

OFFICIAL RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Wednesday, 23 May 2012

The Council met at Eleven o'clock

MEMBERS PRESENT:

THE PRESIDENT

THE HONOURABLE JASPER TSANG YOK-SING, G.B.S., J.P.

IR DR THE HONOURABLE RAYMOND HO CHUNG-TAI, S.B.S., S.B.ST.J.,
J.P.

THE HONOURABLE LEE CHEUK-YAN

DR THE HONOURABLE DAVID LI KWOK-PO, G.B.M., G.B.S., J.P.

THE HONOURABLE FRED LI WAH-MING, S.B.S., J.P.

DR THE HONOURABLE MARGARET NG

THE HONOURABLE JAMES TO KUN-SUN

THE HONOURABLE CHEUNG MAN-KWONG

THE HONOURABLE CHAN KAM-LAM, S.B.S., J.P.

THE HONOURABLE MRS SOPHIE LEUNG LAU YAU-FUN, G.B.S., J.P.

THE HONOURABLE LEUNG YIU-CHUNG

DR THE HONOURABLE PHILIP WONG YU-HONG, G.B.S.

THE HONOURABLE WONG YUNG-KAN, S.B.S., J.P.

THE HONOURABLE LAU KONG-WAH, J.P.

THE HONOURABLE LAU WONG-FAT, G.B.M., G.B.S., J.P.

THE HONOURABLE MIRIAM LAU KIN-YEE, G.B.S., J.P.

THE HONOURABLE EMILY LAU WAI-HING, J.P.

THE HONOURABLE ANDREW CHENG KAR-FOO

THE HONOURABLE TAM YIU-CHUNG, G.B.S., J.P.

THE HONOURABLE ABRAHAM SHEK LAI-HIM, S.B.S., J.P.

THE HONOURABLE LI FUNG-YING, S.B.S., J.P.

THE HONOURABLE TOMMY CHEUNG YU-YAN, S.B.S., J.P.

THE HONOURABLE FREDERICK FUNG KIN-KEE, S.B.S., J.P.

THE HONOURABLE AUDREY EU YUET-MEE, S.C., J.P.

THE HONOURABLE VINCENT FANG KANG, S.B.S., J.P.

THE HONOURABLE WONG KWOK-HING, M.H.

THE HONOURABLE LEE WING-TAT

DR THE HONOURABLE JOSEPH LEE KOK-LONG, S.B.S., J.P.

THE HONOURABLE JEFFREY LAM KIN-FUNG, G.B.S., J.P.

THE HONOURABLE ANDREW LEUNG KWAN-YUEN, G.B.S., J.P.

THE HONOURABLE CHEUNG HOK-MING, G.B.S., J.P.

THE HONOURABLE WONG TING-KWONG, B.B.S., J.P.

THE HONOURABLE CHIM PUI-CHUNG

PROF THE HONOURABLE PATRICK LAU SAU-SHING, S.B.S., J.P.

THE HONOURABLE KAM NAI-WAI, M.H.

THE HONOURABLE CYD HO SAU-LAN

THE HONOURABLE STARRY LEE WAI-KING, J.P.

DR THE HONOURABLE LAM TAI-FAI, B.B.S., J.P.

THE HONOURABLE CHAN HAK-KAN

THE HONOURABLE PAUL CHAN MO-PO, M.H., J.P.

THE HONOURABLE CHAN KIN-POR, J.P.

DR THE HONOURABLE PRISCILLA LEUNG MEI-FUN, J.P.

DR THE HONOURABLE LEUNG KA-LAU

THE HONOURABLE CHEUNG KWOK-CHE

THE HONOURABLE WONG SING-CHI

THE HONOURABLE WONG KWOK-KIN, B.B.S.

THE HONOURABLE IP WAI-MING, M.H.

THE HONOURABLE IP KWOK-HIM, G.B.S., J.P.

THE HONOURABLE MRS REGINA IP LAU SUK-YEE, G.B.S., J.P.

DR THE HONOURABLE PAN PEY-CHYOU

THE HONOURABLE PAUL TSE WAI-CHUN, J.P.

DR THE HONOURABLE SAMSON TAM WAI-HO, J.P.

THE HONOURABLE ALAN LEONG KAH-KIT, S.C.

THE HONOURABLE LEUNG KWOK-HUNG

THE HONOURABLE TANYA CHAN

THE HONOURABLE ALBERT CHAN WAI-YIP

THE HONOURABLE WONG YUK-MAN

MEMBERS ABSENT:

THE HONOURABLE ALBERT HO CHUN-YAN

THE HONOURABLE TIMOTHY FOK TSUN-TING, G.B.S., J.P.

THE HONOURABLE RONNY TONG KA-WAH, S.C.

PUBLIC OFFICERS ATTENDING:

THE HONOURABLE JOHN TSANG CHUN-WAH, G.B.M., J.P.
THE FINANCIAL SECRETARY

THE HONOURABLE MICHAEL SUEN MING-YEUNG, G.B.S., J.P.
SECRETARY FOR EDUCATION

PROF THE HONOURABLE K C CHAN, S.B.S., J.P.
SECRETARY FOR FINANCIAL SERVICES AND THE TREASURY

THE HONOURABLE MRS CARRIE LAM CHENG YUET-NGOR, G.B.S., J.P.
SECRETARY FOR DEVELOPMENT

DR KITTY POON KIT, J.P.
SECRETARY FOR THE ENVIRONMENT

THE HONOURABLE RAYMOND TAM CHI-YUEN, J.P.
SECRETARY FOR CONSTITUTIONAL AND MAINLAND AFFAIRS

MISS ADELINE WONG CHING-MAN, J.P.
UNDER SECRETARY FOR CONSTITUTIONAL AND MAINLAND
AFFAIRS

CLERKS IN ATTENDANCE:

MS PAULINE NG MAN-WAH, SECRETARY GENERAL

MISS ODELIA LEUNG HING-YEE, ASSISTANT SECRETARY GENERAL

MRS JUSTINA LAM CHENG BO-LING, ASSISTANT SECRETARY
GENERAL

MRS PERCY MA, ASSISTANT SECRETARY GENERAL

TABLING OF PAPERS

The following papers were laid on the table under Rule 21(2) of the Rules of Procedure:

Subsidiary Legislation/Instruments	<i>L.N. No.</i>
Inland Revenue (Double Taxation Relief and Prevention of Fiscal Evasion with respect to Taxes on Income) (State of Kuwait) Order	96/2012
Inland Revenue (Double Taxation Relief with respect to Taxes on Income) (Switzerland) Order	97/2012
Inland Revenue (Double Taxation Relief and Prevention of Fiscal Evasion with respect to Taxes on Income) (Malta) Order	98/2012
Banking Ordinance (Amendment of Seventh Schedule) Notice 2012	99/2012
Public Health and Municipal Services (Fees and Charges) (Leisure Facilities) Regulation.....	100/2012
Dangerous Drugs Ordinance (Amendment of First and Third Schedules) Order 2012.....	101/2012
Road Traffic (Breath Analysing Instruments, Screening Devices and Pre-screening Devices) (Amendment) Notice 2012	102/2012

Other Papers

No. 94 — Securities and Futures Commission
Approved budget of income and expenditure for the
financial year 2012/2013

Report of the Bills Committee on Mediation Bill

ORAL ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Questions. First question.

Labour Supply for 10 Major Infrastructure Projects

1. **MS LI FUNG-YING** (in Cantonese): *President, with the commencement of the 10 major infrastructure projects (MIPs) one after another, the manpower demand in the construction industry will rise continuously. Some contractors are eager to put forward requests for importation of foreign labour, and some members of the labour sector are gravely concerned that the Government will ultimately give the green light to the importation of foreign labour. In this connection, will the Government inform this Council:*

- (a) *apart from the studies commissioned by the Development Bureau and the Construction Industry Council (CIC) in 2007 and 2008 respectively on manpower supply and demand in the construction industry in the next few years, whether the authorities have specifically assessed the trades and skills required by the 10 MIPs in order to organize as early as possible the relevant training courses for the construction industry; if they have, of the details; if not, the reasons for that;*
- (b) *whether the authorities have any mechanism in place to co-ordinate the progress of the works of the 10 MIPs so as to avoid contractors of various works projects commencing similar works at the same time and competing for the employment of labourers of the trades concerned, thus creating a man-made manpower shortage; if they have, of the details; if not, the reasons for that; and*
- (c) *whether the authorities have a set of objective criteria for assessing the manpower supply and demand in respect of the various trades required by the 10 MIPs; if they have, of the details; if not, the reasons for that?*

SECRETARY FOR DEVELOPMENT (in Cantonese): President, with the commencement of the 10 MIPs and other construction works, the demand for construction manpower will indeed continue to increase. In the past few years, the Development Bureau, in collaboration with the CIC and other stakeholders including contractors, trade associations, labour unions, has adopted a multi-pronged approach in meeting the manpower needs with a view to ensuring adequate and stable supply of local manpower resources and to nurturing a high quality construction workforce. Importation of labour will not be considered lightly.

My reply to the three parts of Ms Li's question is as follows:

- (a) To prepare for the rolling out of various construction projects including the 10 MIPs, the Development Bureau and the CIC have, in the past few years, conducted a number of manpower studies. Apart from the studies in 2007 and 2008 mentioned in the question, the Development Bureau conducted, with industry major stakeholders, a manpower workshop in June last year to comprehensively review the results of the 2007 and 2008 studies and to further assess the construction manpower situation. At the end of last year, the CIC also updated the study on construction workers and supervisors/technicians taking into account the latest economic and manpower situation. In the middle of this year, the CIC will also engage consultants to assess the supply and demand situation of local professionals, workers and supervisors/technicians in the coming 10 years. As the 10 MIPs only constitute part of the public works projects and they are not launched concurrently, these manpower studies would not only assess the manpower demands for the 10 MIPs but also for the overall construction industry including both the public and private sectors.

In brief, the results of the above completed or commenced studies all indicate that there is an adequate overall supply of workers in the coming five years but individual trades would face a manpower shortage and an ageing problem. As for the following five-year period (between 2015 and 2020), it is projected that there would be a manpower shortage.

In this connection, the CIC has been, over the past few years, launching new training courses from time to time to cater for the latest manpower demand for different trades and new skills in the industry, for example, for Tower Crane Worker's Assistant and Ground Investigation Operator's Assistant, and so on. Moreover, considering that the CIC may not be able to provide training for some trades that involve specialized construction methods, require special site conditions or large-scale machines, the CIC has launched since 2009 the "Contractor Co-operative Training Scheme" whereby participating contractors will employ trainees on a "first-hire-then-train" basis and arrange them to receive relevant skill training on site. Such an arrangement not only offers more training opportunities but also provides training courses with the abovementioned special requirements. To date, the Scheme has successfully equipped local workers with the requisite skills for specific trades, such as shotfirers and tunnel boring machine operators, to meet the manpower demand arising from various works projects including the 10 MIPs.

- (b) The 10 MIPs by no means constitute all of the public works projects. Nor are they all in construction stage at the same time. Among them, the Kai Tak Development, the Hong Kong-Zhuhai-Macao Bridge, the Guangzhou-Shenzhen-Hong Kong Express Rail Link, the South Island Line and the Shatin to Central Link projects are either under construction or would shortly commence. As regards the rest of the 10 MIPs, they are currently still at different planning stages with their construction time frame still under review.

The HKSAR Government appreciates the importance of implementing public works projects in an evenly and orderly manner. Since the Administration of the current term announced the 10 MIPs in 2007, it has closely monitored the construction industry's delivery capacity to avoid bunching of projects. To this end, we have adopted many measures, which include ensuring that the capital works expenditure is maintained at a reasonable but affordable level in the medium term from a macro public finance management perspective; and implementing major projects in stages. For example, we have implemented the Kai Tak Development

project in stages of priority. The first stage is anticipated to be completed by 2013 with the whole project scheduled for completion by 2021. The Administration and the CIC will from time to time keep in view the progress of the various works projects and their impact on the manpower demand of the construction industry. Appropriate measures will be taken and necessary training will be provided in good time to ensure that there is an adequate supply of manpower resources to meet the latest demand of the works projects.

- (c) In the consultancy studies on the manpower supply and demand of the construction industry commissioned by the Administration and the CIC respectively, the projected manpower demand is evaluated based on the forecast construction outputs of various types of public and private works projects that are underway or in the pipeline; and the manpower information of the relevant types of previous works projects. The projected manpower supply is derived from the information provided by the Construction Workers Registration Authority, the Census and Statistics Department, training institutes and manpower surveys. The projected manpower supply and demand of different trades can then be assessed. The CIC also maintains close liaison with the construction industry and regularly updates the manpower situation in the light of periodic reviews on the latest economic condition and manpower market, with a view to adjusting its initiatives and training programmes timely to better meet the manpower demand of the construction industry.

MS LI FUNG-YING (in Cantonese): *The Secretary said that importation of labour would not be considered lightly but also admitted that individual trades are facing a manpower shortage and an ageing problem. May I ask if, apart from stepping up the training for workers, consideration will also be given to putting more efforts into changing the malpractices and improving the working environment in the industry? For example, will efforts be made to reduce the number of casualties in industrial accidents and strengthen the management of industrial safety? Take the construction industry as an example, will consideration be given to increasing the rest time for workers in hot weather, so as to attract more young people to join the industry?*

SECRETARY FOR DEVELOPMENT (in Cantonese): My reply to Ms LI's question is: Definitely. In fact, in the main reply, I mentioned a multi-pronged approach. Training and skill tests are only one aspect. We also hope that the image of the construction industry can be improved by many other means, for example, improvements to site conditions and even improvements to worker welfare, so as to attract more new recruits to the industry.

Ms LI mentioned in particular industrial safety and perhaps I will also take this opportunity to talk about this. This week, we are launching the Construction Safety Week in conjunction with the CIC by holding roadshows and seminars for five days at a stretch and organizing the Considerate Contractors Site Award Scheme Award Presentation Ceremony tomorrow to promote the message of industrial safety continuedly. In addition, Ms LI should perhaps also be pleased to know that yesterday, we secured the support of the Development Panel in transferring the contract levy of the construction industry to the CIC, so that it can receive a larger share of the levy. One of the major aims of this move is to enable the CIC to improve worker welfare in future, for example, by providing physical examination service to them with the increased revenue from levy. I hope that through the multi-pronged measures mentioned by me just now, more people aspiring to join the construction industry would do so, so as to ease the manpower shortage that will emerge in the future.

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Has your supplementary question not been answered?

MS LI FUNG-YING (in Cantonese): *No, President. Just now, I asked the Secretary clearly if the rest time for workers in hot weather would be increased.*

SECRETARY FOR DEVELOPMENT (in Cantonese): In fact, such measures are being implemented. Over the years, the CIC has made a great deal of effort in respect of site conditions, site safety and worker well-being. If Ms LI has the time, I can invite her to visit the newest construction sites for some understanding of the overall situation.

MR IP WAI-MING (in Cantonese): *I welcome the Secretary's remark that importation of labour will not be considered lightly. However, I noted that in the main reply, she said studies indicate that there will be an adequate overall supply of workers in the coming five years but for the following five-year period, it is projected that there will be a manpower shortage. Can the Secretary explain which trades would experience a manpower shortage in the next five-year period mentioned in the studies? I ask this because this is related to the "first-hire-then-train" approach adopted in respect of certain trades, as mentioned by the Secretary in the latter part of the main reply. May I ask the Secretary what the relevant progress of implementation is?*

SECRETARY FOR DEVELOPMENT (in Cantonese): Thanks to Mr IP for this supplementary question. Perhaps Mr IP also knows clearly that currently, 291 000 workers have registered with the Construction Workers Registration Authority but the ageing problem among them is also a cause for concern. Among these registered workers, 40% of them are over 50 years of age and those aged under 25 years account for only 6%. This is why we say that in the next few years, the overall manpower supply will be adequate but with regard to individual trades, there will be a serious shortage of manpower. One of the trades with the most serious shortage of manpower is formwork carpenters, in which there is a shortage of 2 000 workers. Therefore, our training also specifically targets this trade.

However, assuming that the multi-pronged measures being implemented at present to attract new recruits to the industry are not effective and fail to attract new recruits, in the next five-year period, even the manpower will be inadequate, that is, there will not be enough workers even if we just count the number in general. Therefore, at present, apart from providing training designed specifically for some trades, we must also begin to attract young people to join the industry.

DR RAYMOND HO (in Cantonese): *President, the Secretary has been in office for several years, so I believe she has enabled the Government to gain a deeper and better understanding of the construction industry, something that has never happened before. I think that be it in worker training, planning, increasing manpower or improving conditions, great improvements have been made*

compared with the past. However, the problem is that we can be quite sure a manpower shortage would emerge in the next five years, that is, in the following five years from 2015 to 2020. For many years, I have been talking about the post-10-MIPs period, that is, it is necessary to carry out planning on what infrastructure projects will be launched after these 10 MIPs have been completed in 2020. Quite a lot of infrastructure projects will perhaps follow, for example, the Central Kowloon Route and other railway lines.

In that event, should the authorities step up its efforts to attract young people, so that secondary students would join the industry upon graduation? Just now, two Honourable colleagues also asked if longer rest breaks should be provided. In overseas countries, including Japan and many places in Asia, workers can have mid-morning and mid-afternoon breaks, so that they can rest a little, drink some water and relax a little. This is very important but at present, this has yet to be achieved in Hong Kong. This kind of arrangements is most essential because in hot weather, arrangements for breaks are very important. Even in cooler weather, at other times, working at height or in specified working environments

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Dr HO, please ask your supplementary question.

DR RAYMOND HO (in Cantonese): *I believe it is not just in summer that such arrangements are necessary. Will the Government consider them?*

SECRETARY FOR DEVELOPMENT (in Cantonese): In principle, we are more than willing to consider any measure that can improve the working environment of construction sites, so as to change the impression that the working environment in this industry is unsatisfactory. In fact, as Dr HO said, since we have entered a peak period of infrastructure construction in recent years, the construction industry and contractors are making efforts jointly with us, in the hope that through the multi-pronged measures mentioned by me just now, more new recruits will be attracted to the industry. Therefore, having heard the views expressed by Dr HO and Ms LI just now, we will examine, in conjunction with the CIC, how to implement the specific measures even better.

DR PAN PEY-CHYOU (in Cantonese): *After many hurdles and even some man-made obstacles, the 10 MIPs can finally be launched one after another. The labour sector is quite happy with the developments and the situation now because workers can have jobs.*

I notice that the Secretary said when talking about importation of labour in her main reply that the manpower supply is adequate for the time being but we also know that at present, some contractors undertaking the projects actually hope that labour can be imported, but we have no idea how many foreign workers have been imported into Hong Kong so far. My supplementary question is: Does the Government have the figure on how many workers have been imported at present? In addition, if the Administration agrees to the importation of workers by contractors, will it require them to make an undertaking to train a certain number of local workers?

SECRETARY FOR DEVELOPMENT (in Cantonese): At present, the Supplementary Labour Scheme has been put in place for the construction industry. According to the information on hand, recently, in view of the need arising from the reclamation project for the Hong Kong Boundary Crossing Facilities of the Hong Kong-Zhuhai-Macao Bridge, the Labour Department (LD) has granted in-principle approval to one case on the premise that the new skills possessed by these imported workers must be skills not yet acquired by local workers at present. It is only after meeting such a high threshold that the importation of labour would be approved. Dr PAN also knows that we have many mechanisms for gate-keeping.

As regards the situation pointed out by Dr PAN, the authorities will impose stringent requirements on employers who have been given the approval to import labour and of course, one of them is to require them to train local manpower. Take the approval to import labour for the reclamation project for the Hong Kong-Zhuhai-Macao Bridge as an example, since the special skills of a new trade are involved, the LD approved the importation of 225 workers in principle and the contractor concerned has also undertaken to train local workers, whose number is equivalent to about 10% of the approved number of imported workers.

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Has your supplementary question not been answered?

DR PAN PEY-CHYOU (in Cantonese): *No. The Secretary has not given an answer on the total number at present, that is, the total number of workers already imported or the total number for which an approval has been granted.*

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Secretary, do you have the relevant figures?

SECRETARY FOR DEVELOPMENT (in Cantonese): As I said just now, at present, if one wants to import labour through the Supplementary Labour Scheme, the threshold is very high and each case has to be examined by the LD and the CIC. The information on hand indicates that the case mentioned by me just now is the only one for which approval has been granted in principle. According to the contract, a validity period of approval is specified in each case, so imported workers cannot be employed for extended periods of time.

At present, four other cases are being processed by the LD but it has not been decided if approval will be granted. These cases all involve new skills required by some large-scale projects, so labour importation is necessary. If Dr PAN is interested, I can pass him the information after the meeting. (Annex I)

MR WONG KWOK-HING (in Cantonese): *Apart from revealing problems in manpower resources, the 10 MIPs also reveal the fact that Hong Kong has to train manpower for technical projects, so as to solve the problem of a succession gap. A couple of days ago, the labour union for railway workers under the Hong Kong Federation of Unions (FTU) invited me to a discussion, hoping that I can ask the Administration a question on this occasion. In fact, there are quite a number of railway projects among the 10 MIPs. In order to train and upgrade manpower, will the Government consider establishing a railway institute, so as to train professional and technical manpower, such as railway engineers, for the railway sector? This is also beneficial to manpower training and the enhancement of professional skills and recognized professional qualifications in Hong Kong.*

SECRETARY FOR DEVELOPMENT (in Cantonese): As Mr WONG Kwok-hing may also understands that at present, the training of construction workers is the job of the Construction Industry Council Training Academy under the CIC and this is carried out having regard to market needs and the situation in various trades. Therefore, I am afraid establishing an institute for a particular trade is not the most effective way of using resources to boost manpower training. In fact, even though the Training Academy is now in place, we are beginning to note that to make use of the projects being carried out by contractors and adopt the "first-hire-then-train" approach in training workers will not only be more cost-effective, but also meet the needs of the relevant trades more quickly. Therefore, I am afraid that for the time being, we would not look into Mr WONG's proposal of establishing an institute to train railway workers.

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Second question.

Development of Hong Kong's Electricity Market

2. **MR KAM NAI-WAI** (in Cantonese): *The surge in energy prices in recent years raises the chance of upward adjustment in Hong Kong's electricity tariff in the future, and members of the public may face a substantial level of increase in electricity tariff. The new-term Government will commence negotiation with the two power companies next year in respect of the Interim Reviews of the Scheme of Control Agreements (SCAs) and the Five-year Development Plans, which are closely related to people's livelihood, the environment and the economy and will affect the sustainable development of Hong Kong in the future. In this connection, will the Government inform this Council:*

- (a) *of the timetable for conducting the Interim Reviews of SCAs and formulating the Five-year Development Plans next year with the two power companies, as well as the contents of the Interim Reviews proposed by the Government; whether the authorities will consult the public on the SCAs and the Five-year Development Plans of the two power companies; whether the authorities will make public the background information (including data on projected electricity sales and maximum electricity demand in Hong Kong for the next*

decade) on Hong Kong's electricity market; if they will, of the details; if not, the reasons for that;

- (b) given that it has been reported that CLP Power Hong Kong Limited (CLP) has claimed that with the signing of the Memorandum of Understanding on Energy Co-operation between the SAR Government and the National Energy Administration in 2008, Hong Kong's long-term reliance on natural gas supply from the Mainland and uncompetitive fuel prices would result in drastic increases in local electricity tariff, whether the authorities have assessed the impact of the increasing use of natural gas for power generation on the investment on fixed assets of the two power companies in the next decade; if they have, of the details; whether the authorities have estimated if the two power companies need to install additional new natural gas-fired generation units and transmission and distribution networks as well as the expenditure involved; if they have, of the details; and the other impact of the contents of the Memorandum of Understanding on Energy Co-operation on Hongkong Electric Company Limited; and*
- (c) whether the authorities will make reference to the experience of overseas electricity markets and introduce a new bill to determine the charges to be imposed by an electricity network owner on a third party for hiring its transmission facilities, with a view to implementing the segregation of the generation sector from the network sector in the electricity market; whether the authorities have assessed the feasibility of making direct government investment in facilities for full interconnection of the networks of the two power companies or in the installation of energy-saving equipment in the buildings in Hong Kong to reduce the expenditure on electricity tariff?*

SECRETARY FOR THE ENVIRONMENT (in Cantonese): President, it is the Government's energy policy objective to ensure safe and reliable energy supply at reasonable prices, while minimizing the environmental impact caused by the production and use of energy.

All along the Government has been adopting the SCAs signed with the power companies to regulate the electricity market. The existing SCAs were signed in 2008 for a term of 10 years. In signing the SCAs in 2008, the Government has reduced significantly the rate of permitted return calculated on the average net fixed assets of the power companies, resulting in a saving in electricity charges of over \$5 billion each year. In addition, improvements were made to the SCAs in many other aspects, for example, in encouraging the use of renewable energy, tightening the cap on the Tariff Stabilization Fund (TSF) balances, introducing a new emissions performance linkage mechanism, and further promoting energy efficiency, and so on.

Electricity supply is an important infrastructure to society and necessary services for the public's daily life. Electricity tariff also affects the development of industries and businesses in Hong Kong, as well as the daily expenses of domestic households. Therefore, in dealing with any electricity-related investments or tariff review proposals, the Government would stringently carry out the gate-keeping duties under the framework of SCAs. In summary, our work is carried out at two levels and with five focus areas.

At the first level, we scrutinize the five-year Development Plans of the power companies. With the assistance of independent energy consultants, we would be meticulous in examining the capital investment proposals submitted by the power companies in terms of necessity, timing and cost-effectiveness, with a view to avoiding investments that are excessive, premature, unnecessary or unreasonable.

At the second level, we work to ensure that electricity tariffs are maintained at a reasonable level by examining the tariff proposals of the power companies in annual tariff reviews. We would examine the capital investment and operating costs, as well as the major supporting data and justifications submitted by the power companies, and strive to exclude from the forecasts the components which are considered inappropriate in the tariff increase proposal submitted by the power companies annually.

Our work focuses on five major areas:

- First, to scrutinize the capital expenditure of the power companies to avoid increase in profits through excessive, premature or

unnecessary investments. One of the examples is that we have taken out the investment in a liquefied natural gas terminal project costing \$10.4 billion from the 2008-2013 Development Plan of CLP.

- Second, to request the power companies to exercise stringent control over operating costs, with a view to preventing them from passing unnecessary costs on to consumers.
- Third, to review the Fuel Clause Recovery Account (FCA) of the power companies. In the years when the tariff increase pressure is relatively significant, we would request the power companies to lower the tariff increase by assuming a bigger forecast deficit balance in the FCA, with a view to moderating the tariff impact on people's livelihood and businesses. Taking the 2012 tariff review as an example, in response to our request, both power companies agreed to increase the FCA deficit balances to ameliorate the tariff increase. The 2012 year-end FCA deficit balances projected by CLP and Hongkong Electric Company Limited (HEC) were \$800 million and \$1,400 million respectively, which have helped contain the increase in the fuel clause charges.
- Fourth, to make proper use of the TSF. The purpose of the TSF is to accumulate the excess of net revenue of the power companies over the permitted return, so as to provide funding, when necessary, to ameliorate the impact of tariff increases on the consumers.
- Lastly, to pay attention to whether the power companies will have additional revenue in the following year, to ensure that the related sum will be recorded in the books without delay to benefit the consumers as soon as possible.

We have, in the past few years, made our best endeavour in carrying out the gate-keeping measures mentioned above; and will continue to do so in the future.

Our replies to the questions raised by Mr KAM Nai-wai are as follows:

- (a) In accordance with the SCAs, we will conduct an interim review of the SCAs and examine the new Development Plans prepared by the

power companies within 2013. We would continue to listen to the views of Honourable Members and the public, and to ensure that electricity supply in Hong Kong achieves the policy objective of striking a balance among safety, reliability, reasonable price and environmental protection. We would, in accordance with the usual practice, report to the Legislative Council when appropriate. In the discussion of the power companies' new Development Plans, we would make public the relevant background information.

- (b) In August 2008, the National Energy Administration and the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Government signed the "Memorandum of Understanding on Energy Co-operation". This guarantees the long-term stable supply of clean energy, which includes natural gas from the Second West-East Pipeline (WEPII).

The new gas source from WEPII mainly serves to support the operation of CLP's existing gas generation plants. According to CLP, the existing natural gas source from Yacheng will be further depleting in the coming year. Hong Kong needs to explore new gas sources to sustain existing gas-fired power generation. At the same time, the WEPII project also increases the gas delivery capacity. This allows Hong Kong to increase the use of natural gas for power generation, which works to reduce emission and improve air quality. Furthermore, in scrutinizing the "Second Technical Memorandum for Allocation of Emission Allowances In Respect of Specified Licences" formulated under the Air Pollution Control Ordinance in end 2010, the Legislative Council supported the increased use of natural gas in power generation by making full utilization of existing gas-fired units, which would further reduce emissions from the electricity industry.

Implementation of the "Memorandum of Understanding on Energy Co-operation" *per se* would not require the two power companies to build new gas-fired power generation units. We believe Members would recall that the WEPII project which came onboard following the signing of the "Memorandum of Understanding on Energy Co-operation" in 2008 had replaced CLP's original proposal of a \$10.4 billion liquefied natural gas terminal project, and significantly

reduced the tariff increase pressure that might otherwise be imposed by the related capital investment.

- (c) In signing the existing SCAs with the two power companies, the Government has undertaken to study the open market structure and regulatory framework, and enhancement of interconnection, and so on, within the regulatory period. We would make preparation in the current regulatory period, introduce competition to the electricity market when the market conditions are in place, and carry out relevant studies and explore related matters. In accordance with the timetable stipulated in the SCAs, the Government will discuss with the two power companies market readiness, potential future changes to the electricity supply regulatory framework and transition issues before 2016.

In addition, the Government makes the best endeavour in enhancing buildings energy efficiency through implementing a new piece of legislation and providing financial incentives. For example, we received very positive response to the Buildings Energy Efficiency Funding Schemes launched in April 2009. As at end February 2012, over 890 applications have been approved with government subsidies amounting to over \$350 million, benefiting over 5 700 buildings. This helps bring about savings of 150 million units of electricity per annum and reduce carbon dioxide emissions by about 105 000 tonnes.

On the other hand, the Buildings Energy Efficiency Ordinance will commence full operation in September this year. In the first decade of implementing the new legislation, we expect to achieve savings of more than 2.8 billion units of electricity in new buildings, and reduction in carbon dioxide emissions by more than 1.96 million tonnes.

MR KAM NAI-WAI (in Cantonese): *President, Members should be aware that a comment made by CLP Chairman earlier in the power company's annual general meeting has sparked a public uproar. His announcement that electricity tariff will increase by 40% is certainly unacceptable. Nonetheless, I note from*

the Secretary's main reply that the FCA deficit balances, which now stand at \$800 million and \$1,400 million respectively, will actually be passed on to members of the public sooner or later. In fact, I have no idea whether the Secretary subscribes to the CLP Chairman's remark about the 40% increase in electricity tariff in the next few years, because I can note from the last of the Government's five major focuses that attention will be paid to whether or not the power companies will have additional revenue in the future. Can the Secretary predict what additional revenue can the power company generate so that there will not be a 40% increase in electricity tariff in the next few years, as announced by CLP Chairman, as a result of a rise in natural gas prices?

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Members and the Secretary should have noted that this oral question concerns electricity tariff, and the next oral question is also related to the comment made by CLP Chairman on electricity tariff increases. Will Members and the Secretary please first confine their questions and replies to this main question by all means.

MR KAM NAI-WAI (in Cantonese): *President, my supplementary question is mainly about the five focuses mentioned by the Secretary in the main reply.*

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Mr KAM, I heard you. Just now I was reminding Members who would ask questions regarding this main question and the Secretary to distinguish the two issues clearly. Secretary, please.

SECRETARY FOR THE ENVIRONMENT (in Cantonese): President, regarding this supplementary question, I have a two-part response. First of all, regarding the strong repercussions caused by the relevant comment to the community, the Government has expressed concern about it, too. This issue, however, can be discussed from two perspectives.

First, in any case, the power companies are required to submit their proposed plans on tariff adjustments to the Government annually, and in the course of doing so, they are obliged to submit the relevant data and justifications to the Government, too. On the other hand, the Government will carry out its

gate-keeping duties according to the existing mechanism. President, I would like to point out here that, insofar as electricity tariffs are concerned, fuel prices are just one of the factors, and other factors affecting tariff adjustments include capital expenditure, operating costs, as well as use of the TSF, the FCA, and so on.

In the course of price adjustments in 2012, we can see that the power companies are very often required to make their best endeavour in carrying out cost control work properly, including taking out some unnecessary, non-essential and not immediately required items from capital investment, whereas the Government will examine whether the TSF still has room for adjustment in order to help lower the rates of increase. Hence, the outcome of tariff adjustments depends on negotiation between the two parties. On the one hand, the power companies have to submit data and justifications, and on the other, the Government must perform its gate-keeping job properly. Tariff adjustments in the future, whether in 2013 or beyond, will be dealt with according to this mechanism.

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Has your supplementary question not been answered?

MR KAM NAI-WAI (in Cantonese): *One of the five focuses mentioned by the Secretary in the main reply is that the Government will pay attention to whether the power companies will have additional revenue in the following year. May I ask, in general, what additional revenue was generated in the past so that electricity tariffs could be lowered? This is my supplementary question, President.*

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Secretary, can you elaborate on additional revenue?

SECRETARY FOR THE ENVIRONMENT (in Cantonese): President, I can only use 2012 as an example for illustration. By additional revenue, it actually

means the refund of rent and rates received by the power companies and, broadly speaking, the additional revenue possibly generated in other areas in the future.

MR FRED LI (in Cantonese): *President, additional revenue is generated from the sale of electricity to Guangdong Province.*

I would like to follow up part (c) of the Secretary's main reply which stated that, in signing the existing SCAs with the two power companies in 2008, the Government has undertaken to study the open market structure and regulatory framework, and enhancement of interconnection within the regulatory period. President, with the passage of more than four years, I have not seen anything done by the Government in this regard. It is pointed out in the main reply that the Government will "introduce competition to the electricity market when the market conditions are in place, and carry out relevant studies". May I ask the Secretary what it means by "when the market conditions are in place"? Is it because the market conditions were not in place over the past four-odd years that nothing has been done?

SECRETARY FOR THE ENVIRONMENT (in Cantonese): We have undertaken to conduct Interim Reviews of the SCAs within the regulatory period in 2013. During the reviews, members of the public and Legislative Council Members are welcome to express their views. It is also stated in the SCAs that during the reviews in 2013, revisions can be made with the consent of both parties. As for the details and whether or not revisions are required, we will hold discussions by then. We will also report to the Legislative Council in due course.

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Has your supplementary question not been answered?

MR FRED LI (in Cantonese): *The Secretary has failed completely to answer my question. President, my question is very clear.*

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Please repeat your supplementary question.

MR FRED LI (in Cantonese): *As I mentioned just now, the Government said that studies would be carried out "when the market conditions were in place". My question is only about what the Government means by "when the market conditions are in place".*

SECRETARY FOR THE ENVIRONMENT (in Cantonese): President, we have to define through discussion what is meant by "when the market conditions are in place". The Government will also carry out advance studies and exploration. We will report to the Legislative Council in due course.

MR FREDERICK FUNG (in Cantonese): *President, under the current system, the two power companies have vested interests. How can the Government ensure that they will approve of the proposal put forward by the community, the Legislative Council and even the Government (I remember the Secretary's previous remark) to implement the segregation of the generation sector from the network sector and agree with this new idea and put it into practice?*

SECRETARY FOR THE ENVIRONMENT (in Cantonese): President, a mechanism has already been put in place for reviews to be carried out within the regulatory period. As I have already pointed out, under the existing provisions, we will discuss with the power companies market potential changes to the regulatory framework or transition arrangements before 2016. Hence, there are opportunities throughout the discussion for Members to put forward proposals, and whether at present or in the future, the Government will collect these views so that a summary can be made. At this stage, we will listen to any views expressed by Mr Frederick FUNG or other Members, including the efforts considered necessary by them in respect of opening up the electricity market in the future, and take all these views into consideration.

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Has your supplementary question not been answered?

MR FREDERICK FUNG (in Cantonese): *President, my supplementary question has nothing to do with the system itself. My question is: How can the Government convince the two power companies, given their vested interests, to accede to the requests of the Government regardless of their own interests?*

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Secretary, do you have anything to add regarding the question of convincing the two power companies?

SECRETARY FOR THE ENVIRONMENT (in Cantonese): President, insofar as this discussion is concerned, the two power companies are also stakeholders. They will consider various factors having regard to their own circumstances, too. I am afraid it is not appropriate for me to make judgment for them here or presume that I can predict their considerations. Nevertheless, I believe they as stakeholders will know clearly their own interests and what development should be pursued before they can respond to the public aspirations and development of the market.

MS STARRY LEE (in Cantonese): *President, I would like to follow up the Secretary's reply to the Member's question in which she expressed concern about the comment made by CLP Chairman, that electricity tariff might be increased by up to 50% in the next three years. With the new Government coming on stage on 1 July, CLP announced in May the possibility of a substantial increase in electricity tariff. Given that electricity is a daily necessity, increasing electricity tariff substantially is tantamount to "wielding the axe" at the public.*

May I ask the Government whether it has examined if anyone has seized the opportunity of a change in government to make such an unreasonable demand? What will the Secretary do to ensure that the Government can continue to carry out its gate-keeping duties properly during this transition period? Has the Government begun discussing with CLP tariff adjustments for the next year?

SECRETARY FOR THE ENVIRONMENT (in Cantonese): President, the Government is concerned about such comments made at this time of the year. We have also noticed the great concern in the community. Under normal circumstances, the power companies will put forward proposals on tariff adjustments at the end of the year, and the Government will scrutinize their proposals having regard to the five focuses mentioned by me earlier in the main reply. Regardless of their designs, the relevant companies are required to submit their data and justifications to the Government according to the mechanism, whereas the Government will raise queries and request them to provide justifications.

It is evident throughout the tariff adjustment process in 2012 that gate-keeping is substantial work. During the tariff adjustment process in 2012, we have taken out some investment items which are non-essential, unnecessary or premature. We have also queried whether the power companies can make proper use of the TSF, including the deficit balance in the FCA. Hence, we can see from the entire process the submission of data, the response to queries, the gate-keeping duties performed by the Government and the surveillance by the community. Therefore, regardless of the purposes of the comments made by the relevant person, the relevant company will still be required to act in accordance with this mechanism.

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): We have spent more than 22 minutes 30 seconds on this question. Third question. This oral question is closely related to the second one.

Rates of Tariff Increase

3. **MR CHEUNG KWOK-CHE** (in Cantonese): *It has been reported that the Chairman of CLP Power Hong Kong Limited (CLP) ridiculed the Government on 8 May this year by saying that the West Kowloon reclamation and the site of the old Kai Tak Airport had been lying vacant for quite a number of years, and if the speed and efficiency of decision-taking and implementation by CLP in operating the electricity supply system for Kowloon and the New Territories had matched those standards, these areas would be in darkness today. Quite a number of Members have pointed out that the remarks of CLP's*

Chairman were threats to members of the public and the Government. CLP has estimated that fuel costs will increase in the coming few years and the rate of tariff increase will possibly go up to 40% three years later. In this connection, will the Government inform this Council:

- (a) given that CLP increased its tariff by 4.9% early this year and it now estimates a possible 40% tariff increase three years later, whether the authorities have assessed the accuracy of such estimation of tariff increase; if they have, of the details; whether they have examined if the justifications for CLP to increase its tariff drastically in the coming few years comply with the provisions of the Scheme of Control Agreement (SCA); if they have, of the outcome;*
- (b) whether it has assessed if the establishment of the Tariff Stabilization Fund (TSF) is a total failure when with the TSF, CLP still has to increase its electricity tariff by 40% in the next three years; if it has, of the details; whether the authorities will review the mechanism of TSF; if they will, of the details; if not, the reasons for that; and*
- (c) given that CLP is a public utility and its electricity tariff is closely related to the livelihood of all people in Hong Kong and yet, it has been reported that the CLP's senior executive has said that CLP would increase its tariff substantially in the future and has criticized the Government's excessive intervention in affecting the tariff and, as pointed out by some Members, he has made the aforesaid threatening remarks, whether it has assessed if the remarks of CLP's senior executive may cause the public to panic; if it has, of the details and the follow-up actions to be taken by the authorities on such remarks?*

SECRETARY FOR THE ENVIRONMENT (in Cantonese): President, electricity supply is an important infrastructure to the society and necessary services for the public's daily life. Electricity tariff also has an impact on the development of industries and businesses in Hong Kong as well as the daily expenses of domestic households. The Government would therefore carry out stringently its gate-keeping duties in dealing with any electricity-related investment or tariff review proposals. In my reply to Mr KAM Nai-wai's

question just now, I have already set out the gate-keeping measures taken by the Government at two levels and in five focus areas. I am not going to repeat them here, except to renew our commitment to sparing no efforts in performing our gate-keeping role in the future, as with the past few years.

Our replies to the questions raised by Mr CHEUNG Kwok-che are as follows:

(a) and (b)

We will reply questions (a) and (b) together, which are related to the earlier remarks made by CLP on tariff hike in the future. As the authority to monitor the operation of the two power companies and gate-keep electricity tariff adjustments, we are certainly very concerned about CLP's earlier remarks on tariff adjustments in the coming few years, and have made a prompt response. We expect CLP to learn from the experience of the 2012 tariff adjustment exercise last year, start with internal scrutiny and cost control measures, submit its tariff proposal with sound justifications, and respond fully to queries raised by the Government in vetting its submission.

We must stress that the Government's gate-keeping work at each tariff review will not be affected by any public statements made by any individuals. The SCAs clearly set out the mechanism for annual tariff reviews. In proposing tariff adjustment, the two power companies must submit relevant information in accordance with the SCAs, provide justifications and supporting data, and respond fully to the queries raised by the Government. We have been implementing strictly these gate-keeping measures and would continue to do so in the future. When handling any such proposals, we will apply the gate-keeping measures in five focus areas with our best endeavour, so as to strike a balance among the objectives of electricity supply in Hong Kong, that is, reliability, environmental-friendliness, safety and reasonable prices, so as to safeguard the interests of the public.

- (c) Regarding the attitude of the relevant person in making his remarks as mentioned in the question, we note the comments and concerns of legislators, community organizations, and the general public over such remarks. As what I have just said, the Government has made an immediate response accordingly. Irrespective of the attitude and objective of the remarks, when making annual tariff adjustment proposals, the two power companies are required to follow the mechanism as laid down in the SCAs to provide relevant information, live up to the Government's scrutiny at two levels and in five focus areas, and respond fully to the Government's queries and the community's opinions.

MR CHEUNG KWOK-CHE (in Cantonese): *The reply given by the Secretary is very concise, but it still fails to answer my question. In the main question, I wish chiefly to seek a clarification from the Secretary as to whether it is correct when it was said in the shareholders' meeting of CLP that as natural gas costs would increase by 2.5 times in the next three years, the rate of tariff increase would possibly be 40%. If this is not correct, what are the details?*

SECRETARY FOR THE ENVIRONMENT (in Cantonese): President, there are ups and downs in the prices of fuels in the market. When the power companies submit their proposals on the fuels, the Government will commission independent consultants to scrutinize these proposals. As I said in reply to the question from Mr KAM Nai-wai earlier, fuel costs are only one of the factors affecting the level of tariff. Other factors include capital expenditure, operating costs and use of the Fuel Cost Recovery Account (FCA) and also the TSF.

Therefore, it would be inappropriate for us to comment on remarks made by anyone on the level of tariff increase. This is because we have to study the relevant data and we can arrive at a conclusion only after stringent efforts in gate-keeping are made and also after scrutiny. I said in reply to Mr KAM Nai-wai's question earlier that our gate-keeping and internal scrutiny efforts will not be affected by certain remarks made by someone.

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Has your supplementary question not been answered?

MR CHEUNG KWOK-CHE (in Cantonese): *President, I hope that the Secretary can give a clarification on this. Will the price of natural gas go up by 2.5 times? He can say that it is hard to predict whether the tariff will go up by 40%*

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Mr CHEUNG, you need only repeat the part not answered by the Secretary.

MR CHEUNG KWOK-CHE (in Cantonese): *..... but it is clear that the increase in the price of natural gas is 2.5 times.*

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Secretary, do you have anything to add?

SECRETARY FOR THE ENVIRONMENT (in Cantonese): President, about the question of natural gas, I know that there are newspaper reports on the prices of natural gas and they referred in fact to the natural gas to be transmitted to Hong Kong through the second west-east natural gas pipeline. I can tell Members that at this stage, we are still waiting for some important information from the power company before an internal scrutiny can be made of the draft agreement.

So at this moment and with respect to the prices of natural gas, we will wait for the submission of the relevant important information by the power company before conducting an internal scrutiny after such information is available. I can make it clear here that when we are to perform our gate-keeping duty in scrutinizing the natural gas supply contract, we will make reference to the international trend and the reasonable terms in similar contracts. In this regard, we can only arrive at a conclusion after the gate-keeping work is done.

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Since the theme of this oral question is very close to the previous one, I will give priority to those Members who did not have the chance to raise questions in the previous oral question.

MR CHAN KIN-POR (in Cantonese): *Local power generation is a major source of air pollutants emitted in Hong Kong and so if the percentage of power generation by natural gas is raised to 50%, it will certainly reduce emissions significantly and improve air quality. However, natural gas costs are much higher than coal and it is also a fact that natural gas prices all over the world are rising. In view of the fact that the reduction of air pollutants is enormously beneficial to the health of the people of Hong Kong and this can also lead to a reduction in healthcare expenses of the Government, will the Government consider bearing part of the additional costs caused by rising natural gas prices so that the increase in tariff will not be transferred entirely to the citizens?*

SECRETARY FOR THE ENVIRONMENT (in Cantonese): I would like to point out two things here. First, I wish to share my experience with Members. Over the past few years, the increases in power tariffs in Hong Kong have never gone beyond the inflation rate and during these past few years the two power companies have spent almost \$10 billion on the installation of desulphurization facilities. So we can find that in terms of environmental protection and electricity tariffs, there may not exist such a relationship as described by the community. After this sum of \$10 billion is spent, insofar as the improvement in air quality is concerned, the emission of sulphur dioxide during the five-year period from 2006 to 2010 has decreased by almost 80%. Therefore, we have to look carefully at the real motives of people in making certain remarks and we should explore whether or not there is any straw argument.

Besides, I wish to point out that the transmission of natural gas to Hong Kong through the second west-east natural gas pipeline actually serves two purposes. First, this can replace the natural gas reserves at Yacheng which are about to deplete and in this course we can help the two power companies find a new and stable supply of natural gas by way of the second west-east natural gas pipeline. Second, the supply of natural gas by way of the second west-east natural gas pipeline to Hong Kong can help Hong Kong people save a sum of \$10.4 billion used to build a liquefied natural gas terminal. Hence the capital expenditure incurred in building such a terminal can be saved.

Therefore, Members can have a full picture of the costs of power supply as reflected in the tariff, other capital expenditure related to natural gas prices as

well as the relationship between green concerns and the level of tariff paid by the public.

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Has your supplementary question not been answered?

MR CHAN KIN-POR (in Cantonese): *Maybe my supplementary question is not clear enough. May I ask the Government, if a tariff hike is required because of significant increases in the price of natural gas, whether the Government will consider bearing part of the increases?*

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): The Secretary has answered it. However, Secretary, can you give a reply directly as to whether the Government will bear part of the increases in natural gas prices?

SECRETARY FOR THE ENVIRONMENT (in Cantonese): President, we abide by the SCA in our work and any change to it will have to be effected by changing the rights and responsibilities of the Government and the power company concerned in order that an amendment is made to change certain terms and conditions in the said SCA.

MS EMILY LAU (in Cantonese): *President, the Secretary has reiterated that that she would perform gate-keeping duty with respect to the tariff hike. But she also mentioned earlier that under the SCA, consent of the two power companies would have to be obtained before any amendment can be made in market liberalization and introducing competition. So when the Government entered into the SCAs, did it hand over the initiative to the power companies, that is, even if the authorities intend to liberalize the market or introduce competition, but if the two power companies do not agree, there is nothing the Government can do? In doing so, does the Government think that it has done the people of Hong Kong a disservice, failing to take care of public interest?*

SECRETARY FOR THE ENVIRONMENT (in Cantonese): President, as a matter of fact, come 2013, we will have the right to make changes to any part of the SCAs and put them into practice provided that all signatory parties agree. In such a process, we would consider the views of any member of the public or Members of this Council and we would brief this Council about the results of the review. So the meaning of "consent" refers to the fact that everyone can make his views known as we collect views from the public and convey them.

MS EMILY LAU (in Cantonese): *The Secretary has not answered clearly as to whether the SCAs have vested powers in the two power companies to such an effect that if they do not agree to liberalizing the market or introducing any competition, these can never be put into practice in Hong Kong.*

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Secretary, must consent of the two power companies be obtained before the market can be liberalized?

SECRETARY FOR THE ENVIRONMENT (in Cantonese): President, there are relevant terms in the existing SCAs. But as I have just said, when a review of that is made, we can all put forward our views.

MS AUDREY EU (in Cantonese): *President, the oral question earlier and this oral question are both related to power tariff. As we all know, at the beginning of this year when discussion was conducted on the proposals from the two power companies to increase tariffs, even when we requested disclosure of certain information, we were unable to achieve this because of the need to put the question to separate voting and we failed to get the support of Members of functional constituencies. So whenever it comes to the issue of a proposed tariff hike, we are at the mercy of these Members.*

May I ask the Secretary if the authorities have ever thought about what can be done to enable tariff levels to come closer to public aspirations? An example is that Prof Stephen Y L CHEUNG once proposed to turn the power industry into

a public enterprise. May I ask if this is a direction of study being contemplated by the authorities? In addition, on the introduction of competition mentioned by the Secretary, I wish to point out that power grids are very important in this regard. I am sure the Secretary may have learned that some shareholders of CLP intend to sell the grids. May I ask what counter-measures the Government has in mind? This is because the sale of grids will create great difficulties and far-reaching implications on the introduction of competition and also on turning the power industry into a public enterprise. I therefore have this question for the Government. Is the conversion of the power industry into a public enterprise and introduction of competition, including nationalizing the grids and enacting legislation on that so that the grids can be bought at a reasonable price, and so on, is a direction under contemplation by the Government?

SECRETARY FOR THE ENVIRONMENT (in Cantonese): President, the SCAs will expire in 2018. And as I said in reply to Members' questions that before 2016, we can discuss possible amendments to the regulatory regime and the interim arrangements. These "possible amendments" may include the number of possibilities mentioned by Ms Audrey EU earlier, such as whether or not the market will be liberalized after 2018 or adopting proposals other than market liberalization. We cannot rule out these possibilities. But we need to discuss with Members in the hope of coming up with a feasible solution, in order to make some basic arrangements for SCAs before 2016 to facilitate the progress a certain stage in 2018.

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Has your supplementary question not answered?

MS AUDREY EU (in Cantonese): *The Secretary has not answered part of my supplementary question.*

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Please repeat the part which is not replied.

MS AUDREY EU (in Cantonese): *Part of my question relates to reports that shareholders of CLP may sell the electricity grids and this will bring far-reaching implications on issues like introducing competition in the future, and so on. I was asking what the Government had in mind to address this.*

SECRETARY FOR THE ENVIRONMENT (in Cantonese): President, under the SCAs, the power companies and the Government both have their respective duties and responsibilities. Regarding the information mentioned by Ms Audrey EU, since we have not received any concrete proposals or plans, it would be hard for us to make any comments. In any case, we will act according to the terms and conditions provided in the SCAs and keep a close watch on any move taken by the power companies.

MR LAU KONG-WAH (in Cantonese): *President, one of the reasons for the ever-rising electricity tariff is certainly market monopolization by CLP. The kind of arrogant remarks made by the chairman of CLP also stem from such monopolization. In order to break this monopolization, the correct approach is certainly liberalization and competition. It seems that the Secretary also agrees to it. But she is just asking members of the public to put forward their views. In my opinion, the Government should have its own stand in that matter. May I ask the Secretary, insofar as her stand is concerned, what she thinks the most feasible approach is in the context of liberalization and competition?*

SECRETARY FOR THE ENVIRONMENT (in Cantonese): President, as a matter of fact, the most feasible approach to liberalization and competition should actually be the result of discussions. It cannot be decided by the Government unilaterally. Of course, we will study and explore further on the future arrangements regarding the electricity market and we can hold discussions when there are results of such a study. But we cannot set any premise in advance for the future discussions because doing so will only render the future discussions meaningless.

As I have just said, when a review is to be conducted in 2013, we can make some amendments under certain circumstances. Both the Government and the

power companies may propose amendments to any part of the SCAs. As for the arrangements after 2018, we can make some amendments before 2016 or propose some interim arrangements. I hope that when the mid-term review is conducted in future or any arrangement is to be made for the electricity market, Members can raise some good suggestions compatible with public interest.

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Has your supplementary question not answered?

MR LAU KONG-WAH (in Cantonese): *The Secretary has not answered my supplementary question at all. To listen to the views of the public is certainly a must. But I was asking about the position of the Government. What in her view is the most feasible approach according to the stand of the Government? The Secretary has not answered this point.*

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): The Secretary's reply seems to suggest that the Government does not have a stand on this at present. Is this the case, Secretary? Secretary, do you have anything to add regarding this question of whether or not the Government has any stand?

SECRETARY FOR THE ENVIRONMENT (in Cantonese): President, we have arranged for a review under the existing mechanism and we may propose some amendments. At the present stage, irrespective of whether we have any stand, it would not be appropriate for us to do anything to impede discussions on that matter in the community, as well as those discussions to be held between the power companies and the Government.

MR FRED LI (in Cantonese): *President, I might as well ask a question. According to the Government, with regard to the natural gas supply contract for 20 years which Hong Kong has entered into with Yacheng on Hainan Island, the gas reserves there will almost be depleted by this year. Therefore, there is a*

need to rely on the second west-east natural gas pipeline in order to obviate the need of building the liquefied natural gas terminal.

My supplementary question is: Can natural gas supplied by this west-east natural gas pipeline be able to replace completely the loss of the former source of natural gas? This is because three years later, that is, in 2015, the emissions cap requirements will come into force. This is an arrangement for which legislation has already been enacted and as a result, the power companies will need to use more natural gas in place of coal. Under such circumstances, in order to meet the emission cap requirements in 2015, can the natural gas supplied through the west-east natural gas pipeline be able to fill up the supply gap and hence enable the power companies to generate power while meeting the emission cap requirements? If this is possible, then how much would that mean to the level of power tariff that we have to pay?

SECRETARY FOR THE ENVIRONMENT (in Cantonese): President, with respect to this supplementary question, I would like to respond by making three points. First, I wish to clarify that the remarks about the imminent depletion of the Yacheng natural gas reserves within this year do not come from the Government but from the power companies. This is the first point I wish to clarify. Second, the comments made by Mr Fred LI just now are correct. This transmission of natural gas from west to east does not only meet our needs and replace the source of natural gas from Yacheng, there may also be a surplus as well. So the amount of natural gas in excess of our actual needs can help us improve our air quality and raise the share percentage of power generation by natural gas. Third, I wish to point out that under the present plan, we do not have to add any new generating units. As I have said in the main reply, we can increase the percentage of power generation by natural gas to 50% and there is no need to add any new generating units.

As a matter of fact, during the discussion in this Council in 2010, we had gained the consent of Members to make the best use of the power generation capacity of our existing units while increasing the share of power generated from natural gas in order that air quality can be improved. This is a direction supported and endorsed by the Legislative Council.

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Has your supplementary question not answered?

MR FRED LI (in Cantonese): *I have never mentioned "generating units". If my supplementary question is well understood, it should be known that I am asking about the natural gas source for the west-east natural gas transmission project and whether or not the gas so supplied will meet the needs for the implementation of the emission cap requirements to be enforced in 2015. The Secretary has not answered that.*

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): The Secretary said that the amount of gas supply would be greater than that from Yacheng at present. Anyway, does the Secretary have anything to add in regard to the point about whether the gas supply will be enough?

SECRETARY FOR THE ENVIRONMENT (in Cantonese): President, I have replied clearly that the amount will be sufficient to replace the supply from Yacheng and it will even be more than enough.

MR FRED LI (in Cantonese): *President, I think you have also misunderstood my supplementary question. My supplementary question covers not only the part on the Yacheng gas reserves but also the fact that the emission cap will be further raised in 2015*

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): I have not misunderstood your supplementary question, nor has the Secretary.

MR FRED LI (in Cantonese): *President, she has not mentioned the year 2015.*

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Secretary, will the supply be able to meet the needs in 2015?

SECRETARY FOR THE ENVIRONMENT (in Cantonese): President, I think I have answered it. I have answered the part of the question regarding the source of natural gas.

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): The Member is asking whether the needs in 2015 can be met.

SECRETARY FOR THE ENVIRONMENT (in Cantonese): I have given a reply with respect to the needs for 2015.

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): We have spent more than 24 minutes on this question. Fourth question.

After-hours Futures Trading

4. **MR WONG KWOK-HING** (in Cantonese): *President, it has been reported that the Hong Kong Exchanges and Clearing Limited (HKEx) will introduce after-hours futures trading (AHFT) in the second half of this year. I have received complaints from the Hong Kong Securities & Futures Professionals Association, pointing out that such an arrangement may pose substantial risks to investors and securities dealers, and may also easily cause drastic fluctuations in the market. In this connection, will the Government inform this Council:*

- (a) *whether it knows if the HKEx, in conducting the consultation on the aforesaid arrangement last year, had given a clear account on the positive and negative impacts of such arrangement on various aspects (including the impact on the fluctuations of the local financial market and that on additional liabilities of securities dealers and additional risks for investors, as well as the impact of dealers not participating in AHFT, and so on) and the relevant measures (including margin calls, management of the risk of breach of contract, delisting procedures and the price limit range during AHFT) required to be introduced in respect of such impacts; if it*

had, the views collected and the conclusions drawn; if not, whether the HKEx has assessed if the consultation was comprehensive, and whether the HKEx might have misled the public;

- (b) *whether it knows if the HKEx, in introducing various new measures in recent years, has assessed the risks and impacts that such measures bring, and accorded priority to considering the impacts on the overall interests of the local financial market as well as the risks that it can bear; whether the authorities have assessed if the HKEx, in introducing the aforesaid arrangement, has put commercial interest before the security and stability of the financial market of Hong Kong; how the HKEx and the regulatory authority ensure that the local market will not be under attack or will also not be manipulated because there are loopholes in such an arrangement, and subject to drastic fluctuations; regarding the confidence crisis in the market and financial turmoil that may be triggered by such an arrangement, who will be and how they can be held accountable by members of the public for that; and*
- (c) *given the concerns of the industry, and that members of the public and investors have indicated that they do not understand the impacts that may be brought about by the aforesaid arrangement on their investments, whether the authorities will request the HKEx to temporarily suspend its plan to implement such an arrangement in the second half of this year, conduct consultation afresh, and set out the impacts and the measures required to be introduced as listed in part (a), with a view to facilitating in-depth discussion by various parties, and decide whether to implement the arrangement only after announcing the detailed outcome; if not, of the reasons for that, and what risk management mechanism will be put in place upon the implementation of such arrangement?*

SECRETARY FOR FINANCIAL SERVICES AND THE TREASURY (in Cantonese): President, the HKEx proposes to introduce AHFT to provide the opportunity for investors to conduct futures trading in the night-time in order to hedge or adjust their positions to manage their risks during the European and the

United States time zones. This would help reduce the volatility in the next day's opening and provide new business opportunity to the futures industry. My replies to the three parts of the question are as follows:

- (a) In May 2011, the HKEx published a public consultation paper to seek market comments on AHFT. The consultation paper introduced in detail the features of the proposal and the rationale behind, including the types of products to be covered, the benefits to the market, the possible implications to different market participants and the trading, clearing and risk management arrangements. The HKEx published the consultation conclusions in December 2011 and reported that the proposal received general support from market participants. The HKEx has made a number of refinements to the operational arrangement of the proposal in response to the comments received.

Under the revised proposal, the AHFT session will open 45 minutes after the close of the regular futures trading session (that is, 5 pm) and end at 11 pm. Products covered include Hang Seng Index futures, H-Shares Index futures and gold futures. On risk management, brokerage firms participating in AHFT have to follow capital-based position limit. The HKEx also proposes to make reference to the practice of other major markets to impose a 5% price limit, that is, no sell order of price below 95% and no buy order of price above 105% of the last traded price of the spot month contract in the regular trading session are allowed to be placed.

On margin arrangement, the prevailing minimum margin requirement for brokerage firms is set at 5% of the value of the futures contracts, the minimum client margin is at 6% in general. Under the HKEx's proposal, there will be no margin call against brokerage firms during the AHFT session. The HKEx will notify the brokerage firms about their variation adjustments and margin calls during the next regular trading session at 10 am. The HKEx will conduct an assessment for every brokerage firm to see whether it has mark-to-market loss for its positions and whether it fulfils the minimum margin requirement, based on the Opening Price, which is the equilibrium market price derived from the price discovery period

of 30 minutes before the opening of the morning trading session. If the fund of a brokerage firm at the clearing house does not meet the minimum margin requirement, it has to settle the margin due by noon on the same day.

The HKEx has pointed out in its consultation paper and consultation conclusions the fact that in case there are major financial news or events during the European and United States time zones, Hong Kong investors are unable to effectively hedge or adjust their positions with no night-time trading for Hong Kong's cash and futures markets. We note that some investors may use other derivatives products in overseas markets during the night-time to hedge their exposure. Out of the 183 Futures Exchange participants, more than half of them are providing after-hours overseas derivatives trading services for their clients, including small and medium size brokerage firms. Offering AHFT could provide investors with the opportunities to hedge or adjust their positions in the AHFT session in response to news and events in the European and United States time zones and thus helps reduce the volatility and investors' risk when the market opens the next day.

- (b) According to section 21 of the Securities and Futures Ordinance, the HKEx shall manage the risks associated with its business and operations prudently, act in the interest of the public, having particular regard to the interest of the investing public, and ensure that the interest of the public prevails where it conflicts with the interest of the Exchange.

Hence, in planning to introduce new initiatives, the HKEx is required to assess the risks of those measures to and their impact on the market. Apart from consulting the industry, the HKEx will also maintain close communication with the Securities and Futures Commission (SFC). In assessing the initiatives proposed by the HKEx, the SFC will assess the impact of those initiatives on the Hong Kong financial market, including the competitiveness of our market and risk management. The SFC will handle the AFHT proposal in the same prudent manner.

Regarding after-hours futures trading, major futures exchanges around the world such as CME Group of the United States, Eurex and NYSE Liffe of Europe, Australian Stock Exchange, Singapore Exchange, Osaka Securities Exchange of Japan, and so on, all have started after-hours futures trading successively since many years ago.

To facilitate better preparation by the market, the HKEx has explained to industry associations in detail operational arrangements on trading, clearing and risk management under the AHFT proposal, through regular contacts and a series of briefing sessions. The HKEx will continue such contacts with the industry. The HKEx will facilitate the futures industry in the preparation work, such as enhancements to trading and back office systems and staff training, before the formal implementation of AHFT.

- (c) As shown in the results of the HKEx's consultation, the majority of industry and market participants support the implementation of AHFT.

Among the 455 responses received, 353 responses (or about 78%) supported AHFT. Two hundred and eighty seven submissions were received from employees of brokerage firms and 243 responses (or about 85%) supported AHFT. Among the 103 submissions received from corporate respondents, 89 responses (or about 90%) supported AHFT, including 67 Futures Exchange Participants, of which one third are major international securities and futures institutions and two thirds are small/medium-size securities and futures trading firms.

The HKEx is refining the details of its proposal for submission to the SFC for approval. After receiving the HKEx's proposal, the SFC will consider the views of all market participants and the development need of the Hong Kong financial market. We share the views of the HKEx and the SFC that it is not necessary to consult the public again on the same topic.

MR WONG KWOK-HING (in Cantonese): *President, the Hong Kong Securities & Futures Professionals Association is staging a petition outside the Legislative Council Complex today. They have pointed out that AHFT will cause irrational sell-offs, uncontrollable tides of liquidation of positions, and extensive risks of breach of contract, which will pose threats to the solvency of securities dealers and may give rise to financial crises and bank runs. President, these are like knock-on effects. Therefore, with regard to this major change made by the HKEx which can have a serious impact on public interest, I would like to put a follow-up question to the Government. First, why did the Government not consult the Legislative Council in advance? Second, why did it not explain the matter to the next Chief Executive, Mr LEUNG Chun-ying?*

President, Hong Kong is facing a transition between the current-term and new-term Governments, and as we can see, the next-term Government may also meet a crisis in the formation of its organization structure. During this time gap, if the current Government passes this potential time bomb to the next Government which is currently going through a difficult time in forming its ruling team, how can the Government ensure that the knock-on effects will not take place?

Therefore, my question is: Why could the Government not consult the Legislative Council on such a major change in advance, so that the Legislative Council can listen to public opinions, and why did the Government not explain the situation to the next Chief Executive, Mr LEUNG Chun-ying, in advance?

SECRETARY FOR FINANCIAL SERVICES AND THE TREASURY (in Cantonese): Thanks to the Member for his question. In fact, as I said in my main reply earlier on, the market had been consulted on the HKEx proposal for a long period of time, and in introducing any initiative, the HKEx will conduct the same consultation procedures in accordance with the existing market mechanism. Conclusions have been drawn after the consultation, and the HKEx is now in the process of compiling the details for submission to the SFC for study. The HKEx reckons that this measure can be submitted to the SFC for examination hopefully by end-2012. I think this is in line with the established regulatory procedures. The SFC will certainly look into the procedures for implementing this arrangement in the light of the proposals and analyses made by the market as well as the information provided by the SFC.

MR WONG KWOK-HING (in Cantonese): *President, the Secretary entirely has not given an answer as to why the Legislative Council was not consulted in advance, nor has he given an answer as to why the views of the next Chief Executive were not sought. Concerning these two parts of the question, I have not heard any answer from him at all.*

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Mr WONG, are you asking the Secretary why the Government did not, as you suggested, consult the Legislative Council and the next Chief Executive in advance? The Secretary replied that the Government must work in accordance with the established procedures and so, he has answered your question. If you do not agree to the work procedures of the authorities, you have to raise this point on other occasions.

MR CHIM PUI-CHUNG (in Cantonese): *In part (b) of the main reply, the Secretary said that "According to section 21 of the Securities and Futures Ordinance, the HKEx shall manage the risks associated with its business and operations prudently". President, according to reports by foreign news agencies, the HKEx will be one of the last two bidders for the London Metal Exchange (LME). We understand that the Government has given the HKEx the exclusive franchise in stock trading. If there are changes in its business and if the choice made by the HKEx would turn out to be a fiasco in future, will the existing trading hours be affected? Has the SAR Government, being a major shareholder of the HKEx, assessed the risks involved, and will this affect the requirement mentioned by the Secretary in part (b) of the main reply and cause the HKEx to be caught in a management crisis?*

SECRETARY FOR FINANCIAL SERVICES AND THE TREASURY (in Cantonese): Obviously, from the angle of a regulator, we certainly pay close attention to the management, control procedures and risk management procedures of the HKEx. The SFC is the regulatory authority, and the position of the Government is certainly to ensure that the market operates smoothly and policies are enforced. Therefore, in this respect, we will definitely keep watch on and pay attention to the actual operation of the HKEx from time to time.

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Mr CHIM, has your supplementary question not been answered?

MR CHIM PUI-CHUNG (in Cantonese): *The Secretary has not answered my question. As the HKEx now enjoys the exclusive franchise in stock trading, will its franchise be affected if the HKEx changes its modus operandi?*

SECRETARY FOR FINANCIAL SERVICES AND THE TREASURY (in Cantonese): Under our current policy, the HKEx enjoys a special status in the spot market for stocks but in other aspects, including the futures market mentioned today, the HKEx actually has many potential and actual competitors. The HKEx also has to face competition.

In our financial policies, we support the injection of greater diversity into financial products by the HKEx on the existing platform. Insofar as the Hong Kong market is concerned, what has often given cause for criticisms is the dominance of the spot trading of stocks, whereas in other aspects, such as derivatives products, Hong Kong compares less favourably with other financial centres in terms of the varieties of products and options of trading. For this reason, the Government supports the HKEx in injecting greater diversity into its business on the existing platform so long as regulation and risks remain under control.

MR WONG KWOK-HING (in Cantonese): *President, I am very dissatisfied with Donald TSANG's Government for making the next-term Government of LEUNG Chun-ying play into their hands. But I am not going to discuss this now, though I am angry.*

In his reply to part (c) of my question earlier on, the Secretary cited many figures relating to the consultation. But the industry — the Hong Kong Securities & Futures Professionals Association has strongly pointed out that while they have a few thousand members who are participants in the industry, in the comments listed by the HKEx in the results of consultation, their views were merely taken as one view, and their views were counted as one submission only.

Therefore, President, I wish to ask the Secretary through you whether the HKEx, in setting out the results of consultation this way, has conducted a comprehensive and full consultation? Has it neglected the actual dissatisfaction, concerns and anxieties of the industry in adamantly implementing this measure?

SECRETARY FOR FINANCIAL SERVICES AND THE TREASURY (in Cantonese): President, I think Members are all very concerned about the financial market. Different stakeholders have different views on and perceptions of the operation of the financial market. This, I understand.

On this issue, from an objective point of view, and as I pointed out earlier on, night-time trading can be found in many futures exchanges. Hong Kong can be considered an exception as we do not have night-time trading. In fact, in some other markets, trading can be carried out almost the whole day. In this connection, the SFC and the HKEx will certainly analyse whether night-time trading will bring about the "catastrophic" impacts as referred to by Mr WONG Kwok-hing. I wish to point out that after-hours futures trading is being done in other markets, and markets similar to Hong Kong are also capable of doing it. Then why is Hong Kong not capable of doing it? In this regard, the HKEx and the SFC will conduct studies.

In its consultation conclusions, the HKEx has made many adjustments in the light of the views of the market. I have noticed that, for instance, the price limit range is kept below 5% for night-time trading to reduce the potential risks. Besides, the calculation of margin is not based on the night-time trading session but the pre-opening trading session the next day. This is in line with the existing practice, so that there will not be margin calls during the night-time trading session arising from trading activities in this session, as cautioned by Mr WONG earlier on.

Certainly, with regard to many of its operational practices, I have asked the HKEx to ensure communication with the industry. I have also asked the SFC to conduct assessments and analyses to ensure that the risks are controllable. However, I must point out that in carrying out work in these areas, we must rely on the HKEx and the SFC to adopt a practical approach and make assessments in

the light of industry views and the competitiveness of the Hong Kong market, so as to strengthen risk control for Hong Kong investors in the face of the new arrangement.

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Which part of your supplementary question has not been answered?

MR WONG KWOK-HING (in Cantonese): *The Secretary has not given me an answer. My supplementary question is this: The Hong Kong Securities & Futures Professionals Association has a few thousand members, but why did the HKEx count them as only one vote or treat their views as one view? The Secretary has not answered this question.*

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Secretary, do you have anything to add?

SECRETARY FOR FINANCIAL SERVICES AND THE TREASURY (in Cantonese): I think as Members can note from my reply and the statistics provided by the HKEx, the HKEx has not said that everyone is supportive of this measure. I think it is a responsible consultation and assessment, conducted not by the Government but by the SFC. I have called on them to adopt a practical approach in studying the justifications and the operation of the market and analyse, in the light of the relevant views, whether what the HKEx is doing now is controllable in terms of risks.

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): We have spent close to 23 minutes on this question. Fifth question.

Politically Appointed Officials of Chief Executive-elect's Office

5. **MR WONG SING-CHI** (in Cantonese): *President, the Chief Executive-elect's Office (CEEEO) has recently announced the appointment of Mrs*

Fanny LAW as the Head of CEEO, the rank of which is equivalent to that of a Director of Bureau. According to the Code for Officials under the Political Appointment System (the Code), politically appointed officials who step down from office have to observe the following three rules: first, within one year after stepping down from office, they shall seek the advice of a committee appointed for this purpose by the Chief Executive before commencing any employment; second, within one year after stepping down from office, they shall not represent any person in connection with any claim, action, demand, proceedings, transaction or negotiation against or with the Government; and third, within one year after stepping down from office, they shall not engage in any lobbying activities on matters relating to the Government. It has been reported that the Government has exempted Mrs Fanny LAW, who is a politically appointed official, from certain provisions under the Code, so that she will not be subject to part of the Code after stepping down from office. In this connection, will the executive authorities inform this Council:

- (a) of the provisions of the Code from which Mrs Fanny LAW has been granted exemption by the Government; the justifications for such exemption; whether the consent of the Secretary for the Civil Service to grant such exemption has been given; if not, whether the Chief Executive-elect has exercised the power of exemption to enable her to enjoy such privilege of exemption; if not, who has exercised the power of exemption;*
- (b) as it has been reported that recently, in the cases of Miss Ran CHEN and Mrs Fanny LAW, the Government has exceptionally exercised the power of exemption, whether the authorities have assessed if the granting of such exemption one after another will cause the public to think that CEEO possess the privilege to incessantly grant exemption without being subject to any regulation; and*
- (c) given that society has queried and expressed objection to the granting of exemption to Mrs Fanny LAW, and there are concerns that personal interests and transfer of benefits may be involved, whether the authorities will reassess if the granting of exemption this time is correct, and whether they will consider withdrawing it?*

SECRETARY FOR CONSTITUTIONAL AND MAINLAND AFFAIRS (in Cantonese): President, on behalf of the Office of the Chief Executive-elect (CEEEO), I respond to the question raised by Mr WONG Sing-chi as follows:

- (a) According to the paper titled "Establishment of the Office of the Chief Executive-Elect" submitted by the Administration to the Panel on Constitutional Affairs of this Council in January 2012, the Head of CEEEO is equivalent to a Director of Bureau in terms of rank. It is a special appointment under non-civil service terms. As with the rest of the staff in the CEEEO, the Head of CEEEO is accountable to the Chief Executive-elect. As advised by CEEEO, Mrs Fanny LAW was appointed the Head of CEEEO on 20 April 2012. Her term of office will last until 30 June 2012, that is, only for two months or so. The Head of CEEEO is appointed by the Secretary-General of CEEEO under the delegated authority of the incumbent Chief Executive. The appointee is subject to terms set out in the contract. The post-service restrictions in the contract are drawn up having regard to the contract terms adopted for politically appointed officials of similar rank and are suitably adjusted in light of the contract period and scope of duties of the post concerned. The arrangement is agreed by both the Chief Executive-elect and the incumbent Chief Executive. As regards the specific details, the CEEEO advises that the details of individual contracts would not be revealed. However, it should be emphasized that the Head of CEEEO is not an official under the political appointment system. Since the officials covered by the Code do not include the Head of CEEEO, the question of exemption in respect of the post from the Code does not arise.
- (b) The CEEEO advises that the appointment of the Head of CEEEO is in line with existing rules and procedures. It does not involve any so-called "privilege".
- (c) The CEEEO advises that as the appointment of the Head of CEEEO does not involve any so-called "exemption" as suggested by the Member, the issue of withdrawal of "exemption" does not arise.

MR WONG SING-CHI (in Cantonese): *President, the fact that the Chief Executive is not bound by the Prevention of Bribery Ordinance has given rise to the scandal that the Chief Executive has accepted advantages, including free rides on private jets and yachts, luxury apartment rental, and so on. Owing to the delayed implementation of regulations on post-retirement employment of senior government officials, the incident involving LEUNG Chin-man has occurred. Regarding the current incident involving Mrs Fanny LAW, the Secretary in his reply said that she is neither a civil servant nor an official under the political appointment system. In other words, monitoring is totally absent, not to mention that the Chief Executive-elect has refused to disclose the terms of individual contract. Chairman, I hope the Secretary can be categorical about this. As the remuneration of Mrs Fanny LAW is paid by the public purse, the functions of her post and her influence due to her powers may be more significant than any Director of Bureau in the new-term Government. Under such circumstance, if no restriction is imposed, it will be difficult to regulate her against any transfer of benefits in future.*

President, may I ask the Secretary whether Mrs Fanny LAW has undertaken that, first, within one year after stepping down from office, she will seek the approval of a committee appointed for this purpose by the Chief Executive-elect before taking up any employment; second, within one year after stepping down from office, she will not be involved in any claim and so on against or with the Government; and third, within one year after stepping down from office, she will not engage in any lobbying activities on matters relating to the Government? Will she be subject to these restrictions? If not, what are the reasons?

SECRETARY FOR CONSTITUTIONAL AND MAINLAND AFFAIRS (in Cantonese): *President, regarding the appointment of Mrs Fanny LAW, I have explained the details in my main reply. Moreover, as mentioned in a paper submitted in January, it is a special appointment under non-civil service terms. But according to our rules and arrangement, officers on special appointment are also public officers. In other words, all public officers, including those on special appointment, will also be subject to various ordinances such as the Official Secrets Ordinance and the Civil Service Regulation where appropriate. Certainly, regarding the question of possibility of transfer of benefits as mentioned by Mr WONG Sing-chi just now, the Independent Commission*

Against Corruption may conduct an investigation into any officer on special appointment should suspicion related to that officer arise. I would like to add this point first.

As I have mentioned in the main reply, the appointee is subject to post-service restrictions specified in the contract, which are drawn up having regard to the contract terms adopted for political appointed officials of similar rank. In other words, as her position is equivalent to a Director of Bureau in terms of rank, restrictions applicable to positions at that level have been included in her contract as appropriate. Besides, as mentioned in the main reply, as Mrs Fanny LAW's term of office will last for two months or so, this is different from that of a Director of Bureau whose term of office will normally be five years upon appointment. Secondly, her scope of duties has been suitably adjusted. Concerning her scope of duties, as it is mentioned in the paper submitted in January, the main duty of the CEEO is to make arrangements with the incumbent Government for a smooth transition. Therefore, the relevant functions and powers currently under various ordinances have not been transferred to the Head of CEEO. Furthermore, given that the scope of duties and terms of reference of the incumbent Government or Directors of Bureaux are different from that of the head of CEEO, suitable adjustments have also been made in the contract.

MR WONG SING-CHI (in Cantonese): *The Secretary has not given an answer in respect of the three scenarios after she has stepped down from office*

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Are you asking the Secretary whether the relevant restrictions are applicable to the three scenarios you have mentioned?

MR WONG SING-CHI (in Cantonese): *..... whether there is any restriction? He has not given any answer in respect of these three rules.*

SECRETARY FOR CONSTITUTIONAL AND MAINLAND AFFAIRS (in Cantonese): The three rules mentioned by Mr WONG Sing-chi are supposed to be observed by politically appointed officials. As I have explained in the main

reply, the contract of Mrs Fanny LAW is drawn up with reference to these rules and suitably adjusted in light of the contract period and her scope of duties.

MR CHEUNG MAN-KWONG (in Cantonese): *President, the reply to the main question is rather bizarre as it is given by the current-term Government on behalf of the CEEO. But the current-term Government will not have any responsibility. Moreover, it is most likely that the reply is given by Mrs Fanny LAW herself. In other words, it is Mrs Fanny LAW who told us whether she has privilege or not.*

The duties of Mrs Fanny LAW, as the Head of CEEO, are related to the restructuring of the Government and funding, recommendation concerning the appointment of Secretaries of Departments and Directors of Bureaux, as well as interviews for selecting Under Secretaries and Political Assistants. She can be described as being in a position where there is no one above her except the Chief Executive-elect and she is supreme in power. Her power and influence far exceed that of the Directors of Chief Executive's Office during the reign of Mr TUNG Chee-hwa and Donald TSANG, including Secretary Raymond TAM himself. However, the post-service rules applicable to her have been dealt with in a unique manner so that the post-service restrictions on her have also been suitably adjusted. She can even be exempted from the "sanitization period". Moreover, the whole process is determined by LEUNG Chun-ying alone and the terms of the contract cannot be disclosed. The process itself is full of privilege. Has the current-term Government given agreement to this? As both LEUNG Chun-ying and Mrs Fanny LAW are public officers, their salaries are paid by the public purse. However, they are now playing the roles of employer and employee respectively and her contract is a kind of underhand secret dealings in which the public is totally kept in the dark. As a result, the political appointment system has been overridden, but the Government has no regulatory power apart from answering this question on the behalf of the CEEO

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Please ask your supplementary question.

MR CHEUNG MAN-KWONG (in Cantonese): *May I ask the Secretary whether, according to his assessment, the so-called "special appointment" is a kind of "privilege appointment"?*

SECRETARY FOR CONSTITUTIONAL AND MAINLAND AFFAIRS (in Cantonese): President, "special appointment" is not a newly established mechanism in the Government structure. In fact, in 1997 with the approval of the Legislative Council, a handful of colleagues in the Chief Executive's Office — there were four at that time — were employed on "special appointment" mainly because some positions were allowed to be filled by non-civil service colleagues hired by the then Chief Executive outside the Government. These positions include the Senior Special Assistant, Special Assistant, Personal Assistant and driver.

In the existing Chief Executive's Office, there are also a handful of individual colleagues employed on special appointment. They are subject to certain post-service restrictions specified in their contracts and they are required to observe some procedures after retirement from office. As I mentioned time and again just now, there are also post-service restrictions in Mrs Fanny LAW's contract, drawn up having regard to the contract terms adopted for the rank of Director of Bureau. Certainly, as I have explained, her term of office will last for two months or so, and her statutory powers and responsibilities are vastly different from that of Directors of Bureaux. She serves as the Head of CEEO and there will not be two centres of power during the transition. So, all powers are still exercised by the current-term Government.

Mr CHEUNG Man-kwong mentioned earlier that according to the Basic Law, the appointment of principal officials is nominated by the Chief Executive and appointed by the Central Government. From this perspective, the Head of CEEO does not have such powers. As for the selection of Under Secretaries or Political Assistants, I have noticed that there is a five-member panel in the CEEO. Among these five members, three come from outside the Government. To my understanding, the designated Secretaries of Departments and Directors of Bureaux of the governing team of the new-term Government will also participate in the second round of selection. Therefore, to my understanding, the Head of

CEEO will not be supreme in power as Mr CHEUNG Man-kwong mentioned just now.

Besides, since assuming office, Mrs Fanny LAW's main duty, as we can see, is to garner the support of this Council for the Chief Executive-elect's restructuring proposal. She comes to this Council every day. This morning, she also came here to tell Members that her main duties are lobbying and explaining the relevant proposal. I believe no specific conflict of interest will be involved. So, having considered all these factors, I believe post-service restrictions have been included in her contract and these restrictions have been suitably adjusted in light of her tenure and scope of duties according to the current practice. We consider this a suitable arrangement.

As I mentioned in the main reply, the Chief Executive-elect and the incumbent Chief Executive have agreed to this arrangement.

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Which part of your supplementary question has not been answered?

MR CHEUNG MAN-KWONG (in Cantonese): *I would like to make a clarification because the Secretary has misquoted my supplementary question. Firstly, I just said that Mrs Fanny LAW might be involved*

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Mr CHEUNG, the supplementary question raised by you just now is already very lengthy. Please be concise now.

MR CHEUNG MAN-KWONG (in Cantonese): *Yes, I will. I said that she is involved in the recommendation of Secretaries of Departments and Directors of Bureaux for appointment which shows that there is no one above her except the Chief Executive-elect and she is supreme in power. This is my point of view.*

MR FRED LI (in Cantonese): *President, I noted with care that the Secretary had repeatedly mentioned the word "suitable". He said in the main reply that the*

contract of the Head of CEEO is drawn up having regard to the contract terms adopted for politically appointed officials of similar rank and suitably adjusted in light of the contract period and scope of duties of her post. Could the Secretary tell us whether the term "suitably adjusted" includes the exemption of going through a "sanitization period"? Does it include, inter alia, the lifting of restrictions on her employment after stepping down on 1 July?

SECRETARY FOR CONSTITUTIONAL AND MAINLAND AFFAIRS (in Cantonese): President, as I said in my main reply and in answering supplementary questions, my main reply is given on behalf of the CEEO. In the contract, there are provisions of post-service restriction, the details of which are modelled on the existing regulations on Directors of Bureaux. However, these provisions have also been suitably adjusted in light of the contract period of the Head of CEEO which will last for two months or so and her main scope of duties at present. Similarly, as I also mentioned in the main reply, the CEEO advises that the details of individual contracts would not be revealed. Therefore, I am afraid I may be unable to answer the supplementary question raised by Mr Fred LI.

MR LEE CHEUK-YAN (in Cantonese): *President, I consider it most outrageous that the special appointment has turned into a specially mysterious appointment. I wonder why the Secretary refuses to give a transparent reply to such a simple question. Mrs Fanny LAW has indeed been elevated to a position where there is no one above her except the Chief Executive-elect. We have only one question: Are there any provisions concerning post-service restrictions in the contract? There are clear and specific provisions in the Code, which are, however, not applicable to her. Meanwhile, although the Secretary indicated that certain regulations had been drawn up according to this Code, he refused to say what these regulations are.*

Secretary, there is no reason for you to refuse disclosing the provisions in the contract as this is a trivial matter. Public money is spent on the creation of this post. According to the Secretary, her pay is determined on the basis of the remuneration of Directors of Bureaux, meaning that her monthly salary is almost \$300,000. Although a monthly salary of \$300,000 is paid for this post, the Government refuses to answer our question concerning the post-service

restrictions in her contract. It will give people an impression that it must be a great job and surely subject to no post-service restriction

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Mr LEE, please raise your supplementary question.

MR LEE CHEUK-YAN (in Cantonese): *So, my supplementary question is very simple, President. I strongly urge the Secretary to give a transparent reply to Hong Kong people, telling us the post-service restrictions in her contract. The Secretary should tell us whether there is no restriction, or there are certain restrictions, or she is subject to the three rules. My question is as simple as that. Why is it so difficult to answer the question? President, I hope the Secretary can give me an answer.*

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Mr LEE, please sit down after raising your supplementary question.

SECRETARY FOR CONSTITUTIONAL AND MAINLAND AFFAIRS (in Cantonese): President, I believe I have tried my best to answer Members' questions in this regard. Let me reiterate what I mentioned earlier. First, there are post-service restrictions in the contract of Mrs Fanny LAW; second, the restrictions are drawn up having regard to the usual provisions adopted for Directors of Bureaux; third, these restrictions have been suitably adjusted in the light of the contract period and scope of duties; and fourth, the CEEA advises that specific details of the contract would not be revealed. I believe that, in view of the information on hand, I am unable to provide further information requested by Mr LEE Cheuk-yan.

MS EMILY LAU (in Cantonese): *President, the Secretary has said time and again that he cannot provide the information. However, he said that her contract has been suitably adjusted. Given that the tenure of a Director of Bureau is five years, but this post, which is neither fish nor fowl, will last for two months or so. By simple computation, it represents 5% of the tenure of a*

Director of Bureau. May I ask whether this means that 5% of the post-service restrictions on a Director of Bureau restricting employment in a certain period after retirement from office will be applicable to her? Such restriction has also been mentioned in the main question. Has the Secretary for the Civil Service refused to give agreement to it?

SECRETARY FOR CONSTITUTIONAL AND MAINLAND AFFAIRS (in Cantonese): To my understanding, neither the Secretary for the Civil Service nor any colleague in the Bureau has been involved in this matter. As I mentioned in the main reply, the contract was handled by the Secretary-General of the CEEO and agreed by both the incumbent Chief Executive and the Chief Executive-elect.

Concerning the first part of Ms Emily LAU's question about the restrictions being suitably adjusted, I can only say that in general, the contract period of a Director of Bureau will be the same as the term of the Chief Executive. Therefore, the tenure of a Director of Bureau will usually be five years when a new-term Government has taken over. However, Mrs Law's contract will last for two months or so from 20 April to 30 June. Second, her scope of duties is very different, as shown by the fact that she, as the Head of CEEO, is not vested any statutory powers. This is very different from the situation of many incumbent Directors of Bureaux who have powers of discretion or powers of submitting bills under various ordinances. The Head of CEEO does not have such powers. Regarding the fact that the contract period and scope of duties have been suitably adjusted, as I mentioned in my main reply, this adjustment is also reflected in the post-service restrictions in the contract.

MS EMILY LAU (in Cantonese): *President, I asked whether the Secretary for the Civil Service did not agree to this kind of arrangement.*

SECRETARY FOR CONSTITUTIONAL AND MAINLAND AFFAIRS (in Cantonese): President, I have noticed that colleagues of the Civil Service Bureau already reiterated that they were not involved in this matter during an earlier interview with the mass media, which is identical to what I have just said.

MR PAUL TSE (in Cantonese): *President, the Secretary has reiterated in the main reply that he was replying on behalf of the CEEO. In the later part of part (a) of the reply, it is stated that the CEEO has advised that the details of individual contracts would not be revealed. President, as the saying goes, one has to seek justice against the appropriate perpetrators and collect debt from the right debtors. I would like to know one thing. If the general public are not satisfied with the transparency or think that something is being covered up, should we point the finger at the Secretary or should we put the blame on the relevant officers working in the CEEO? Or should we put the blame on Mrs Fanny LAW? Does the Secretary know about the content? Is he unwilling to answer, or has he been told not to answer?*

SECRETARY FOR CONSTITUTIONAL AND MAINLAND AFFAIRS (in Cantonese): President, I have been trying to respond to Members' questions based on the information on hand. I have also mentioned in my main reply that the information on hand is mostly provided to me by the CEEO. I wish to answer all questions to the best that I can.

MR PAUL TSE (in Cantonese): *Does the Secretary personally know the relevant information? Is he willing to reveal it? Or is he just a representative who can hardly reveal anything?*

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Secretary, do you have anything to add?

SECRETARY FOR CONSTITUTIONAL AND MAINLAND AFFAIRS (in Cantonese): I have also said in the main reply that the CEEO has advised that the details of individual contracts would not be revealed.

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): We have spent more than 22 minutes on this question. Last oral question.

Prices of Textbooks

6. **MR CHEUNG HOK-MING** (in Cantonese): *President, the high textbook prices in Hong Kong keep on rising every year, and some parents have indicated that this imposes an additional financial burden on them, and therefore, they have all along hoped that the Government will strive with textbook publishers to implement the "debundling of textbooks from learning and teaching materials for pricing", so as to bring down textbook prices. However, they have indicated that according to the newly released Recommended Textbook List (RTL), 60% of the textbooks are not debundled for pricing, and the average increase of the textbook prices is 4%; and for those textbooks which are debundled for pricing, the drop in their prices is limited, making them feel very angry and disappointed that the Education Bureau has been negotiating with the textbook publishers on textbook pricing for years but no progress has been made. In this connection, will the Government inform this Council:*

- (a) *given that the Education Bureau has considered publishing textbooks through central tendering, whether the Government has shelved the plan; if so, given that the strategy of debundling for pricing has failed to effectively bring down textbook prices, whether the Government will consider afresh adopting the plan, with a view to providing parents with an additional choice;*
- (b) *given that the Education Bureau plans to develop the electronic textbook (e-textbook) market, with a view to introducing competition and increasing the choices of textbooks available to parents and schools, whether the Government has estimated, upon the launching of e-textbooks in 2014, the savings that may be brought to parents, as well as the percentage of downward adjustment in textbook prices that may be brought about; and*
- (c) *whether the Government will consider subsidizing all parents in Hong Kong in buying textbooks to alleviate their burden?*

SECRETARY FOR EDUCATION (in Cantonese): President,

- (a) The Education Bureau set up the Task Force to Review Learning and Teaching Materials (hereafter "the Task Force") last year to review and examine issues related to textbook provision, including the possibility of central tendering. However, in the conventional printed textbook market, large commercial publishers have an edge in both manpower and resources. Hence, the effective introduction of new competitors is a challenge under the principles of a free market. The Government's direct involvement in the development of textbooks is also considered infeasible as it will cause heated debates in the social and education sectors. At present, schools select their textbooks with reference to the RTL issued by the Education Bureau as the textbooks on the list have been vetted by the Education Bureau and their quality is assured. Having adopted their textbooks for years, schools are unlikely to switch to those of other publishers. Unless the Government makes it mandatory that schools have to use the new textbooks that succeed in any tendering exercise, the current issue faced by the textbook market is yet to be solved.

To rectify the distortion in the textbook market, we accepted the recommendation of the Task Force towards the end of last year on developing e-textbooks that can be independently used for learning and teaching. Apart from keeping abreast of international trends by introducing the more flexible and interactive electronic mode of learning and teaching, e-textbooks provide an option in addition to printed textbooks and bring about healthy competition. More importantly, the development of e-textbooks allows the Government to play a leading role and reset market rules. Not only will the Government be able to monitor textbook quality, but the sales and pricing strategies of publishers will also be under reasonable supervision.

To ensure the sustainable development of e-textbooks in the market in the long run, we need to establish a quality assurance mechanism. At present, most schools refer to the RTL issued by the Education

Bureau when selecting printed textbooks as the list guarantees quality. Publishers are also concerned about whether their textbooks can be included in the RTL. In the future, we are going to draw up an additional RTL applicable to e-textbooks based on the experience and feedback from the E-Textbook Market Development Scheme. In addition to textbook quality, pricing and sales strategies will also be included as vetting criteria. It is believed that schools will make reference to the list for e-textbook selection.

We are going to apply for a \$50 million funding from Legislative Council to provide a seeding grant to non-profit-making organizations on a 50:50 matching basis. The grant is subject to a financial cap of around \$4 million per e-textbook set or 50% of the development cost of the e-textbook set concerned, whichever is less. We also welcome other commercial organizations to join the Scheme. They can apply for the Small and Medium Enterprises Loan Guarantee Scheme if necessary.

- (b) We have commissioned a consultancy study recently and found out that the average cost of developing e-textbooks is 20% lower than that of the printed textbooks. If an e-textbook set is used by 30% of the schools in Hong Kong, its production cost is at most 50% lower than that of the printed versions. Therefore, we believe that if the e-textbook market is successfully developed, textbooks of good quality and reasonable price could be available for use by the education sector.
- (c) The Government's policy on student finance is to ensure that no student is deprived of education due to lack of means. Nonetheless, based on the principle of financial prudence, we have no intention to subsidize all parents in Hong Kong (including those without any financial burden) in buying textbooks. For families with financial difficulties, if the students come from families in receipt of the Comprehensive Social Security Assistance, they can apply for a flat-rate grant for school-related expenses under the Scheme. Other

families with financial difficulties may apply to the Student Financial Assistance Agency (SFAA) for textbook assistance through the "School Textbook Assistance Scheme", which provides primary and secondary students in need with assistance for purchase of textbooks. According to the SFAA's statistics, the cumulative amount of assistance disbursed through the Scheme in 2011-2012 is close to \$656 million. Around 280 000 primary and secondary students have benefited from the Scheme, 57% of whom receive full grant, while the remaining receive half grant. About 37% of all primary and secondary students can benefit from the Scheme.

MR CHEUNG HOK-MING (in Cantonese): *President, as observed, the debundling-for-pricing approach advocated by the Government in the past has been proved a failure, and the Government has shelved the plan to publish textbooks through central tendering. That said, even though the Secretary has pointed out that the authorities are preparing to push ahead with e-textbooks, it will not come to fruition until a few years later. May I ask the Government whether it has conducted a more precise study on how much benefit students in general can gain from the launch of e-textbooks in 2014?*

SECRETARY FOR EDUCATION (in Cantonese): President, in fact, upon the launching of e-textbooks in 2014, the benefit will also depend on the number of participating schools. If all the schools participate in it, certainly all school children can be benefited, but we wonder if it is necessarily the case. Now that we are implementing this scheme, we must first select some of the schools for trial teaching. In addition to having the will to use e-textbooks, these schools must be equipped with suitably configured electronic hardware, such as that for accessing the Internet at the appropriate speed, among others. Therefore, it depends to a large extent on the number of participating schools. However, all the needy students from those schools participating in this scheme can certainly benefit from our scheme.

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Nine Members are waiting to ask questions. Will Members please be concise when asking questions and refrain from expressing views.

DR SAMSON TAM (in Cantonese): *President, the Government said that it would provide a \$50 million funding to carry out the complementary projects for e-textbooks. It is a good start in my view. However, the outcome can only be known several years later. We will have to wait and see whether the scheme is effective or worth participation by then. I think that in addition to the factor mentioned by the Secretary earlier, namely the bottleneck posed by the hardware or Internet access facilities of the schools, whether or not the teachers or principals are willing to use e-textbooks in teaching and learning is likewise a very important factor. May I ask the Secretary what the Government has done in this regard?*

SECRETARY FOR EDUCATION (in Cantonese): President, I fully agree with Mr TAM's remarks that not just hardware, but also software, is very important. That is why we are currently implementing a trial teaching scheme. We have selected some schools, and these schools must first be equipped with appropriate hardware and their teachers must not be resistant to this scheme. Currently, some schools are using electronic teaching materials, which are not textbooks but some special teaching materials. Some schools have been using them already. We hope to spread this message out through the trial teaching scheme. Meanwhile, the participating schools can accumulate experience and share it with other schools. In this connection, we will organize large-scale sharing sessions to give teachers, principals and parents more exposure to the relevant knowledge and share their experiences.

MR CHEUNG MAN-KWONG (in Cantonese): *President, I have noted from the main reply that the Government will provide a \$50 million funding for e-textbooks, and the estimated amount of subsidy for each set is \$4 million. In other words, with the \$50 million funding, only 12 sets of textbooks can be compiled. How can this be sufficient for use in primary and secondary school subjects? It is not even sufficient for the main subjects, not to mention that for*

each subject there should be at least two sets of textbooks to stoke competition. Will this scheme be a "damp squib"? I have taken a second look at the "textbook assistance" granted to students by the authorities each year, and found that it amounts to as much as \$650 million in total. The funding for e-textbooks is only \$50 million, compared with the provision of \$650 million for students to purchase textbooks. Is this amount too small to cope with the urgent needs and induce healthy competition?

SECRETARY FOR EDUCATION (in Cantonese): President, I would like to take this opportunity to give a clearer explanation. Under this scheme, the current funding aims at helping new entrant organizations, such as non-profit-making organizations established by universities. If some non-profit-making organizations do not have the capital to develop this market, they can make use of this opportunity to enter the market. Hence, we are introducing these new forces into the competition. However, being commercial organizations backed with capital and talents, the existing publishers can participate in it without taking loans from us.

Therefore, although we can develop, as Mr CHEUNG Man-kwong said, only about 12 sets of textbooks with the \$50 million funding, I have also said earlier that the maximum amount of subsidy for each set is approximately \$4 million, and nevertheless some may need less subsidy. So, we expect that there will be sufficient new competitors to enter the competition for the major subjects, namely Chinese, English and Mathematics, and other common subjects. Of course, the existing players can also participate in this scheme, but they are not using our funds.

MS MIRIAM LAU (in Cantonese): *President, I support the use of e-textbooks. However, they are anyway not available for use before 2014, and the scope of coverage is doubtful.*

I wish to come back to the problems currently facing us. Many parents have complained to me that the current cost of textbooks for their children is a great burden to them because they can neither buy old textbooks nor reuse such textbooks. The reason for this problem is basically twofold: Firstly, the publishers often issue new editions without justifications, and secondly, many

exercises are embedded in the relevant textbooks instead of being annexed to them; hence once the exercises are done, the next child cannot use them. For instance, after a sister has finished doing the exercises, her brother cannot do them again. As a result, the parents have to buy new textbooks.

What I have just described is a simple issue. Actually, the so-called new edition does not affect the contents. May I ask the Secretary, given that the Education Department has a mechanism to review these textbooks, why can it not act as the parents' gatekeeper on this issue when it reviews the textbooks? If the Secretary confirms that the review mechanism cannot perform its gate-keeping role, will there be other mechanisms, such as a four-party talk among the Government, publishers, schools and parents, to solve these problems one by one, thereby reducing the burden of parents?

SECRETARY FOR EDUCATION (in Cantonese): President, I think Members need to understand and accept that Hong Kong is a free market in which very often the Government can only adopt different approaches to rectify distortions in the market. In the past few years, we have made some efforts in this regard, such as debundling the textbooks. At that time, we all agreed that the textbooks were so expensive because the publishers gave the schools plenty of teaching materials and the relevant costs hence fell on the students' textbooks. Now that debundling has been implemented, hopefully the prices of textbooks can be reduced, but unfortunately, after several years of implementation, there is no significant drop in the prices; that said, the rise is lower than the inflation rate. On that note, I think we must accept the law of the market. Now we can effectively monitor the market only through new competition and the introduction of new rules of the game.

MS MIRIAM LAU (in Cantonese): *President, the Secretary has totally misunderstood my supplementary question. My supplementary question is not related to the debundling of teaching materials from textbooks. I have just cited some examples that are reasons why textbooks cannot be reused*

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Please repeat as quickly as possible the part that has not been answered.

MS MIRIAM LAU (in Cantonese): *What I talked about just now was the review mechanism. If the exercises associated with a textbook are presented in the form of a separate appendix, the textbook can be reused, right? In this way, parents need only buy the new exercises. This is a very simple matter. In this regard, the review mechanism could indeed have played its role. If the review mechanism cannot play its role, will the authorities ask the publishers, parents and schools to sit down for discussions in order to resolve these simple problems, thereby reducing the burden of parents so that they do not need to buy new textbooks every time and old textbooks can be reused?*

SECRETARY FOR EDUCATION (in Cantonese): President, in fact, such issues were raised long ago. For example, regarding textbook revisions, three years ago we already said that publishers should not use revisions as an excuse. Now, no revision is allowed within five years. Therefore, in this connection, we have all along maintained contact with various parties.

MR ALAN LEONG (in Cantonese): *President, judging from the Secretary's main reply, it seems that the Government is making limited efforts to assist the development of e-textbooks. May I ask the Secretary, according to the estimation of the Government, to what extent will there be competition between e-textbooks and conventional printed textbooks by 2014, when e-textbooks are available on the market? What improvement will such competition bring about to the situation of market monopolization by large commercial publishers as pointed out in part (a) of the main reply? Has the Government made an assessment that can be shared with us and explained to us?*

SECRETARY FOR EDUCATION (in Cantonese): President, we certainly hope to be able to substantially improve the competition situation in the current market, but regarding what will actually happen then, I believe we should not be so arbitrary as to say that a certain scenario must be achieved. I believe that, to a very large extent, we will then see more and more schools using e-textbooks, and

the growth rate will be very fast as well. When we see something new being launched, we will initially be wary and not be very conversant with its use. This is the same as in the case of mobile phones. When 3G and 4G were first mentioned, many people would question the need to use so many features in addition to making simple phone calls, but now the situation is completely different. Therefore, if we can now introduce new suppliers through this scheme and manage to succeed, certainly we can play an exemplary role in attracting the existing publishers to take part in the competition.

MS CYD HO (in Cantonese): *President, the prices of textbooks is in fact a very simple issue of governance, but for many years the Government has failed to solve it, preferring to allocate \$650 million each year as subsidy instead. The issue raised by Ms Miriam LAU earlier is very simple, and the solution is entirely feasible. It is all about encouraging the reuse of old textbooks. When nobody buys new textbooks, the monopolized market will collapse. However, what the Bureau needs to do is to provide redesigned teaching materials and exercises.*

Here may I ask the Secretary whether, in this connection, he has worked with the deputations and teachers in the HKEdCity website together to promote the redesign of exercises so as to enable schools to make copies of these exercises for students, and then use old textbooks altogether?

SECRETARY FOR EDUCATION (in Cantonese): President, many Members often remind us that old textbooks can be reused. The same recommendation was also made in the panel meeting last week. We will continue to follow past practice by requiring schools to further their efforts in this regard, but it seems that the effectiveness is not very significant because, for their own unique reasons, many people in Hong Kong do not quite like using old textbooks. Although there are quite a number of people using second-hand textbooks, the percentage is very small.

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): We have spent 22 minutes and 30 seconds on this question. Oral questions end here.

WRITTEN ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS**Non-franchised Bus Services**

7. **MS MIRIAM LAU** (in Chinese): *President, it has been reported that the figures from the Transport Department (TD) reveal a continuous drop in the number of school buses in Hong Kong by as much as 14% from 4 244 in 2004 to 3 633 last year. Those reports have pointed out that one of the reasons for the continuous drop in the number of school buses is the freeze imposed by the TD on the number of non-franchised buses (NFBs) since 2005, thus the operators concerned can only acquire licences from the market in order to expand their services, resulting in rampant speculation on such licences, and the current licence price is as high as \$2 million each. Those reports have also pointed out that the growing demand from the tourism industry and the cross-boundary transport sector has induced operators to convert quite a number of school buses into tour buses, resulting in the shortage of school buses and fee increase at present and, meanwhile, the operating costs of tours and cross-boundary transport have also increased. In this connection, will the Government inform this Council:*

- (a) *given that the authorities control the number of NFBs in the market and operators seeking business expansion or new entrants have to acquire existing NFB licences from the market, of the respective number of existing NFB licences successfully purchased from the market by operators each year since 2005, as well as the services involved;*
- (b) *whether the authorities have assessed the current demand for various types of NFB services; which types of NFB services are oversupplied and which are in short supply, and the gap between demand and supply;*
- (c) *given that school bus services can reduce the traffic burden on the roads around schools and bring convenience to students living in remote areas but it has been reported that there is a shortage of school buses at present, of the measures taken by the authorities to assist those schools which are not able to arrange school bus services for their students; and*

- (d) *given that the Transport Advisory Committee conducted a review of NFBs between 2003 and 2004 in response to an oversupply of NFB services at that time but it has been reported that in tandem with the changes in economy and urban planning, NFB services (particularly those for students) are in short supply at present, whether the authorities will conduct a review of NFB services (including consideration of relaxing the licensing control on NFBs); if they will, of the plan; if not, the reasons for that?*

SECRETARY FOR TRANSPORT AND HOUSING (in Chinese): President, in response to the concerns that the transport trade had on the supply and demand of NFBs and the operation of unauthorized NFB services by certain operators, the Government invited the Transport Advisory Committee to review the regulatory framework and licensing system of NFBs. The review was completed in 2004. After consulting the relevant trades and organizations as well as the Legislative Council Panel on Transport, the Government has implemented a series of improvement measures with reference to the recommendations made in the review.

The improvement measures include more stringent processing of applications for NFB services in order to strike a balance between supply and demand. Specifically, the Government encourages applicants for new services to actively source buses from outgoing NFB services in the market instead of purchasing new vehicles. This is to avoid excessive growth in the overall number of NFBs and help optimize the use of existing NFBs to meet service demand. The Government understands that imposing a cap on the number of NFBs will restrict the flexibility of the operation of the trade, and obstruct the acquisition of additional buses by some services due to special circumstances. We stress that the current policy has not frozen the number of NFBs or imposed a cap on the number of NFBs.

My reply to the question is as follows:

- (a) The Government encourages incumbent operators who wish to acquire additional buses or prospective entrants to actively procure buses from the current NFB fleet in the market. This can make good use of existing resources.

In the past seven years (from 2005 to 2011), the average number of transactions on successful purchase of existing NFBs in the market is about 515 per year. Details are at Annex 1. These NFBs may, according to the endorsements approved, provide tour service, hotel service, student service, employees' service, international passenger service, residents' service and contract hire service.

- (b) Under the current regime, operators may in response to market demand apply for increasing the number of buses or changing the types of services provided to cater for market development. The operators have to provide valid contractual proof for the service concerned. When assessing the application, the TD will consider the adequacy of existing transport services and other relevant factors before making a decision. Separately, the operators will adjust their NFB services in tandem with market demand. Since 2005, the demand for NFB services in the market has been steady at large. A breakdown by the number of NFBs and the different types of service endorsements since 2005 is at Annex 2.

(c) and (d)

At present, there are about 4 900⁽¹⁾ buses and light buses providing transport service for students in the market. All operators providing transport service for students must obtain a valid Passenger Service Licence as well as the endorsement or approval required for the vehicle type concerned. Any person who wishes to run a school private light bus service needs to provide the TD with details of the intended service. In submitting the application to the TD, he/she needs to provide the relevant personal or company documentary proof and a letter of recommendation from the relevant educational institution to support such an application. The TD neither requires the applicant to first procure light buses from outgoing school private light bus services in the market, nor imposes a cap on the number of school private light buses. Meanwhile, the Education Bureau suggests in case there is tight supply of school bus service for a certain area, the school concerned may make necessary arrangements in the light of its own circumstances and needs. Such

(1) Buses and light buses currently providing student service include school private light buses (commonly known as "nanny vans") (1 281 in number), non-franchised public buses (3 543 in number) and private buses (60 in number).

arrangements include forming a school bus network via negotiation and co-ordination with schools within the same area or under the same sponsoring body. Invitations for quotations or tenders from the operators for the provision of school bus service may then be jointly arranged.

In fact, Annex 2 shows that the number of NFBs from 2005 to 2011 has remained steady, with the number of various service endorsements issued changing in tandem with service demand. That being the case, the improvement measures proposed in the 2004 review have been effective in adjusting the number of NFBs and service endorsements issued. The Government has no plan to review the regulatory framework and licensing system for NFBs for the time being.

Nevertheless, the TD will continue to draw reference to the changes in the number of various types of NFBs and keep in view their utilization in monitoring the operating conditions of NFBs. The TD will maintain close liaison with the trade through regular meetings and make flexible adjustments to the measures concerned in a timely manner in response to the changes in the supply and demand of NFBs. This is to cater for the development of the society and the changing operational environment of the NFB trade.

Annex 1

Number of transactions on successful purchase of
existing NFBs in the market

<i>Year</i>	
2005	330
2006	419
2007	649
2008	565
2009	460
2010	554
2011	627
2012 (as at end March)	101

Source: TD

Number of non-franchised public buses (NFBs)
and various service endorsements

Year	<i>Number of NFBs and various service endorsements</i>							<i>Total number of registered NFBs</i>
	<i>Tour service</i>	<i>Hotel service</i>	<i>Student service</i>	<i>Employees' service</i>	<i>International passenger service</i>	<i>Residents' service</i>	<i>Contract hire service</i>	
2005	3 126	3 125	4 244	2 124	912	1 023	6 238	7 166
2006	3 044	2 953	4 185	2 034	959	1 046	5 979	7 086
2007	2 950	2 743	4 157	1 843	947	1 086	5 860	7 067
2008	2 903	2 596	4 049	1 897	969	1 082	5 748	7 071
2009	2 906	2 478	3 973	1 900	1 012	1 109	5 684	7 066
2010	2 846	2 320	3 818	1 903	1 099	1 136	5 556	7 065
2011	2 925	2 188	3 577	1 855	1 128	1 132	5 479	7 071
2012 (as at end March)	2 939	2 156	3 543	1 848	1 136	1 127	5 475	7 069

Source: TD

Note:

Each NFB can have more than one service endorsement. The total number of endorsements is therefore different from the total number of NFBs.

Samaritan Fund

8. **MR ALBERT HO** (in Chinese): *President, in 2010-2011, the safety net of the Samaritan Fund (SF) covered 17 self-financed drugs (the drugs) and the number of patients receiving SF subsidy on drugs was about 1 350 (with 720 of them receiving full subsidy and the other 630 receiving partial subsidy). The Government plans to revise the financial assessment criteria of SF, and it is estimated that this can benefit 2 300 patients (that is, an additional 1 000 patients). In this connection, will the Government inform this Council whether it knows:*

- (a) *the situation of provision of SF subsidy under the existing financial assessment criteria, including:*
- (i) *in each of the past five years, the respective numbers of patients receiving full and partial subsidy, the total number of patients receiving subsidy, the average subsidy amount per patient, the average contribution amount per patient, as well as the total subsidy amount for each type of drug, broken down by drug type;*
 - (ii) *the number of patients receiving partial subsidy in each of the past five years, broken down by drug type and tier of maximum patient's contribution; and*
 - (iii) *how it has come to the projection that revising the financial assessment criteria may benefit an additional 1 000 patients; the numbers of patients receiving partial subsidy at present who will become eligible for full subsidy, those whose contribution to drug cost will decrease, and those who will become eligible for subsidy under SF, after the revision in the financial assessment criteria;*
- (b) *the respective numbers of cases which had been formally rejected (that is, cases of applicants being turned down after they had been assessed by medical practitioners as having a need for the aforesaid drugs, had been referred to medical social workers (MSWs) and had filled out and submitted application forms to SF with the assistance of MSWs) and cases which had been informally rejected (that is, cases of applicants being verbally turned down by the MSWs concerned after they had been assessed by medical practitioners as having a need for the aforesaid drugs and had been referred to MSWs) in the past five years; and*
- (c) *the clinical criteria adopted by medical practitioners for assessing patients' needs for the 17 drug items; whether the Hospital Authority (HA) had consulted any patient group in the course of formulating those criteria?*

SECRETARY FOR LABOUR AND WELFARE (in the absence of Secretary for Food and Health) (in Chinese): President, the SF provides financial assistance to needy patients who meet the specified clinical criteria and pass the financial test to meet expenses on the drugs or privately purchased medical items needed in the course of medical treatment but are not covered by the standard fees and charges in public hospitals and clinics.

My reply to the various parts of the question is as follows:

- (a) The number of patients suffering from illnesses which require the use of the drugs covered by SF each year varies from several tens to a few hundred. The average amount of patients' contribution to drug expenses each year is affected by the patients' financial situation, clinical conditions and dosage used during the year. The relevant figures can only be used for reference and do not reflect the price of the drugs and its trend. As the relevant figures for 2007-2008 and 2008-2009 are kept in the archive of the HA, such figures are not readily available and will take time for compilation. Relevant figures for the past three years are provided.
 - (i) In each of the past three years, for patients receiving drug subsidy, the respective number of patients receiving full and partial subsidy and the total number of patients receiving subsidy, as well as the average amount of subsidy of each case approved, the average amount of patients' contribution of each case approved for partial subsidy and the total amount of subsidy approved, with breakdowns by drug type, are set out at Annex 1.
 - (ii) In each of the past three years, for patients receiving partial drug subsidy, the patients' contribution with breakdowns by each tier of contribution amount and drug type are set out at Annex 2.
 - (iii) The number of patients receiving SF subsidies on drug was about 1 350 in 2010-2011 (with 720 receiving full subsidy and 630 partial subsidy). With the relaxation of the financial test, using the deductible allowance for a four-member household

(that is, around \$400,000) as the average for the purpose of estimation, it is estimated that about 2 300 patients using the 17 drugs covered by SF will be better off after the introduction of the deductible allowance for disposal capital. These 2 300 patients include patients who are receiving partial subsidy and will become fully subsidized, patients who will contribute a smaller amount of the drug cost, and patients who will become newly eligible for the SF subsidy. Moreover, those who are currently enjoying full subsidy from SF will continue to benefit. Since the number of patients who are receiving partial subsidy and will become fully subsidized, those who will contribute a smaller amount of the drug cost and those who will become newly eligible for subsidy will be affected by factors such as drug prices, clinical conditions of the patients and dosage used, and so on, the HA is not able to estimate the distribution of these 2 300 patients among the above three categories of patients.

- (b) The numbers of applications (including drug and non-drug subsidies) rejected in the past three years are set out below. As the assessment criteria for the financial test of SF had been relaxed in the past, there had been a continuous drop in the numbers of applications rejected over the past few years.

	<i>Numbers of applications rejected</i>
2009-2010	32
2010-2011	23
2011-2012	11

- (c) In formulating clinical and medical criteria, the HA will listen to the advice of the relevant healthcare expert teams and take into consideration scientific evidence of the relevant drugs, clinical experience, treatment outcomes, international recommendations and practices, as well as the views of patients.

Since the implementation of the Drug Formulary in 2005, the HA has been maintaining liaison and communication with patient groups

and proactively soliciting patients' feedback on the Drug Formulary. In order to further enhance transparency and engagement of patient groups, the HA established in 2009 a formal consultation mechanism under which annual consultation meetings on the Drug Formulary and SF will be convened with patient groups. Patient groups will be invited to give their views after the meeting. Their views and suggestions will then be presented to the relevant drug committees for consideration.

To further enhance the engagement of patients, the HA has since early 2011 established a new platform for the Chief Executive of the HA to regularly meet with patient representatives to gauge their views on various areas of patient services. This new platform also serves as an additional liaison channel with patients on matters relating to the Drug Formulary.

Annex 1

<i>Drugs covered by SF in 2009-2010</i>	<i>Number of applications approved</i>			<i>Average amount of subsidy of each case approved (\$)</i>	<i>Average amount of patients' contribution of each case approved for partial subsidy (\$)</i>	<i>Total amount of subsidy approved (\$million)</i>
	<i>Full subsidy</i>	<i>Partial subsidy</i>	<i>Total</i>			
1 Interferon:	91	32	123	42,470	5,850	5.22
2 Cetuximab:	8	1	9	81,464	23,832	0.73
3 Etanercept:	71	28	99	60,923	10,876	6.03
4 Infliximab:	81	49	130	76,459	10,825	9.94
5 Oxaliplatin:	20	11	31	28,473	11,237	0.88
6 Rituximab:	70	53	123	71,806	21,694	8.83
7 Trastuzumab:	86	49	135	72,388	34,415	9.77
8 Imatinib (that is, Glivec):	161	142	303	135,898	26,070	41.18
9 Irinotecan (Campto):	118	24	142	11,165	3,662	1.59
10 Growth hormone	0	0	0	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
Total	706	389	1 095	76,874	20,040	84.18

Notes:

Cetuximab and Oxaliplatin were introduced into the coverage of SF in 2009-2010.

Irinotecan (Campto) was included in the HA Drug Formulary as Special Drug in 2010-2011.

<i>Drugs covered by SF in 2010-2011</i>	<i>Number of applications approved</i>			<i>Average amount of subsidy of each case approved (\$)</i>	<i>Average amount of patients' contribution of each case approved for partial subsidy (\$)</i>	<i>Total amount of subsidy approved (\$million)</i>
	<i>Full subsidy</i>	<i>Partial subsidy</i>	<i>Total</i>			
1 Interferon:	37	16	53	86,169	11,233	4.57
2 Adalimumab:	21	14	35	98,113	12,395	3.43
3 Bortezomib:	20	15	35	131,601	30,212	4.61
4 Cetuximab:	14	9	23	70,328	5,728	1.62
5 Dasatinib:	6	6	12	248,629	15,384	2.98
6 Etanercept:	109	40	149	64,632	16,261	9.63
7 Infliximab:	75	47	122	106,167	11,618	12.95
8 Nilotinib:	14	22	36	263,472	29,502	9.48
9 Oxaliplatin:	44	30	74	30,265	13,007	2.24
10 Pemetrexed:	1	1	2	97,495	6,250	0.19
11 Rituximab:	91	81	172	72,479	29,302	12.47
12 Trastuzumab:	132	178	310	128,565	50,253	39.86
13 Imatinib (that is, Glivec):	164	167	331	140,515	34,483	46.51
14 Growth hormone	0	0	0	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
Total	728	626	1 354	111,183	32,379	150.54

Note:

Adalimumab, Bortezomib, Dasatinib, Nilotinib and Pemetrexed were introduced into the coverage of SF in 2010-2011.

<i>Drugs covered by SF in 2011-2012</i>	<i>Number of applications approved</i>			<i>Average amount of subsidy of each case approved (\$)</i>	<i>Average amount of patients' contribution of each case approved for partial subsidy (\$)</i>	<i>Total amount of subsidy approved (\$million)</i>
	<i>Full subsidy</i>	<i>Partial subsidy</i>	<i>Total</i>			
1 Interferon:	40	17	57	85,990	11,799	4.90
2 Adalimumab:	57	28	85	109,941	14,841	9.35
3 Bortezomib:	38	14	52	173,679	34,024	9.03
4 Cetuximab:	16	5	21	74,877	9,212	1.57
5 Dasatinib:	20	8	28	229,320	35,056	6.42
6 Etanercept:	129	47	176	63,773	16,027	11.22
7 Erlotinib:	12	8	20	146,559	24,126	2.93
8 Gefitinib:	23	14	37	145,276	32,427	5.38
9 Infliximab:	68	29	97	101,142	8,277	9.81
10 Nilotinib:	23	17	40	242,704	33,206	9.71
11 Oxaliplatin:	47	24	71	18,896	5,102	1.34

Drugs covered by SF in 2011-2012	Number of applications approved			Average amount of subsidy of each case approved (\$)	Average amount of patients' contribution of each case approved for partial subsidy (\$)	Total amount of subsidy approved (\$million)
	Full subsidy	Partial subsidy	Total			
12 Pemetrexed:	2	2	4	65,445	22,045	0.26
13 Rituximab:	86	95	181	70,294	32,378	12.72
14 Temozolomide:	2	4	6	50,709	45,180	0.30
15 Trastuzumab:	123	165	288	127,367	48,188	36.68
16 Imatinib (that is, Glivec):	187	166	353	150,879	29,958	53.26
17 Growth hormone	0	0	0	N.A.	N.A.	N.A.
Total	873	643	1 516	115,365	31,059	174.89

Note:

Erlotinib, Gefitinib and Temozolomide were introduced into the coverage of SF in 2011-2012.

Annex 2

Drugs covered by SF	Patients' contribution for drug expenses in cases approved for partial subsidy (\$)	Number of applications approved	Sub-total	Number of applications approved	Sub-total	Number of applications approved	Sub-total
			2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012		
1 Interferon:	<\$10,000	25		11		12	
	\$10,000-<\$50,000	7		4		5	
	\$50,000-<\$100,000	0		1		0	
	>=\$100,000	0		0		0	
			32		16		17
2 Adalimumab:	<\$10,000	0		10		15	
	\$10,000-<\$50,000	0		4		10	
	\$50,000-<\$100,000	0		0		3	
	>=\$100,000	0		0		0	
			0		14		28
3 Bortezomib:	<\$10,000	0		8		6	
	\$10,000-<\$50,000	0		4		6	
	\$50,000-<\$100,000	0		2		0	
	>=\$100,000	0		1		2	
			0		15		14
4 Cetuximab:	<\$10,000	0		7		3	
	\$10,000-<\$50,000	1		2		2	
	\$50,000-<\$100,000	0		0		0	
	>=\$100,000	0		0		0	
			1		9		5
5 Dasatinib:	<\$10,000	0		4		3	
	\$10,000-<\$50,000	0		1		2	
	\$50,000-<\$100,000	0		1		2	
	>=\$100,000	0		0		1	
			0		6		8

Drugs covered by SF	Patients' contribution for drug expenses in cases approved for partial subsidy (\$)	Number of applications approved	Sub-total	Number of applications approved	Sub-total	Number of applications approved	Sub-total
			2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012		
6 Etanercept:	<\$10,000 \$10,000-<\$50,000 \$50,000-<\$100,000 >=\$100,000	17 10 1 0	28	24 13 3 0	40	24 18 5 0	47
7 Erlotinib:	<\$10,000 \$10,000-<\$50,000 \$50,000-<\$100,000 >=\$100,000	0 0 0 0	0	0 0 0 0	0	3 4 0 1	8
8 Gefitinib:	<\$10,000 \$10,000-<\$50,000 \$50,000-<\$100,000 >=\$100,000	0 0 0 0	0	0 0 0 0	0	7 3 3 1	14
9 Infliximab:	<\$10,000 \$10,000-<\$50,000 \$50,000-<\$100,000 >=\$100,000	29 19 1 0	49	29 17 1 0	47	22 6 1 0	29
10 Nilotinib:	<\$10,000 \$10,000-<\$50,000 \$50,000-<\$100,000 >=\$100,000	0 0 0 0	0	12 6 2 2	22	6 7 3 1	17
11 Oxaliplatin:	<\$10,000 \$10,000-<\$50,000 \$50,000-<\$100,000 >=\$100,000	7 4 0 0	11	17 13 0 0	30	18 6 0 0	24
12 Pemetrexed:	<\$10,000 \$10,000-<\$50,000 \$50,000-<\$100,000 >=\$100,000	0 0 0 0	0	1 0 0 0	1	1 1 0 0	2
13 Rituximab: Malignant lymphoma	<\$10,000 \$10,000-<\$50,000 \$50,000-<\$100,000 >=\$100,000	20 28 5 0	53	35 28 12 6	81	30 41 17 7	95
14 Temozolomide:	<\$10,000 \$10,000-<\$50,000 \$50,000-<\$100,000 >=\$100,000	0 0 0 0	0	0 0 0 0	0	1 1 1 1	4
15 Trastuzumab:	<\$10,000 \$10,000-<\$50,000 \$50,000-<\$100,000 >=\$100,000	19 17 10 3	49	63 46 33 36	178	35 64 42 24	165

Drugs covered by SF	Patients' contribution for drug expenses in cases approved for partial subsidy (\$)	Number of applications approved	Sub-total	Number of applications approved	Sub-total	Number of applications approved	Sub-total
		2009-2010		2010-2011		2011-2012	
16 Imatinib (that is, Glivec):	<\$10,000	70		76		76	
	\$10,000-<\$50,000	45		56		57	
	\$50,000-<\$100,000	19		16		24	
	>=\$100,000	8		19		9	
			142		167		166
17 Irinotecan (Campto):	<\$10,000	22		0		0	
	\$10,000-<\$50,000	2		0		0	
	\$50,000-<\$100,000	0		0		0	
	>=\$100,000	0		0		0	
			24		0		0
Total	<\$10,000	209		297		262	
	\$10,000-<\$50,000	133		194		233	
	\$50,000-<\$100,000	36		71		101	
	>=\$100,000	11		64		47	
			389		626		643

Tsing Ma Control Area

9. **MR LEUNG YIU-CHUNG** (in Chinese): *President, I have received a complaint from a member of the public who pointed out that the authorities had not legally authorized the operator to work in the Tsing Ma Control Area (TMCA), and questioned the legitimacy of the toll plaza on Ma Wan (the toll plaza). That member of the public indicated that the Transport Department (TD) had advised that in 1997, the Commissioner for Transport had authorized the operator to take enforcement actions in the TMCA under the Tsing Ma Control Area Ordinance (Cap. 498) (the Ordinance), and the TD had also provided that member of the public with copies of an authorization letter and the department's internal file minutes, yet that member of the public was not satisfied that such documents could be recognized by the Court as formal legal documents and suspected that the TD had not made legal authorization in accordance with section 11 of the Ordinance. That member of the public also queried whether the toll plaza was a legitimate government building, and indicated that the Buildings Department (BD) had advised that the toll plaza, which was situated at the government site within the TMCA, was one of the infrastructure facilities constructed by the relevant company upon the Government's request and it was a government building. Yet, that member of the public pointed out that the relevant government departments (including the BD, Architectural Services Department, Lands Department and the TD, and so on) had not been able to provide any concrete evidence in respect of his complaint to prove that the toll*

plaza was a government building. In this connection, will the Government inform this Council:

- (a) of the legal basis for the authorities to authorize the operator to take enforcement actions in the TMCA, and provide this Council with authorization documents that can be confirmed as legal documents;*
- (b) given that the toll plaza was only completed in 2002, of the legal justifications based on which the authorities extend the effect of the authorization made by the Commissioner for Transport in 1997 to 2002 or beyond; and*
- (c) of the evidence held by the authorities to prove that the toll plaza is a legitimate government building, and provide the relevant documents?*

SECRETARY FOR TRANSPORT AND HOUSING (in Chinese): President, the TMCA is an integrated expressway system linking the Lantau Island and Kowloon, and comprises the Lantau Link (including Tsing Ma Bridge and Kap Shui Mun Bridge), Ting Kau Bridge and Cheung Tsing Tunnel. It has been commissioned for public use since May 1997. The Government enacted the Ordinance to provide for the control and regulation of vehicular and pedestrian traffic within the TMCA and for matters connected therewith. As with other Government tunnels and control areas, the Government has selected through open tender a suitable operator to undertake the management, operation and maintenance of the tunnel and control area (the TMCA Operator).

My reply to the various parts of the question is as follows:

- (a) According to section 9 of the Ordinance, the Commissioner for Transport may in writing delegate any public officer to exercise the powers and perform the duties conferred or imposed on the Commissioner for Transport by the Ordinance. Section 11 of the Ordinance also empowers the Commissioner for Transport and the public officer who has been delegated powers and duties by the Commissioner for Transport in writing under section 9 to appoint in writing any public officer or any person employed by the selected operator to be an authorized officer for the purposes of the Ordinance. The authorized officer may exercise enforcement powers in the TMCA in accordance with the Ordinance.

Before the TMCA was opened to the public in May 1997, the Commissioner for Transport had, in accordance with section 9 of the Ordinance, delegated in writing to the relevant public officer the powers and the duties of the Commissioner for Transport under the Ordinance. In May 1997, the public officer so delegated by the Commissioner for Transport under section 9 of the Ordinance had appointed in writing the employees of the TMCA Operator as authorized officers under section 11 of the Ordinance (copy of the appointment document is at Annex 1) to take enforcement actions in TMCA. The appointment would be updated from time to time as and when necessitated by changes in the employment of the TMCA Operator's employees. All the relevant appointment documents are lawful authorizations issued in accordance with the Ordinance.

- (b) In light of traffic management needs and commissioning of new roads and facilities, the Commissioner for Transport in consultation with the Director of Lands may vary the boundaries of the TMCA under section 6 of the Ordinance. Section 7 of the Ordinance provides that the Commissioner for Transport shall deposit the plan delineating the revised boundaries in the Land Registry and publish a notice in the Gazette. To tie in with the commissioning of Ma Wan Road (that is, the only road linking Ma Wan with the Lantau Link) and the Toll Plaza in December 2002, the Commissioner for Transport revised the TMCA boundaries in December 2002 under section 6 of the Ordinance to incorporate Ma Wan Road and the Toll Plaza into TMCA, and published a notice in the Gazette on 13 December 2002 (copy of the relevant notice is at Annex 2) pursuant to section 7 of the Ordinance. The relevant plan is available for public inspection at the Land Registry's office.
- (c) According to the information provided by the Development Bureau and the Lands Department, the Toll Plaza is situated within the TMCA on land owned by the Government. The Toll Plaza is one of the infrastructure facilities built by Sun Hung Kai Properties Limited (SHKP) at the behest of the Government in accordance with the agreement between the Government and SHKP for the development of Ma Wan. It is controlled by the Government and used for the operation of the TMCA.

Annex 1

運輸署

TRANSPORT DEPARTMENT

Our Ref. (18) in CT/TM 171/200-2-47

Your Ref.

Tel. 2829 5536

21 May 1997

Fax. 2519 8094

Tsing Ma Control Area Ordinance, Cap. 498Appointment of Authorised Officers
at Tsing Ma Control Area

I, CHEUNG Jin-pang, Senior Transport Officer of Tsing Ma Division of Transport Department, in exercise of the power vested in me by Section 11 of the Tsing Ma Control Area Ordinance Chapter 498, and by virtue of a delegated authorisation to me by the Commissioner for Transport, do hereby appoint each of the officers employed by the Tsing Ma Management Limited specified in the Schedules 1 and 2, enclosed as an authorised officer for the purpose of exercising the power and performing the duties as conferred by Section 13 of the aforesaid Ordinance at Tsing Ma Control Area.

(J.P. Cheung)
for Commissioner for Transport

Annex 2

G.N. 8171

TRANSPORT DEPARTMENT

TSING MA CONTROL AREA ORDINANCE (Chapter 498)
(Notice under section 7(2))

Pursuant to section 6(2) of the Tsing Ma Control Area Ordinance (Chapter 498), the Commissioner for Transport in consultation with the Director of Lands has revised the boundaries of the Tsing Ma Control Area (the 'Area'). Notice is hereby given under section 7(2) of the Ordinance that a Plan No. NANTM243M dated 5 December 2002 delineating the revised boundaries of the Area will be deposited at the following offices of the Land Registry on 14 December 2002:—

Land Registry
19th Floor, Queensway Government Offices,
66 Queensway, Hong Kong

Tsuen Wan New Territories Land Registry
11th Floor, Tsuen Wan Station Multi-storey Carpark Building,
174-208 Castle Peak Road, Tsuen Wan, New Territories

The previous Plan No. NANTM243L dated 23 January 2002 is superseded.

Robert FOOTMAN *Commissioner for Transport*

Regulations Relating to Village Houses

10. **MR LAU WONG-FAT** (in Chinese): *President, will the Government inform this Council of the total number of ordinances and regulations governing the construction of buildings on New Territories village lots enacted since the implementation of the Block Crown Lease procedure to re-rent land lots to their original owners by way of land leases, thereby rendering the land owners no longer holding the titles to the relevant land lots in perpetuity, together with a list of the said ordinances and regulations in chronological order of their enactment, the contents of the relevant provisions, which have subsequently been amended, according to the amendment date, and a comparison of the amendments with the respective previous versions of the provisions concerned?*

SECRETARY FOR DEVELOPMENT (in Chinese): *President, the Buildings Ordinance (BO) (Cap. 123) governs the planning, design and construction of buildings and the associated works in Hong Kong. When the BO was enacted in 1955, its application was confined to "Hong Kong, Aplichau, Kowloon and New Kowloon". Since 1 January 1961, the BO has been applied to the New*

Territories in the manner provided by the Buildings Ordinance (Application to the New Territories) Ordinance (then Cap. 322, replaced by Cap. 121 in 1987).

Section 3 of the Buildings Ordinance (Application to the New Territories) Ordinance which came into effect on 1 January 1961 stipulated that "on the commencement of this Ordinance, the principal Ordinance shall apply, subject to the provisions of this Ordinance, to the New Territories". Section 4(1) of the Ordinance empowered the Governor in Council to make regulations on the details concerning the application of the BO to the New Territories.

The Buildings Ordinance (Application to the New Territories) Regulations, made under the Buildings Ordinance (Application to the New Territories) Ordinance, also came into effect on 1 January 1961. Section 2 of the Regulations stipulated that the provisions of specified sections of the BO and the regulations made under the BO shall not apply to building works for the erection, alteration or demolition of any of the following buildings situated in the New Territories:

- (a) any dwelling house which:
 - (i) has, or, when erected or altered, will have, a roofed over area not exceeding 700 sq ft; and
 - (ii) is not, or, when erected or altered, will not be:
 - (1) more than 15 feet in height, or
 - (2) where no structural reinforced concrete was or will be used in its construction, more than 25 feet in height;
- (b) any building which is not, or, when erected or altered, will not be, more than 15 feet in height and which is, or, when erected or altered, will be, used solely for agricultural purposes.

The Buildings Ordinance (Application to the New Territories) Regulations were amended on several occasions between 1961 and 1987. The major amendments which concern New Territories Exempted Houses included:

- in 1972, the height restriction of exempted houses with a roofed over area not exceeding 700 sq ft and where structural reinforced concrete was used in construction was raised from 15 feet to 25 feet;
- in 1976, the original imperial measurements of the roofed over areas and height restrictions of various types of exempted houses were replaced by metric measurements; and
- in 1981, the measurements of roofed over areas and height restrictions were refined to restore the exact metric equivalent of the original imperial measurements.

The Buildings Ordinance (Application to the New Territories) Ordinance (then Cap. 322) and the Regulations made under it were replaced by the Buildings Ordinance (Application to the New Territories) Ordinance (Cap. 121) on 16 October 1987. The new Ordinance preserved the exemption for eligible buildings in the New Territories and raised the height restriction for qualified New Territories Exempted Houses from 7.62 m (25 feet) to 8.23 m (27 feet). The new Ordinance also empowered the Director of Lands to issue Certificates of Exemption, so that the eligible the New Territories buildings and the associated construction works could be exempted from the specified provisions of the BO and the Regulations made under the BO.

The Buildings Ordinance (Application to the New Territories) Ordinance was slightly amended in 1993, 1999 and 2008. Details of the amendments are as follows:

- in 1993, the term "the Director of Buildings and Lands" contained in the definition of "Director" was revised to "the Director of Lands";
- in 1999, the term "District Boards" contained in the definition of "District" was revised to "District Councils"; and
- in 2008, eligible buildings in the New Territories were exempted from section 9AA of the BO concerning the appointment of prescribed registered contractors.

Illegal Acts of Champerty and Maintenance Involving Legal Aid Cases

11. **MR CHAN KIN-POR** (in Chinese): *President, some members of the insurance industry have reflected to me that in recent years, some law-breakers abetted persons involved in traffic accident or occupational injury claims to apply for legal aid, and made use of the right of the aided persons to be represented by the solicitors or counsel nominated by them in court to use the huge resources of legal aid to make fraudulent insurance claims through champerty. In this connection, will the Government inform this Council:*

- (a) *of the number of legal aid cases in each of the past five years involving traffic accident or occupational injury claims, which had been handled by the solicitors or counsel nominated by the aided persons; and the total amount of compensation involved;*
- (b) *of the criteria adopted by the Legal Aid Department (LAD) in vetting and approving applications from the aided persons for nominating solicitors or counsel to represent them; the number of legal aid cases in the past five years involving traffic accident or occupational injury claims, the aided persons of which had requested to nominate solicitors or counsel to represent them, but their requests had been rejected by the LAD;*
- (c) *at present, when the LAD discovers cases of champerty which make use of legal aid, how it handles such cases, together with an illustration by examples of the relevant cases it had handled; and*
- (d) *whether the LAD has assessed if there is any loophole in the operation of the system of allowing the aided persons to nominate solicitors and counsel to represent them; whether it will consider revising the system, or what measures are in place to effectively prevent acts of champerty which make use of legal aid?*

SECRETARY FOR HOME AFFAIRS (in Chinese): *President,*

- (a) *In the past five years, the numbers of legal aid cases related to claims for traffic accidents or work-related injuries, the numbers of cases where the assigned solicitors and counsel were nominated by the*

aided persons, and the amounts of damages awarded by the Court were as follows:

<i>Year</i>	<i>Number of applications</i>	<i>Number of cases where legal aid was granted</i>	<i>Number of cases where the assigned solicitors by the LAD were nominated by aided persons</i>	<i>Number of cases where the assigned counsel by the LAD were nominated by the assigned solicitors or the aided persons</i>	<i>Amount of damages awarded by the Court as at 17 May 2012* (\$)</i>
2007	1 902	1 156	901	207	352,970,000
2008	2 070	1 245	951	171	251,360,000
2009	2 606	1 541	1 280	222	224,030,000
2010	2 669	1 637	1 402	158	158,660,000
2011	3 067	1 946	1 657	54	37,670,000

Note:

* As the recent legally-aided proceedings are yet to be concluded, the amount of damages awarded as shown above does not include such cases.

The LAD does not have record on the total amount of claims made in respect of cases involving traffic accidents or work-related injuries. It only maintains record on the total amount of damages awarded by the Court in the relevant legally aided proceedings.

- (b) When assigning legal aid cases to lawyers, the aided person's interests are of paramount importance to the Director of Legal Aid (DLA). When aided persons decide to nominate lawyers in accordance with section 13 of the Legal Aid Ordinance (LAO), the LAD takes the view that the aided person's nominations should be given due weight and should not be rejected unless there are compelling reasons to do so. Such compelling reasons include previous unsatisfactory performance by the nominated lawyers, disciplinary actions by The Law Society of Hong Kong or the Hong Kong Bar Association, language requirements of the proceedings which may undermine the aided person's interest, and/or the assignment would jeopardize the legal aid fund, or the aided person has made repeated or late requests for change of lawyer without any good reasons in support and where the hearing date is imminent. The LAD normally accedes to the aided person's nomination if there is no compelling reason to decline the request.

The LAD does not maintain separate record on cases involving traffic accidents or work-related injuries where the aided person's nomination was rejected. Generally speaking, if the LAD does not accept the aided person's nomination and assigns another private practitioner on the Legal Aid Panel (the Panel), the aggrieved aided person can, pursuant to section 26 of the LAO, lodge an appeal against the LAD's decision to the Registrar of the High Court whose decision is final.

- (c) So far, the LAD has not come across any legal aid case involving champerty. As champerty is a criminal offence, if such cases are discovered, the LAD will refer them to the police and the relevant legal professional bodies for follow-up. If the allegations are established, the solicitors or counsel concerned will be removed from the Panel.
- (d) The nomination system as prescribed under the LAO has embodied the principle of selection of lawyers by the aided persons. As mentioned above, the exercise of nominations of solicitors or counsel is subject to veto by DLA when there are compelling reasons to do so. If an application has no merit and DLA is not satisfied that it meets the criteria as provided in the LAO, legal aid will not be granted. Furthermore, the LAD adopts the practice of issuing limited legal aid certificates which specify the scope of legal aid covered. The LAD also has in place a comprehensive monitoring system whereby assigned lawyers are required to report progress of the cases to the responsible legal aid counsel regularly and the cases are subject to internal review, so that the LAD can review the merits and costs of all cases to ensure that it is justifiable to continue granting legal aid to the aided persons.

We note that there have been calls for the LAD to further improve its existing legal aid processing and monitoring systems. In this regard, the LAD is considering the suggestion of putting in place a "declaration system" to ensure that the aided person's choice of solicitors or counsel has not been affected by any improper conduct on the part of the solicitors or counsel nominated. The LAD will consult the Legal Aid Services Council before implementing the proposed "declaration system".

Environmental Friendliness of Government Vehicles

12. **MR LEUNG KWOK-HUNG** (in Chinese): *President, in recent years, the Government has actively promoted many environmental protection measures, and has introduced legislation to regulate the idling of motor vehicle engines (that is, the requirement of "switching off idling engines"), yet some members of the public and environmental groups have reflected to me that the Government has all along ignored the work on environmental protection, and has not set an example itself in promoting environmental protection, for example, at present most government private cars do not meet the qualifying standards for environment-friendly petrol private cars (qualifying standards); and they have also pointed out that the government vehicles provided for Heads of Departments, Directors of Bureaux, Secretaries and even the Chief Executive are all environment-friendly private cars with large fuel tanks, high emission, high fuel consumption, and do not meet the qualifying standards. Further, they also found that the drivers of government private cars do not switch off idling engines when they are in the streets. In this connection, will the Government inform this Council:*

- (a) *of the current number of government private cars; among them, the number of environment-friendly private cars; and the numbers of government private cars which meet the qualifying standards and those do not, together with the average purchasing prices of such private cars, broken down by fuel tank capacity (set out in the table below);*

<i>Fuel tank capacity</i>	<i>Number of government private cars which meet the qualifying standards for environment-friendly petrol private cars (qualifying standards)</i>	<i>Number of government private cars which do not meet the qualifying standards</i>	<i>Average purchasing price</i>
<i>Under 1 500 c.c.</i>			
<i>1 500 to 2 500 c.c.</i>			
<i>2 500 to 3 500 c.c.</i>			
<i>3 500 to 4 500 c.c.</i>			
<i>Over 4 500 c.c.</i>			

- (b) *of the expenditure on fuel consumption by government private cars in each of the past five years;*

- (c) *whether the Government will immediately use environment-friendly private cars with capacities under 1 500 c.c. that meet the qualifying standards as government private cars across the board (including those for Heads of Departments, Directors of Bureaux, Secretaries and the Chief Executive); and*
- (d) *whether, since the implementation of the Motor Vehicle Idling (Fixed Penalty) Ordinance (Cap. 611) (the Ordinance), Penalty Notices were issued to government drivers for contravening the requirement of switching off idling engines; if so, of the total number of Penalty Notices issued to them; if not, the reasons for that, and whether these reasons include the privilege of senior government officials to enjoy air conditioning in cars without switching off idling engines to waste public money?*

SECRETARY FOR FINANCIAL SERVICES AND THE TREASURY (in Chinese): President,

- (a) All government vehicles meet the statutory emission standards applicable at the time of procurement. There are currently 1 336 cars in the government fleet. Since the introduction of the Environment-friendly Petrol Private Cars Tax Incentive Scheme (the Scheme) by the Environmental Protection Department (EPD) in April 2007, the Government has purchased 890 cars including 21 electric cars and 869 petrol or hybrid cars. All these vehicles meet the standards of the Scheme. As for the 446 cars which were procured before the introduction of the Scheme, we will replace them with electric cars or environment-friendly cars when they are due for replacement. Details of the cylinder capacity of the cars in the government fleet are listed in Annex 1.
- (b) The expenditure on fuel is affected to a large extent by fuel price and vehicle mileage, and is therefore not a good indicator of the fuel efficiency. The average contract fuel price procured by the Government between 2007 and 2011 has increased by about 46.1%. During the same period, there has been continuous improvement in the fuel efficiency (that is, kilometres run per litre of fuel) for government cars. The fuel efficiency has increased by 12.3 % from about 8.1 km/litre in 2007 to about 9.1 km/litre in 2011. The

expenditure on fuel and the fuel efficiency of government cars between 2007 and 2011 are listed in Annex 2.

- (c) The Government will take into consideration the operational requirements and needs of the users when drawing up specifications of the vehicles to be procured. For example, a seven seater multi-purpose car will be needed for carrying more passengers and working gears, whereas a car used for receiving visiting guests will have to meet protocol requirements, and so on.

The Government would give priority to environment-friendly vehicles, including electric vehicles and vehicles meeting the standards of the Scheme when replacing vehicles in the government fleet, subject to the availability of suitable models on the market and operational and resource consideration.

- (d) The Ordinance came into effect in December last year. The Ordinance applies to all vehicles and drivers in HKSAR, including government vehicles and drivers. Since the commencement of the Ordinance, no driver has been issued with Penalty Notice by the law-enforcement officers because of contravention of the idling prohibition. Besides, the Government Logistics Department has issued guidelines to remind all drivers of government vehicles to strictly comply with the requirements of the Ordinance. If drivers of government vehicles are found to have contravened the idling prohibition and are issued with Penalty Notices, they may be subject to disciplinary action by their respective departments.

Annex 1

Cylinder Capacity of the Existing 1 336 Cars in the Government Fleet⁽¹⁾

<i>Cylinder Capacity (Column 1)</i>	<i>Number of Electric Cars and EF Cars Purchased After the Introduction of the Scheme⁽²⁾ (Column 2)</i>	<i>Number of Cars Purchased Before the Introduction of the Scheme⁽²⁾ (Column 3)</i>	<i>Average Purchase Price⁽³⁾ (HK\$) (Column 4)</i>
Electric Car	21	N.A.	453,300
Not Exceeding 1 500c.c.	393	98	121,200

<i>Cylinder Capacity (Column 1)</i>	<i>Number of Electric Cars and EF Cars Purchased After the Introduction of the Scheme⁽²⁾ (Column 2)</i>	<i>Number of Cars Purchased Before the Introduction of the Scheme⁽²⁾ (Column 3)</i>	<i>Average Purchase Price⁽³⁾ (HK\$) (Column 4)</i>
1 501c.c.-2 500c.c.	414	297	152,800
2 501c.c.-3 500c.c.	59	48	323,900
3 501c.c.-4 500c.c.	0	0	N.A.
Exceeding 4 500c.c.	3	3	1,006,700
Total	890	446	

Notes:

- (1) Of the 1 336 cars in the Government fleet, 890 are either electric cars or EF cars which were purchased after the introduction of EPD's Environment-friendly Petrol Private Car Scheme in April 2007 (see Column 2). The remaining 446 cars were purchased before introduction of the Scheme (see Column 3). When these 446 cars are due for replacement, we will replace them by electric cars or EF cars.
- (2) The Scheme refers to the Environment-friendly Petrol Private Car Scheme introduced by EPD in April 2007.
- (3) Prices in Column 4 are the average purchase prices for respective types of cars in Column 2 and Column 3.

Annex 2

Fuel Expenditure and Fuel Efficiency of Government Cars during 2007 to 2011

<i>Year</i>	<i>Fuel Expenditure (HK\$)</i>	<i>Fuel Efficiency (Kilometres Run per Litre of Fuel)</i>
2007	10,800,000	8.1
2008	10,400,000	8.6
2009	16,400,000	8.7
2010	16,190,000	8.7
2011	16,680,000	9.1

Issuance of Inflation-linked Retail Bonds

13. **MRS REGINA IP** (in Chinese): *President, in presenting his 2012-2013 Budget, the Financial Secretary announced that the Government plans to launch through the Hong Kong Monetary Authority (HKMA) a further issuance of inflation-linked retail bonds (iBond) worth not more than HK\$10 billion. Calculated on the basis of the average year-on-year change of the Composite*

Consumer Price Index from June to November 2011, the interest rate of the first interest payment for the first batch of iBond is 6.08%. As indicated by the authorities, iBond's interest payments are made from the Bond Fund. The Bond Fund is placed with the Exchange Fund for investment purposes, and the investment income received by the Bond Fund from the Exchange Fund is based on the "fixed rate" sharing arrangement. However, the rates of return of the Exchange Fund managed by the HKMA in the past few years were lower than Hong Kong's inflation rate in the second half of last year, which stood at 6.08%. According to the HKMA's Annual Report 2010, for the three years from 2008 to 2010, the average rate of return of the Exchange Fund was only 1.2%, and even in the 10-year period from 2001 to 2010, the average rate of return was only 4.9%. In this connection, will the Government inform this Council, given that despite the low rate of return of the Exchange Fund, the HKMA is still required to make iBond's interest payments at a rate corresponding to the inflation rate of a specified period from the investment income received by the Bond Fund from the Exchange Fund, whether it knows the amount of money, calculated in terms of the size of the first batch of iBond issued, which the HKMA needs to subsidize iBond's interest payments after deducting the investment income generated from an equivalent amount of the Bond Fund over the same period of time?

SECRETARY FOR FINANCIAL SERVICES AND THE TREASURY (in Chinese): President, pursuant to a Resolution passed by Legislative Council under the Public Finance Ordinance on 8 July 2009, "Bond Fund" was established in connection with the implementation of the Government Bond Programme (GBP), which is aimed to promote further and sustainable development of Hong Kong's bond market. The GBP offers more diversified investment products and financing avenues, which in turn attract more overseas capitals and reinforce Hong Kong's position as an international financial centre.

The GBP comprises two parts, namely institutional and retail bond issuances. As the low-interest rate environment in recent years has made conventional fixed-rate retail bonds less attractive to investors, the Government has issued iBond to initiate the retail part of the GBP and enhance retail investors' awareness of and interest in bond investments. The inaugural iBond issuance last year has laid a good foundation to deepen the local retail bond market and enhance the investing public's understanding of the trading process of bond investments. This helps proactively foster development of a retail bond market in Hong Kong.

Regarding receipts and payments of iBond, according to the aforementioned Resolution, sums borrowed under the GBP are required to be credited to the "Bond Fund", as they will be used for principal redemptions and interest payments, to meet financial obligations and liabilities associated with the Programme, and to invest in a manner the Financial Secretary considers appropriate for prudent management of the "Bond Fund". The borrowed sums credited to the "Bond Fund" are placed with the Exchange Fund for investment purposes, and attracts investment income on the basis of a "fixed rate" sharing arrangement from the Exchange Fund. In other words, the rate of investment return for the "Bond Fund" for a year will be calculated on the basis of the average investment return of "Investment Portfolio" of the Exchange Fund over the past six years. According to the relevant investment arrangement, since the implementation of the GBP, the rates of investment return for the "Bond Fund" in 2009, 2010 and 2011 were 6.8%, 6.3% and 6.0% respectively.

As at end March 2012, iBond accounted for around 20% of the total bonds outstanding under the GBP, with the remaining 80% being institutional bonds. So far, iBond made its first semi-annual interest payment with a per annum rate of 6.08% in January 2012 (for the period from July 2011 to January 2012). This rate was similar to the rate of investment return in which the "Bond Fund" shared from the Exchange Fund last year. For the GBP as a whole (including both institutional and retail bonds), the average rate of interest payments is estimated at around 3%, even after taking into consideration another proposed iBond offering this year. Thus, the investment return of the "Bond Fund" can sufficiently cover the relevant interest payments. The interest payments of iBond do not significantly affect the ability of the GBP in making interest payments and principal redemptions. The Government does not need to subsidize the interest payments of iBond.

Provision of Paediatric Services in Public Hospitals

14. **MR CHAN HAK-KAN** (in Chinese): *President, recently, quite a number of residents in Tai Po and the North District have relayed to me that at present the North District Hospital does not provide paediatric in-patient service, causing the sick children in the North District having to be admitted to Alice Ho Miu Ling Nethersole Hospital (Nethersole Hospital) in Tai Po, but the population in the district is increasing, and quite a number of children from the Mainland cross the border to seek medical treatment in Hong Kong, resulting in the*

hospital beds in Nethersole Hospital being fully occupied from time to time. They have pointed out that as such, some sick children living in Tai Po District have to go to Prince of Wales Hospital in Sha Tin to seek medical treatment, causing inconvenience to the sick children and their parents. In this connection, will the Government inform this Council:

- (a) whether it knows the respective numbers and average occupancy rates of the paediatric beds in Prince of Wales Hospital and Nethersole Hospital in the past five years, and the numbers of in-patient children who were not Hong Kong residents;*
- (b) of the anticipated numbers of people aged 18 or below in Sha Tin, Tai Po and the North District respectively in the next five years;*
- (c) if it knows the factors and criteria based on which the Hospital Authority (HA) at present considers whether to provide paediatric in-patient service in a hospital; when such factors and criteria were formulated and whether they are reviewed on a regular basis;*
- (d) whether it knows if the HA has assessed the impact of children crossing the border to seek medical treatment in Hong Kong on the paediatric in-patient service in the New Territories East (NTE) Cluster; and*
- (e) whether it knows if the HA will conduct a comprehensive review of the paediatric in-patient service in NTE Cluster to facilitate the formulation of improvement plans; if it will, the details; if not, the reasons for that?*

SECRETARY FOR LABOUR AND WELFARE (in the absence of Secretary for Food and Health): President, to reduce duplication for more effective utilization of resources, the HA provides its services on a cluster basis. As the bed occupancy rate of the paediatric wards of the North District Hospital and the Nethersole Hospital remained below 60% continuously in 2002 and 2003, the NTE Cluster decided to reorganize its services in 2004 by combining the paediatric in-patient services of the two hospitals into one unit and centralizing the provision of paediatric services at Nethersole Hospital with a view to fully utilizing the relevant facilities and healthcare manpower.

At present, paediatric specialist out-patient services are provided at Prince of Wales Hospital, Nethersole Hospital and North District Hospital under the NTE Cluster to serve residents of Sha Tin, Tai Po and North District. And paediatric and neonatal inpatient beds are also provided at Prince of Wales Hospital and Nethersole Hospital to take care of the local patients in accordance with the complexity and severity of their medical conditions.

On the other hand, the Government has taken various measures to tackle the problem of non-eligible persons delivering in Hong Kong in order to ensure that Hong Kong residents are accorded priority for quality obstetric services. As for the measures taken by the HA, these include ceasing the acceptance of bookings from non-local women for delivery in 2013 and raising the charge from \$48,000 to \$90,000 for delivery by emergency admission through Accident and Emergency Departments without prior booking. We believe that these measures would help alleviate pressure on the obstetric and gynaecological services as well as neonatal services in various HA clusters.

My reply to various parts of the question regarding the paediatric services in NTE is as follows:

- (a) The number and average occupancy rate of the paediatric and neonatal beds in Nethersole Hospital and Prince of Wales Hospital in the past five years are at Table 1.

Table 1: Number and average occupancy rate of paediatric and neonatal beds in the Nethersole Hospital and Prince of Wales Hospital in the past five years

Year	Number and average occupancy rate of in-patient beds	Nethersole Hospital		Prince of Wales Hospital	
		Paediatrics Unit	Neonatal Unit	Paediatrics Unit	Neonatal Unit
2007	Number	56	8	87	78
	Average occupancy rate	73.2%	74.1%	85.8%	87.4%
2008	Number	56	8	87	81
	Average occupancy rate	82.3%	80.5%	84.4%	93.2%
2009	Number	56	8	87	81
	Average occupancy rate	73.2%	76.7%	84.4%	99.7%

Year	Number and average occupancy rate of in-patient beds	Nethersole Hospital		Prince of Wales Hospital	
		Paediatrics Unit	Neonatal Unit	Paediatrics Unit	Neonatal Unit
2010	Number	56	8	87	81
	Average occupancy rate	82.2%	68.3%	88.1%	97.0%
2011	Number	56	8	89	81
	Average occupancy rate	79.0%	75.3%	90.9%	97.1%

The overall paediatric admissions and the admissions of "non-eligible" paediatric patients in the NTE Cluster in the past five years are at Table 2.

Table 2: Overall paediatric admissions and admissions of "non-eligible" paediatric patients in the NTE Cluster in the past five years

Year	Overall paediatric admissions	Admissions of "non-eligible" paediatric patients (a percentage of overall admissions)
2007	16 967	81 (0.5%)
2008	18 678	103 (0.6%)
2009	19 117	77 (0.4%)
2010	20 362	57 (0.3%)
2011	20 466	66 (0.3%)

- (b) Projections of geographical distribution of population by districts over a 10-year period are prepared on a need basis by the interdepartmental Working Group on Population Distribution Projections under the co-ordination of the Planning Department. According to the latest set of population distribution projections released in 2010, the projected mid-year population figures for the age group of 18 or below for 2012-2016 in Sha Tin, Tai Po and North District are at Table 3.

Table 3: Mid-year population projections for the age group of 18 years or below for 2012-2016 in respect of Sha Tin, Tai Po and the North District

<i>District</i>	<i>Projected Mid-Year Population Figures</i>				
	<i>2012</i>	<i>2013</i>	<i>2014</i>	<i>2015</i>	<i>2016</i>
Sha Tin	97 600	96 800	96 400	97 500	99 000
Tai Po	43 800	42 800	42 700	42 400	42 200
North District	54 100	52 700	51 600	51 300	50 700

- (c) The HA conducts assessment on the demand for its various services on a regular basis and undertakes reviews on the healthcare service delivery models so as to meet the needs of the community. As in other countries with a more well-developed healthcare system, the HA has, in designing the provision of its paediatric services, adopted the guiding principle that where necessary, medical cases are centralized for management to achieve greater effectiveness and where feasible, services are provided in respective districts to facilitate the public to access the services.

The HA has adopted an internationally-accepted demand projection model to forecast the future service needs in order to determine the demand for hospital beds. These forecast figures are updated regularly based on the projected Hong Kong resident population provided by the Census and Statistics Department and the projections of population distribution provided by the Planning Department. In making such a forecast, factors like the service delivery models adopted, the growth of population and changes in demographic profiles, as well as the trend of service outputs by age groups and specialties have been taken into account. These figures are calculated on a cluster basis.

The HA will continue to strengthen its paediatric services where necessary in order to provide patients with appropriate care at different stages of treatment.

(d) and (e)

As at early May 2012, there were a total of 64 and 170 hospital beds in the Paediatric and Neonatal Care Units of Nethersole Hospital and Prince of Wales Hospital respectively to serve the residents in the NTE.

To meet the additional service demand, Prince of Wales Hospital will enhance the high dependency care for its paediatric service and open an additional neonatal intensive care bed in 2012-2013, making the total number of neonatal intensive care beds in NTE to 22. As for Nethersole Hospital, an additional Advanced Practice Nurse will be recruited in 2012-2013 to support the operation of its paediatric ward. The NTE Cluster will closely monitor the rate and trend of utilization of its paediatric services and, considering the overall supply of healthcare manpower, make appropriate resources planning.

Judicial Review Cases Involving Government

15. **MR PAUL TSE** (in Chinese): *President, regarding the impacts of the judicial review (JR) cases during the term of office of current-term Chief Executive, including as reported, the delay of the Hong Kong-Zhuhai-Macao Bridge (HZMB) project and the additional public expenditure of more than \$8.8 billion thus incurred, as a result of the JR case on the Environmental Impact Assessment reports for the HZMB project filed by a senior citizen allegedly under the instigation by the Civic Party, the core members of which are several counsel, as well as the impact brought by supporting foreign domestic helpers in seeking the right of abode in Hong Kong, and so on, will the Government inform this Council:*

- (a) *whether the current-term Government and the Chief Executive-elect, who is forming a new governing team, have addressed the aforesaid issue seriously, and initiated communication and discussion on formulating counter-measures in this regard;*
- (b) *given that the new-term Government has proposed re-organization of its structure and creation of some 70 posts involving an estimated*

annual expenditure of more than \$70 million, whether it knows if manpower has been set aside for the new-term Government, in the process of studying and formulating policies and initiating relevant consultation, to co-ordinate and discuss as early as possible with the aforesaid political party as well as other individuals who may file JR applications against the policies proposed by the Government, so as to minimize or avoid delays in policy implementation by the new-term Government and additional spending of public money that may possibly be caused by individuals filing JR applications; if so, of the plan; which bureau and newly added manpower will be responsible for the relevant work; if not, whether it can ask Chief Executive-elect to conduct study in this regard as soon as possible before the current-term Legislative Council scrutinizes his proposal on re-organization of the government structure; and

- (c) *of the policies to facilitate the Judiciary to adopt appropriate measures of cases management, prioritizing the listing of hearing, as well as other administrative measures, to reduce the workload of the Judiciary which is operating under tight resources, and to minimize as far as possible the adverse impact on the implementation of government policies and the wastage of public money caused by abusing or delaying judicial proceedings?*

CHIEF SECRETARY FOR ADMINISTRATION (in Chinese): President,

- (a) The current-term Government's vision is to boost economic development and create job opportunities through infrastructural projects, promote Hong Kong's further economic integration with the Mainland, and pay heed to the need for environmental protection and cultural conservation. In governing Hong Kong, the SAR Government respects the right of citizens to apply for judicial reviews. The SAR Government will abide strictly by the rule of law, and deal with the challenges posed by judicial reviews in accordance with the law.

To ensure a smooth transition, the current-term Government has been exchanging views frequently with the Chief Executive-elect on

a variety of issues which have a bearing on the next-term Government.

- (b) One of the key objectives of the Chief Executive-elect's proposed reorganization of the Government Secretariat is to require Secretaries of Departments and Directors of Bureaux to reach out more extensively and thoroughly to political parties, the general public and other stakeholders. If the proposed reorganization proposal is approved, politically appointed officials of the new governing team could seek public views on a broad scale and at an early stage in the policy formulation process. This will be conducive to smooth policy implementation and will reduce the likelihood of controversies arising after the promulgation of policies.
- (c) As regards part (c) of the question, the Administration has consulted the Judiciary and has received the following information:

Judicial Review cases are handled in the "Constitutional and Administrative Law List" of the Court of First Instance of the High Court. This List is a specialist one headed by the Judge in charge of the List. An application for Judicial Review (JR Application) is by way of a two-stage procedure:

- (i) the first step is for the applicant to obtain from the court leave, that is, permission, to bring the JR Application. The Court will consider such leave application in accordance with Order 53, rule 3 of the Rules of the High Court (Cap. 4A); and
- (ii) if leave is granted by the Court, the JR Application may proceed. If leave is refused, no JR Application can be brought. Where justified, priority listing can be arranged for the hearing of important or urgent cases and appeals.

In view of the above, the Judiciary considers that there is a well-established mechanism to handle JR Applications. The two-stage procedure ensures that JR Applications are dealt with fairly and expeditiously and that there is sufficient safeguard to prevent unmeritorious cases from being brought about or unnecessary delay.

Electricity Consumption of Government in Central Government Complex

16. **MS AUDREY EU** (in Chinese): *President, the Government Headquarters have been relocated to the new site at Tamar. In this connection, will the Government inform this Council of:*

- (a) the expenditure on electricity and the average electricity consumption per sq m of the Government in the former Central Government Offices (CGO) between October 2010 and May 2011;*
- (b) the expenditure on electricity and the average electricity consumption per sq m of the Government in the new Central Government Complex (CGC) between October 2011 and May 2012;*
- (c) the percentage of the expenditure on electricity for air-conditioning in the overall expenditure on electricity of the Government in the new CGC at present;*
- (d) the percentage of the expenditure on electricity for lighting in the overall expenditure on electricity of the Government in the new CGC at present; and*
- (e) the percentage of the expenditure on electricity for lifts and escalators in the overall expenditure on electricity of the Government in the new CGC at present?*

CHIEF SECRETARY FOR ADMINISTRATION (in Chinese): President,

- (a) During the period between October 2010 and May 2011, the average monthly electricity expenditure of the former CGO was about \$700,000 and the average monthly electricity consumption per sq m was about 6.8 kWh.
- (b) The electricity bill of the new CGO for April 2012 is being verified and that for May 2012 has yet to be received. Hence, we can only provide information for the period from October 2011 to March 2012. During this period, the average monthly electricity expenditure of the new CGO was about \$3.4 million which included

the tariff chargeable to the contractor due to the remedial works undertaken. The average monthly electricity consumption per sq m was about 14.6 kWh.

Since the size, facilities and the number of Bureaux/Main Offices accommodated in the two CGOs vary, the level of electricity consumption also differs. New major facilities provided in the new CGO include, *inter alia*, auditorium, training-cum lecture hall and communal conference rooms, which are heavily utilized, as well as computer server centres where the precision control of round-the-clock air-conditioning system and relatively large power demand are required. The building scale in terms of the space volume also differs significantly between the new and former CGOs. In addition, the process of moving into the new CGO might have necessitated longer working hours, which, to some extent, had increased the demand for electricity consumption during the initial building occupation stage.

In view of the above factors, it is considered not appropriate to directly compare the electricity consumption level of the two CGOs. We will monitor the electricity consumption level of the new CGO and implement administrative measures for achieving energy saving as well as conducting "Carbon Audit" for monitoring and improving the situation of green gas emission.

(c) to (e)

According to the latest data available, the percentage of expenditure on electricity for air-conditioning, lighting as well as lifts and escalators in the overall expenditure on electricity of the new CGO for the month of February 2012 was 48%, 12% and 3% respectively.

Display Walls and Lights on External Walls of Buildings

17. **DR PRISCILLA LEUNG** (in Chinese): *President, a Number 3 alarm fire broke out earlier at a five-star hotel in North Point, and the source of fire was suspected to be related to the components of a light emitting diode (LED) display wall (display wall) on the roof, which is over five storeys high, over-heating or*

short circuiting. Some professionals in the construction industry and fire safety experts said in media interviews that at present, the fire safety capacity of display walls on external walls of buildings is not governed by any fire safety legislation in Hong Kong. In this connection, will the Government inform this Council:

- (a) of the number of applications received by the authorities in the past five years for installing display walls on external walls of newly completed buildings; among them, the number of applications rejected;*
- (b) of the current procedures for applying to install large-scale display walls on external walls of newly completed buildings in Hong Kong; and the criteria for vetting and approval;*
- (c) whether the authorities regulate the sizes, materials, specifications and daily operating hours of display walls installed on external walls of buildings at present; if not, of the reasons for that;*
- (d) whether the authorities will learn from the aforesaid fire incident and immediately step up regulation of fire safety facilities in buildings with display walls on their external walls; if they will, of the details; and*
- (e) given that quite a number of buildings on the two sides of the Victoria Harbour participate in the "A Symphony of Lights" show organized by the Tourism Commission and these buildings have installed a large quantity of colourful light bulbs on their external walls, whether the authorities have assessed if this will substantially increase the fire hazard of these buildings; if they have, of the results; if not, whether such an assessment will be conducted immediately?*

SECRETARY FOR DEVELOPMENT (in Chinese): President, the Buildings Ordinance (BO) (Cap. 123), the enforcement of which falls within the purview of the Buildings Department (BD), aims to regulate the planning, design and construction of private buildings and associated building works, and to prescribe building design and construction standards on structural and fire safety for the purpose of ensuring public safety. Erection of signboards on external walls of

buildings, including LED display signboards, are building works subject to the control of the BO. The BD has also issued a practice note specifying the standards on the erection of signboards on external walls of buildings and the requirements of other relevant departments to provide industry practitioners with convenient and clear guidelines on processing and approval of applications.

My reply to the five-part question is as follows:

- (a) The BD does not keep statistics on applications for erecting LED display signboards on external walls of newly completed buildings.
- (b) To erect signboards (including LED display signboards) on external walls of newly completed or existing buildings, the person concerned shall, in accordance with the BO, appoint an authorized person and a registered structural engineer to prepare and submit building plans for approval by the BD, unless the works fall within the scope of designated minor works items that can be carried out under the simplified requirements of the Minor Works Control System. Provided that the building plans of the proposed erection of signboard comply with the requirements of the BO and the standards prescribed in the abovementioned practice note, the BD will approve such plans. After the building plans are approved, the authorized person must also obtain written consent from the BD before commencement of the relevant building works.
- (c) The practice note mentioned above specifies the standards on erection of signboards on external walls of buildings, including the display area, spacing, position, material, workmanship, structural safety (including the supporting frame, display surface and fixings) and fire safety. In particular, with regard to signboards using LED display boards as the display surface, as LED display boards are not made of incombustible materials, the practice note stipulates that such display boards should have a surface spread of flame characteristic of not worse than Class 2 determined in accordance with BS 476 : Part 7 : 1997 or other equivalent standards.

On the other hand, in accordance with the Electricity Ordinance (EO) (Cap. 406) and its relevant subsidiary legislation, the fixed electrical installation of LED display boards erected on external

walls of buildings shall be installed, tested and certified by a registered electrical contractor and a registered electrical worker to ensure that the installation complies with the statutory requirements, including the fixed electrical installation and relevant protective devices for supplying electricity to the LED display boards.

- (d) In view of recent public concerns over the safety of large LED display signboards, the BD, in conjunction with the relevant departments including the Fire Services Department and the Electrical and Mechanical Services Department (EMSD), is reviewing the safety standards prescribed in the existing practice note. At the same time, having regard to a recent fire involving a large LED display board, the EMSD has written to the owners or property management companies of buildings with LED display boards installed on the external walls, reminding them of the safety requirements and guidelines relating to outdoor electrical installations.
- (e) Same as LED display signboards, the fixed electrical installation of lighting displays on external walls of buildings must comply with the safety requirements of the EO and its relevant subsidiary legislation. Generally, the risk of fire is very low if the related installations are properly installed, maintained and operated.

Regulation of Callable Bull/Bear Contracts

18. **MS STARRY LEE** (in Chinese): *President, the Hong Kong Exchanges and Clearing Limited (HKEx) launched callable bull/bear contracts (CBBCs) on 12 June 2006. According to the information provided by the HKEx, the number of newly listed CBBCs increased from 391 in 2007 to 6 541 in 2010, and the turnover reached \$1,460 billion. In the past three years, almost three quarters of CBBCs were mandatorily called. In this connection, will the Government inform this Council:*

- (a) *whether it will consider requiring issuers to provide collaterals for their CBBC products (and setting up flexible and reasonable collateralization requirements with reference to the issuers' credit ratings, changes of credit ratings or other reference data), so that*

investors will stand a greater chance of getting compensation when the credit conditions of issuers change significantly or even when issuers breach the contracts;

- (b) whether it knows if the regulatory authorities regularly conduct investigation into the issuers' statements on their products, so as to ensure that investors will not be misled; if they do, the details; if not, the reasons for that;*
- (c) whether it knows if the regulatory authorities will require issuers to add explicit warning clauses for reminding investors that such products may cause significant losses, and if they will step up their efforts in monitoring and regulating media advertisements and soft-selling activities relating to CBBCs; and*
- (d) whether it will consider producing more targeted media publicity programmes on CBBCs to explain the risks concerned through illustration by cases involving investment losses, so as to enhance investor education?*

SECRETARY FOR FINANCIAL SERVICES AND THE TREASURY (in Chinese): President, CBBCs are instruments for leveraged investment and have a unique feature of knockout which is designed to limit a CBBC holder's loss on its investment upon knockout at any time prior to expiry. CBBC tends to follow closely the price movement of the underlying asset. We note that investors tend to trade CBBCs based on their short-term views of their expected price movements of the underlying linked asset. They do not necessarily hold onto their CBBC investment for a long time until the CBBC expiry or knock-out. CBBCs provide an alternative choice to trading in other structured products, that is, Derivative Warrants (DW).

With this, I would like to reply to the four-part question as follows:

- (a) The Stock Exchange (the Exchange)'s Listing Rules allow listing of both collateralized and uncollateralized structured products. Similar to overseas markets, issuers in Hong Kong generally issue uncollateralized structured products. Under the Listing Rules, an issuer of uncollateralized structured products must either be regulated by the Hong Kong Monetary Authority (HKMA) or the

Securities and Futures Commission (SFC), or have a credit rating of the top three investment grades awarded by a recognized credit rating agency.

Issuers not regulated by the HKMA or the SFC must follow the following measures in the event that they no longer fulfil the requirement under the listing rule due to a credit rating downgrade:

- (i) the issuer must publish announcement regarding the credit rating downgrade and its continuing obligation to provide liquidity and perform settlement obligation upon expiry;
- (ii) the issuer must not launch new issues or further issues; and
- (iii) the issuer must apply for withdrawal of products launched but not yet listed and products with no outstanding position in the market.

To enhance the regulation of CBBCs, the HKEx has invited issuers to provide concrete proposals on collateralization including the value and form of collateral and possible ways to mitigate credit risk on outstanding structured products position. Issuers nevertheless have expressed concerns on practical and legal difficulties relating to collateralization. The HKEx will continue the discussion with issuers on proposals related to collateralization. The SFC is monitoring closely the HKEx's discussion with issuers in relation to strengthening regulation of CBBC for better protection of investors.

Meanwhile, the HKEx will request issuers to include in their marketing materials that structured products are uncollateralized and investors are relying on an issuers' credit worthiness when investing in a particular issuer's structured products by second half of this year.

- (b) As one of the review criteria for listing documents of structured products, these documents are required to contain a set of "Risk Factors" for the products and a prominent risk warning statement on the front cover. Investors are reminded to read them carefully, among other terms and conditions, before investing in the structured products. Issuers' listing documents are available on the HKExnews website before listing of the products.

The "Risk Factors" include, among others, structured products are complex instruments and their values at any time prior to expiry are governed by a number of factors (including but not limited to the time left till expiry, the price or level of the underlying asset compared with the exercise price/strike level of our warrants, the volatility of price or level of the underlying asset, market interest rate movements, and so on), the value of the structured product may not correlate with the movements of the underlying, the possibility of losing all the investment in the structured product, the volatile nature of the structured products and a possible limited secondary market in that structured product. An example of the actual risk warning statement appeared on the front cover of the listing documents is at Annex A.

Apart from the listing documents, the marketing/advertising materials for structured products also contain an appropriate explanation of the risks associated with investments in structured products. Please refer to our response at part (c) below in relation to the "Guidelines on Marketing Materials for Listed Structured Products" issued by the SFC.

- (c) Issuers are required to make full disclosure on risks of trading in uncollateralized structured products in listing documents. Structured product issuers' credit rating information is contained in issuers' listing documents and also summarized in DW and CBBC product webpages of the Exchange.

Specifically, the SFC's Guidelines on Marketing Materials for Listed Structured Products require marketing materials to contain an appropriate explanation of the risks associated with investments in structured products. All marketing materials should advise investors (i) to make their own risk assessment and seek professional advice, where necessary; (ii) that prices of structured products may fluctuate; and (iii) that they may lose some or all their investments (as applicable). An example of an actual advertisement for risks associated with structured products is at Annex B.

These Guidelines are intended for use primarily by structured products issuers and all persons or entities responsible for marketing

materials promoting listed structured products. Although these Guidelines do not have the force of law, a failure to comply with any of the requirements of these Guidelines will, in the absence of extenuating circumstances, reflect adversely on the fitness and properness of a licensed or registered person.

- (d) The SFC has recently produced a three-minute video featuring the negative experience of an investor in trading a CBBC to explain its high risk nature, increased volatility of its price when the underlying stock moves close to the exercise price and the knock-out mandatory call feature. This video has been broadcast on pay-TV channels since March 2012. Screening of this video on TV is expected to continue. At the same time, the video was promoted on popular news websites, and is viewable on YouTube and the SFC's investor site (InvestEd website).

Besides, the SFC made use of the January issue of its investor e-newsletter to explain the mandatory call feature in detail and how a credit rating downgrade of a CBBC issuer may affect investors. The e-newsletter was published together with a press release, and the SFC's educational messages received good media coverage at the time of release. The SFC also reiterated these messages in its newspaper column articles.

The SFC is committed to continuing its risk education work in all kinds of SFC-regulated products. The SFC will explain the features and risks of these products, including CBBCs, through the print and electronic media, as well as the Internet as part of its ongoing investor education work. This will be one of the themes of the education work of the Investor Education Centre to be established by the SFC later this year.

Annex A

An example of the actual risk warning statement appeared
on the front cover of the listing documents

Investors are warned that the price of the CBBCs may fall in value as rapidly as it may rise and holders may sustain a total loss of their investment. Prospective

purchasers should therefore ensure that they understand the nature of the CBBCs and carefully study the risk factors set out in this document and, where necessary, seek professional advice, before they invest in the CBBCs.

The CBBCs constitute our general unsecured contractual obligations and of no other person and will rank equally among themselves and with all our other unsecured obligations (save for those obligations preferred by law) upon liquidation. If you purchase the CBBCs, you are relying upon our creditworthiness and have no rights under the CBBCs against the index compiler of the Index.

[Reference: The Exchange's "Main Board Listing Rules — Appendix 1D (Contents of Listing Documents — Structured Products)" (latest update: 30 March 2012).]

Annex B

An example of an actual advertisement for risks associated with structured products

The price of structured products may go down as well as up, and under certain circumstances investors may sustain some or a total loss of investment. An investor should read the full details of the warrants and CBBCs, including the risk factors, which are set out in the listing documents. An investor should make an appraisal of the risks involved in investing in these products and should consult their own legal, financial, tax, accounting and other professional advisors, to ensure that any decision made is suitable with regards to that investor's circumstances and financial position.

Please also note that CBBCs have a mandatory call feature and may therefore be subject to early termination, upon which (i) investors in category N CBBCs will lose all of their investments in the CBBCs; and (ii) the residual value of category R CBBCs may be zero.

[Reference: SFC's "Guidelines on marketing materials for listed structured products" (circulated in September 2006).]

Use of Vacant School Premises

19. **DR LAM TAI-FAI** (in Chinese): *President, quite a number of primary and secondary schools in Hong Kong ceased operation one after another since the reunification. Some members of the education sector have pointed out that one of the reasons that have led to schools ceasing operation is the introduction of the policy on consolidation of under-utilized primary and secondary schools (commonly known as "closing schools") by the Education Bureau in 2003, and some vacant school premises have not been fully utilized. In this connection, will the Government inform this Council:*

- (a) of the names and locations of the primary and secondary schools which had ceased operation in each year since 1997, as well as the reasons for their ceasing operation and the number of students affected (set out in table form);*
- (b) among the premises of the schools in part (a) which had ceased operation, for those which were re-used afterwards, of the respective numbers of years for which they were left vacant, and their uses as well as the bodies using them at present;*
- (c) among the premises of the schools in part (a) which had ceased operation, for those which are still vacant at present, of the respective numbers of years for which they have been left vacant, and the plan for using such premises in the future;*
- (d) at present, how it determines whether to continue to keep the premises of the aforesaid primary and secondary schools which had ceased operation for educational use;*
- (e) whether it has assessed the number of primary and secondary schools that will cease operation in each year of the next decade due to under-enrolment; if it has, of the details; if not, the reasons for that;*
- (f) whether it has assessed if in the next decade, there will be a need to re-open the primary and secondary schools which had ceased*

operation, owing to a rebound in the number of students in Hong Kong; if it has, of the details; if not, the reasons for that;

- (g) whether any measure is in place to encourage school sponsoring bodies to apply for using the premises of the schools which had ceased operation for setting up schools; if so, of the details; if not, the reasons for that;*
- (h) whether any measure is in place to encourage school sponsoring bodies of direct subsidy schools to apply for using the aforesaid vacant school premises to run primary and/or secondary schools under a "through train" operation mode, or operate branch schools; if so, of the details; if not, the reasons for that; and*
- (i) whether any measure is in place to encourage local tertiary institutions to apply for using the aforesaid vacant school premises for teaching purposes; if so, of the details; if not, the reasons for that?*

SECRETARY FOR EDUCATION (in Chinese): President,

- (a) The Education Bureau has implemented the policy on "Consolidation of Under-utilized Primary Schools" (Consolidation Policy) in government and aided primary schools since the 2003-2004 school year. According to this Bureau's record, a total of 86 primary schools ceased operation under the Consolidation Policy in the school years from 2003-2004 to 2011-2012 for not meeting the minimum threshold number of Primary One students. Details of these schools are set out at Annex A. There is no consolidation policy for government and aided secondary schools. Secondary schools may cease operation for various reasons, including the closing of schools by school sponsoring bodies on a voluntary basis because of under-enrolment or in pursuit of other development plans, and so on. During the same period, a total of nine government and aided secondary schools ceased operation and the details of which are set out at Annex B. We do not have a

breakdown of the number of schools ceasing operation for different reasons.

(b), (c) and (d)

The Education Bureau has an established mechanism for handling vacant school premises. We will consider if the size, location and physical conditions of the premises would render it suitable for re-allocation for school or other educational uses. Under normal circumstances, for school premises which are considered suitable to be so recycled, we will confirm the re-allocation of these premises for further school or other educational uses upon consultation with relevant bureaux and departments. As regards the premises that are not suitable for further school or other educational uses, the Education Bureau would inform the Planning Department and return these school premises to relevant departments for their consideration on alternative uses in accordance with the prevailing established arrangement. Should individual bureaux or departments indicate interest to use any of these premises, they have to consult relevant departments and the Planning Department and apply for use.

Among the premises of the 86 primary schools and nine secondary schools mentioned in part (a) above, 31 of them have already been deployed/reallocated for further educational uses (see Annex C). Of these 31 premises, 16 have been redeployed/reallocated for school use, three for decanting use and 12 for other educational uses, including onscreen marking centre of the Hong Kong Examinations and Assessment Authority, offices of the Hong Kong Council for Accreditation of Academic and Vocational Qualifications and the Employees Retraining Board, vocational training centres, post-secondary education use, and so on. Another 15 premises have been earmarked for further school use or other educational uses (see Annex D).

The remaining 49 premises are considered not suitable for further educational uses given their limited size and remote locations. Therefore, they have already been or will be returned to the relevant

government departments for disposal in accordance with the relevant lease conditions and established government policy for other uses where appropriate.

- (e) According to existing information on primary schools, it is anticipated that one aided primary school will cease operation under the Consolidation Policy in each of the 2012-2013 and 2013-2014 school years. For secondary schools, the Education Bureau has received notification from the school sponsoring bodies concerned to date and anticipates that one aided secondary school will cease operation in each of the 2012-2013, 2015-2016, 2016-2017 and 2017-2018 school years after phasing out its classes by level. Separately, two secondary schools will merge with other schools in the 2012-2013 and 2014-2015 school years.

Whether an existing public sector school will cease operation depends on a number of factors, such as the future supply and demand of school places in the district concerned and the development plans that may be chosen by the school management, and so on. Hence, we are not able to estimate the number of schools that will cease operation due to under-enrolment.

- (f) The Education Bureau will make reference to the projections of school-age population which are compiled based on the population projections released by the Census and Statistics Department, actual numbers of students studying at various levels at present and the latest demographic changes to estimate the future demand for school places, school premises and related resources. We will monitor the changes of the supply and demand for school places in different districts and take timely measures to meet the relevant demand.
- (g) Under the established arrangement, if there is any vacant school premises that would be available for recycling and for application by school sponsoring bodies for school use, we will allocate the premises through the School Allocation Exercise. A basket of factors including the relevant lease conditions of the land where the premises is located, quality of school proposals and track records of

the school sponsoring bodies, and so on, would be taken into account. School sponsoring bodies interested in using vacant school premises for operation of schools are invited to take note of the announcements of the School Allocation Exercises.

- (h) Given the overall surplus of public sector school places in recent years, this Bureau has no plan to increase the number of places in Direct Subsidy Scheme (DSS) schools at present, including through granting vacant school premises to the school sponsoring bodies of DSS schools for the operation of "through train" schools or branch schools.
- (i) As far as institutions funded by the University Grants Committee are concerned, this Bureau has been endeavouring to identify suitable sites to meet their actual needs for premises, including actively exploring the gainful use of vacant primary and secondary school premises, and passing relevant information to the institutions for consideration and application. As regards the self-financing post-secondary sector, we have been actively supporting its development, including the leasing of vacant school premises at nominal rent to non-profit-making institutions under the Land Grant Scheme for the operation of full-time locally-accredited self-financing post-secondary programmes. So far, five vacant school premises have been granted for the development of self-financing post-secondary institutions.

Annex A

Number of Government and Aided Primary Schools Closed
Under Consolidation Policy in the School Years from 2003-2004 to 2011-2012

<i>District</i>	<i>School Year</i>								
	<i>2003-2004</i>	<i>2004-2005</i>	<i>2005-2006</i>	<i>2006-2007</i>	<i>2007-2008</i>	<i>2008-2009</i>	<i>2009-2010</i>	<i>2010-2011</i>	<i>2011-2012</i>
Central and Western	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Eastern	0	0	0	0	1	0	1	1	1
Islands	0	0	1	2	0	1	1	0	0
Southern	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0	1

District	School Year								
	2003-2004	2004-2005	2005-2006	2006-2007	2007-2008	2008-2009	2009-2010	2010-2011	2011-2012
Kwai Tsing	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	0	0
Tuen Mun	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Yuen Long	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	0	0	0	1	4	1	3	0	0

Annex C

Uses of 31 Vacant School Premises Already Been Deployed/Reallocated
for Further Educational Uses

District	Use of Premises		
	School Uses ⁽¹⁾	Decanting (that is, occupation by schools undergoing in-situ redevelopment or awaiting availability of permanent premises)	Other Educational Uses ⁽²⁾
Central and Western	0	0	0
Eastern	1	0	1
Islands	3	0	0
Kowloon City	0	1	1
Kwai Tsing	1	0	3
Kwun Tong	0	0	0
North	1	0	0
Sai Kung	0	0	3
Sham Shui Po	1	1	0
Sha Tin	6	0	2
Southern	1	0	1
Tai Po	0	0	0
Tsuen Wan	0	0	0
Tuen Mun	0	0	0
Wan Chai	1	1	0
Wong Tai Sin	0	0	0
Yau Tsim Mong	1	0	1
Yuen Long	0	0	0
Total:	16	3	12

Notes:

- (1) "School Uses" include whole-day primary schooling, physical extension of nearby schools and other uses.
- (2) "Other Educational Uses" include onscreen marking centre of the Hong Kong Examinations and Assessment Authority, offices of the Hong Kong Council for Accreditation of Academic and Vocational Qualifications and the Employees Retraining Board, vocational training centres, post-secondary education use and other uses.

Uses of 15 Vacant School Premises Earmarked
for Further Educational Uses

District	Use of Premises		
	School Uses ⁽¹⁾	Decanting (that is, occupation by schools undergoing in-situ redevelopment or awaiting availability of permanent premises)	Other Educational Uses ⁽²⁾
Central and Western	0	0	0
Eastern	0	2	0
Islands	0	0	0
Kowloon City	2	0	1
Kwai Tsing	0	0	0
Kwun Tong	1	1	0
North	0	0	0
Sai Kung	0	0	0
Sham Shui Po	0	0	1
Sha Tin	0	0	1
Southern	2	0	0
Tai Po	0	0	0
Tsuen Wan	1	0	0
Tuen Mun	1	0	1
Wan Chai	0	0	0
Wong Tai Sin	0	0	0
Yau Tsim Mong	0	0	1
Yuen Long	0	0	0
Total:	7	3	5

Notes:

- (1) "School Uses" include primary school, international school and other uses.
- (2) "Other Educational Uses" include onscreen marking centre of the Hong Kong Examinations and Assessment Authority, post-secondary education use and other uses.

Implementation of Nutrition Labelling Scheme

20. **MR FREDERICK FUNG** (in Chinese): *President, the legislation on nutrition labelling has come into force for nearly two years since July 2010.*

Regarding the implementation of the legislation, will the Government inform this Council:

- (a) whether the authorities have recently conducted any survey to ascertain the level of awareness of the public about nutrition labelling and the changes in their attitude and behaviour towards choosing of food products; if they have, of the results;*
- (b) given that since the beginning of this year, the Centre for Food Safety (CFS) tested and discovered that the information on the nutrition labels of various kind of food products is seriously inaccurate, how the CFS made public such incidents and whether press releases were issued; of the approach adopted by the authorities to handle and act in response to non-compliant cases since the implementation of the aforesaid legislation; of the change in the frequency of conducting sample tests of food products to verify the information on nutrition labels; the details of the non-compliant cases, including a list of food products involved, the items on their labels which were inaccurate, as well as the numbers of warning letters issued, requests for rectifying the irregularities and prosecutions instituted, and so on; and*
- (c) whether the authorities have reviewed if the current approach in handling non-compliant cases is too lenient, and whether the public are unable to know clearly which food products' nutrition labels contain inaccurate information; if so, of the review results; whether the authorities will step up enforcement and prosecution efforts, and make public as soon as possible the list of food products with inaccurate information on their nutrition labels to enable the public to make informed choices; if they will not, of the reasons for that?*

SECRETARY FOR LABOUR AND WELFARE (in the absence of Secretary for Food and Health) (in Chinese): President, the Nutrition Labelling Scheme (the Scheme) for pre-packaged food products aims to: (a) assist consumers in making informed food choices; (b) encourage food manufacturers to apply sound nutrition principles in the formulation of food products; and (c) regulate

misleading or deceptive labels and claims. The Scheme covers nutrition labelling⁽¹⁾ and nutrition claims (which includes nutrient content claim⁽²⁾, nutrient comparative claim⁽³⁾ and nutrient function claim⁽⁴⁾).

The Scheme has come into force since 1 July 2010. To facilitate its implementation, the CFS has been carrying out a variety of publicity and education programmes to enhance public understanding of the Scheme. Following the three-year Publicity and Education Campaign which ended in June 2011, the CFS has launched a two-year enhancement programme on nutrition labelling since July 2011 to sustain the efforts in promoting the use of the nutrition information on labels by consumers for healthier food choices.

In fact, according to a survey conducted by the Bauhinia Foundation Research Centre in June 2011 which interviewed over 1 000 citizens, nearly 80% agreed that the implementation of the Scheme had assisted them in making healthier food choices. Over half of them considered that the Scheme had enhanced their confidence in the nutrient content and nutrition claims on pre-packaged food products.

My reply to the various parts of the question is as follows:

- (a) The CFS has commissioned an independent consultant to conduct a survey to find out the public's knowledge, attitude and practice regarding nutrition labelling. This survey is modelled on a similar one conducted by the CFS in 2008 and a comparison will be made between the findings of the two surveys. This survey is expected to be completed by the end of this year.

- (1) Nutrition labelling refers to the listing of the nutrient content of a food in a standardized manner. When nutrition labelling is applied, energy content and the nutrient content of the seven core nutrients (protein, carbohydrates, total fat, saturated fat, trans fat, sodium and sugars) or what is commonly known as "1+7", and claimed nutrients are required to be affixed on the nutrition label.
- (2) A nutrient content claim describes the energy value or the level of a nutrient contained in a food, for example, "High calcium"; "Low fat"; "Sugar-free".
- (3) A nutrient comparative claim compares the energy value or the nutrient level of two or more different versions of the same food or similar foods, for example, "Reduced fat — 25% less than the regular product of the same brand".
- (4) A nutrient function claim describes the physiological role of a nutrient in growth, development and normal functions of the body, for example, "Calcium aids in the development of strong bones and teeth".

- (b) Since the commencement of the Scheme on 1 July 2010, the CFS has checked (as at 4 May 2012) the nutrition labels of 19 680 pre-packaged food products, with 190 found to be non-compliant with the requirements of the Scheme. The overall compliance rate is 99.03%.

Of the 190 non-compliant food products, 122 were identified by visual checking on labels for not complying with the statutory "1+7" labelling requirements and the remaining 68 by chemical analysis on the inaccuracy of the nutrition labels and nutrition claims. Details are at the Annex. The cases involved different categories of food, including beverage, snack, soya bean sauce, milk product, meat product, cereal and grain product, and so on.

Out of the 190 non-compliant cases, nutrition labels were rectified in 108 cases, products in 77 cases were removed from shelves, and five cases are being followed up. The CFS has issued a total of 93 warning letters regarding the irregularities. If the food trader concerned fails to take any actions to comply with the requirements of the Scheme upon the expiry date stated in the warning letter, the CFS will initiate prosecution. Most of the traders involved in non-compliant cases have been co-operative. They have either stopped selling the product concerned or rectified the nutrition label according to the statutory requirements. There is no need for the CFS to initiate any prosecution so far.

The surveillance results of the CFS on pre-packaged food products since the commencement of the Scheme with details of the non-compliant cases have been reported at the meetings of the Legislative Council Panel on Food Safety and Environmental Hygiene on 12 July 2011 and 8 May 2012.

- (c) In the first year of implementing the Scheme, the CFS adopted a flexible approach in handling cases of irregularities. Upon detection of irregularities, such as incomplete nutrition label and discrepancy between the stated nutrient content on the nutrition label and test result, the CFS would first issue a letter to the retailer/manufacturer/importer concerned requesting an explanation

within 21 days. If the explanation was not accepted by the CFS, a warning letter would be issued requiring actions to comply with the Scheme within 60 days. For traders who failed to do so, the CFS would initiate prosecution.

Taking into account the operational experience gained during the first year of the Scheme, the CFS has tightened up its enforcement strategy since 1 July 2011. Where irregularities such as incomplete nutrition label are identified, the CFS will issue a warning letter to the retailer/manufacturer/importer concerned requiring actions to rectify the irregularities and comply with the Scheme within 60 days. For discrepancy between the actual nutrient content based on test result and the stated value on the nutrition label, the CFS will issue a letter to the retailer/manufacturer/importer concerned requesting an explanation within 21 days. If the explanation is not accepted by the CFS, a warning letter requiring an alteration of labels to comply with the Scheme within 39 days will then be issued. In case the non-compliant food item remains on sale, the CFS will initiate prosecution.

The Government has implemented the Scheme mainly to facilitate members of the public to make informed choices when purchasing food. No food safety risks are involved even if there are discrepancies between the declared values on nutrition labels and test results. From March 2012 onwards, a monthly report is published in the last week of the month on the CFS website, summarizing nutrition labelling compliance testing results of the previous month, including the number of food samples tested, the number of non-compliant samples and their names, and details of the food samples with discrepancies between their declared nutrient values and test results. Relevant information can be found in the website below.

<http://www.cfs.gov.hk/english/whatsnew/whatsnew_act/List_of_Samples_with_Discrepancy_for_NL.html>

Annex

Detailed Breakdown of the 190 Non-compliant Cases

<i>Nature of Non-compliance</i>	<i>Number of Cases for 2010 (1 July 2010 to 31 December 2010)</i>	<i>Number of Cases (2011)</i>	<i>Number of Cases (2012) (as at 4 May 2012)</i>
No or incomplete "1+7" nutrition label	43	24	4
Inappropriate nutrition label format	4	3	0
Inappropriate nutrition claim (nutrient content claim and nutrient function claim)	7	11	0
Inappropriate language	12	3	2
Involving more than one type of irregularity (for example, incomplete "1+7" nutrition label, inappropriate nutrition label format)	0	7	2
Discrepancy in declared nutrient value confirmed after chemical analysis	30	29	9
Sub Total	96	77	17
Total		190	

BILLS

(Bill originally scheduled to be dealt with at the last Council meeting)

Council went into Committee.

Committee Stage

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Council is now in Committee. We will continue with the examination of the clauses and amendments to the Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012.

As Mr Albert CHAN will leave Hong Kong for a few days owing to some family business, therefore, he has withdrawn his amendments Nos. 281 to 1165 and the amendments Nos. 1183 to 1232, that is, a total of 935 amendments. I have granted leave to the request made by Mr WONG Yuk-man to waive the required advance notice and permitted him to move the aforesaid 935 amendments under Mr Albert CHAN's name which bear the same contents and numbers. By way of a circular issued by the Secretariat, Members are informed of the above arrangement.

In order to save on resources and time, the Secretariat will not print these 935 amendments again and the latest information of each amendment will also not be inputted into the electronic voting system. Therefore, when dealing with these amendments, the display screens in the Chamber and various parts of the Complex will still show the name of Mr Albert CHAN.

LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL (AMENDMENT) BILL 2012

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now suspend the meeting until 2.30 pm.

1.17 pm

Meeting suspended.

2.30 pm

Committee then resumed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Meeting now resumes. The Committee will now continue to deal with amendments Nos. 186 to 280 under Mr Albert CHAN's name. The wording of the amendments is set out in Appendix III to the Script. The Committee will vote on each one of the amendments. Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 186.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, as I will leave Hong Kong later today, I would like to see more Members in attendance. Chairman, please do a headcount.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will the Clerk please ring the bell to summon Members back to the Chamber.

(After the summoning bell had been rung, a number of Members returned to the Chamber)

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 146 under my name.

MS MIRIAM LAU (in Cantonese): He has read the wrong number of the amendment. He said that he wanted to move amendment No. 146.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, are you moving amendment No. 186?

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Oh, sorry, Chairman. Maybe I read out the wrong number when I was looking at that number. It should be amendment No. 186.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 186 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 187.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 187 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 187 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 18 were present and 18 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 188.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 188 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 188 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the

question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 189.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 189 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 189 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 190.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 190 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 190 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr

Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 13 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 191.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 191 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 191 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHIM Pui-chung, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 13 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 192.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 192 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 192 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHIM Pui-chung, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 22 were present and 22 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 13 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 193.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 193 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 193 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHIM Pui-chung, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 22 were present and 22 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 194.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 194 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 194 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHIM Pui-chung, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 22 were present and 22 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the

question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 195.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 195 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 195 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHIM Pui-chung, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 22 were present and 22 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 196.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 196 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 196 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHIM Pui-chung, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 22 were present and 22 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 197.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 197 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 197 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr

Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHIM Pui-chung, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 22 were present and 22 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 198.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 198 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 198 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG,

Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHIM Pui-chung, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 22 were present and 22 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 199.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 199 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 199 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHIM Pui-chung, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 22 were present and 22 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 200.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 200 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 200 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHIM Pui-chung, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 22 were present and 22 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 11 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and nine against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 201.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 201 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 201 (see Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHIM Pui-chung, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 22 were present and 22 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 11 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and nine against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 202.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 202 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 202 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHIM Pui-chung, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 22 were present and 22 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 11 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and nine against it. Since the

question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 203.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 203 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 203 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHIM Pui-chung, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Andrew CHENG and Mr Albert CHAN voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 22 were present and 22 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and nine against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 204.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 204 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 204 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHIM Pui-chung, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 22 were present and 22 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 11 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and nine against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move amendment No. 205.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 205 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 205 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG,

Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHIM Pui-chung, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 22 were present and 22 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 11 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and nine against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 206.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 206 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 206 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHIM Pui-chung, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 22 were present and 22 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 11 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and nine against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 207.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 207 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 207 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHIM Pui-chung, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 22 were present and 22 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 11 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and nine against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 208.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 208 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 208 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHIM Pui-chung, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 22 were present and 22 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 11 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and nine against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 209.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 209 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 209 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHIM Pui-chung, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 22 were present and 22 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12

were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 210.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 210 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 210 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHIM Pui-chung, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 22 were present and 22 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 211.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 211 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 211 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHIM Pui-chung, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 22 were present and 22 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 212.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 212 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 212 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG,

Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHIM Pui-chung, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 22 were present and 22 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 213.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 213 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 213 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHIM Pui-chung, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 22 were present and 22 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 214.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 214 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 214 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHIM Pui-chung, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG and Mr WONG Kwok-kin voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 11 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and nine against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 215.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 215 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 215 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHIM Pui-chung, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 22 were present and 22 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 216.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 216 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 216 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHIM Pui-chung, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 22 were present and 22 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12

were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 217.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 217 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 217 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHIM Pui-chung, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 22 were present and 22 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 218.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 218 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 218 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHIM Pui-chung, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 22 were present and 22 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 219.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 219 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 219 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG,

Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHIM Pui-chung, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 22 were present and 22 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 220.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 220 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 220 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHIM Pui-chung, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 22 were present and 22 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 221.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 221 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 221 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHIM Pui-chung, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 22 were present and 22 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 222.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 222 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 222 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHIM Pui-chung, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 22 were present and 22 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 223.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 223 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 223 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHIM Pui-chung, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 22 were present and 22 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12

were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 224.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 224 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 224 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHIM Pui-chung, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 22 were present and 22 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 225.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 225 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 225 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHIM Pui-chung, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 22 were present and 22 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 11 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and nine against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 226.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 226 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 226 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG,

Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHIM Pui-chung, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 22 were present and 22 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 227.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 227 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 227 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHIM Pui-chung, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 22 were present and 22 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 228.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 228 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 228 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHIM Pui-chung, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 22 were present and 22 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 229.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 229 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 229 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHIM Pui-chung, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 22 were present and 22 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 230.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 230 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 230 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHIM Pui-chung, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 22 were present and 22 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12

were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 231.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 231 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 231 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHIM Pui-chung, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG and Mr WONG Kwok-kin voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 22 were present and 22 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 11 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and nine against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 232.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 232 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 232 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHIM Pui-chung, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG and Mr WONG Kwok-kin voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 22 were present and 22 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 11 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and nine against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 233.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 233 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 233 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG,

Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHIM Pui-chung, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG and Mr WONG Kwok-kin voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 22 were present and 22 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 11 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and nine against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 234.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 234 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 234 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHIM Pui-chung, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 22 were present and 22 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 235.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 235 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 235 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHIM Pui-chung, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 22 were present and 22 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 236.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 236 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 236 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHIM Pui-chung, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 22 were present and 22 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 237.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 237 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 237 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHIM Pui-chung, Prof Patrick LAU, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 20 were present and 20 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12

were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 238.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 238 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 238 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHIM Pui-chung, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 20 were present and 20 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 239.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 239 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 239 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHIM Pui-chung, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 20 were present and 20 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 240.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 240 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 240 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG

Ting-kwong, Mr CHIM Pui-chung, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 20 were present and 20 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 241.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 241 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 241 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHIM Pui-chung, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 20 were present and 20 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now suspend the meeting for 15 minutes.

4.15 pm

Meeting suspended.

4.30 pm

Committee then resumed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will the Clerk please ring the bell to summon Members back to the Chamber.

(After the summoning bell had been rung, a number of Members returned to the Chamber)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Committee now resumes.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 242.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 242 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 242 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHIM Pui-chung, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Dr LEUNG Ka-lau, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 243.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 243 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 243 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mr Andrew LEUNG voted for the amendment.

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Dr LEUNG Ka-lau, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 20 against it; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 244.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 244 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 244 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Dr LEUNG Ka-lau, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 245.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 245 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 245 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG

Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Dr LEUNG Ka-lau, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 20 were present and 20 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 246.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 246 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 246 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Dr LEUNG Ka-lau, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 20 were present and 20 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 247.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 247 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 247 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Dr LEUNG Ka-lau, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 20 were present and 20 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 248.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 248 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 248 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Dr LEUNG Ka-lau, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 20 were present and 20 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 249.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 249 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 249 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Dr LEUNG Ka-lau, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 20 were present and 20 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 250.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 250 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 250 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Dr LEUNG Ka-lau, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12

were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 251.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 251 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 251 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Dr LEUNG Ka-lau, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 252.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 252 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 252 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Dr LEUNG Ka-lau, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 253.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 253 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 253 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG

Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Dr LEUNG Ka-lau, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 254.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 254 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 254 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Dr LEUNG Ka-lau, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 255.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 255 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 255 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Dr LEUNG Ka-lau, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 256.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 256 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 256 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 20 were present and 20 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 257.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 257 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 257 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Dr LEUNG Ka-lau, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12

were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 258.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 258 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 258 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Dr LEUNG Ka-lau, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 23 were present and 23 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 259.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 259 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 259 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Dr LEUNG Ka-lau, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 23 were present and 23 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 260.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 260 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 260 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Dr LEUNG Ka-lau, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 22 were present and 22 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 261.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 261 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 261 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick

LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Dr LEUNG Ka-lau, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 22 were present and 22 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 262.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 262 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 262 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Dr LEUNG Ka-lau, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 22 were present and 22 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 263.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 263 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 263 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Dr LEUNG Ka-lau, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG and Mr WONG Kwok-kin voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 23 were present and 23 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 11 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and nine against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 264.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 264 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 264 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Dr LEUNG Ka-lau, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG and Mr WONG Kwok-kin voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 23 were present and 23 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 11 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and nine against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 265.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 265 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 265 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Dr LEUNG Ka-lau, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 22 were present and 22 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12

were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 266.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 266 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 266 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Dr LEUNG Ka-lau, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 22 were present and 22 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 267.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 267 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 267 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Dr LEUNG Ka-lau, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 268.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 268 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 268 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof

Patrick LAU, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Dr LEUNG Ka-lau, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG and Mr WONG Kwok-kin voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 20 were present and 20 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 11 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and nine against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 269.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 269 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 269 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Dr LEUNG Ka-lau, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 22 were present and 22 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 270.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 270 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 270 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Dr LEUNG Ka-lau, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 22 were present and 22 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 271.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 271 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 271 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Dr LEUNG Ka-lau, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 13 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 272.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 272 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 272 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Dr LEUNG Ka-lau, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN and WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 22 were present and 22 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 13 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the

question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 273.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 273 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 273 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Dr LEUNG Ka-lau, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 22 were present and 22 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 13 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 274.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 274 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 274 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Dr LEUNG Ka-lau, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 22 were present and 22 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 275.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 275 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 275 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr

WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Dr LEUNG Ka-lau, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 22 were present and 22 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 276.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 276 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 276 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Dr LEUNG Ka-lau, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 22 were present and 22 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 13 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 277.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 277 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 277 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Dr LEUNG Ka-lau, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 22 were present and 22 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 13 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 278.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 278 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 278 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Dr LEUNG Ka-lau, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 13 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 279.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 279 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 279 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Dr LEUNG Ka-lau, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 13

were present, two were in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN, you may now move your amendment No. 280.

MR ALBERT CHAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 280 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 280 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr Albert CHAN be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr Albert CHAN rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Albert CHAN has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Dr LEUNG Ka-lau, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 13 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): The Committee will now continue to deal with amendments No. 281 to 1165 to be moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man.

Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 281.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 281 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 281 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Dr LEUNG Ka-lau, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 13 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 282.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 282 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 282 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Dr LEUNG Ka-lau, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 13 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 283.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 283 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 283 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr

WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Dr LEUNG Ka-lau, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 13 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 284.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 284 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 284 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Dr LEUNG Ka-lau, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 13 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, you are speaking so fast that I am afraid you may have difficulty in breathing.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, please move your amendment No. 285.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 285 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 285 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Dr LEUNG Ka-lau, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Albert CHAN and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 13 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 286.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 286 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 286 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Dr LEUNG Ka-lau, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung, Mr Albert CHAN and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 14 were present, three were in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 287.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 287 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 287 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Dr LEUNG Ka-lau, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung, Mr Albert CHAN and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 14 were present, three were in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 288.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 288 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 288 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Dr LEUNG Ka-lau, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung, Mr Albert CHAN and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 20 were present and 20 were against the amendment; while among

the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 14 were present, three were in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 289.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 289 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 289 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Dr LEUNG Ka-lau, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung, Mr Albert CHAN and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 20 were present and 20 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 14 were present, three were in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 290.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 290 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 290 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Dr LEUNG Ka-lau, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG and Mr WONG Kwok-kin voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 20 were present and 20 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and nine against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 291.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 291 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 291 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof

Patrick LAU, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Dr LEUNG Ka-lau, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG and Mr WONG Kwok-kin voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 20 were present and 20 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and nine against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 292.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 292 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 292 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Vincent

FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Dr LEUNG Ka-lau, Mr IP Wai-ming, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG and Mr WONG Kwok-kin voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and nine against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 293.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 293 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 293 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Dr LEUNG Ka-lau, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG and Mr WONG Kwok-kin voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and nine against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 294.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 294 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 294 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof

Patrick LAU, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG and Mr WONG Kwok-kin voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and nine against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 295.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 295 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 295 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 13 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 296.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 296 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 296 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 18 were present and 18 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 13 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 297.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 297 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 297 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 20 were present and 20 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and nine against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 298.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 298 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 298 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 13 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 299.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 299 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 299 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 13

were present, two were in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 300.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 300 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 300 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 13 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now suspend the meeting until 7.30 pm.

6.28 pm

Meeting suspended.

7.30 pm

Committee then resumed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will the Clerk please ring the bell to summon Members back to the Chamber.

(After the summoning bell had been rung, a number of Members returned to the Chamber)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, please move your amendment No. 301.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 301 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 301 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 18 were present and 18 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 302.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 302 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 302 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 18 were present and 18 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the

question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 303.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 303 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 303 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

(While the division bell was ringing, Mr Paul TSE stood up)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr Paul TSE, what is your point?

MR PAUL TSE (in Cantonese): At this juncture, I wish to put it on record — I certainly know that you, Chairman, has given approval for Mr WONG Yuk-man to move these amendments for Mr Albert CHAN. After the incident over Rule 92 in which you, Chairman, were involved, I actually do not wish to cause you further troubles, but I wish to put it on record that I at least feel greatly dissatisfied personally. This is no ordinary situation, and this happens for a special reason. The point is that a Member has asked another Member to move his amendments for him or on his behalf obviously with the purpose of making this Council play right to their hands, and they are obviously playing a trick. I think this is a great insult. I find it most regrettable that somebody can get away unpunished and go on a vacation overseas and have fun, but we are not strictly enforcing the rule that a Member who is away cannot move his motion according to the Rules of Procedure (RoP). I find this most regrettable. I must put it on record that if any Member should move a motion in future, I think this is one of the factors that I will take into consideration. Thank you, Chairman.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): This is not a time for debate. Assuming the point raised by Mr Paul TSE is a point of order, I need to give an explanation.

As Mr Albert CHAN has not yet moved his remaining amendments, he can, therefore, withdraw his amendments anytime without my consent. Mr WONG Yuk-man requested to move amendments which are identical to those amendments that have yet to be moved by Mr Albert CHAN and sought my leave for waiver of the notice requirement. In the last term and the current term of this Council, there have been many such precedents. Therefore, I can only grant leave in accordance with the RoP and the precedents.

(Mr LAU Kong-wah raised his hand in indication)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr LAU Kong-wah, what is your point?

MR LAU KONG-WAH (in Cantonese): Chairman, point of order. On the point that you have just raised, I have looked up Rule 58 of the RoP (Procedure in Committee of the Whole Council on a Bill). Sub-rule (11), which is about withdrawal, provides that "An amendment, proposed new clause or proposed new schedule, upon which a question has been proposed, may be withdrawn at the request of the mover by leave of the committee before the question has been put on it, if no Member objects." In other words, if anyone wishes to withdraw the amendments that he has moved, is it necessary to obtain the consent of the Committee of the Whole Council?

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr LAU Kong-wah, Rule 58(11) of the RoP that you have just cited does not apply, because Mr Albert CHAN has not yet moved his remaining amendments which are large in number. So, I have not yet proposed a question to Members on these amendments.

Rule 58(11) of the RoP applies to a motion which is already moved by a Member, and similar cases have happened before. Once a motion is moved, the motion can be withdrawn only when no Member objects to it, but Mr Albert CHAN has not yet completed the procedure of moving these amendments.

I saw another Member raising his hand just now.

MR WONG KWOK-HING (in Cantonese): Chairman, I also wish to raise a point of order. Mr Albert CHAN claimed sometime ago in this Council that he would persist till the very end but he has now given up halfway, jumping the ship. Under such circumstance, Chairman, should these frivolous amendments be allowed to continuously hold up the work of this Council? Moreover, the mover of the amendments has time and again requested headcounts and said that a quorum is lacking in this Council, seeking to confine all of us to this place here. This is absolutely unjust, unfair and grossly unreasonable. Can you, Chairman, exercise your powers to streamline and condense the voting procedures, so as to save energy and also the time of this Council?

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG, what you have just raised is not a point of order. The RoP will not change as a result of the views expressed by Members in their speeches. I do appreciate the feelings of Members. Everyone who has listened to our meetings over the past three weeks will certainly have his own judgement. Political problems have to be resolved by political means, and the problems of the RoP can be resolved only by way of the RoP. I have already explained this clearly, and I do not wish to open a debate.

Have Members all cast their votes?

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 304.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I am not talking about any point of order. Since you let him lash out at us, I must also say something, for I just don't have these graces. Mr Albert CHAN is not in this Chamber, and I am taking his place! When he was in the Chamber, I walked out and that was how I alone could confine all 30 people of you to this place here all the same! What can you do about me?

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG, it is not the time to make a speech.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): That was nonsense. Chairman, I move amendment No. 304 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 304 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 11 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and nine against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 305.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 305 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 305 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 11 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and nine against it. Since the

question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 306.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 306 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 306 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 11 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and nine against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 307.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 307 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 307 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 11 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and nine against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 308.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 308 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 308 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG,

Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 11 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and nine against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 309.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 309 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 309 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 20 were present and 20 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 11 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and nine against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 310.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 310 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 310 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 20 were present and 20 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 11 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and nine against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 311.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 311 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 311 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 20 were present and 20 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 11 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and nine against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 312.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 312 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 312 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 313.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 313 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 313 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the

question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 314.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 314 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 314 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 315.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 315 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 315 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 316.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 316 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 316 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG,

Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 317.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 317 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 317 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 20 were present and 20 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 318.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 318 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 318 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 20 were present and 20 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 319.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 319 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 319 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 20 were present and 20 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 320.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 320 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 320 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 20 were present and 20 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12

were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 321.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 321 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 321 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 322.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 322 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 322 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 20 were present and 20 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 11 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and nine against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 323.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 323 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 323 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG,

Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 324.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 324 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 324 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 325.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 325 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 325 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mr IP Wai-ming voted for the amendment.

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 20 against it; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 326.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 326 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 326 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou , Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 327.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 327 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 327 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 328.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 328 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 328 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12

were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 329.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 329 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 329 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG and Mr WONG Kwok-kin voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 11 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and nine against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 330.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 330 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 330 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 11 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and nine against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 331.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 331 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 331 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG,

Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 11 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and nine against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 332.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 332 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 332 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 11 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and nine against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 333.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 333 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 333 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 11 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and nine against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 334.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 334 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 334 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 20 were present and 20 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 11 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and nine against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 335.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 335 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 335 Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 20 were present and 20 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12

were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 336.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 336 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 336 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 20 were present and 20 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 11 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and nine against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 337.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 337 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 337 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 20 were present and 20 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 338.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 338 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 338 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG

Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 20 were present and 20 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 339.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 339 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 339 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 340.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 340 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 340 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 341.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 341 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 341 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 342.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 342 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 342 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12

were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 343.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 343 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 343 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr Samson TAM, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 344.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 344 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 344 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 345.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 345 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 345 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG

Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG and Mr WONG Kwok-kin voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 11 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and nine against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 346.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 346 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 346 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 347.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 347 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 347 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 20 were present and 20 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 348.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 348 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 348 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 349.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 349 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 349 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12

were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 350.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 350 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 350 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 351.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 351 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 351 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 352.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 352 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 352 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG

Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 353.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 353 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 353 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 354.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 354 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 354 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 355.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 355 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 355 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 11 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and nine against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 356.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 356 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 356 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12

were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 357.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 357 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 357 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 11 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and nine against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 358.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 358 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 358 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 359.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 359 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 359 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG

Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 360.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 360 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 360 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 361.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 361 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 361 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 13 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 362.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 362 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 362 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr

Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 13 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 363.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 363 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 363 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 13 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 364.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 364 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 364 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among

the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 13 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 365.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 365 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 365 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 13 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 366.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 366 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 366 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raised their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 13 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 367.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 367 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 367 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 13 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 368.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 368 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 368 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG

Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 369.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 369 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 369 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Ms Miriam LAU, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 20 were present and 20 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 370.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 370 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 370 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 371.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 371 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 371 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 13 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 372.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 372 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 372 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 13 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 373.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 373 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 373 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG and Mr WONG Kwok-kin voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and nine against it. Since

the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 374.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 374 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 374 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG and Mr WONG Kwok-kin voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and nine against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 375.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 375 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 375 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 13 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 376.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 376 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 376 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

(While the division bell was ringing, Dr PAN Pey-chyou stood up)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Dr PAN Pey-chyou, what is your point?

DR PAN PEY-CHYOU (in Cantonese): Chairman, a point of order. The electronic display panel shows that this is Mr Albert CHAN's amendment.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I already talked about this point this morning. Perhaps you did not hear me clearly.

(After the division bell finished ringing)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Ms Miriam LAU, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 20 were present and 20 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 13 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

SUSPENSION OF MEETING

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now suspend the meeting until 2.30 pm tomorrow.

Suspended accordingly at one minute past Ten o'clock.

Annex I

No. 186

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai YipClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 11 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 25% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee Stage

Amendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai Yip

Clause

Amendment Proposed

3
[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 11 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 20% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 188

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai YipClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 11 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 15% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai YipClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 11 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 10% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 190

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai YipClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 11 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 5% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee Stage

Amendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai Yip

Clause

Amendment Proposed

3
[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 12 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 95% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 192

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai YipClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 12 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 90% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee Stage

Amendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai Yip

Clause

Amendment Proposed

3

{NEGATIVED}

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 12 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 85% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 194

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai YipClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 12 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 80% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee Stage

Amendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai Yip

Clause

Amendment Proposed

3
[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 12 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 75% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 196

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai YipClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 12 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 70% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee Stage

Amendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai Yip

Clause

Amendment Proposed

3
[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 12 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 65% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 198

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai YipClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 12 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 60% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai YipClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 12 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 55% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 200

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai YipClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 12 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 50% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee Stage

Amendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai Yip

Clause

Amendment Proposed

3
[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 12 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 45% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 202

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai YipClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 12 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 40% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai YipClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

- “(2B) If more than 12 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 35% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 204

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai YipClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 12 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 30% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee Stage

Amendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai Yip

Clause

Amendment Proposed

3
[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 12 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 25% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 206

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai YipClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 12 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 20% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai YipClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 12 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 15% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 208

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai YipClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 12 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 10% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai YipClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 12 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 5% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 210

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai YipClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 13 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 95% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai YipClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 13 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 90% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 212

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai YipClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 13 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 85% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee Stage

Amendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai Yip

Clause

Amendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 13 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 80% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 214

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai YipClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 13 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 75% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai YipClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 13 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 70% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 216

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai YipClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 13 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 65% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee Stage

Amendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai Yip

Clause

Amendment Proposed

3 By adding—
[NEGATIVED]

“(2B) If more than 13 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 60% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 218

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai YipClauseAmendment Proposed3
[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 13 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 55% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai YipClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 13 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 50% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 220

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai YipClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 13 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 45% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai YipClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 13 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 40% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 222

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai YipClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 13 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 35% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai YipClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 13 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 30% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 224

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai YipClauseAmendment Proposed3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 13 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 25% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee Stage

Amendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai Yip

Clause

Amendment Proposed

3
[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 13 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 20% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”

No. 226

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai YipClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 13 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 15% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai YipClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 13 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 10% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 228

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai YipClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 13 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 5% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee Stage

Amendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai Yip

Clause

Amendment Proposed

3
[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 14 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 95% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 230

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai YipClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 14 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 90% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai YipClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 14 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 85% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 232

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai YipClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 14 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 80% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai YipClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 14 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 75% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 234

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai YipClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 14 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 70% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai YipClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 14 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 65% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 236

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai YipClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 14 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 60% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai YipClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 14 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 55% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 238

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai YipClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 14 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 50% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai YipClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 14 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 45% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”

No. 240

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai YipClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 14 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 40% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee Stage

Amendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai Yip

Clause

Amendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 14 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 35% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 242

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai YipClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 14 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 30% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee Stage

Amendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai Yip

Clause

Amendment Proposed

3
[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 14 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 25% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 244

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai YipClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 14 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 20% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai YipClauseAmendment Proposed

3
[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 14 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 15% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”

No. 246

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai YipClauseAmendment Proposed

3
NEGATIVED

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 14 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 10% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai YipClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 14 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 5% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 248

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai YipClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 15 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 95% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai YipClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 15 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 90% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 250

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai YipClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 15 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 85% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai YipClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 15 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 80% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 252

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai YipClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 15 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 75% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai YipClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 15 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 70% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 254

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai YipClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 15 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 65% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee Stage

Amendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai Yip

Clause

Amendment Proposed

3
[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 15 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 60% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 256

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai YipClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 15 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 55% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai YipClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

- “(2B) If more than 15 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 50% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 258

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai YipClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 15 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 45% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee Stage

Amendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai Yip

Clause

Amendment Proposed

3
[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 15 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 40% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 260

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai YipClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 15 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 35% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai YipClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 15 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 30% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 262

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai YipClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 15 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 25% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee Stage

Amendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai Yip

Clause

Amendment Proposed

3
[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 15 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 20% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 264

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai YipClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 15 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 15% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai YipClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 15 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 10% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 266

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai YipClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 15 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 5% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai YipClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

- “(2B) If more than 16 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 95% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 268

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai YipClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 16 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 90% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee Stage

Amendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai Yip

Clause

Amendment Proposed

3
[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 16 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 85% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 270

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai YipClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 16 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 80% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee Stage

Amendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai Yip

Clause

Amendment Proposed

3
[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 16 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 75% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 272

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai YipClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 16 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 70% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai YipClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 16 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 65% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 274

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai YipClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 16 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 60% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai YipClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 16 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 55% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 276

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai YipClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 16 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 50% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai YipClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 16 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 45% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 278

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai YipClauseAmendment Proposed3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 16 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 40% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai YipClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

- “(2B) If more than 16 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 35% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 280

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable Albert CHAN Wai YipClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 16 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 30% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 16 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 25% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 282

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 16 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 20% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVE

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 16 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 15% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 284

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 16 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 10% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 16 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 5% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 286

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 17 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 95% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 17 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 90% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 288

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 17 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 85% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 17 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 80% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 290

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 17 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 75% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 17 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 70% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 292

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 17 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 65% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 17 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 60% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 294

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 17 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 55% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 17 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 50% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 296

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 17 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 45% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 17 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 40% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 298

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 17 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 35% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 17 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 30% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 300

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 17 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 25% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 17 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 20% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 302

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

[NEGATIVED]

“(2B) If more than 17 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 15% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 17 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 10% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 304

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 17 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 5% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 18 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 95% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 306

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 18 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 90% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 18 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 85% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 308

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 18 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 80% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 18 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 75% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 310

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 18 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 70% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 18 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 65% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 312

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 18 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 60% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 18 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 55% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 314

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 18 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 50% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 18 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 45% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 316

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 18 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 40% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 18 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 35% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 318

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 18 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 30% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 18 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 25% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 320

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 18 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 20% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 18 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 15% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 322

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 18 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 10% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 18 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 5% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 324

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 19 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 95% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 19 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 90% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 326

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 19 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 85% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 19 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 80% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 328

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

[NEGATIVED]

“(2B) If more than 19 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 75% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 19 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 70% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 330

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

[NEGATIVED]

“(2B) If more than 19 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 65% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 19 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 60% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 332

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

[NEGATIVED]

“(2B) If more than 19 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 55% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 19 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 50% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 334

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

[NEGATIVED]

“(2B) If more than 19 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 45% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 19 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 40% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 336

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

[NEGATIVED]

“(2B) If more than 19 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 35% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 19 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 30% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 338

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

[NEGATIVED]

“(2B) If more than 19 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 25% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 19 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 20% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 340

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 19 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 15% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 19 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 10% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 342

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 19 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 5% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 20 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 95% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 344

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 20 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 90% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 20 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 85% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 346

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 20 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 80% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

- “(2B) If more than 20 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 75% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 348

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 20 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 70% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 20 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 65% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 350

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 20 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 60% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 20 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 55% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 352

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 20 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 50% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 20 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 45% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 354

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 20 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 40% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 20 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 35% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 356

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 20 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 30% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 20 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 25% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 358

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 20 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 20% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 20 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 15% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 360

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 20 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 10% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 20 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 5% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 362

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 21 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 95% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 21 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 90% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 364

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 21 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 85% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 21 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 80% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 366

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 21 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 75% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

- “(2B) If more than 21 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 70% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 368

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 21 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 65% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 21 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 60% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 370

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 21 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 55% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

- “(2B) If more than 21 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 50% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 372

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 21 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 45% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 21 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 40% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 374

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 21 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 35% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 21 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 30% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.

No. 376

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If more than 21 Members of any geographical constituency or more than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members on the same day and they have agreed jointly to reimburse not less than 25% of the total amount of administrative costs of the by-election upon their nomination as candidates in that by-election, subsection (2A) does not apply to them.”.