to report other feasible alternatives to this Subcommittee as soon as possible."

23. The Chairman put the motion to vote. The Chairman declared that five members voted for and no member voted against the motion. The Chairman declared that the motion was carried. The Administration was requested to follow up.

*The viaduct section adjacent to the TWGHs Jockey Club Rehabilitation Complex (JCRC)*

24. Members noted that residents and representatives of the JCRC were generally satisfied with the revisions made to the design of the viaduct section as detailed in paragraph 20 of the Administration's paper. Mr KAM Nai-wai asked if the viaduct could be moved farther away to more than 18 meters away from the JCRC. The Administration endeavored to continue to explore in this direction. It added that the revisions made to the design of the viaduct section could be published by gazette if necessary.

*Funding arrangements*

25. In response to Mr KAM Nai-wai's enquiry about the funding arrangement for the SIL (East) project, the Administration explained that the project was not financially viable and funding support from the Government was needed. As a way of bridging the funding gap of the project, the Administration had proposed to reserve the Wong Chuk Hang Estate site and the site to the north of the Ocean Park Station for depot/station and topside private housing development, and the MTRCL would have to pay full market land premium to the Government. The Administration undertook that once a decision on the funding arrangements for the project was made by the Government, the Subcommittee would be consulted on the relevant details. The Administration advised that the decision might be made before the end of 2010. Mr Andrew CHENG urged the Administration to consider introducing a fare stabilization fund and that the MTRCL should be required to put aside a certain percentage of the profit derived from the SIL (East) for the fund.

Admin

Admin

Admin

Action

Clerk 26. At the suggestion of Mrs Regina IP, the Chairman concluded that the Subcommittee would further discuss SIL, including the following aspects -

- (a) the funding arrangements for the SIL (East) project; and
- (b) whether the Administration still intended to proceed with the SIL (West) project.

**VI Any other business**

27. There being no other business, the meeting ended at 10:45 am.

Council Business Division 1  
Legislative Council Secretariat  
30 March 2010

## Panel on Transport

### Subcommittee on Matters Relating to Railways

**Proceedings of the meeting  
on Tuesday, 9 February 2010, at 8:30 am  
in Conference Room A of the Legislative Council Building**

<b>Time marker</b>	<b>Speaker</b>	<b>Subject(s)</b>	<b>Action required</b>
<i>Agenda item I – Confirmation of minutes of meeting</i>			
000000 – 000143	Chairman	Confirmation of minutes of the meeting held on 4 December 2009.	
<i>Agenda item II – Information papers issued since last meeting</i>			
000144 – 000417	Chairman	Information papers issued since the last regular meeting.	
<i>Agenda item III – Items for discussion at the next regular meeting on 16 April 2010</i>			
000418 – 000939	Chairman Mr WONG Kwok-hing	Mr WONG Kwok-hing's request to discuss the recent railway incidents.  Scheduling of a special meeting on 18 March 2010 and discussion on agenda items for the next regular meeting on 16 April 2010.	
<i>Agenda item IV – West Island Line project</i>			
000940 – 001819	Chairman Administration MTRCL	Powerpoint presentation on the West Island Line (WIL) project by the MTR Corporation Limited (MTRCL) (LC Paper No. CB(1)1141/09-10(01)).	
001820 – 002421	Mr KAM Nai-wai MTRCL Administration	Mr KAM Nai-wai's expression of concern about non-inclusion of an option of removing the proposed ventilation shafts on Hill Road and Bonham Road from the project scope; and the lack of information on compensation, plot ratio and relevant drawings provided to the affected owners.  The MTRCL's explanation that ventilation shafts emitted no pollutants and were essential facilities of an underground railway system to allow air circulation.  The Administration's response -  (a) the requirements of reducing the size and impact of ventilation shafts were incorporated into the relevant tender documents; and  (b) information would be given to the owners affected by the resumption exercises.	
002422 – 002930	Mr IP Kwok-him Administration MTRCL	Mr IP Kwok-him's requests for properly informing affected residents of the blasting schedule.  The Administration's response that three Community Liaison Groups (CLGs), each for the Sai Ying Pun,	

<b>Time marker</b>	<b>Speaker</b>	<b>Subject(s)</b>	<b>Action required</b>
		<p>The University of Hong Kong and the Kennedy Town sections, had been set up to enable local issues be addressed for proper resolutions.</p> <p>The MTRCL's response that the relevant blasting reports, once approved, would be uploaded to the website of the MTRCL. Leaflets were being prepared and meetings would be held with affected residents on the detailed arrangements of the blasting operations.</p>	
002931 – 003547	Ms LI Fung-ying Administration MTRCL	<p>Ms LI Fung-ying's concerns on the rehousing arrangements for affected residents and communication with them. The Administration's response that, as at mid-January 2010, about 23% of the affected owners/occupiers had accepted the compensation offers.</p> <p>The MTRCL's response -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) through the meetings of CLGs and the pre-construction condition survey, residents would be informed of the updates of the project; and</li> <li>(b) a dedicated project hotline had been put into operation.</li> </ul>	
003548 – 004107	Mr Jeffrey LAM MTRCL Chairman	<p>Mr Jeffrey LAM's concerns on informing affected residents right before the blasts and the effectiveness of the hotline.</p> <p>The MTRCL's response -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) reminders of the blasts would be made through briefings and notices posted at lift lobbies; the MTRCL would also try to be consistent in the blasting time each day; and</li> <li>(b) the hotline was so set up to provide as much information as possible and its performance would be subject to continuous review.</li> </ul>	
004108 – 004641	Mrs Regina IP Administration Chairman	<p>Mrs Regina IP's queries on whether there were proposals submitted by tenderers which proposed to remove the proposed ventilation shafts on Hill Road and Bonham Road entirely from the project scope.</p> <p>The Administration's response that the submitted tenders had put forward proposals for leaving out/reducing the size and impact of the ventilation shafts. The MTRCL was examining the details of the tenderers' proposal to ensure that the new ventilation design could conform to the tender specification, requirements in the environmental protection ordinances and safety regulations.</p>	

Time marker	Speaker	Subject(s)	Action required
		Relevant assessment was expected to be completed in March 2010.	<b>Administration to provide information. (para. 7 of minutes)</b>
004642 – 005214	Mr KAM Nai-wai MTRCL Administration	<p>Mr KAM Nai-wai's suggestion of setting up a social worker team, and enhancing communication with the local community affected by road closure on the New Market Street.</p> <p>The MTRCL's response -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) reiteration of its effort in minimizing the size and impact of the proposed shafts and the fact that the shafts would not emit harmful gases;</li> <li>(b) exchanges between the affected households and the MTRCL were made possible in the course of pre-construction condition survey; and</li> <li>(c) meetings would be held between the MTRCL and affected residents on the traffic measures on the New Market Street.</li> </ul>	
005215 – 010127	Mr IP Kwok-him Administration Chairman MTRCL	<p>Mr IP Kwok-him's concerns about the impact on shop owners arising from road closure and compensation matters.</p> <p>The Administration's response that it had offered compensation to owners affected by land resumption. Relevant appeal mechanism was in place and appeal cases were being dealt with by the Administration.</p> <p>The MTRCL's response -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) the particular needs of shop owners would be taken care of and temporary traffic management schemes would be introduced; and</li> <li>(b) the Railways Ordinance allowed claims to be made for damage arising from land resumption or temporary occupation.</li> </ul>	
010128 – 010702	Chairman Mr KAM Nai-wai Ms LI Fung-ying Mrs Regina IP Mr IP Kwok-him	Voting on the motion moved by Mr KAM Nai-wai.	<b>Administration to follow up. (para. 9 of minutes)</b>
<i>Agenda item V – South Island Line (East) project</i>			
010703 – 010805	Chairman	Opening remarks	

<b>Time marker</b>	<b>Speaker</b>	<b>Subject(s)</b>	<b>Action required</b>
010806 – 011750	Chairman Administration MTRCL	Powerpoint presentation on the South Island Line (East) (SIL (East)) project by the MTRCL (LC Paper No. CB(1)1141/09-10(02)).	
011751 – 012415	Mr CHAN Kin-por Chairman Administration	<p>Mr CHAN Kin-por's expression of strong opposition to the proposed barging point at Telegraph Bay.</p> <p>The Administration's response that various sites had previously been explored for the barging facility but suitable sites were found to be very limited. The proposal to co-use the existing barging facilities at Telegraph Bay could avoid the need to set up an additional barging point elsewhere which would therefore be a balanced option with the least impact on the neighbourhood. The concern of the local community was noted and the Administration would spare no effort in examining alternative locations.</p>	
012416 – 012951	Mr KAM Nai-wai Administration	<p>Mr KAM Nai-wai's queries on the barging point, funding arrangement, and whether the proposed viaduct section could be moved farther away from the TWGHs Jockey Club Rehabilitation Complex (JCRC) and if the proposed revisions to the detailed design would be published by gazette.</p> <p>The Administration's response -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) two temporary barging facilities were required to minimize traffic and environmental impacts. If there were no suitable alternative locations in the Southern District, the excavated materials would have to be transported by trucks to dumping facilities outside the Southern District via the major roads, thus impacting on the traffic of the Southern District;</li> <li>(b) the design of the viaduct section would be further optimized at the detailed design stage; relevant gazette amendments would be made; and</li> <li>(c) the SIL (East) project was not financially viable and funding support from the Government was needed. The Administration had proposed to reserve the Wong Chuk Hang Estate site and the site to the north of the Ocean Park Station for depot/station and topside private housing development.</li> </ul>	
012952 – 013513	Mrs Regina IP Administration MTRCL	<p>Mrs Regina IP's request for re-consideration of setting up the barging point at the Ocean Park instead of Telegraph Bay.</p> <p>The Administration's response that more time was needed to examine other alternatives, including the</p>	

<b>Time marker</b>	<b>Speaker</b>	<b>Subject(s)</b>	<b>Action required</b>
		Ocean Park option which was limited by road access. The Administration would strive to reach a balanced option.	
013514 – 014124	Mr IP Kwok-him Administration	<p>Mr IP Kwok-him's expression of support for the Ocean Park option and a temporary magazine at the Shek O Quarry as both options would pose less adverse impact to the local community. He also queried whether the average number of trucks should be 600 rather than less than 200 a day for a barging point at Telegraph Bay.</p> <p>The Administration's response -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) a quantitative risk assessment for the proposed magazine sites at Chung Hom Shan and Shek O Quarry was being carried out; and</li> <li>(b) the planning of the proposed delivery route for the barging point at Telegraph Bay had been optimized to make it possible for less than 200 trucks a day. The route was still being reviewed to further improve the planning.</li> </ul>	
014125 – 014715	Ms LI Fung-ying Administration	Ms LI Fung-ying's views that public views and members' concerns had not been taken into consideration in the selection of sites for the barging points. The Administration's reiteration of its quest for a balanced option that would minimize disturbance to the public.	
014716 – 015300	Mr Jeffrey LAM Administration Chairman	<p>Mr Jeffrey LAM's concerns about traffic impact and contingency measures to deal with emergency occurred during construction of the railway.</p> <p>The Administration's response -</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>(a) the MTRCL was carrying out the traffic impact assessment study for the two proposed barging points (Lee Nam Road and Telegraph Bay) to review the capacity of the existing road network. Road improvement works would, if necessary, be carried out; and</li> <li>(b) the environmental impact assessment study being conducted would assess the impact of the works on the environment and would propose mitigation measures, such as trucks with mechanical covers, wheel-washing bay and covered dumping areas at the barging points.</li> </ul>	
015301 – 015659	Prof Patrick LAU Administration Chairman	Prof Patrick LAU's query and the Administration's response that the MTRCL was proceeding with a quantitative risk assessment for the temporary magazine and the transport and storage of explosives,	

<b>Time marker</b>	<b>Speaker</b>	<b>Subject(s)</b>	<b>Action required</b>
		including a quantitative risk assessment for the proposed sites at Chung Hom Shan and Shek O Quarry. Upon completion of the assessments, a balanced suggestion would be made having regard to various factors. The Southern District Council and the Subcommittee would be consulted on the way forward. The Administration aimed to have the assessment report ready within about two months' time.	<b>Administration to provide information. (para. 21 of minutes)</b>
015700 – 020423	Mr Andrew CHENG Administration Chairman	Mr Andrew CHENG's request for setting up a fare stabilization fund. The Administration's response that it would handle the financial arrangement of the SIL (East) project prudently and would carefully examine the proposals of the MTRCL.	
020424 – 020904	Mr KAM Nai-wai Administration	Mr KAM Nai-wai's requests for moving the viaduct section farther away for more than 18 meters from the JCRC. The Administration's undertaking to follow up.	
020905 – 021155	Chairman Mr KAM Nai-wai Mr Ronny TONG	Voting on motions moved by Mr KAM Nai-wai and Mrs Regina IP respectively.	<b>Administration to follow up. (para. 20 and 23 of minutes)</b>
021156 – 021301	Mrs Regina IP Chairman	Mrs Regina IP's requests for further discussion on the SIL (East) project in respect of the financial arrangements and whether the SIL (West) project would be pursued.	<b>Administration to consult the Subcommittee on funding arrangement. (para. 26 of minutes)</b>
021302 – 021317	Chairman	Closing remarks	

Council Business Division 1  
Legislative Council Secretariat  
30 March 2010