## **Bills Committee on Lifts and Escalators Bill**

## List of follow-up actions arising from the discussion at the meeting on 2 December 2011

The Administration is requested to: --

- 1. in respect of clause 120(5), consider setting a time limit for the appeal board for sending written notification of its decision to the parties to the hearing;
- 2. in respect of clause 126(2)(c), consider replacing the term "suffer" ("容受") by a more common term used nowadays;
- 3. review clauses 129(2)(a) and 131(2)(a), including consider whether it is acceptable to delete "access to";
- 4. regarding clause 135(3)(b), consider using the alternative wording of "where necessary, break into the premises";
- 5. review clauses 132(2), 133(2), 134(2) and 135(2), taking into account existing general provisions regarding the issuance of warrants by a court under other legislation;
- 6. examine whether the existing provisions in the Bill are sufficient to enable the Director of Electrical and Mechanical Services or an authorized person to:
  - (a) require a relevant party to produce or provide documents or information that are protected by a password; and
  - (b) obtain documents or information located outside Hong Kong; and
- 7. consider adding a provision under clauses 40 and 70 to require the registered lift contractor concerned to post a notice about the lift/escalator incident.

Council Business Division 1
<u>Legislative Council Secretariat</u>
12 December 2011