

**Bills Committee on  
United Nations (Anti-Terrorism Measures) (Amendment) Bill 2012**

**Follow-up actions arising from the meeting on 16 April 2012  
to be taken by the Administration**

The Administration was requested to:

- (a) reconsider whether it was necessary to include a definition of "property" so as to clearly specify which provisions of the United Nations (Anti-Terrorism Measures) Ordinance ("UNATMO") were intended to apply to property within Hong Kong only, and which provisions were intended to apply to property whether in Hong Kong or elsewhere;
- (b) clarify which "international organizations" were intended to be covered by the proposed definition of "terrorist act" in section 2(1) of UNATMO; advise whether there was local legislation which contained a definition of the term "international organization" and if there was, what the details were; and consider whether it was necessary to define "international organization" in UNATMO;
- (c) explain with examples the effect of replacing the term "funds" by "property" in section 15(1)(b) of UNATMO with regard to the three exceptions enumerated in that section which might be specified in a licence granted by the Secretary for Security under section 6(1); and
- (d) provide a table to set out the Administration's response to the concerns raised by members of the Subcommittee on Rules of the High Court (Amendment) Rules 2009 that were related to various definitions including "funds" and "property", and how those concerns might impact on the proposed replacement of "funds" by "property" under the United Nations (Anti-Terrorism Measures) (Amendment) Bill 2012.

2. In addition, the Administration was requested to provide a written response to the concerns raised by the Hong Kong Bar Association in its submission dated 10 April 2012 (LC Paper No. CB(4)158/11-12(01)) before the next Bills Committee meeting.