立法會 Legislative Council

LC Paper No. CB(1)450/10-11(06)

Ref: CB1/PL/EDEV

Panel on Economic Development Meeting on 22 November 2010

Background brief on the Mega Events Fund

Purpose

This paper provides background information on the Mega Events Fund (MEF) and summarizes concerns raised by Members on related issues.

Background

Establishment of MEF

- 2. In his 2009-2010 Budget, the Financial Secretary projected that 2009 would be a very difficult year and the employment situation was expected to deteriorate further. Targeted measures were introduced to provide jobs and internship opportunities. Among these measures, a non-recurrent funding of \$100 million was earmarked to assist organizers to host more attractive events in the areas of arts, culture and sports over three years commencing 2009-2010 to further promote Hong Kong as an events capital of Asia.
- 3. On 8 May 2009, the Finance Committee approved the proposal to set up the MEF. The main objective of the Fund is to attract more international events to be staged in Hong Kong, enhance Hong Kong's competitiveness as a travel destination, attract more visitors and generate economic benefits for Hong Kong, and raise the profile of Hong Kong internationally.

Administration of MEF

4. MEF is administered by the Tourism Commission, with the Permanent Secretary for Commerce and Economic Development (Commerce, Industry and Tourism) (PSCIT) serving as the Controlling Officer. An Assessment Committee (AC) is set up to advise the Government on the administration of the Fund, including drawing up the guidelines and criteria for assessing the applications, considering applications, monitoring the progress of events

supported by the Fund and evaluating their performance. On 12 June 2009, the Government announced the appointment of chairman and members of MEF AC for three years with effect from 15 June 2009. The AC comprises members from the tourism, culture, arts, sports and event management sectors as well as representatives from the Home Affairs Bureau, the Information Services Department and the Tourism Commission.

- 5. The Fund is opened to applications from bona fide non-profit-making organizations registered in Hong Kong (such as sports organizations, non-governmental organizations, arts associations etc.) that will host mega arts, cultural and sports events in Hong Kong for the period up to 31 March 2012. Existing events which are financially "self-sufficient" or financed by public funding will not be considered unless the additional funds sought will be used to organize additional activities to significantly enlarge the scale of the event or significantly raise its international profile. Successful applicants will be required to provide funding from their own resources to meet a fair share of the project costs.
- 6. The proposed arts, cultural or sports event must fulfill the following basic criteria-
 - (a) raise the profile of Hong Kong internationally, create a branding impact, attract visitors coming to Hong Kong specifically for the event and generate media coverage (both local and non-local);
 - (b) be of a considerable scale. The total number of people involved (including participants, spectators and reporters) should be at least 10 000;
 - (c) contain a non-local element and should include participants/spectators from the Mainland and overseas; and
 - (d) allow participation by the local public.
- 7. In considering the applications¹, the AC will consider the following factors-
 - (a) the economic benefits of the proposal, such as the number of visitors/participants from Mainland and overseas to be brought to the event, their likely length of stay, and the number of jobs to be created;

-

To ensure that the work of the AC will be conducted in a fair manner, the Administration undertook to seek the advice of the Independent Commission Against Corruption (ICAC) on the assessment criteria and procedures to be adopted by the Committee and the code of declaration of interest to be drawn up for compliance by all AC members.

- (b) public relations and other benefits of the proposal, such as the event's ability to raise Hong Kong's international profile and the publicity value to be generated in local and non-local media;
- (c) the technical and project management capability of the applicant (including human, financial and technical resources), background and governance structure of the applicants, track record and past performance of the applicant in organizing events, including the effectiveness of past projects;
- (d) whether the proposed schedule of implementation is practicable and reasonable;
- (e) whether the proposed budget is prudent and realistic, with justifications for the proposed income and expenditure items;
- (f) the proposed performance indicators of the event;
- (g) any alternative sources of funding for the event; and
- (h) other factors which the AC considers relevant.

The AC will make recommendations on individual applications to PSCIT who will make the final decision.

- 8. So far, a total of \$45.2 million has been earmarked to support 10 mega events², with a view to attracting more than 249 600 participants. A list of mega events selected in the first three rounds of applications is attached in **Appendix I.**
- 9. In monitoring the progress and performance of the funded events, control measures have been put in place to ensure proper monitoring of the use of the Fund and the progress of the events, to handle surplus funds and procured equipment items, if any, and to handle the case if the events cannot be completed. The details are provided in **Appendix II.**

Previous discussions

Mega events organized by Hong Kong Tourism Board

10. On 2 June 2005, the former Panel on Economic Services³ was briefed

According to print media reports, the event of Louis Vuitton Hong Kong Trophy has been cancelled.

The Panel on Economic Services has been renamed as the Panel on Economic Development with effect from the 2007-2008 session.

on the Global Tourism Revival Campaign⁴ launched by HKTB and some members expressed concern on the indicators used to measure the effectiveness of mega events. The Panel noted that the effectiveness of mega events would be evaluated through surveys which kept track of visitor arrivals, length of stay, spending and satisfaction level during the event period, visitors' intention to revisit Hong Kong and their interest to participate in the same event again. On types of events to be organized, some members suggested that reference be made to popular events held in other places so as to organize more appealing and cost-effective events. They noted that mega events would be staged during different periods to balance the business opportunities of the travel and retail sectors.

- 11. When the Panel on Economic Development discussed HKTB's 2008-2009 workplan on 28 January 2008, some members took the view that the claimed benefit of mega events in boosting visitor arrivals was limited especially during festive seasons like Christmas and Chinese New Year, since many tourists would visit Hong Kong around that time anyway. There was a suggestion that the Government and HKTB should put in more resources to help promote local events or tourist attractions which had great appeal to visitors but were unpopular due to the lack of marketing sponsorship.
- 12. Between December 2007 and February 2008, the Public Accounts Committee (PAC) reviewed Report No. 49 of the Director of Audit on HKTB⁵ issued in November 2007. On the problems and irregularities of HKTB in the execution and evaluation of mega events, PAC expressed concern about the under-achieved performance targets for three mega events, the need to put in place an evaluation mechanism, and impartiality in selecting host organizations.

Mega events held by other organizations

13. In May 2004, PAC reviewed Report No. 42⁶ of the Director of Audit on Hong Kong Harbour Fest⁷ (HKHF) issued on 31 March 2004. PAC took

In response to the dire economic and social crisis facing the territory post-SARS, the Chief Executive announced on 23 April 2003 that an Economic Relief Package of \$11.8 billion would be made available to aid the community and revive the economy after SARS. Of this amount, \$1 billion was earmarked for large-scale publicity ad promotional campaigns as an Economic Relaunch Progamme aimed at restoring Hong Kong's reputation and communicating Hong Kong's recovery to the world. A total of \$379 million was allocated to HKTB for implementing the Global Tourism Revival Campaign as part of the Economic Relaunch Programme. An additional funding of \$470 million was allocated for HKTB in 2005-06 and 2006-07 to sustain the Campaign.

Report No. 49 of the Director of Audit on the results of value for money audits completed between March and September 2007 was tabled in the Legislative Council on 28 November 2007. Chapters 5 and 6 of the Report concerned HKTB's corporate governance and administrative issues, and planning, execution and evaluation of marketing activities.

Report No. 42 of the Director of Audit on the results of value for money audits was tabled in the Legislative Council on 21 April 2004. Chapter 4 of the Report concerned the Hong Kong Harbour Fest.

The Harbour Fest was funded under the Economic Re-launch Programme in 2003 in response to the dire economic and social crisis facing the territory post-SARS. The Harbour Fest proposal was the American

the view that the Government should appoint departments with hands-on experience to thoroughly assess the complexity and risks involved, as well as the benefits in sponsoring an event, before agreeing to support a proposal in principle. Proper risk management and contingency measures, as well as appropriate system of cost control should be put in place at the planning stage. During implementation, the Government should monitor the actual progress of project organization. When the Panel on Financial Affairs discussed HKHF at the meeting on 14 June 2004, members emphasized the need for the Government to play a more assertive role in monitoring mega events sponsored by public funds to ensure they would be value-for-money.

14. When the Panel on Commerce and Industry discussed with the Administration on the progress in relation to the development of the convention and exhibition industry on 18 March 2008, some members considered that the staging of events by non-profit making organizations would be conducive to strengthening Hong Kong's image as an international hub, and a fair mechanism should be devised to consider providing sponsorship to non-government organizations, in cash or in kind, to support their staging of mega events in Hong Kong.

Proposal to set up MEF

15. When the Panel on Economic Development discussed with the Administration on the proposal to set up the Fund on 30 March 2009, some members, having noted that the Administration would require successful applicants to submit progress reports, final audited financial statements and evaluation report on the event, considered that the Administration should play a more proactive role in monitoring the progress of events than just perusing written information after the events. They also raised concern about opening the Fund to overseas organizations and verification their non-profit-making status. The Administration explained that it would raise the profile of Hong Kong internationally if mega events were hosted by overseas organizations and contained an international element. The status, track record and credibility of overseas organizations could be ascertained based on information collected by the Government's overseas offices and the consulates general concerned. Addressing the concern that efforts should be made to build Hong Kong brand with its own unique characteristics through local events, the Administration advised that the Fund was not meant to replace existing home-grown mega events but it would be deployed to provide support to large-scale signature events, in particular those which had indicated they would not hold the event in

Chamber of Commerce (AmCham)'s response to the Government's invitation to the business sectors and the community to participate in the economic re-launch campaign. The Harbour Fest first became a subject of negative publicity at the end of August 2003 when an article questioned the cost effectiveness of the Government's supporting the AmCham initiative at around HK\$100 million was published in the English press. As events unfolded, there was a host of other negative media reports raising further public concern over the organization, cost-effectiveness and financial arrangements of the events. On 12 December 2003, the former Chief Executive appointed an Independent Panel of Inquiry to investigate into the Harbour Fest event. The report of the Inquiry Panel was published on 17 May 2004.

Hong Kong again due to the lack of incentives offered by the Government.

16. When the Finance Committee considered the funding proposal on MEF at the meeting on 8 May 2010, some members urged the Administration to be vigilant in enforcing the control measure of withholding or refusing payment of outstanding funds to event organizer if the event did not live up to committed standards or deliverables. They also considered it necessary to avoid repeating the mistakes of HKHF and draw up a clear line of accountability. The Administration advised that PSCIT would assume the role as the Controlling Officer of MEF whereas the Secretary for Commerce and Economic Development would be accountable for the outcome of MEF. It also affirmed that the Government's funding for each event would not exceed 50% of the total cost of each event.

Latest development

17. At the Panel meeting on 14 October 2010, members suggested that the Administration should be invited to brief the Panel on the effectiveness in deploying MEF. Some members also raised concern about the funding of activities in memory of the 100th Anniversary of the 1911 Revolution by MEF. The Administration will brief the Panel on MEF at the meeting on 22 November 2010.

References

18. A list of the relevant papers is in the **Appendix III**.

Council Business Division 1
<u>Legislative Council Secretariat</u>
16 November 2010

Mega Events Fund Summary of Ten Selected Projects

| No. | Project Title | Project Date | Venue | Target Attendance | Project Description | |
|-------|--|-------------------------|--|----------------------|---|--|
| First | First-round selected projects (a total of \$25.2 million) | | | | | |
| 1 | Swire "Symphony Under the Stars" | 13 Nov 2009 | Happy Valley Race Course | 15 000 | One of the biggest outdoor classical concerts in the region. | |
| 2 | Hong Kong Tennis Classic 2010 "World Team Challenge" | 6 - 9 Jan 2010 | Victoria Park | 13 900 | A professional international tennis tournament involving world-class players from Europe, Russia, the Americas and Asia Pacific. | |
| 3 | Mui Wo Lantern Festival | 26 - 29 Mar 2010 | Mui Wo | 42 600 | A large-scale celebration of the lantern festival with a series of Chinese custom activities taken place, such as launching of sky lanterns, dragon and lion dances, veritable food carnival, giant basin feast, an international sky lantern design competition and an international sculling competition. | |
| 4 | 2010 International a cappella Festival | 27 Mar – 22 Apr 2010 | Various performance venues such as City Hall and Hong Kong Cultural Centre, as well as tourist attractions such as Disneyland and Ocean Park | | A series of indoor and outdoor concerts performed by over 70 renowned a cappella groups from Australia, Japan, Sweden, the UK and the US. | |

| No. | Project Title | Project Date | Venue | Target Attendance | Project Description | |
|------|--|-----------------------------|--|----------------------|--|--|
| 5 | Hong Kong Musical Festival | 29 Mar – 21 Apr 2010 | Jockey Club Auditorium, Hong Kong Polytechnic University | 40 300 | 45 shows of four large-scale musicals featuring a mix of local and Western culture. | |
| 6 | Louis Vuitton Hong Kong Trophy* | 27 Oct – 5 Dec 2010 | Victoria Harbour | 19 900 | A world-class sailing competition, with top racing yachts and sailors from various countries. | |
| Seco | Second-round selected projects (a total of \$2 million) | | | | | |
| 7 | "Hope and Glory" | 8 April to late May 2010 | Taikoo Place | 60 000 | A large-scale visual arts exhibition comprising film, music, sculpture, costume, performance, painting and photography. | |
| Thir | d-round selected projects | s (a total of \$18 mill | ion) | l | | |
| 8 | Hong Kong Dragon Boat Carnival | 23 - 25 July 2010 | Victoria Harbour | 44 000 | Over 100 local and 30 overseas dragon boat teams took part in the race. | |
| 9 | Hong Kong Tennis Classic 2011 "World Team Challenge" | 5 - 8 January 2011 | Victoria Park | Not available | Four international teams with world-class tennis players from Europe, Russia, the Americas and the Asia-Pacific will compete for the championship in 16 matches. | |

| No. | Project Title | Project Date | Venue | Target Attendance | Project Description |
|-----|------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------|----------------------|--|
| 10 | Hong Kong Women's Open | Late April - early May 2011 | Hong Kong Golf Club, Fanling | Not available | Over 100 top professional women golf players and more than 2 200 overseas visitors are expected to participate in the event. |

^{*} According to print media reports, the event has been cancelled

(Source: Press releases issued by the Mega Events Fund on 22 October 2009, 5 March 2010 and 28 June 2010)

Mega Events Fund

Frequent Asked Questions IV Monitoring of Progress and Performance

- Q24 In what way will the progress and performance of the funded events be monitored?
- A24 To ensure proper monitoring of the use of the Fund and the progress of the events, the following control measures have been put in place:
 - (i) Members of the AC or its representatives, or representatives of relevant Government bureaux/departments, may participate in progress review or organizing committee meetings of the events and conduct visits to the relevant venues of the events;
 - (ii) applicants will be required to state the event's deliverables, key milestones, targets, and methods for measuring its performance when they submit proposals for consideration by AC;
 - (iii) PSCIT may stipulate specific terms to control the use of the allocated funds and request compliance by the successful applicants;
 - (iv) PSCIT has the right to decide that the approved funds be paid by instalments after the organizers have achieved the pre-determined milestones;
 - (v) successful applicants are required to submit final audited accounts, evaluation reports and publicity reports upon completion of the events to the satisfaction of AC and PSCIT;
 - (vi) successful applicants are required to return any unspent funds and operating surplus generated from the events to the Government (including all interests and the sale proceeds from all or any equipment disposed of) up to the amount of the approved funds for the events plus the interests generated or which should have been accrued to the Project Account;
 - (vii) PSCIT reserves the right not to disburse the outstanding funds to successful applicants or reduce the amount of outstanding funds to be paid, if their performance in organizing the events are not satisfactory or if the events fail to achieve the pre-determined deliverables/targets; or if they breach any funding terms and condition as stated in the agreement; and

- (viii) successful applicants are required to maintain all relevant records of the events (including procurement/tendering and staff payroll records), separate and complete books of accounts and register of equipment procured, for inspection and checking by members of the AC or its representatives, or representatives of Government as and when required. Such records are required to be kept for a period of seven years following completion of the event.
- Q25 How should the surplus funds, if any, of the funded event be handled?
- A25 Successful applicants are required to return to the Government any unspent funds and operating surplus generated from the event (as the Government may determine in its sole discretion) (including all interests and the sale proceeds from all or any equipment disposed of), up to the amount of the approved funds for the events plus the interests generated or which should have been accrued to the Project Account.
- Q26 How should the equipment items, if any, procured for carrying out the funded event, be handled?
- A26 Successful applicants are required to properly maintain the equipment items and ensure that they are in good conditions at all times. They should keep a register of such equipment items with individual cost of HK\$5,000 or above. They must not transfer, sale or disposed of any of the equipment items without obtaining prior approval from the Government.

 After completion of the funded event, the Government will require the successful applicants to dispose of the equipment items at the prevailing market price by way of sales by public auctions. Successful applicants will then be required to furnish the Government with detailed specifications and photographs of all the equipment items for the purposes of arranging public auctions. They must comply with the Government's procedure and instruction. Any sale proceeds of the equipment items disposed of then belong to Government revenue.
- Q27 How will the case be handled if the events cannot be completed?
- A27 PSCIT reserves the right not to disburse the outstanding funds to successful applicants and recover any loss incurred as a result of the applicants' failure/problems in organizing the events, the applicants' performance in implementing the events are not considered satisfactory, the events fail to achieve the pre-determined deliverables/targets, or that the applicants fail to complete the events before the due date specified in the agreement(s). The agreements may be terminated by the Government by giving written notice to the successful applicants with immediate effect.

(Source: Website of Mega Events Fund http://www.tourism.gov.hk/english/mef/mef_faq.html)

List of relevant papers

| Committee | Paper | LC Paper No. |
|--|--|---|
| Council Meeting on 21 April 2004 | Part 4 of Report No. 42 of the Director of Audit on the results of value for money audits (on Hong Kong Harbour Fest) | http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr0 3-04/english/panels/fa/paper s/fa0614-rpt42-scan-e.pdf |
| Meeting of the Panel on Financial Affairs on 14 June 2004 | Report of the Independent Panel of Inquiry on the Harbour Fest | CB(1)1853/03-04 http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr0 3-04/english/panels/fa/paper s/facb1-1853-e.pdf |
| Public Accounts Committee (PAC) | PAC Report No. 42 on Report No. 42 of the Director of Audit on the results of value for money audits and supplemental report of the PAC on Report No. 41 of the Director of Audit on the results of value for money audits issued in June 2004 (Chapter IV(4) on Hong Kong Harbour Fest) | http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr0 3-04/english/pac/reports/pac _rpt_42.htm |
| Meeting of the Panel on Economic Services on 2 June 2005 | Administration's paper on "Use of additional funding by the Hong Kong Tourism Board" | CB(1) 1647/04-05(03) http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr0 4-05/english/panels/es/paper s/es0602cb1-1647-3e.pdf |
| | Minutes of meeting (paragraphs 5 to 60) | CB(1)1862/04-05 http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr04-05/english/panels/es/minutes/es050602.pdf |
| Meeting of the Panel on Economic Development (EDEV Panel) on 28 January 2008 | Administration's information on "Hong Kong Tourism Board Work Plan for 2008-2009" | CB(1)636/07-08(04) http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr0 7-08/english/panels/es/paper s/edev0128cb1-636-4-e.pdf |
| | Minutes of meeting (paragraphs 29 to 56) | CB(1)1023/07-08 http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr0 7-08/english/panels/es/minut es/ev080128.pdf |

| Committee | Paper | LC Paper No. |
|---|---|--|
| Meeting of the Panel on Commerce and Industry on 18 March 2008 | Minutes of meeting (paragraphs 18 & 26) | CB(1)1209/07-08 http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr0 7-08/english/panels/ci/minut es/ci080318.pdf |
| PAC | PAC Report No. 49A on Report No. 49 of the Director of Audit on the results of value for money audits issued in April 2008 (Part 4 on "Hong Kong Tourism Board: Corporate governance and administrative issues, and Hong Kong Tourism Board: Planning, execution and evaluation of marketing activities") | http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr0 7-08/english/pac/reports/49a /49a_rpt.pdf |
| Council Meeting on 25 February 2009 | Speech by the Financial Secretary on 2009-2010 Budget | http://www.budget.gov.hk/2 009/eng/speech.html |
| Meeting of EDEV Panel on 30 March 2009 | Administration's paper on "Mega Events Fund" | CB(1)1111/08/09(04) http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr0 8-09/english/panels/edev/pa pers/edev0330cb1-1111-4-e. pdf |
| | Background brief on the proposal to set up a Mega Events Fund prepared by the Legislative Secretariat | CB(1)1111/08-09(05) http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr0 8-09/english/panels/edev/pa pers/edev0330cb1-1111-5-e. pdf |
| | Minutes of meeting | CB(1)1345/08-09 http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr0 8-09/english/panels/edev/mi nutes/edev20090330.pdf |
| Meeting of Finance Committee on 8 May 2009 | Administration's paper on "Mega Events Fund" | FCR(2009-10)10 http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr0 8-09/english/fc/fc/papers/f09 -10e.pdf |
| | Minutes of meeting | LC Paper No. FC155/08-09 http://www.legco.gov.hk/yr08-09/english/fc/fc/minutes/fc20090508.pdf |
| 12 June 2009 | Press release on Government appoints chairman and members of Mega Events Fund Assessment Committee | http://www.info.gov.hk/gia/g eneral/200906/12/P2009061 20285.htm |

| Committee | Paper | LC Paper No. |
|--------------------|---|--|
| 22 October 2009 | Press release on Mega events proposals selected to attract more visitors to Hong Kong | http://www.info.gov.hk/gia/g eneral/200910/22/P2009102 20147.htm |
| 5 March 2010 | Press release on Results of Mega Events Fund second round application announced | http://www.info.gov.hk/gia/g eneral/201003/05/P2010030 50228.htm |
| 28 June 2010 | Press release on Mega Events Fund supports three mega events in third round | http://www.info.gov.hk/gia/g eneral/201006/28/P2010062 80174.htm |
| Tourism Commission | Ourism Commission Frequent Asked Questions | |