<u>立法會 CB(2)363/10-11(01)號文件</u> (只備英文本) <u>LC Paper No. CB(2)363/10-11(01)</u> (English version only)

Amendments to the PDPO

Professor John Bacon-Shone Former chairman, LRC Privacy subcommittee Convenor, Privacy Hong Kong

List of Issues

- 1. Sensitive data
- 2. Notification
- 3. Specific purpose for each opt-out
- 4. Right to retain control of transfers of personal data
- 5. Civil torts to provide an alternative mechanism for compensation

Sensitive Data

- Need for additional protections for sensitive data
- Difficulty of defining sensitive data in general as what is sensitive is contextual
- Need to define classes of sensitive data in legislation that need additional protection:
 - Authentication/identification (e.g. biometrics)
 - Reputational data (e.g.HIV status)
 - Group membership that could be discriminated against (e.g. gay/Jewish)
 - Location (e.g. protecting against spousal abuse or stalking)

Notification

- Voluntary notification is inadequate (plenty of evidence from US where it is mandatory in most states and for all medical data)
- Distinguish notification of PCPD from notification of data subjects
- Need to limit notification to PCPD to situations with meaningful potential damage, such as financial and medical data with personal identifiers (PCPD in best position to decide)
- Mandatory notification of data subjects essential when chance and potential damage from leaked personal data both meaningful

Specific purpose for each optout

- Octopus case shows that some data users are dishonest by hiding some purposes or bundling purposes together
- Need to offer opt-out specific to each purpose as otherwise consumers will not know what they are agreeing to

Right to retain control of transfers

- Essential that consumers retain control over their personal data.
- Hence must have rights to:
 - Know about transfer destinations
 - Have data corrected or deleted (need to be able to amend decision to allow transfer)
- Not sufficient to ask direct marketer where data came from

Civil Torts for compensation

- Need to offer some recourse for cases like
 Octopus consumers did not obtain redress
- Government is reluctant to allow PCPD to award compensation, although that is the most efficient mechanism to address damages
- Alternative is to enact the two privacy torts that the LRC proposed, which would allow consumers to seek damages for unfair collection and unfair release of personal data