

OFFICIAL RECORD OF PROCEEDINGS

Wednesday, 30 May 2012

The Council met at Eleven o'clock

MEMBERS PRESENT:

THE PRESIDENT

THE HONOURABLE JASPER TSANG YOK-SING, G.B.S., J.P.

THE HONOURABLE ALBERT HO CHUN-YAN

IR DR THE HONOURABLE RAYMOND HO CHUNG-TAI, S.B.S., S.B.ST.J.,
J.P.

THE HONOURABLE LEE CHEUK-YAN

DR THE HONOURABLE DAVID LI KWOK-PO, G.B.M., G.B.S., J.P.

THE HONOURABLE FRED LI WAH-MING, S.B.S., J.P.

DR THE HONOURABLE MARGARET NG

THE HONOURABLE JAMES TO KUN-SUN

THE HONOURABLE CHEUNG MAN-KWONG

THE HONOURABLE CHAN KAM-LAM, S.B.S., J.P.

THE HONOURABLE MRS SOPHIE LEUNG LAU YAU-FUN, G.B.S., J.P.

THE HONOURABLE LEUNG YIU-CHUNG

DR THE HONOURABLE PHILIP WONG YU-HONG, G.B.S.

THE HONOURABLE WONG YUNG-KAN, S.B.S., J.P.

THE HONOURABLE LAU KONG-WAH, J.P.

THE HONOURABLE LAU WONG-FAT, G.B.M., G.B.S., J.P.

THE HONOURABLE MIRIAM LAU KIN-YEE, G.B.S., J.P.

THE HONOURABLE EMILY LAU WAI-HING, J.P.

THE HONOURABLE ANDREW CHENG KAR-FOO

THE HONOURABLE TIMOTHY FOK TSUN-TING, G.B.S., J.P.

THE HONOURABLE TAM YIU-CHUNG, G.B.S., J.P.

THE HONOURABLE ABRAHAM SHEK LAI-HIM, S.B.S., J.P.

THE HONOURABLE LI FUNG-YING, S.B.S., J.P.

THE HONOURABLE TOMMY CHEUNG YU-YAN, S.B.S., J.P.

THE HONOURABLE FREDERICK FUNG KIN-KEE, S.B.S., J.P.

THE HONOURABLE AUDREY EU YUET-MEE, S.C., J.P.

THE HONOURABLE VINCENT FANG KANG, S.B.S., J.P.

THE HONOURABLE WONG KWOK-HING, M.H.

THE HONOURABLE LEE WING-TAT

DR THE HONOURABLE JOSEPH LEE KOK-LONG, S.B.S., J.P.

THE HONOURABLE JEFFREY LAM KIN-FUNG, G.B.S., J.P.

THE HONOURABLE ANDREW LEUNG KWAN-YUEN, G.B.S., J.P.

THE HONOURABLE CHEUNG HOK-MING, G.B.S., J.P.

THE HONOURABLE WONG TING-KWONG, B.B.S., J.P.

THE HONOURABLE RONNY TONG KA-WAH, S.C.

THE HONOURABLE CHIM PUI-CHUNG

PROF THE HONOURABLE PATRICK LAU SAU-SHING, S.B.S., J.P.

THE HONOURABLE KAM NAI-WAI, M.H.

THE HONOURABLE CYD HO SAU-LAN

THE HONOURABLE STARRY LEE WAI-KING, J.P.

DR THE HONOURABLE LAM TAI-FAI, B.B.S., J.P.

THE HONOURABLE CHAN HAK-KAN

THE HONOURABLE PAUL CHAN MO-PO, M.H., J.P.

THE HONOURABLE CHAN KIN-POR, J.P.

DR THE HONOURABLE PRISCILLA LEUNG MEI-FUN, J.P.

DR THE HONOURABLE LEUNG KA-LAU

THE HONOURABLE CHEUNG KWOK-CHE

THE HONOURABLE WONG SING-CHI

THE HONOURABLE WONG KWOK-KIN, B.B.S.

THE HONOURABLE IP WAI-MING, M.H.

THE HONOURABLE IP KWOK-HIM, G.B.S., J.P.

THE HONOURABLE MRS REGINA IP LAU SUK-YEE, G.B.S., J.P.

DR THE HONOURABLE PAN PEY-CHYOU

THE HONOURABLE PAUL TSE WAI-CHUN, J.P.

DR THE HONOURABLE SAMSON TAM WAI-HO, J.P.

THE HONOURABLE ALAN LEONG KAH-KIT, S.C.

THE HONOURABLE LEUNG KWOK-HUNG

THE HONOURABLE TANYA CHAN

THE HONOURABLE WONG YUK-MAN

MEMBER ABSENT:

THE HONOURABLE ALBERT CHAN WAI-YIP

PUBLIC OFFICERS ATTENDING:

THE HONOURABLE JOHN TSANG CHUN-WAH, G.B.M., J.P.
THE FINANCIAL SECRETARY

DR THE HONOURABLE YORK CHOW YAT-NGOK, G.B.S., J.P.
SECRETARY FOR FOOD AND HEALTH

THE HONOURABLE DENISE YUE CHUNG-YEE, G.B.S., J.P.
SECRETARY FOR THE CIVIL SERVICE

THE HONOURABLE GREGORY SO KAM-LEUNG, J.P.
SECRETARY FOR COMMERCE AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

THE HONOURABLE RAYMOND TAM CHI-YUEN, J.P.
SECRETARY FOR CONSTITUTIONAL AND MAINLAND AFFAIRS

MISS ADELINE WONG CHING-MAN, J.P.
UNDER SECRETARY FOR CONSTITUTIONAL AND MAINLAND
AFFAIRS

CLERKS IN ATTENDANCE:

MISS ODELIA LEUNG HING-YEE, ASSISTANT SECRETARY GENERAL

MRS JUSTINA LAM CHENG BO-LING, ASSISTANT SECRETARY
GENERAL

MRS PERCY MA, ASSISTANT SECRETARY GENERAL

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Clerk, please ring the bell to summon Members to the Chamber.

(After the summoning bell had been rung, a number of Members entered the Chamber)

TABLING OF PAPERS

The following papers were laid on the table under Rule 21(2) of the Rules of Procedure:

No. 95 — Kowloon-Canton Railway Corporation Annual Report 2011

Report No. 20/11-12 of the House Committee on Consideration of
Subsidiary Legislation and Other Instruments

Report of the Bills Committee on Competition Bill

ORAL ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Questions. First question.

Safety of Proprietary Chinese Medicines in Capsule Dose Form

1. **DR JOSEPH LEE** (in Cantonese): *President, it has recently been discovered in Hong Kong that the amount of chromium contained in the capsules of some proprietary Chinese medicines (pCms) in capsule dose form exceeds the recommended limit. The Department of Health (DH) has pointed out that ingestion of very large amount of chromium may be harmful to health, and may even cause death. Given that apart from pCms, some generic western drugs produced by local pharmaceutical manufacturers are also in capsule dose form, will the Government inform this Council:*

(a) *apart from the three pCm products the capsules of which contain amount of chromium exceeding the recommended limit, as*

announced by the DH, of the types, quantities and exceedances of other pharmaceutical products in capsule dose form which have so far been found by the authorities as containing excessive amount of chromium;

- (b) of the existing mechanism adopted by the authorities to test the safety of the capsules of pharmaceutical products; whether they will immediately conduct large-scale testing to ensure that pCms in capsule dose form available on the market comply with the specifications; if they will, of the details; if not, the reasons for that; whether they have assessed if the existing mechanism can effectively prevent problematic pharmaceutical products in capsule dose form, including western drugs and pCms, from being put on the market; and*
- (c) whether the authorities will, with a view to safeguarding public health, initiate as soon as possible the full implementation of the Good Manufacturing Practice (GMP) in respect of pCm, or before the full implementation of that, make reference to the practices of other countries to improve the existing pCm testing mechanism, so as to prevent the occurrence of similar incidents; if they will, of the details; if not, the reasons for that?*

SECRETARY FOR FOOD AND HEALTH (in Cantonese): President, the DH has all along maintained a mechanism whereby products are collected from the market on a regular basis for testing according to risk assessment, in order to monitor the quality and safety of western drugs and pCms in Hong Kong. Regarding pCms, the level of heavy metals, pesticide residues, microbial limit and western drugs adulteration, and so on, are tested. The DH collects over 4 000 western drugs and pCm samples for testing each year. If any non-compliance is found, the DH will make prompt announcement and follow up on the case in accordance with the law.

Upon media reports of capsules containing chromium at a level exceeding the recommended limit in the Mainland, the DH has immediately taken a series of measures to ensure that the safety and quality of local registered pCms in capsule dose form are up to standard with a view to safeguarding public health.

Firstly, the DH has immediately issued letters to request all local pCm manufacturers and wholesalers to examine their pCm products and ascertain the source of empty medicinal capsules they use. If they use empty capsules supplied from the Mainland, pCm traders are required to submit laboratory test reports on the amount of chromium to prove that the product in question meets the standard limit for chromium prescribed in the Pharmacopoeia of the People's Republic of China (2010 version). Compared with the relevant limits adopted in other countries, the aforesaid Mainland standard limit is more stringent. If pCm traders are unable to make declaration to the DH on the source of capsules used for their pCm products by end of May, they will have to submit laboratory test reports furnished by the manufacturers of their empty capsules, pCm manufacturers or authorized laboratories to prove that the empty medicinal capsules they use meet the relevant standard limit whenever they apply for import and export licences for their pCm products.

As at 28 May 2012, around 920 pCm traders already declared to the DH on the source of capsules they used for their pCm products. Of the 920 pCm traders, 220 declared Mainland as place of manufacture of their pCm capsules. Among them, around 200 have submitted supporting laboratory test reports. One laboratory testing shows that the amount of chromium therein exceeds the required limit. There are two other cases whereby the traders could not provide the DH with valid laboratory testing reports. The DH then collected relevant samples for testing and found the amount of chromium in the two pCm products to exceed the standard limit. The DH has made prompt announcement and instructed product recalls.

Secondly, the DH has since 1 May required all pCm traders to obtain laboratory test reports for chromium from capsule suppliers if they import pharmaceutical products in capsule dose form from the Mainland. These reports should be properly kept and, where necessary, submitted to the DH.

Thirdly, market surveillance for pCm capsules has also been stepped up. The DH has so far collected over 40 pCm capsule samples for testing by the Government Laboratory. The test results so far show that with the exception of the three cases announced by the DH earlier, the chromium content in the remaining 30-odd samples are within the limit. The DH will follow up on the remaining test results and collect further samples for testing. In general, if one consumes the pCms according to their respective dosage instructions, the level of

chromium intake will unlikely cause acute harmful effects by taking reference to the recommendation made by the World Health Organization.

As for western drugs, at present, manufactures of both imported and locally-manufactured western medicines must ensure that all capsules used for the manufacture of medicines meet the relevant quality standard. The DH has liaised with all local western medicines manufacturers and importers of products in capsule dose form from the Mainland and requested them to provide proof of the quality of capsules of their products. Local western medicines manufacturers and importers of products in capsule dose form from the Mainland have all submitted laboratory test reports as proof that their capsules are in compliance with the requirements stated in the Pharmacopoeia. The DH has collected 30 capsule samples from importers and manufacturers, all samples are found in compliance with the requirements stated in the Pharmacopoeia.

The DH will continue to maintain close liaison with the Mainland regulatory bodies to obtain more production information about the raw material of capsules used by Mainland manufacturers of medicines registered in Hong Kong.

Regarding the implementation of GMP in respect of pCm, the Chief Executive announced in his 2010-2011 Policy Address that a timetable should be worked out for mandatory compliance with the GMP for the manufacture of pCms. In this connection, wide consultation is now being conducted by the DH to gather the views of the Chinese medicine trade. The DH will consider the views from the Chinese medicine trade and the actual circumstances, taking into account the interest of the public in formulating the implementation timetable.

DR JOSEPH LEE (in Cantonese): *President, the Secretary has not answered part (b) of my main question. In his main reply, the Secretary has just mentioned that the DH has required all pCm traders to properly keep laboratory test reports on the amount of chromium. I would like to ask the Secretary why we cannot mandatorily require pCm traders to submit a report in the first instance as a preventive measure. It may be more troublesome for the DH to recall the products when problems are found.*

SECRETARY FOR FOOD AND HEALTH (in Cantonese): President, as far as we understand, most capsules are safe. Hence, we think it is most important for

the trade to be responsible for the quality control of the imported products. Therefore, they are required to obtain the relevant information from capsule manufacturers. We will ensure that all products meet safety standards before they are put up for sale.

We will also continue to conduct spot checks on the related products in the market, and we will take actions when situations of non-compliance are identified.

DR LAM TAI-FAI (in Cantonese): *President, I just returned from Beijing. I know that the State Food and Drug Administration (SFDA) had completed, in late May, the inspection of pharmaceutical products in capsule dose form which contained excessive amount of chromium, and the inspection results had been announced. We can learn from the website of the SFDA the number of batches of pharmaceutical products that had been inspected, the products that did not meet the requirement, had been destroyed and removed from the shelves, as well as the number of pharmaceutical manufacturers whose licences had been revoked.*

What actions have the Government taken? The authorities require pCm traders and importers to carry out inspection, provide statements and laboratory reports and so on. We all know that this will create additional costs for small and medium enterprises (SMEs) who may not have sufficient manpower to handle the work.

Now that the Mainland Government has a comprehensive inspection system and explicit information, can the Secretary enhance co-operation with the Mainland Government and obtain the relevant information from the Mainland departments, so that SMEs will not have to provide statements and laboratory reports? If this is not feasible, can the Government subsidize SMEs in meeting such expenses?

SECRETARY FOR FOOD AND HEALTH (in Cantonese): *President, first, the costs of these capsules do not account for a large portion of the production costs of medicines; second, as the capsules are manufactured on the Mainland, there must be a monitoring system to ensure that the capsules are in compliance with the requirements stated in the Pharmacopoeia. Thus, the Mainland Government requires all capsule manufacturers to comply with the relevant requirements.*

However, we should understand that pCm traders in Hong Kong are free to choose which capsule manufacturers they will patronize; hence, pCm traders must obtain the relevant information of their own accord. Anyway, all capsule manufacturers have already conducted the relevant testing. We think this requirement will not incur heavy additional costs for Hong Kong importers, and it will not considerably increase their workload. Yet, they must bear the commercial liability to ensure that the medicines they purchased come from safe manufacturers. They should especially ensure that the capsules used by these manufacturers are purchased from qualified capsule suppliers.

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Dr LAM, which part of your supplementary question has not been answered?

DR LAM TAI-FAI (in Cantonese): *President, the Secretary has not answered whether the Government would enhance co-operation with the SFDA.*

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Secretary, do you have anything to add?

SECRETARY FOR FOOD AND HEALTH (in Cantonese): President, we have had communications with the authorities concerned on the Mainland. We also received a document from the SFDA on 25 May, setting out the details of the actions taken by the SFDA.

MR FRED LI (in Cantonese): *President, this question today is about empty capsules used by pCm traders that are supplied from the Mainland. As many Hong Kong people take health food products, such as multivitamin pills and cod liver oil capsules, on a daily basis, and many of these products have used empty capsules, can the Secretary inform this Council, will measures also be taken on health food products with regard to empty capsules, such as conducting sample testing on these products and whether the provision of testing reports are also required?*

SECRETARY FOR FOOD AND HEALTH (in Cantonese): President, since health food products are not drugs, they are not regulated under the existing Pharmacy and Poisons Ordinance. Nevertheless, health food products are regulated under the Public Health and Municipal Services Ordinance (Cap. 132). Enforcement actions can be taken if some health food products are unfit for consumption.

After this capsule incident, we have collected some samples of health food products in capsule dose form manufactured on the Mainland for testing. We already have the test results on 12 samples, which are all satisfactory.

MR FRED LI (in Cantonese): *Excuse me, President*

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Mr LI, which part of your supplementary question has not been answered?

MR FRED LI (in Cantonese): *The Secretary has not answered my question. While pCm traders are required to provide capsule testing proofs, have the authorities adopted the same measure on health food products and have it only conducted spot checks? The Secretary has not told us if the same measures will be taken on health food products.*

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Secretary, do you have anything to add?

SECRETARY FOR FOOD AND HEALTH (in Cantonese): President, I have just mentioned that the so-called health food products are not regulated under the Pharmacy and Poisons Ordinance.

DR PAN PEY-CHYOU (in Cantonese): *President, the industries in China has been increasingly well-developed and their products are found around the world. As these finished products are produced in many countries, there is no way to*

prevent products from containing toxic substances, and these products also have more than one origin.

I find that there is something wrong with the Secretary's answer. It seems that the Government will just take actions to remedy the situation. It will only conduct investigations and trace certain products after incidents have occurred

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Please state your supplementary question.

DR PAN PEY-CHYOU (in Cantonese): *I would like to ask if the Government will change its mindset, and actively conduct spot checks on oral pharmaceutical products in the market which are commonly used, including food and medicines, so as to find out if the products sold in local market contain common harmful substances such as heavy metals.*

SECRETARY FOR FOOD AND HEALTH (in Cantonese): President, the DH or the Centre for Food Safety of the Food and Environmental Hygiene Department carries out constant tests on food and medicines in the market. Taking pCms as example, at present, there are as many as 17 000 types of products in the market applying for registration. If the Government is to conduct tests for the trades, I believe this will impose a heavy burden on the Government, and it is not the Government's responsibility to undertake this task. Anyone selling products should comply with commercial rules and ethics, and he should ensure product safety. For this reason, we ask the trades to exercise self-regulation, and the same approach is also adopted under the laws of foreign countries.

The per capita number of medicines and food samples tested in Hong Kong is fairly large, and we have a rather high safety rate in the world. We will certainly continue to make efforts and we will also assist the trades in complying with professional and international practices, especially in relation to regulation.

We have all along implemented the GMP with regard to medicines. For western drugs, the GMP has been in full implementation. As regards Chinese medicines, since the pCm trade in Hong Kong needs further improvements in

some areas, we are now considering the most appropriate time for the full implementation of the GMP in respect of pCms. I have already covered these matters in my main reply.

DR JOSEPH LEE (in Cantonese): *President, in part (a) of his main reply, the Secretary stated that 920 pCm traders already declared the source of the capsules they used. Does the Secretary know how many pCm traders there are in Hong Kong, and the proportion of 920 pCm traders in the total number of pCm traders? How many pCm traders have not yet declared the source of capsules they used?*

SECRETARY FOR FOOD AND HEALTH (in Cantonese): *President, I do not have any information in hand and I will provide Members with the correct figures later. (Appendix I)*

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): *Second question.*

Eliminating Discrimination on Ground of Sexual Orientation

2. **MS CYD HO** (in Cantonese): *Last year, the United Nations Human Rights Council (UNHRC) passed a resolution, expressing grave concern at acts of violence and discrimination, in all regions of the world, committed against individuals because of their sexual orientation and gender identity. Since 1995, Hong Kong has enacted several anti-discrimination ordinances and set up the Equal Opportunities Commission. However, these ordinances only deal with discrimination against sex, family status, disabilities and races, and Hong Kong has not enacted any legislation with regard to discrimination against sexual orientation, resulting in people of different sexual orientations not being able to build families under statutory procedures and not being entitled to treatment on par with that enjoyed by legally married couples in respect of various aspects such as public housing application, tax allowance for spouses, healthcare insurance, application for entry of family members for family reunion, criminal liabilities and estates handling, and so on, and this situation has persisted for quite a number of years. The authorities' efforts in eliminating discrimination against different sexual orientations are limited to including same-sex*

cohabitation relationship in the Domestic and Cohabitation Relationships Violence Ordinance, publishing the Code of Practice against Discrimination in Employment on the Ground of Sexual Orientation (the Code of Practice), setting up a working group for case follow-up, and subsidizing activities with a small amount of funding. In this connection, will the Government inform this Council:

- (a) of the effectiveness in eliminating discrimination achieved by the Government's efforts to eliminate discrimination against different sexual orientations since 1995;*
- (b) why the authorities did not draw up a concrete timetable for eliminating discrimination against different sexual orientations in the aforesaid policy areas of housing, taxation, healthcare, immigration matters, criminal liabilities and marriage of same sex couples, and so on, and whether any assessment has been conducted to ascertain if the authorities have thus contravened Article 26 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR) that "all persons are equal before the law and are entitled without any discrimination to the equal protection of the law"; and*
- (c) given the efforts of civil society to promote the acceptance of different sexual orientations in society through popular culture since 1995, whether the authorities have conducted any opinion poll on a regular basis to track the changes in people's attitudes as well as explain and highlight to community groups which are against equal opportunities for people of different sexual orientations the obligation and responsibility for Hong Kong to implement the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and observe the Hong Kong Bill of Rights Ordinance?*

SECRETARY FOR CONSTITUTIONAL AND MAINLAND AFFAIRS (in Cantonese): President, the Government is committed to eliminating discrimination in the community, and promotes the message of equal opportunities through various means, including legislation, issue of code of practice, promotion and publicity activities, and education.

On parts (a) and (c) of the question, the Government launched the Equal Opportunities (Sexual Orientation) Funding Scheme in 1998. An important objective of the Scheme is to provide funding support to worthwhile community projects thereby promoting equal opportunities for people of different sexual orientations or transgendered persons. Over the years, we sponsored a total of 137 projects and programmes, including seminars, workshops, drama and music performances, exhibitions, production of information leaflets and design of websites targeting at teachers, students, social workers, families of people of different sexual orientations and transgendered persons as well as the general public. In addition, we made use of different publicity means such as posters, radio broadcast, various open competitions, roving exhibitions and seminars to bring out the message of equal opportunities for people of different sexual orientations and transgendered persons. That everyone should enjoy equal rights and liberty is a fundamental notion behind the Universal Declaration of Human Rights and the Hong Kong Bill of Rights Ordinance.

We note that in recent years, surveys on sexual orientation and gender identity have been conducted by non-governmental organizations (NGOs). There appears to be increasing open-mindedness towards the subject of homosexuality. This is no longer a taboo or an "unspeakable" subject. In particular, the younger generation tends to have a higher level of acceptance of people of different sexual orientations and transgendered persons. Moreover, there is a declining trend of discrimination against homosexuals in the workplace. All these indicate that education and publicity efforts of the Government and NGOs bear fruit.

On part (b) of the question, public views are still divided on the question as to whether legislation should be enacted to prohibit discrimination on the ground of sexual orientation. Some members of the community urge the Government to legislate against discrimination in this area as soon as possible, while some others raise objection having regard to various considerations like religious beliefs and family values. As the Government pointed out in its report submitted in September 2011 to the UNHRC in the light of the ICCPR, at this stage, self-regulation and education, rather than legislation, are the most appropriate and pragmatic means of addressing discrimination in this area. The Government will however continue to monitor public opinion closely.

In the area of employment, the Government issued the Code of Practice in 1998 to facilitate self-regulation on the part of employers and employees in eliminating discriminatory practices in employment and to promote equal employment opportunities among all persons, irrespective of their sexual orientation. The Government has already committed itself to following the practices set out in the Code of Practice.

The laws of Hong Kong and policies in a number of different areas are premised on the system of monogamous marriage between a man and a woman. At present, same sex marriage is not recognized in Hong Kong. Therefore, in certain policy areas, the treatment of same sex partners is not the same as married persons. The treatment of unmarried partners of opposite sexes is also not the same as married persons. This cannot be interpreted simply as discrimination against people of different sexual orientation. As to whether same sex marriage should be recognized, this involves fundamental values and complicated matters and it is unlikely that the community could come to a consensus on this in the near future.

Since the reunification, the UNHRC has twice examined the reports submitted by the HKSAR Government in the light of the ICCPR. The UNHRC has never mentioned that the HKSAR Government has violated Article 26 of the ICCPR. In fact, the UNHRC noted with appreciation the initiatives undertaken by the HKSAR Government to promote non-discrimination on the grounds of sexual orientation.

In formulating policies, the Government seeks to balance the views of different parties. When the society is still severely divided on the issue of homosexuality, tenaciously pushing forth legislative proposals in this area will only lead to arguments, divisions and conflicts in the society. This may not be in the best interest of people of different sexual orientations and transgendered persons. Before the time is ripe to take the legislative route, we believe that efforts should continue to be made to promote the concept of equal opportunities through public education and publicity with a view to fostering in the community a culture of mutual understanding and tolerance.

MS CYD HO (in Cantonese): *President, in fact, I have highlighted the Secretary's answer in my main question, which fully reflects how little the*

authorities have done. Over the past 15 years, people have become more open-minded towards this subject, attributable to the work of the civil society but not that of the Government.

In the last paragraph of the main reply, the Secretary pointed out that tenaciously pushing forth legislative proposals in this area will only lead to arguments in society, and may not be in the best interest of transgendered persons. This is nothing but an excuse. Has the Secretary consulted any homosexual groups and sought their consent before drawing the conclusion that the enactment of legislation is not in their best interest? On the contrary, the homosexual groups consider the enactment of legislation in their best interest. In the main question, I have also asked if the authorities have conducted any opinion poll to track the changes in the attitudes of community groups which are against equal opportunities. Why did the Secretary not conduct such an opinion poll or answer the question concerned?

SECRETARY FOR CONSTITUTIONAL AND MAINLAND AFFAIRS (in Cantonese): As I have pointed out in the main reply, different surveys have been conducted over the past period of time, and the findings have reflected the community's views towards the subject. Apart from these surveys, other channels, including the organization of forums, have also been used to exchange views and communicate with people of different sexual orientations. I believe efforts will continue to be made in this regard.

Also, I have stated in the main reply that the Administration will continue to keep a close tab on people's acceptability of the subject and their latest views. At a certain stage when we consider that the society is ready, we will definitely consider the next step forward.

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Has your supplementary question not been answered?

MS CYD HO (in Cantonese): *President, the Secretary has not answered if any opinion poll has been conducted. In his earlier reply, he said that "apart from these surveys" and it seems to me that opinion polls have been conducted. My*

question is: Has he conducted any opinion poll? If not, why is he so irresponsible and has not conducted any opinion poll at all?

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Secretary, do you have anything to add?

SECRETARY FOR CONSTITUTIONAL AND MAINLAND AFFAIRS (in Cantonese): According to the information in hand, a few years ago when the relevant work still fell under the purview of the Home Affairs Bureau, the Government had conducted some surveys. The main objective of these surveys was to gauge public views on the enactment of legislation against discrimination on the ground of sexual orientation, and the relevant findings have served as references over the years.

MISS TANYA CHAN (in Cantonese): *What the Secretary has just said seemed to be pretty unilateral and passive. I believe the Government is obliged to know more about this aspect and do more, so as to eliminate discrimination. The last part of the main reply mentioned education — which is not conspicuous — saying that "efforts should continue to be made to promote the concept of equal opportunities through public education and publicity with a view to fostering in the community a culture of mutual understanding and tolerance" before the enactment of legislation will be considered. May I ask if the Secretary has formulated any plan or timetable for this cause? Can he provide more specific answers to show that efforts have been or will be made in public education and publicity to enhance people's understanding of the concept of equal opportunities, especially in homosexuality, with a view to promoting equality?*

SECRETARY FOR CONSTITUTIONAL AND MAINLAND AFFAIRS (in Cantonese): A relevant unit has been set up within the Government to do the job. During the past five years, that is, from 2007-2008 to 2011-2012, the Government has earmarked about \$7.8 million to launch publicity and education activities to eliminate discrimination on the ground of sexual orientation, thereby promoting equal opportunities for people of different sexual orientations and transgendered persons. Of this \$7.8 million, \$3.12 million was injected into the Equal Opportunities (Sexual Orientation) Funding Scheme and \$4.68 million was spent on public education and publicity. Over the past two years, there have been

steady increases in the relevant provision year on year. While the increase of last year, for example, was 8%, it is 3.5% for this year. We will certainly increase the relevant provision and resources when the situation warrants, with a view to implementing the relevant schemes and programmes more effectively.

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Has your supplementary question not been answered?

MISS TANYA CHAN (in Cantonese): *President, he has not informed us what concrete actions will be taken by the authorities. Compared with the provision for civic education, the annual provision in this regard is just a few million dollars, which is utterly insignificant. May I ask the Secretary if he can give us more concrete answers?*

SECRETARY FOR CONSTITUTIONAL AND MAINLAND AFFAIRS (in Cantonese): For publicity and education, as I have said, we have established a funding scheme, under which all relevant bodies are welcomed to apply to launch education and publicity activities to eliminate discrimination. As I have said earlier, we will continue to promote the elimination of discrimination on the ground of sexual orientation among the target groups (such as teachers or students), as we had done so in the past. With regard to resources, I have already pointed out that we will increase the resources correspondingly.

MRS REGINA IP (in Cantonese): *President, the Secretary said that we need not enact legislation to step up education at this stage. Yet, is he aware of a case which happened last year, where some homosexual groups staged a protest at the Social Welfare Department (SWD)? The protest was triggered by the NGOs of the SWD, which had invited a psychologist and clergyman, Dr HONG, to counsel or treat people of homosexuality, claiming that they are "sick". Such mentality is completely wrong. In the aftermath of the case, has the Government taken any concrete measures to rectify such a mentality, and prevent, in particular, young people who are in puberty and are aware of their different sexual orientation from being seen as "sick" or even being abused at schools?*

SECRETARY FOR CONSTITUTIONAL AND MAINLAND AFFAIRS (in Cantonese): Concerning the case mentioned by Mrs Regina IP, let me brief Members with the information in hand. The authorities received a complaint on 17 August 2011 about the SWD's invitation of the Chairman of the New Creation Association Dr HONG Kwai-wah to be its guest speaker on 17 June 2011. Noting that the speaker supported a conversion therapy to change one's sexual orientation, the complainant considered Dr HONG not an appropriate speaker. Upon receipt of the complaint, the working group had followed the established practice and requested the SWD to respond. In response, the SWD denied that it had organized any training courses on the conversion therapy or promoted such a therapy. Nor had the speaker taught the audiences about the conversion therapy or any other therapies during the seminar. He merely said that different therapies and approaches may or may not work. The working group then relayed its reply to the complainant, who had not requested any follow-up action from the working group upon receipt of the reply. This is all the information I have in hand about the case mentioned by Mrs Regina IP earlier.

MRS REGINA IP (in Cantonese): *President*

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Has your supplementary question not been answered?

MRS REGINA IP (in Cantonese): *President, the Secretary has merely read out the information about how the case was handled. However, in my supplementary question, I asked if the authorities have, in the light of this case, stepped up the education of young people through such platforms as schools. I did receive complaints about young people, who are in puberty, seen by their schools as "sick" because of their different sexual orientation. They were asked to receive counselling and treated as if they are "sick". In this connection, has the Secretary discussed with the Education Bureau how this situation can be dealt with?*

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Secretary, with regard to education at schools, do you have anything to add?

SECRETARY FOR CONSTITUTIONAL AND MAINLAND AFFAIRS (in Cantonese): As I have mentioned in the main reply, we will organize some programmes or education activities, and visit schools to promote the elimination of discrimination among students and teachers. We would be happy to further liaise with colleagues of the Education Bureau to see what more can be done in response to society's needs.

MR LEE CHEUK-YAN (in Cantonese): *The Government is actually taking the lead to discriminate against them. Since 1995, we have enacted various anti-discrimination ordinances to deal with discrimination against sex, family status, disabilities and races. And yet, the Government has all along refused to enact legislation on sexual orientation. Has the Government not taken the lead to discriminate against people of different sexual orientations? Why has it refused to enact such legislation? The Government has certainly refused for fear of causing divergence in the community. However, there are always divergences in the community. If the Government insists that such legislation will only be enacted when divergences in the community are resolved, then this legislation will never be enacted. It is precisely because of the existence of discrimination that necessitates the enactment of legislation.*

President, my supplementary question is: How long the Government will have to wait before it is willing to enact legislation with regard to discrimination against sexual orientation? How come we cannot treat all victims of discrimination fairly to ensure that everyone is protected by the law? Without the enactment of legislation, we cannot have genuine education. Therefore, President, I want to ask the Secretary if he has formulated any timetable. If according to his timetable, legislation will only be enacted when the divergent views have been reconciled, then I would say that the Government is downright irresponsible as it refused to take the initiative to provide equal opportunities for all.

SECRETARY FOR CONSTITUTIONAL AND MAINLAND AFFAIRS (in Cantonese): As I have explained in the main reply, the current-term Government considers it more appropriate to launch education, publicity and promotional programmes in view of the divergent views of the community. Nonetheless, we have also made use of various channels to gauge the changes of public views on the issue, so as to see if there is a need to enact legislation to prohibit

discrimination on the ground of sexual orientation. We will closely monitor the situation. However, as we failed to see that the time is ripe for legislation, we have therefore not formulated any timetable. Yet, we will continue to receive views from people from all walks of life.

MS EMILY LAU (in Cantonese): *President, in the main reply, the Secretary said that the Government issued the Code of Practice in 1998 to promote self-regulation and has committed to complying with the Code of Practice. However, in the main reply, he also highlighted that "there is a declining trend of discrimination against homosexuals in the workplace". In other words, President, such discrimination does exist. I wonder from which level this declining trend starts. Do the authorities notice that the Code of Practice issued in 1998 has not been effective and failed to prevent the people concerned from being discriminated in the area of employment? Also, how serious is the discrimination? What further measure will the authorities take?*

SECRETARY FOR CONSTITUTIONAL AND MAINLAND AFFAIRS (in Cantonese): Since the issuance of the Code of Practice, promotional efforts have been made in various respects. For example, the Human Resources Managers' Clubs of the Labour Department (LD) have briefed the human resources managers of various industries on the details of the Code of Practice, and conducted briefings for human resources management personnel in seminars organized by the Hong Kong Institute of Human Resource Management (HKIHRM). Within the Government, seminars have been organized jointly with the Civil Service Training and Development Institute on a yearly basis to brief government officials on the Code of Practice. What is more, over the past three years, for example, the abovementioned 18 Human Resources Managers' Clubs of the LD, together with the HKIHRM, have also introduced the Code of Practice to different private organizations

MS EMILY LAU (in Cantonese): *President, I hope that the Secretary will waste no more time. I asked him how serious the present situation is. He said that there is a declining trend of discrimination, but from which level does the declining trend start? What measure will the authorities take to eliminate discrimination?*

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Ms LAU, apart from asking the Secretary to point out from which level the declining trend starts, you have also asked about the measure to be taken to eliminate discrimination and the Secretary is actually responding to your question on the measure. Secretary, regarding Ms LAU's question on the declining trend of discrimination against homosexuals in the workplace, can you further elaborate on this?

SECRETARY FOR CONSTITUTIONAL AND MAINLAND AFFAIRS (in Cantonese): Okay. Apart from the public opinion polls previously conducted by the Government in the area of employment, for example, some academics have published a research report entitled "Employment Discrimination Based on Sexual Orientation: A Hong Kong Study" in May 2010. They found that among the working homosexuals who have completed the questionnaires, 29.3% have indicated experiencing sexual orientation-based employment discrimination within the last five years. Community Business Limited had also commissioned the Public Opinion Programme at the University of Hong Kong to conduct a research study entitled "Lesbian, Gay, Bisexual and Transgender (LGBT) Climate Survey" between November 2011 and January 2012. The findings showed that 13% of the homosexual employees indicated that they faced negative treatment because of their sexual orientation or gender identity.

According to these statistics and the findings obtained from other surveys, we can preliminarily judge that there is a declining trend of discrimination. Yet, we will certainly keep a close watch on the situation. In case there is a need to promote the Code of Practice, we will certainly work with our colleagues in the Government, like stepping up our efforts via the work of the LD, as I have mentioned earlier.

MS CYD HO (in Cantonese): *Procrastination is tantamount to negation. Just now, the Secretary said that he has to wait until the time is ripe. May I ask the Secretary what is meant by "the time is ripe"? Is there an objective indicator? If we have to wait until the majority of people agree with the enactment, then what is the required percentage of agreement for the enactment of legislation? Furthermore, does this percentage include the personal orientation and negation of individual officer having religious background?*

SECRETARY FOR CONSTITUTIONAL AND MAINLAND AFFAIRS (in Cantonese): The community is diverse on this issue and previous findings obtained from various channels, including public opinion polls, have reflected the presence of conflicts. If my memory does not fail me, about two to three years ago, the amendment of the Domestic Violence Ordinance had attracted widespread discussions in this regard. Perhaps the Legislative Council may have a different view, but this does not mean that the Government can save its efforts in eliminating discrimination.

As I just said, we will step up our efforts in launching educational and promotional programmes, promoting the Code of Practice and increasing resources correspondingly. Also, we will closely monitor the changes in the social acceptability in this regard. As I have pointed out in the main reply, the younger generation, in particular, tends to be more open-minded and has a higher level of acceptance of this issue. I believe if this trend continues, once the time is ripe, the Government will act responsively to the prevailing situation and move on to the next step.

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Has your supplementary question not been answered?

MS CYD HO (in Cantonese): *I asked the Secretary what objective indicator has been adopted to determine when the time is ripe, such as the percentage of "no objection" to be obtained before the Secretary considers that the time is ripe?*

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Secretary, do you have anything to add?

SECRETARY FOR CONSTITUTIONAL AND MAINLAND AFFAIRS (in Cantonese): President, I have nothing to add. Even in this case, we cannot decide simply on the basis of the findings of one or two opinion polls. For more complicated issues, there should be adequate discussions within the community via various forums with a rational frame of mind. I believe our efforts in this regard will continue.

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): This Council has spent more than 23 minutes on this question. Third question.

Measures to Assist Micro-enterprises and SMEs

3. **DR LAM TAI-FAI** (in Cantonese): *President, at present, under the definition adopted by the SAR Government, a small and medium-sized enterprise (SME) is any manufacturing business which employs fewer than 100 persons in Hong Kong, or any non-manufacturing business which employs fewer than 50 persons in Hong Kong. With the development of the economy, quite a number of businesses in Hong Kong at present actually employ fewer than 10 persons, with some of them even employ one staff member only, and such companies are micro-enterprises. As these micro-enterprises will face declining business and increasing costs in times of economic downturn, they will bear the brunt of adversity and can hardly carry on their business. In this connection, will the Government inform this Council:*

- (a) *of the criteria to which the Government has made reference in adopting the current definition of SMEs, and when it began using that definition; whether it will, in response to the economic conditions at present, review that definition and formulate afresh a definition for SMEs that suits the actual market conditions (including formulating a definition for micro-enterprises); if it will, of the details; if not, the reasons for that;*
- (b) *whether it will compile statistics on the number of micro-enterprises in Hong Kong at present and seek to understand their modes of operation, so as to formulate a policy to provide micro-enterprises with more specific policy support (including assisting micro-enterprises in financing and market exploration); if it will, of the details; if not, the reasons for that; and*
- (c) *whether it will consider introducing profits tax rates of different levels for micro-enterprises, SMEs and large enterprises (including setting a lower tax rate and offering more tax concessions for micro-enterprises); if it will, of the details; if not, the reasons for that?*

SECRETARY FOR COMMERCE AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT (in Cantonese): President, my consolidated reply to the question asked by Dr LAM Tai-fai is:

(a) and (b)

At present, SMEs refer to any manufacturing enterprises which employ fewer than 100 persons, or any non-manufacturing enterprises which employ fewer than 50 persons. We have adopted this definition since 1994. Defining SMEs by the number of employees is a practice adopted by many economies and effectively reflects the situation in Hong Kong. According to the above definition, there were about 300 000 SMEs in Hong Kong in 1994, accounting for more than 98% of local enterprises, and around 89% of the SMEs were enterprises with less than 10 employees (the so-called "micro-enterprises"). By end of 2011, the situation was similar: the number of SMEs remained at about 300 000, which accounted for more than 98% of the enterprises in Hong Kong, and around 90% of the SMEs were enterprises with less than 10 employees. In other words, all along, most of the enterprises in Hong Kong have been employing less than 10 employees.

I wish to emphasize what is the most important is that the Government would carefully consider the target beneficiaries when devising support measures for our enterprises to ensure the adequacy and effectiveness of the assistance provided, and would not be bound by the existing definition of "SMEs". For example, the target beneficiaries of the SME Financing Guarantee Scheme administered by the Hong Kong Mortgage Corporation Limited are not limited to those enterprises falling under the "SMEs" category. All enterprises which are registered under the Business Registration Ordinance, have business operations in Hong Kong and have been in business for at least one year on the date of guarantee application (except for listed companies, lending institutions and affiliates of lenders) are eligible to apply. In addition, for the Small Entrepreneur Research Assistance Programme under the Innovation and Technology Fund, an enterprise incorporated under the Companies Ordinance which is

not a "large company"⁽¹⁾ and has less than 100 employees in Hong Kong are eligible to apply.

Regarding the need to formulate a policy to provide more specific support measures to "micro-enterprises", as I have mentioned above, it has been the case that most of the enterprises in Hong Kong employ less than 10 employees. The beneficiaries of our support measures for SMEs have therefore all along been mainly these "micro-enterprises". For example, the SME Export Marketing Fund (EMF) administered by the Trade and Industry Department (TID) supports SMEs to participate in export promotion activities. The cumulative funding support for each SME is \$150,000. The funding ceiling for "micro-enterprises" will not be lowered because of their smaller scale of operations. In fact, with the same amount of funding, the effectiveness of funding support provided to smaller-sized enterprises is relatively more significant. As at end April 2012, over 143 000 applications have been approved under the EMF, involving a total grant of around \$2.13 billion. About 79% of the approved applications come from enterprises with less than 10 employees. In addition, the TID's SME Loan Guarantee Scheme (SGS) provides up to 50% loan guarantee for approved loans taken out by SMEs and the maximum amount of loan guarantee for each SME is \$6 million. As at end April 2012, over 25 300 applications have been approved under SGS, involving a total loan amount of around \$38.2 billion. About 70% of the approved applications come from enterprises with less than 10 employees. As shown in the above figures, the existing support measures for SMEs already cater for the needs of the smaller-sized enterprises, and provide them with appropriate assistance.

In our view, the current definition of "SMEs" effectively reflects the actual situation, and our support measures for SMEs are able to assist SMEs including the smaller-sized enterprises. In addition, a

- (1) A "large company" generally means a company that meets one of the following criteria:
- i. A publicly listed company;
 - ii. A positive cashflow generated from operating activities in the ordinary and usual course of business of at least HK\$20 million in aggregate for the two most recent financial years; or
 - iii. Has a market cap (or company asset) of at least HK\$100 million.

standing definition of "SMEs" could facilitate the monitoring of changes in the relevant situation. Hence, we do not consider it necessary to revise the definition of "SMEs" at this stage, including devising a definition of "micro-enterprises". Nevertheless, we will, as in the past, remain vigilant on the changes in the market and review the various support measures for SMEs from time to time so as to meet their needs.

- (c) The prevailing single profits tax rate has already reflected the fairness principle of "earning more, paying more; earning less, paying less". The profits tax rate of Hong Kong is also among the lowest in the world. In the year of assessment 2010-2011, nearly 90% of corporations (including SMEs) did not pay any tax. Only about 90 000 enterprises paid profits tax, of which the top 1 400 enterprises already contributed 69% of corporate profits tax received. Introducing progressive profits tax rates would not only fail to benefit micro-enterprises and SMEs which do not have to pay tax, but would also further restrict the source of profits tax revenue to a handful of enterprises, making our tax revenue unstable.

Currently, all operating expenses and capital expenditure permitted by the law are deductible from chargeable profits. Providing additional tax concessions to SMEs only would violate the long-standing principle of neutrality in the tax regime and create loopholes for tax avoidance.

Besides, in the 2012-2013 Budget, there was a series of measures proposed to help our enterprises reduce their operating costs, thereby providing support for enterprises in the face of the worsening external economic environment and enhancing their competitiveness.

DR LAM TAI-FAI (in Cantonese): *President, regarding the statement made by the Government in its reply that the current definition of "SMEs" has already reflected the situation in Hong Kong effectively, that is sophistry to the extreme. I am gravely disappointed that the Government does not understand the actual situation at present.*

President, according to the Law of the People's Republic of China on Promotion of Small and Medium-sized Enterprises, SMEs are divided into three types, namely small-enterprises, medium-enterprises and micro-enterprises, with specific criteria formulated according to the number of enterprise employees, sales revenue, total assets and other indicators, as well as taking into account industry characteristics. As Members can see, the definition adopted in the Mainland is very detailed, concise and specific, which is conducive to the formulation of targeted and time-sensitive measures to support the development of SMEs and micro-enterprises. However, given the across-the-board definition adopted by the HKSAR Government, how can targeted policies be formulated to support the development of SMEs and micro-enterprises?

President, with the economic boom and rapid development in our Motherland, we must go in line with the Mainland Government. I would like to ask whether the Secretary considers that the definition of "SMEs" and "micro-enterprises" adopted by the Mainland Government is superfluous? If not, can he change this conservative mentality and seriously consider amending afresh the definition of "SMEs" and "micro-enterprises" in Hong Kong, so that targeted and time-sensitive policies can be implemented to support the development of SMEs and micro-enterprises?

SECRETARY FOR COMMERCE AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT (in Cantonese): President, thanks to Dr LAM Tai-fai for his supplementary question. In fact, as I have stated clearly just now in the main reply, we would adopt targeted policies to provide support for the enterprises in need, and the Government would not be bound by the relevant definition when providing targeted assistance to our enterprises. Of course, we would deal with each and every case with flexibility. Nonetheless, the classification and definition of "enterprises" must cater for the actual situation of individual places.

Just now, Dr LAM Tai-fai mentioned that in the Mainland, SMEs were classified into medium-enterprises, small-enterprises and micro-enterprises. In fact, each economy has its own characteristics. The Government should introduce relevant regulations to cater for our own circumstances. Concerning micro-enterprises, the relevant definition is not adopted in most of the economies. For example, there is no definition of "micro-enterprises" in Singapore and Japan,

whereas the definition of "micro-enterprises" is adopted in Australia, Canada and Korea. Hence, this is not a mainstream practice.

Just now, Dr LAM also said that Mainland enterprises could be classified into medium-enterprises, small-enterprises and micro-enterprises, yet there are many different types of enterprises in the Mainland. Say, for example, in the category of industry, enterprises with less than 1 000 employees would be classified as medium-enterprises, small-enterprises or micro-enterprises. As for other unlisted industries, enterprises with less than 300 employees would be classified as medium-enterprises, small-enterprises or micro-enterprises. Hence, they would adopt different classifications for different industries, rather than regulating them as one broad category.

As I have stated in the main reply just now, when formulating our policies, we must introduce targeted policies dovetailing with different types of industries, in order to ensure the adequacy and effectiveness of the assistance provided. That is the best way to cater for their needs.

DR LAM TAI-FAI (in Cantonese): *President, the Secretary's reply is verbose and without substance. I was just asking whether he considered that the refined, concise and specific definition of "SMEs" and "micro-enterprises" adopted by the Mainland Government was unnecessary and superfluous? Given that China and Hong Kong should complement each other's strengths, if he considers the definition superfluous, then there is nothing to say; but if he does not think so, would he consider amending the definition?*

SECRETARY FOR COMMERCE AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT (in Cantonese): In his supplementary question, Dr LAM referred to the classification in the Mainland. In my reply just now, I have pointed out that such classification is made for the purpose of introducing targeted measures for different types of enterprises in various industry categories. At present, targeted measures have already been introduced by the HKSAR Government to provide support for enterprises in various industries and sectors. I have also given relevant examples in the main reply. These measures and schemes are not bound by the existing definition of "SMEs". The Government will provide targeted support and

assistance to the enterprises concerned, taking into account the need of various industries.

Hence, I do not think it is necessary to formulate a definition for "micro-enterprises". Unlike what was said by Dr LAM Tai-fai, we do not adopt an across-the-board approach such that assistance is only provided to those enterprises falling within the definition. Instead, we provide targeted support, taking into account the need of the enterprises and the industries.

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Fourth question, Mr Albert HO.

MR ALBERT HO (in Cantonese): *President, the authorities have indicated that it is the international trend to gradually*

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Mr HO, please hold on. Even though I did pause for a moment just now, I have not noticed that some other Members also want to raise supplementary questions on the third oral question.

MR ALBERT HO (in Cantonese): *OK.*

MR TOMMY CHEUNG (in Cantonese): *President, I would like to follow up on the third oral question. In part (c) of the main reply, the Secretary said that progressive profits tax rates should not be introduced, which I concur. Nonetheless, I notice a figure which I want to ask Secretary. He indicated that 90% of corporations (including SMEs) did not pay any tax, and only about 90 000 enterprises paid profits tax. If the remaining 10% is about 90 000 enterprises, it means that 900 000 SMEs do not have to pay any tax, that is, they are not making any profit.*

I would like to ask the Secretary, has he specifically considered why there are so many SMEs not making any profit? Is it because they are only shell companies with no active business operations, or how many of them are active businesses that are not making profit and hence, need not pay any tax? Is it

possible to provide them with assistance? That is because if these enterprises are not making profit, how can they expand? How can they expand from micro-enterprises to small-enterprises, and then to medium-enterprises? In this connection, can the Secretary tell us whether follow-up actions would be taken to keep the situation of these companies in view, and provide them with assistance on making profit?

SECRETARY FOR COMMERCE AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT (in Cantonese): President, part (c) of my main reply is related to Dr LAM Tai-fai's question, that is, whether consideration will be given specifically to introducing a different level of profits tax for micro-enterprises. Hence, this part of my main reply is made against this background.

Regarding these enterprises, the expression "90% of corporations" in part (c) of the main reply does not mean 90% of SMEs; instead, it should be "90% of corporations (including SMEs) did not pay any tax". Subject to the Member's request, we can obtain the relevant information from the departments concerned. (Appendix II)

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Secretary, the Member's question is: How can the Government assist the development of those enterprises which need not pay any tax because they are not making profit?

MR TOMMY CHEUNG (in Cantonese): *President, that is exactly my question for the Secretary.*

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Mr CHEUNG wants to know whether the Government will provide assistance to these enterprises.

SECRETARY FOR COMMERCE AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT (in Cantonese): President, in fact, our aim is precisely to assist enterprises in creating business opportunities. Non-tax paying enterprises can be found extensively in many different industries and sectors. Hence, the relevant measures must be

targeted as well as comprehensive so as to provide an overall economic environment conducive to supporting business development of enterprises. The aim of many measures implemented by the Government is to provide comprehensive assistance to various industries and sectors in respect of business development. Hence, we should not only target the non-tax paying enterprises because the scope involved would be very extensive.

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Mr CHEUNG, has the Secretary still not answered your question?

MR TOMMY CHEUNG (in Cantonese): *President, thanks for following up on my behalf. But the Secretary has still not answered my question. As a matter of fact, this main question today is about how to assist SMEs. As the Secretary can notice from the relevant figures, nowadays, many SMEs are not making any profit*

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): You only need to repeat the part which you think the Secretary has not answered.

MR TOMMY CHEUNG (in Cantonese): *The question which I am asking the Secretary is: Will he keep in view the situation of those SMEs which are not making profit, and provide them with assistance on making profit; rather than answering from a macro perspective about stimulating the economy or providing them with loans? I am asking the Secretary, will he consider my requests?*

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Secretary, do you have anything to add?

SECRETARY FOR COMMERCE AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT (in Cantonese): President, I want to reiterate that even if an enterprise does not need to pay tax, it does not mean that the enterprise is not making profit; instead, it may have to do with the computation method used for tax purpose, for instance, the provision of tax exemptions, and so on.

Hence, one should not say categorically that non-tax paying enterprises are not making profit. Of course, some enterprises do not need to pay tax as a result of the prevailing economic condition or development bottlenecks. Hence, the Government has been taking measures accordingly in the hope of creating more business opportunities in the economy generally so as to stimulate economic development.

DR LAM TAI-FAI (in Cantonese): *President, the Government indicated in the penultimate paragraph of its main reply that, "Providing additional tax concessions to SMEs only would violate the long-standing principle of neutrality in the tax regime and create loopholes for tax avoidance."*

President, while all men are equal, enterprises can be classified into medium-enterprises, small-enterprises and micro-enterprises. How come it is a violation of the principle of neutrality for the Government to help small enterprises and provide assistance to their development? Given that the Government worries that this will easily create loopholes for tax avoidance, I would like to ask the Secretary to specifically tell me what loopholes for tax avoidance is he referring to? Can he tell me specifically what he meant by that?

SECRETARY FOR COMMERCE AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT (in Cantonese): President, as pointed out in part (c) of the main reply, our tax base is quite narrow, while the overall tax system is still fair and equitable. Our tax regime is relatively clear and simple, with one of its principles being tax neutrality. If slanted measures are adopted to assist micro-enterprises, our tax base will of course become even narrower. I have already made this point clear in my main reply. However, I will relay Dr LAM Tai-fai's views to the relevant colleagues for consideration.

DR LAM TAI-FAI (in Cantonese): *President, he has obviously not answered my question. My supplementary question is: What does he actually mean by "loopholes for tax avoidance"?*

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Secretary, can you explain what you mean by "loopholes for tax avoidance"?

SECRETARY FOR COMMERCE AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT (in Cantonese): President, I will liaise with the responsible colleagues and provide a written answer to Dr LAM. (Appendix III)

DR LAM TAI-FAI (in Cantonese): *President, if he has no idea what is meant by "loopholes for tax avoidance", why does he put it in his main reply?*

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): The Secretary has already said that he would provide the information after the meeting.

DR LAM TAI-FAI (in Cantonese): *President, I request the Government to provide a written reply to my supplementary question.*

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Fourth question.

Community Support Services for Mental Patients and Ex-mental Patients

4. **MR ALBERT HO** (in Cantonese): *President, the authorities have indicated that it is the international trend to gradually focus on community and ambulatory services in the treatment of mental illness, and therefore the Government has allowed more patients to receive treatment in the community in recent years. In this connection, will the Government inform this Council:*

- (a) *whether it knows the respective numbers of people suffering from various types of mental illnesses in Hong Kong in the past five years, and among them, the number of those who had received treatment in the community; the number of psychiatric patients discharged from hospitals under the Hospital Authority (HA) each year, and among them, the number and percentage of those who directly returned to and lived in the community; among them, the respective numbers of*

those who were arranged to live alone in public housing units, as well as those who rented and lived in private housing units and paid the rents with Comprehensive Social Security Assistance (CSSA) payments; the respective numbers of patients who had, after being discharged from hospitals, lived in various types of subsidized and private residential institutions, as well as those who then left the residential institutions and lived on their own; of the respective turnover rates of the places of various types of residential institutions; if it knows, list the information in table form; if not, how the authorities assess the demand for and effectiveness of various types of community mental health services; whether they will conduct longitudinal studies and set up a comprehensive database;

- (b) *regarding the suggestion by a Member of this Council on formulating a community treatment order (CTO) to require discharged mental patients who pose a threat to the community to receive medication and therapy, counselling, follow-up care and supervision, of the progress and specific timetable of the authorities to conduct detailed study in this regard; whether it knows the manpower required for service provision and case management after a CTO is formulated; based on the existing manpower and service capacity of the Integrated Community Centre for Mental Wellness (ICCMWs) and case managers, whether it is sufficient for providing such services and dealing with the follow-up work; and*
- (c) *given that the Equal Opportunities Commission (EOC) has over the years continuous to advocate the establishment of a high powered and broad based Mental Health Council, preferably taken charge by the Chief Secretary for Administration, with a view to co-ordinating and monitoring mental health support services, and formulating and implementing both short-term and long-term policies and action plans, but the proposal has not been accepted by the Government, and in September this year, the United Nations Committee on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities (UNCRPD) will examine the report of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region in implementing the United Nations Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities, whether it knows if EOC will relay the relevant proposal to UNCRPD?*

SECRETARY FOR FOOD AND HEALTH (in Cantonese): President, The Government is committed to promoting mental health of the public and will adjust the mode for delivery of mental health services having regard to social needs and international development. It is the international trend to gradually focus on community and ambulatory services in the treatment of mental illness, and to allow the early discharge of mental patients when their conditions are stabilized for treatment in the community. Hence, the Government has strengthened its community psychiatric services in line with this direction in an effort to allow more patients who are suitable for discharge to receive treatment in the community, so that they can re-integrate into the community and start a new life as early as possible.

My reply to the various parts of the question is as follows:

- (a) In the past five years, the total number of persons receiving various psychiatric services (including in-patient, specialist out-patient, day hospital and psychiatric community services) provided by the HA each year varied from some 148 000 to some 187 000 as at present. Among them, the number of psychiatric in-patients is relatively stable, with the number standing at about 14 000 each year. The number of non-in-patients who received various psychiatric services provided by the HA ranged from some 133 000 to some 173 000 as at present. As to the number of discharges and deaths in respect of psychiatric in-patients, the figure for each year is roughly the same at about 16 000. The relevant figures are set out at Annex.

Under general circumstances, patients (including psychiatric patients) are not required, upon their discharge from hospital, to provide the HA with information about their place of residence after discharge from hospital. If families with members discharged from hospitals are in financial hardship, they may consider applying for the CSSA to meet basic needs. The CSSA rent allowance is payable to eligible families to cover housing expenses, but the Social Welfare Department (SWD) does not have information on whether or not any members of such families (whether or not they are renting private housing) are mental patients.

For patients who are assessed to be suitable for discharge, depending on their welfare needs, the HA will refer individual cases to medical social workers for follow-up. Having regard to the situation of individual patients, medical social workers will refer them to apply for various welfare services, such as services of the ICCMWs, residential care services, financial assistance and housing assistance, and so on. At present, the SWD provides a total of about 3 100 subvented residential care places for ex-mentally ill persons, including places of long stay care home, half-way house and supported hostel. The SWD will continue to steadily increase the places for these residential care services. Moreover, as at April 2012, the SWD has purchased 202 residential care places under the Pilot Bought Place Scheme for Private Residential Care Homes for Persons with Disabilities for persons waitlisting for subvented homes (including long stay care home).

As for the proposal of setting up a database for data on the illnesses of persons with mental illness, we must consider it with care. In particular, we need to consider whether the database will pose a labelling effect on persons with mental illness, as well as the issue of privacy of patients involved. The Food and Health Bureau has commissioned the Department of Psychiatry of The Chinese University of Hong Kong, in association with the Department of Psychiatry of the Hong Kong University and the mental health services unit of the HA, to conduct a study on community mental health of Hong Kong, covering the prevalence of mental illness in Hong Kong. In addition, the HA has commissioned the University of Hong Kong to study and assess the implementation and effectiveness of the Case Management Programme for persons with severe mental illness introduced in 2010. The findings of this study are expected to be released in 2013.

- (b) There is suggestion that the Government should introduce a CTO to require persons with mental illness to receive designated treatment while living in the community. A Focus Group on CTO has been set up by the Working Group of Mental Health Services under my chairmanship in 2010 to study the experience and the relevant

legislation of overseas jurisdictions in detail and the applicability of CTO in the local context. Since the relevant proposals will have far-reaching implications on both patients and the society in a number of aspects, such as protection of patients' personal data and privacy, as well as human rights and the scope of authority of healthcare professionals, thorough consideration and extensive discussion by the public is necessary. As the study is still in progress, we are unable to assess at this stage the actual implications on the manpower and service capacity of the ICCMWs and the Case Management Programme should CTO be implemented, and the legislative timetable.

- (c) The UNCRPD will consider the initial report of the People's Republic of China (including the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region) under the United Nations Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities at Geneva in September. We note that the EOC will submit its opinions on the rehabilitation services (including mental health services) provided by the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Government.

There is suggestion that a Mental Health Council should be established to co-ordinate and monitor mental health services. As a matter of fact, a similar mechanism has already been in place. The Food and Health Bureau assumes the responsibility of co-ordinating mental health policies and initiatives by working closely with the relevant government departments including the Labour and Welfare Bureau, Department of Health (DH), the HA and the SWD as well as other relevant departments and non-governmental organizations to provide persons with mental illness with medical and rehabilitation services through an integrated and multidisciplinary mode of service delivery. On the policy level, we have the Working Group of Mental Health Services to keep the current services under review. On the level of service implementation, we have in 2010 set up a central co-ordination group co-chaired by representatives from the SWD and the HA to monitor the effectiveness of ICCMWs and the Case Management Programme, and to review cross-sectoral collaboration amongst stakeholders on community mental health services. At the district level, District Task Groups on Community

Mental Health Support Services (DTGs) were set up across the territory to develop strategies and resolve district-based operational issues. These DTGs are co-chaired by the respective cluster representatives of psychiatric services of the HA and District Social Welfare Officers of the SWD, and comprise representatives of ICCMW operators and relevant government departments, such as the Housing Department and the police. The above mechanism is now in effective operation. We will continue to strengthen our co-ordination to ensure that persons with mental illness will be provided with co-ordinated and holistic services.

Annex

Number of persons receiving psychiatric services
provided by the HA

	2007- 2008	2008- 2009	2009- 2010	2010- 2011	2011- 2012
Total number of patients receiving various HA psychiatric services [#]	147 557	154 625	165 288	176 100	186 907
Number of psychiatric in-patients	14 233	13 921	14 264	14 033	14 251
Number of non-in-patients receiving the HA psychiatric services	133 324	140 704	151 024	162 067	172 656
Total number of discharges and deaths in respect of psychiatric in-patients	15 830	15 540	16 018	15 921	16 011

Note:

Including in-patient, specialist out-patient, day hospital and psychiatric community services.

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Kwok-hing, the meeting is in progress, please do not leave your seat and walk around in the Chamber to carry out activities not related to this agenda item.

MR ALBERT HO (in Cantonese): *President, today, the Secretary has provided a table listing the figures in the past five years, including the number of psychiatric in-patients. There is no significant changes in the relevant figures for the past five years, which remain around 14 000.*

However, we notice that the number of patients receiving various HA psychiatric services has increased from some 140 000 to 186 000, and the number of patients receiving services after being discharged has also increased from some 130 000 to some 170 000. We worry that these figures reflect a significant increase in the number of mental patients.

Yet, I am more concerned about the ICCMWs. The Secretary said that there are 24 centres, yet in fact, only six of them have long-standing and permanent sites for operation. Why is that so? Why only a few centres have permanent sites? First, it is due to the opposition from the residents of the districts. Second, it is due to the large area requirement of such centres, which is set at 5 000 sq ft. May I ask the Secretary whether a flexible approach can be adopted and whether the 5 000 sq ft area requirement can be adjusted? For the area requirement will limit the options available, and more often than not, sites can only be identified in remote areas. Will the authorities consider identifying smaller sites to enable more permanent centres to come into operation as soon as possible, so as to deal with this pressing issue and provide community treatment to mental patients requiring out-patient services?

SECRETARY FOR FOOD AND HEALTH (in Cantonese): *President, the first question is about the increase in the number of mental patients, which indicates that society is paying increasing attention to the needs of mental patients. Some experts consider that patients are more willing to seek voluntary treatment in recent years. In the past, many patients worried about being labelled mental patients, so they strongly resisted seeking consultation from psychiatrists. However, most people now understand that they have to seek treatment when they have such needs. As such, the figure in this respect has increased.*

As for the steady number of in-patients, this should mainly be attributed to the new guidelines we adopted on the need for hospitalization. In the past, particularly a few decades ago, patients had to stay in hospitals for a long period

of time as the objective is to separate those patients from the community. However, in recent years, we will give due regard to the condition of patients and the efficacy of drug control, and so on, and they will only be hospitalized when necessary. As for patients requiring separation from society, particularly patients with a disposition to violence or criminal record, there are not many of them. As such, the number of patients requiring hospital care in this respect has dropped.

As for the ICCMWs in the community mentioned by the Member, the Wellness Centre in Tin Shui Wai has officially come into operation, whereas the permanent centres at Wan Chai, Kwun Tong, Sha Tin, Shum Shui Po and Tuen Mun have started providing services. The SWD has successfully identified premises in Southern District, Islands District, Chai Wan, Mong Kok, Tsing Yi, Wong Tai Sin and Tai Po District, and so on, and similar renovation works are underway. Concerning the area requirement of the sites for such centres, I believe the SWD will take into account the needs of the district concerned in making the decision. However, we are aware that sites can only be identified with the support of residents of the districts on the provision such service. Therefore, the Government will make continuous and vigorous efforts in identifying suitable premises in various districts through middle to long term planning. We earnestly hope that Members will exercise their significant influence in various districts to support the Government in identifying suitable sites.

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Secretary, have you responded to the part concerning the flexibility in area requirement?

SECRETARY FOR FOOD AND HEALTH (in Cantonese): Pardon.

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Secretary, regarding the Member's question on whether a flexible approach on area requirement can be adopted, have you responded to that?

SECRETARY FOR FOOD AND HEALTH (in Cantonese): As I said earlier, the SWD will take into account the needs of the district in making the decision. I believe there is a certain degree of flexibility, where the specified area requirement may not necessarily be fully met.

DR LEUNG KA-LAU (in Cantonese): *President, in Hong Kong, cases of mental patients hurting their family members, unrelated persons and themselves under the influence of their illness occurred once every few months. Thus, the concerns of the public about mental patients are well justified.*

Mr Albert HO has rationally asked the Government to provide certain information about the present situation. The information on illness and the community environment are of great importance to the HA in following the international trend. Without such information, the HA will not be able to provide sound community and ambulatory services. However, the information provided by the Secretary in the main reply is just rudimentary, which is not up to the standard at all. First, Mr Albert HO asked about the respective numbers of people suffering from various types of mental illnesses in Hong Kong, but the Secretary has not answered at all. His reply only includes the number of in-patients and the number of patients discharged. However, mental illnesses are not classified this way. Mental illnesses are classified — Dr PAN is here — into early psychosis, manic-depressive psychosis, mood disorders, anxiety disorders

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Mr LEUNG, since a number of Members are waiting to ask questions, please be concise in raising supplementary question.

DR LEUNG KA-LAU (in Cantonese): *I know. The first point I have to point out is that the Secretary has not answered the question at all.*

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Please put forth your supplementary question.

DR LEUNG KA-LAU (in Cantonese): *First, the Secretary should give his reply according to this classification, stating the number of people suffering from various types of mental illnesses in the community, so that we can analyse the situation.*

Second, I hope the Secretary will explain

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Dr LEUNG, you can only put forth one supplementary question.

DR LEUNG KA-LAU (in Cantonese): *The Secretary said that under general circumstances, the HA would not require patients to provide information about their place of residence after being discharged from hospital. This is ridiculous and should not be so, for doctors definitely have to ask clearly about the patient's situation before allowing him to be discharged. If the Secretary is an orthopaedic surgeon, and if a patient has to use the wheelchair*

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Mr LEUNG, please stop giving comments and put forth your supplementary question.

DR LEUNG KA-LAU (in Cantonese): *I want the Secretary to provide information in this aspect, for it is impossible that the HA does not have such information. There should be information about the living environment of patients after they are being discharged, otherwise, approval should not be given to discharge the patients.*

SECRETARY FOR FOOD AND HEALTH (in Cantonese): President, first of all, I have to clarify that the HA definitely has the information on the classification of patients. However, whether the classification may match with other information, such as the patients' place of residence, and the types of housing they live in, we do not have such information, for such information will not be shown on the electronic record. In discharging the patients, the doctor will naturally be concerned about their living environment, and he will refer the

case to medical social workers for follow-up if necessary, so as to provide continuous services. However, we do not have a database recording the number of such patients in a certain district or the special needs of certain people. As I said earlier, if such a database is to be established, this has to be handled cautiously, particularly about the privacy of patients, and studies on the confidentiality of information must be conducted.

DR JOSEPH LEE (in Cantonese): *President, as pointed out by the Secretary in the main reply, around 170 000 mental patients are not hospitalized and are now living in the community. Among these patients, 3 000-odd are living in residential institutions. We know that around one fourth of the 170 000 patients are suffering from severe mental illness and only 3 000-odd patients are living in residential institutions, which meant 160 000 patients are receiving community rehabilitation at home. However, we learn from Mr Albert HO's supplementary question and the Secretary's reply that the support provided at present is inadequate. The inadequacies include insufficient ICCMWs and residential institutions, as well as the difficulty of recruiting enough case managers. At present, case managers and community nurses have to follow up nearly 80 cases per day. Under such circumstances, the many patients suffering from severe mental illness in the community will pose a considerable risk.*

I would like to ask the Secretary: Is there a specific timetable, so that the next Government can follow up on the provision of adequate ICCMWs, residential institutions and manpower for case managers and community nurses, and on the allocation of resources? In this way, the next Government will know the time available for coping with the community rehabilitation programme as a whole.

SECRETARY FOR FOOD AND HEALTH (in Cantonese): *President, for the Case Management Programme, according to the information available, there are around 43 000 cases involving patients with severe mental illness, including cases we plan to deal with under the Case Management Programme. At present, eight districts are providing such services, benefiting 10 000-odd patients. We hope that the relevant services will be increased in 2012-2013, with continuous increase in the next few years. We all understand that the training of case managers takes time. Most of the case managers have either been working for a rather long period as front-line staff or are people with considerable experience. There are*

155 case managers at present. We hope to recruit more staff this year to increase the strength to 200. We will continue to increase their manpower in every two to three years in future, for we expect the services will be provided continuously. As such, interim review will be conducted to examine whether patients can benefit from the services.

Moreover, as I said earlier, we hope to study the overseas experience in formulating a CTO at an appropriate time and the approaches to cope with the development in this area. If we do not have adequate case managers, I think the implementation of a CTO solely may not address the problem, and thus matching support must be provided. Since the training of case managers and the acceptability of society will affect the public's opinion of mental patients, I think this really takes time to get the work done. I hope that the plan will be completed in the next three or four years.

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): This Council has spent more than 22 minutes on this question, yet only two Members have the opportunity to raise their supplementary questions. Now I can only allow one more Member to ask a supplementary question.

MR WONG SING-CHI (in Cantonese): *President, the Secretary said earlier that sites could not be identified and had to be followed up by the SWD. He also mentioned the difficulty in recruiting adequate case managers, stating that the formulation of CTO could only be arranged after the previous cases had been handled and after a review has been conducted. In other words, nothing can be done now. Will the Secretary consider the Democratic Party's proposal of recommending the next Government to establish the Mental Health Council, so that there will be dedicated staff on handling these tasks and providing training? Is this a more desirable approach? President, may I ask the Secretary of his views about the failure to make any achievement despite the efforts of various bureaux? Will he recommend the next Government to set up the Mental Health Council?*

SECRETARY FOR FOOD AND HEALTH (in Cantonese): President, as I said in the main reply, the objective for the establishment of any high-level committee

must be very clear. In respect of the development of basic services at present, the Working Group I am in charge of can cope with inter-departmental needs. In the past five years, the Government had indeed invested considerable resources in psychiatric services. Roughly speaking, the healthcare expenditures for the past few years had increased from \$2.5 billion in 2006-2007 to \$3.5 billion in 2011-2012, at a rate of nearly 40%. As for community rehabilitation, the amount had increased from \$3.2 billion to \$4.5 billion, at a rate of 35%.

As for psychiatric services, the resources invested by the Hong Kong Government account for 8.84% of the overall healthcare expenditure, which is said to be the highest among Asian countries. With reference to the experience of overseas countries, say advanced countries in general, the amount of resource invested account for about 9% to 10% of their healthcare expenditure. In the case of most Asian countries, the resources invested usually account for less than 6% of their healthcare expenditure. We notice the need for continuous increase in resource allocation, yet the most important point is to ensure that the services provided can meet the needs of society. Is the establishment of a high-level working group the antidote to the problem? We have to examine this.

As I have said earlier, it is not true that we have not made any accomplishments. Actually we have made continuous effort, such as promoting the change of the overall mode and training of manpower. Regarding the current programme, I believe this will be completed in three to four years, by then psychiatric services will be provided in a new mode. Hence, I am confident that Hong Kong will be relatively advanced in this respect in the region.

On the other hand, is it necessary to establish a high-level committee necessary to handle these issues? Certainly, we may convey this suggestion to the next Government for consideration; if so, what are the objectives? However, the most important point is that I hope the service for caring patients must be planned according to the professional-led approach, for patients need the understanding and wholehearted care from professionals and they would not want their issues to be discussed at a public forum.

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): This Council has spent more than 26 minutes and 30 seconds on this question. Fifth question.

Licences for Domestic Free Television Programme Services

5. **MR LEE WING-TAT** (in Cantonese): *President, the former Broadcasting Authority (former BA), the predecessor of the Communications Authority (CA), has completed its assessment of the applications for domestic free television programme service licences (the licences) from three companies (including City Telecom (Hong Kong) Limited, Fantastic Television Limited and Hong Kong Television Entertainment Company Limited). Yet, an existing free television broadcaster recently raised strong objection to the issuance of additional licences by the Government, pointing out that this would dilute the advertising revenue of such television broadcasters and increase their operating costs, and some television broadcasters might close down as a result. In this connection, will the executive authorities inform this Council:*

- (a) *when the Government will issue the licences to the aforesaid three companies, and the expected time when the Chief Executive in Council will make the decision;*
- (b) *given that the aforesaid three companies separately applied to the former BA between end 2009 and early 2010 for operating free television programme services and the Government has indicated that vetting and approval of the applications would be completed within the first half of 2011, and that it has been over a year since then but the licences have not yet been granted, whether the Government needs to postpone the issuance of licences because of technical, financial or other problems of the three companies; and*
- (c) *whether the dissatisfaction expressed by Asia Television Limited and Television Broadcasts Limited (TVB) over the Government's plan to issue additional licences has affected the Government's consideration of not issuing licences to the three companies at a faster pace, and whether the Government has assessed if the former BA only took into account the interests of existing television broadcasters but ignored the views of the public when considering the results of public consultation?*

SECRETARY FOR COMMERCE AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT (in Cantonese): President,

- (a) Under the existing Broadcasting Ordinance (BO) (Cap. 562), the CA shall consider applications for a domestic free television programme service licence and make recommendations thereon to the Chief Executive in Council. After considering the recommendations made by the CA, the Chief Executive in Council may grant a domestic free television programme service licence, subject to such conditions as he thinks fit specified in the licence.

City Telecom (Hong Kong) Limited, Fantastic Television Limited and Hong Kong Television Entertainment Company Limited have each submitted an application for a domestic free television programme service licence. Earlier on, the predecessor of the CA, the former BA, completed the assessment in accordance with the BO and established procedures, and made recommendations to the Chief Executive in Council on the three applications.

As I have previously explained to this Council, since the outcome of the applications will have profound impact on the domestic free television programme service market, the Government has been processing the recommendations submitted by the former BA expeditiously and prudently in accordance with the statutory requirements and established procedures. The outcome will be announced after the Chief Executive in Council makes a decision. It is therefore inappropriate for us to predict or speculate on when such a decision will be made.

- (b) The applications for domestic free television programme service licences have been assessed in accordance with the BO and established procedures, with a number of relevant factors being taken into account. Such factors include the requirements under the BO, the assessment criteria set out in the Guidance Note for Those Interested in Applying for Domestic Free Television Programme Service Licences in Hong Kong (Guidance Note) issued by the CA, public opinions and possible impact of new licences on the free

television programme service market. Assessment criteria set out in the Guidance Note include the applicant's financial soundness and commitment to investment; the applicant's managerial skills and technical expertise; the variety, quantity and quality of programmes to be provided; the technical soundness and quality of the proposed service; the speed of service roll-out; the impact on members of the public by any construction works associated with the proposed service; the benefit to the local broadcasting industry and the economy as a whole; and the applicant's internal quality control mechanisms. The Chief Executive in Council will also take into account these factors in deciding whether to grant a domestic free television programme service licence. The Administration is now processing the applications in a prudent manner. The outcome will be announced as soon as possible after a decision is made by the Chief Executive in Council.

- (c) As I have just mentioned, in assessing the applications for domestic free television programme service licences, the authorities will take into account a number of factors, including public opinions. To collect public views on the three applications, the former BA conducted a public consultation exercise from July to September 2010 pursuant to the requirement under the BO. We understand that the former BA received a total of 256 submissions during the consultation period, covering a large number of areas such as the variety and quality of programmes, technical viability, commitment to investment and impact on the broadcasting industry and the viewing audience, and so on. The former BA gave due regard to these views when formulating its recommendations. It also submitted a summary of views received to the Chief Executive in Council for consideration. The Chief Executive in Council will take into account the views of the public before making a decision on the three applications.

MR LEE WING-TAT (in Cantonese): *President, the whole application process for additional free television licences has taken almost three years from the beginning to the present moment. The former BA has assessed the applications*

from the three television companies and submitted its recommendations to the Chief Executive in Council for consideration. May I ask, will the Government formulate a timetable when it processes such applications? Besides, does the Secretary think that the Administration will be able to complete the whole vetting procedure and publicize the results concerning the issuance of licences before the change of Government on 1 July?

President, does the Secretary know that failure to grant the licences expeditiously will give people the impression that the Government is an incompetent "lame duck" Government? It will also make people speculate that the issuance of licences, which is a local affair, is also subject to political interference of the "Western District" or the Central Government, which has led to the present standstill.

SECRETARY FOR COMMERCE AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT (in Cantonese): President, licence applications have to be vetted in accordance with the statutory requirements and established procedures. Apart from working under the legal framework, as I have just mentioned in the main reply, we also have a Guidance Note in which factors which need to be considered are set out in detail. We will process the applications in compliance with the relevant ordinance and requirements. The political consideration mentioned by Mr LEE just now does not exist. Besides, we will not formulate any timetable for processing the applications because the Chief Executive in Council will process the applications expeditiously and prudently in accordance with the procedures and the law.

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Has your supplementary question not been answered? Please repeat the part which has not been answered.

MR LEE WING-TAT (in Cantonese): *My supplementary question is very simple. That is, will the current-term Government make the decision and announce the results to the public before 1 July?*

SECRETARY FOR COMMERCE AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT (in Cantonese): President, as I have just mentioned, it is impossible for me to formulate a timetable for the Chief Executive in Council. They will process the applications expeditiously in accordance with the procedures. If the relevant work can be completed in accordance with the procedures before 1 July, of course they will announce the results concerning the issuance of licences, but I will not put forward any timetable here to confine the normal operations of the Executive Council. They will deal with the issuance of licences in compliance with the procedures and the law.

DR SAMSON TAM (in Cantonese): *President, a few years ago the Government proposed to develop six industries with competitive edge, including creative industries. However, after it has been mentioned for such a long time, the development of creative industries is often criticized by us as having no concrete result. At that time the Government conducted consultation, indicating that additional free licences would be issued. This was originally something good because it could enhance competition in the television industry and facilitate the development of creative industries in a competitive environment. Regrettably, one department said that licences would be issued, but another department said that they should not be issued. When the opposition party filibusters, at least they have to speak in the Chamber. However, when the Government filibusters in the Executive Council, it does not even need to speak a word on it. It can simply say "there has been no discussion yet" and continuously put the matter aside. Is such an act reasonable? I hope the Government will give us an explanation.*

President, my supplementary question is, as the former BA had submitted a report to the Executive Council, what does the report say? Is there any information which can be disclosed to the public? This is what we all want to know. The Secretary has pointed out in the main reply that "the Administration is now processing the applications in a prudent manner", but since the former BA had already conducted consultation and members of the public had expressed their views, the Government now says that it needs to process the matter in a prudent manner, actually what work does it need to deal with? Is it merely waiting for the final approval of the Executive Council? If that is the case, may I ask the Secretary, over the past year or so, did the Executive Council ever conduct

any discussion on this matter? He only needs to answer "yes" or "no". If the answer is "yes", then we will know that they have been discussing the matter. However, if they have never held any discussion, that means the Government has put this matter aside. I hope the Secretary can give us a clear account.

SECRETARY FOR COMMERCE AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT (in Cantonese): I thank Dr TAM for his supplementary question. Dr TAM has just touched on our broadcasting policy. This policy is fairly clear. The Government's long-standing objective is to increase programme choices and at the same time encourage innovation so as to maintain Hong Kong's competitiveness. As early as 1998, we already explained this policy clearly in the Legislative Council meeting. Under the technology neutral principle, we did not cap the number of free television licences, and we also encourage competition among the industry. This is a rather reasonable approach. The Government's broadcasting policy has not changed.

Just now Dr TAM asked whether the recommendations submitted by the former BA to the Chief Executive in Council could be made public. The submission of recommendations was carried out under section 9(2) of the BO. Please excuse me for being unable to publicize such recommendations because they are not open information, and they are only for the Executive Council's reference and consideration. This is a statutory procedure.

Dr TAM also asked if the Executive Council has discussed this matter. In this regard, I can only say that the Executive Council is now conducting its examination under the existing legal framework, that means the procedures provided in sections 8, 9 and 10 of the BO. I can also clarify here that the Executive Council is vetting the licence applications in compliance with these procedures.

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Which part of your supplementary question has not been answered?

DR SAMSON TAM (in Cantonese): *President, I hope the Secretary will provide a clearer answer. Since the main reply has mentioned that earlier on, the predecessor of the CA has submitted a report to the Executive Council, I would like to know when the report was submitted to the Executive Council. Until*

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Dr TAM, this is not the supplementary question which you raised just now.

DR SAMSON TAM (in Cantonese): *In that case, I would like to ask the Secretary, in the past year or so, basically the Executive Council did not specifically discuss this subject, is that right?*

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): The Secretary has already answered your question.

MR RONNY TONG (in Cantonese): *President, to our surprise, the company which has monopolized the market openly stated that the introduction of competition would reduce its profits and on this ground, it objected to the expeditious issuance of licences by the Government. This is indeed unbelievable. Yet what is more unbelievable is that the Government has accepted such a remark in silence without making any response.*

Today, the focus of this question is to find out why the Government has been irresolute over the years, having mere discussions without making any decision, but the Secretary has presented a load of criteria without actually answering the question. The most important criterion for opening up the market is whether there is a lack of competition in the wireless television broadcasting market, which leaves consumers without a choice or without sufficient choices. May I ask the Secretary, has the Government conducted any assessment to see if the market is monopolized by TVB alone, resulting in a lack of genuine competition which leaves consumers with no real choice? If that is true, should the Government promptly deal with the lack of competition in the market in the light of this criterion?

SECRETARY FOR COMMERCE AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT (in Cantonese): President, I thank Mr Ronny TONG for his question. Mr Ronny TONG has just asked if the Government has been irresolute, having mere discussions without making any decision. If Mr Ronny TONG was referring to the pending decision on the licence applications, may I reiterate that the Chief Executive in Council is now conducting assessment and processing the applications in accordance with the law and the Guidance Note drawn up by the former BA. Thus, the Government is not being irresolute. Rather, it is very active in processing the applications expeditiously and prudently.

Earlier, when I answered Dr TAM's question, I have already clarified our broadcasting policy and pointed out that the Government's long-standing objective is to increase programme choices and introduce competition. This policy objective is clear and unequivocal.

Just now Mr Ronny TONG asked if we have conducted any assessment. Let me reiterate that when the Executive Council processes the applications, it will consider a number of assessment criteria and factors, for example, whether the issue of a licence can bring benefits to the viewing audience of the local broadcasting industry, consumers as well as the economy as a whole. Hence, competition in the market and benefits to the viewing audience will be among the numerous factors for consideration of the Executive Council.

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Mr TONG, has your supplementary question not been answered?

MR RONNY TONG (in Cantonese): *President, I do not quite understand the Secretary's reply. Does he mean that assessment has been conducted and the assessment result is that there is a lack of competition?*

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Secretary, do you have anything to add?

SECRETARY FOR COMMERCE AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT (in Cantonese): President, as I mentioned just now, the Government's long-standing policy is to encourage competition. However, in considering these three applications, the Executive Council shall process the applications under the statutory framework in accordance with the criteria set out explicitly in the Guidance Note and sections 8, 9 and 10 of the BO

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Secretary, the Member's question is whether the Government has assessed if there is a lack of competition in the market and what the assessment result is.

SECRETARY FOR COMMERCE AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT (in Cantonese): President, I was just trying to answer this question just now. According to the relevant criteria, the benefits which the issue of additional licences will bring to the local broadcasting industry, the viewing audience, consumers and the economy as a whole are factors which need to be considered in vetting the applications. The introduction of competition will certainly benefit the viewing audience. I believe the Executive Council will thoroughly consider these factors.

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Secretary, can you respond to whether an assessment has been conducted on market competition and what the assessment result is?

SECRETARY FOR COMMERCE AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT (in Cantonese): President, owing to the confidentiality principle of the Executive Council, at this stage it is impossible for me to disclose and inappropriate for me to comment on the factors considered by the Executive Council. I would like to point out that the factors which the Executive Council needs to consider will definitely include those set out explicitly in the Guidance Note.

MR RONNY TONG (in Cantonese): *President, my question is about the Bureau's assessment*

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Mr TONG, the Secretary has given his answer based on the Government's policy. If you are not satisfied, please follow it up through other channels.

MR FRED LI (in Cantonese): *President, let me state beforehand that the question which I am going to ask does not concern the Executive Council. President, every week I will listen to a programme of Radio Television Hong Kong, which will give general comments on the ratings of the two free television broadcasters in the previous week. In the past, some programmes of Asia Television Limited could secure four to five rating points, but in recent years, its ratings have continuously dropped, and many programmes got only two rating points. On the contrary, regardless of what programmes were aired, TVB would get at least some 10 to 20 rating points. Such a disparity has become more and more obvious.*

The grassroots cannot afford to watch subscription television and can only rely on free television. However, when one broadcaster becomes increasingly strong with no equal match while the other broadcaster keeps shrinking, in such a situation, can we still say that members of the public have a choice? After we have waited for such a long time, finally three companies have bid to run free television services. How come the decision on the issuance of licences has still not been made today, leaving the public with fewer and fewer choices?

SECRETARY FOR COMMERCE AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT (in Cantonese): President, when I answered the previous two Members' supplementary questions, I already stated clearly that our policy objective is to increase programme choices. I have been rather explicit about this point. In vetting the licence applications, the Executive Council shall consider these factors before making any decision. I have heard Mr LI's view.

MR LEUNG YIU-CHUNG (in Cantonese): *President, just now when the Secretary replied to Mr Ronny TONG, he said that the Administration is not being irresolute for having mere discussions without making any decision. Perhaps it is really not being irresolute, because when the Secretary replied to Dr Samson HO, he indicated that he does not know whether the Executive Council has discussed this matter. How will it be irresolute for having mere discussions without making any decision if there has been no discussion at all? However, one thing is for sure, that it has been procrastinating without making any decision. Time and again this matter has been procrastinated. I wonder when the procrastination will end.*

Today, all of us have asked the Secretary when a decision will be reached on the issuance of licences. Yet the Secretary just keeps saying monotonously that the Executive Council is handling this matter in accordance with the statutory procedures, as though he is citing from a book. This is the only answer he has.

I would like to ask the Secretary, recently, the two broadcasters have claimed that if the Government issues three free licences, they will bring a lawsuit against the authorities. Has the Executive Council been subject to such pressure and consequently flinched? Does the Executive Council lack the courage to face such pressure of litigation and wish to leave it to the next-term Government to handle? I hope the Government will respond to this question directly.

SECRETARY FOR COMMERCE AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT (in Cantonese): *President, thanks for the Member's question. Just now the word "procrastination" was used by the Member. I absolutely disagree, since the Executive Council is handling this matter in accordance with the law and procedures. There is no question of procrastination. On the contrary, it is actively and prudently examining these applications as fast as possible. As for whether there will be any litigation, I believe the best response is to follow the law, follow the procedures provided in the BO, follow the guidelines established under the law in considering the various factors, and handle the matter in compliance with our procedures. Should any litigation arise, the best solution is to work in accordance with the procedures and the law.*

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Has your supplementary question not been answered?

MR LEUNG YIU-CHUNG (in Cantonese): *President, he did not answer if the Executive Council has suffered any pressure. As I have just pointed out, after the broadcasters claimed that they would bring a lawsuit, the authorities kept procrastinating and did not dare to face this issue. It just kept saying that it would handle this matter in accordance with the procedures and the law. Could the Secretary advise us clearly, did the Government not work in accordance with the procedures and the law in the past? Why does it have to handle this matter in a special way today? Are the authorities afraid that the two broadcasters will bring a lawsuit, and only then is the matter handled cautiously? Did they not work cautiously in the past?*

SECRETARY FOR COMMERCE AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT (in Cantonese): President, let me reiterate that we did not procrastinate this time. Rather, we are actively processing the applications, and we do not fear any litigation of this kind. So long as we process these applications in accordance with the procedures and the law, we will not feel any pressure.

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): This Council has spent more than 22 minutes on this question. Last oral question.

Measures to Cope with Problems Arising from an Increasing Number of Civil Servants Retiring

6. **DR PAN PEY-CHYOU** (in Cantonese): *President, civil servants recruited in the 1980s will soon retire one after another in the next few years. The Civil Service Bureau has projected that the annual number of civil servants reaching their retirement age will be around 6 900 in the five-year period ending 2020-2021, reaching a peak of the number of civil servants retiring. In this connection, will the Government inform this Council:*

- (a) *whether the authorities have any specific policy in place to expedite the recruitment and promotion processes, so as to fill the vacancies arising from the aforesaid retirement of civil servants; if they have, of the timetable and details;*
- (b) *whether the authorities will reconsider directly employing non-civil service contract (NCSC) staff with good performance as civil servants through internal recruitment and test, so as to shorten the recruitment process and save the time for training the staff afresh; if they will, of the details; if not, the difficulties they encounter; and*
- (c) *given that based on the age profile of the Civil Service during the period from 1986 to 2011, the age group with the largest number of civil servants shifted upwards from 20-29 to 40-49, whether the authorities have any measure to expedite the employment of more younger staff in the Civil Service (for example, more proactively recruit graduates from tertiary institutions to join the Civil Service), so as to tackle the serious ageing problem in the Civil Service; if so, of the details?*

SECRETARY FOR THE CIVIL SERVICE (in Cantonese): President, regarding part (a) of the question, since 2007-2008, the Administration has gradually resumed open recruitment to fill civil service vacancies. While upholding the principle of appointment by merit through a fair, open and competitive process, recruitment procedures have been streamlined to shorten the time required for conducting recruitment exercises. For instance, in cases where there are a large number of vacancies in a certain rank and a large number of candidates applying for posts in that rank, the recruiting department will invite the candidates to attend written tests and/or interviews while assessing whether they possess the basic academic qualifications and/or experience required at the same time. By proceeding with two procedures in parallel, the recruitment process can be expedited. The recruiting department may also convene a number of selection boards simultaneously to process a large number of job applications. In addition, where necessary, the recruiting department will take such measures as exercising flexibility in allowing the candidates to undergo medical examinations after appointment, or waiving the requirement of submitting reference letters issued by

current employers. As for promotion, departments will proceed with promotion exercises based on anticipated civil service vacancies so that such vacancies can be filled as soon as they actually arise or within a short period of time.

As for part (b) of the question, it is the Government's policy to select the most suitable persons for civil service vacancies through an open, fair and competitive process. As the circumstances and nature of the employment of NCSC staff are different from those of civil servants and the entry requirements as well as selection process for NCSC posts may also differ from those of civil service posts, it is not appropriate to directly employ NCSC staff as civil servants. Serving NCSC staff may apply for civil service posts through an open and fair recruitment process if they are interested in such posts. We welcome applications for civil service posts from NCSC staff. In this connection, bureaux/departments have put in place a mechanism to disseminate to serving NCSC staff information on open recruitment for civil service vacancies. Since relevant working experience is one of the factors taken into account in the recruitment of civil servants, we believe that NCSC staff who meet the basic entry requirements of civil service ranks should generally enjoy a competitive edge over other applicants because of their work experience in the Government. As for "in-service recruitment", its purpose is to select suitable candidates from serving civil servants to fill civil service vacancies. As NCSC staff are not civil servants, "in-service recruitment" is not applicable to them.

As regards part (c) of the question, the upward trend in the age profile of the Civil Service in recent years can be traced back to the significant growth of the Civil Service in the 1980s. Many of the civil servants recruited then have now reached the age of 50 or so. The upward trend in the age profile of the Civil Service also has to do with the substantial downsizing of the Civil Service and the Government's policy of freezing open recruitment of civil servants unless absolutely necessary at the turn of the century. Since 2007-2008, the number of civil servants has been on the rise again every year. Following this and the gradual resumption of the open recruitment freeze, more young people have been recruited to the Civil Service. According to relevant data, new recruits who fall within the age group of 20 to 29 account for two thirds of the total intake in the four-year period from 2007-2008 to 2010-2011. In addition, the number of civil servants in this age group has increased from 7% of the total strength of the Civil Service in 2006-2007 to about 10% in 2010-2011. We expect this trend to

continue in the years ahead. As more civil servants are expected to retire and the Government is conducting open recruitment for civil service vacancies on an ongoing basis, we believe that the age profile of the Civil Service will continue along a downward trend.

On recruiting graduates from tertiary institutions to the Civil Service, the Civil Service Bureau has maintained liaison and held regular meetings with the career centres of tertiary institutions so that they will be better informed of the matters relating to graduates joining the Civil Service and be able to relay relevant information to their students. Also, the Government has launched the Post-Secondary Student Summer Internship Programme to provide internship placement opportunities during summer vacation to Hong Kong students attending post-secondary programmes. Through the Programme, we hope to help students acquire a general understanding of the work of the Civil Service and hence arouse their interest in joining the Civil Service after graduation, and to enable the interns to gain valuable work experiences relating to the courses they attend or their areas of interests. In the past three years, more than 40 bureaux/departments participated in the Programme each year and an annual average of over 900 students have been employed.

DR PAN PEY-CHYOU (in Cantonese): *President, we are actually concerned about the continuous upward trend in the age profile of the Civil Service, and the new recruits are young and need time to accumulate work experience. Currently, there is a group of experienced NCSC staff whose track records are known to the Government. I would like to ask the Secretary whether the authorities have genuinely and thoroughly examined the option of recruiting these staff into the Civil Service by a comparatively simple procedure, such as internal appraisal instead of open recruitment? Have the authorities ever considered the feasibility of this approach? Are there any factors that cannot be solved, making it impossible to put this approach into practice?*

SECRETARY FOR THE CIVIL SERVICE (in Cantonese): President, I thank Dr PAN for his supplementary question.

As I have stated twice in my main reply, it is our policy to select the most suitable persons for civil service appointments through an open, fair and competitive process, since we believe that our Civil Service should be built on the basic principle of appointment by merit.

We are of the view that former as well as serving NCSC staff should enjoy a competitive edge when undergoing an open, fair and competitive recruitment process because of their work experience in the Government. They should have a competitive edge over other applicants who have no experience of working in the Government. Hence, in this connection, we opine that we should not conduct a separate open recruitment exercise specifically catering for these NCSC staff.

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Dr PAN, has your supplementary question not been answered?

DR PAN PEY-CHYOU (in Cantonese): *President, in my supplementary question, I ask if there are factors that cannot be solved, making it impossible for internal recruitment*

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Since the Secretary has already answered the first part of your question, the conditions stated in the second part of your question do not exist then.

MS LI FUNG-YING (in Cantonese): *President, we note from the Secretary's main reply that there is a downward trend in the age profile of the Civil Service since 2007-2008 when the Administration had gradually resumed the recruitment of civil servants. However, during the 10 years prior to 2007-2008, recruitment of civil servants had totally stopped or recruitments on a limited scale were conducted, thus giving rise to a succession gap in the Civil Service. Moreover, the number of retiring Directorate civil servants will continue to be on the increase. Thus, I would like to ask the Secretary, will there be a succession gap in filling the vacancies arising from the retirement of Directorate staff, and what remedial measures are in place?*

SECRETARY FOR THE CIVIL SERVICE (in Cantonese): President, I thank Ms LI for her supplementary question.

The issue of succession in the Civil Service has been my major area of concern. Regarding this matter, I will meet with the head of each department as well as their permanent secretaries in person on a regular basis, say, half-yearly or yearly. I will at least meet with them face to face for detailed discussions and I will follow up with heads of respective departments on succession matters of their departments in the coming five to 20 years. In this regard, each head of department has recently received a clear message from the Civil Service Bureau requiring them to formulate a medium-to-long-term succession plan. The plan should include steps of identifying young candidates with great potentials among serving civil servants at the middle or lower ranks, as well as testing their potentials and abilities through various means, so as to make sure that they are really suitable to assume Directorate posts in the course of time.

The various means include regular transfers to allow the selected civil servants at middle or lower ranks to gain exposure from different types of services, such as attending relevant meetings of the Legislative Council and having more frequent contacts with members of the public. Younger staff of certain professional departments who have great potentials will also be posted to the Government Headquarters to undertake policy research work, with a view to enhancing their exposure and expanding their contact networks. These are the various means currently adopted. Another necessary step is to offer personalized training programmes to those younger staff with great potentials, so that the management can assess their potentials accordingly and find out if they can really meet the departmental management's requirements.

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Has your supplementary question not been answered?

MS LI FUNG-YING (in Cantonese): *Yes, the Secretary has not answered whether there will be a succession gap, that is, no replacement can be identified.*

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Secretary, will there be a succession gap?

SECRETARY FOR THE CIVIL SERVICE (in Cantonese): President, in general, I do not see there is a succession gap in the Civil Service. I must emphasize that it is the general situation only. Of course, there may be individual posts but I believe the number of such individual posts is small, perhaps two or three Directorate posts at the upper ranks may not be filled by appropriate successors at the time, hence the management had to consider inviting those civil servants having reached the normal retirement age to stay in their posts for another half a year, a year or one and a half year. However, these are the minority cases only.

MR ALAN LEONG (in Cantonese): *President, we learn from the Secretary's reply that the number of new recruits of the age group 20-29 has increased since 2007-2008 upon the resumption of open recruitment of civil servants. However, the Secretary did not mention the resignation situation in the Civil Service. Also, I would like to ask the Secretary, whether special analysis have been conducted to find out why this group of young civil servants had resigned, and whether actions have been taken, based on the data from the analysis, to solve the problems, so as to retain more young civil servants?*

SECRETARY FOR THE CIVIL SERVICE (in Cantonese): President, taking the Civil Service as a whole, the number of resignations is insignificant. In recent years, the average number of civil servants resigned each year takes up about 0.4% of the total strength of the Civil Service, which is less than 0.5%. Besides, most of the civil servants resigned were still on probation. In other words, they resigned during their first three years of civil service. Judging from the staff management perspective, this is not surprising as many people who joined the Civil Service might find that their substantive work in the Government was not what they had expected and hence they resigned. Also, there were cases where the management found a new recruit unsuitable to continue his service as a civil servant, and after conveying such message to the person concerned, he decided to resign of his own accord.

I remember that around January this year or December last year, I had tabled a paper to a Panel of the Legislative Council. The paper is about an anonymous survey conducted during 2010-2011 concerning the views of the new civil servants on Civil Service jobs. According to the survey findings, many of

the civil servants resigned because they had other job offers with higher pay than civil servants rather than their discontent with the Civil Service.

MR LEE CHEUK-YAN (in Cantonese): *President, I still think the Secretary and the Government have been taking advantage of NCSC staff without paying due respect to their experience. According to the Secretary, the recruitment of civil servants will be conducted in a fair, impartial and open manner. However, those NCSC staff have already served in the Government for 10 or five years. Why are their experiences not given due respect? Why do the authorities show no respect for their experience?*

President, the Secretary can say whatever she finds expedient and adopts double standards. CHEN Ran could be given immediate exemption and appointed without having to go through a fair, impartial and open recruitment process. She could be appointed if LEUNG Chun-ying said so, and the Secretary had to take immediate action to offer her an appointment. Why CHEN was not required to go through a fair, impartial and open recruitment process whereas those NCSC staff who have been serving in the Government laboriously for five or 10 years were barred by the Government from taking a "through train"? Was this not double standard?

SECRETARY FOR THE CIVIL SERVICE (in Cantonese): *President, I object to Mr LEE's comment that I "take advantage of" the NCSC staff. First of all, the recruitment process and terms of appointments applicable to NCSC staff are different from those of civil servants. These arrangements are well understood by both the civil servants and the NCSC staff before they joined the Government.*

Secondly, in my opinion, the divergence between Mr LEE and I regarding this issue is a matter of extent instead of absolutism. As I have stressed both in my main reply and the follow-up reply, one of the major criteria in our recruitment of civil servants is the applicants' relevant work experience, which carries a very high weighting in our marking scheme. I trust that all former and serving NCSC staff of the Government will have a competitive edge over other applicants in terms of relevant work experience. In other words, appropriate weighting will be given to candidates with relevant work experience in the Government in the selection process.

President, I can provide other data. In the past four years, in the open recruitments of civil servants to carry out duties similar to those now undertaken by the current NCSC staff, approximately 3 700 former or serving NCSC staff had been appointed to the Civil Service through a open, fair and competitive recruitment process. The success rate of these people is about seven times higher than candidates without any work experience in the Government.

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): Which part of your supplementary question has not been answered?

MR LEE CHEUK-YAN (in Cantonese): *The Secretary has not addressed the issue of double standards. The success rate of CHEN Ran is 100% who was not required to go through the open recruitment process. The Secretary did not answer my supplementary question in this regard.*

SECRETARY FOR THE CIVIL SERVICE (in Cantonese): President, regarding Ms CHEN Ran's case, I remember when I answered the oral question last week, I pointed out in my main reply that the contract term for the NCSC post filled by Ms CHEN is shorter than three months. In my memory, approval was given to the Office of the Chief Executive-elect to establish this NCSC post in April, and the post would expire on 30 June this year. While adhering to the major principle, the Civil Service Bureau should also exercise flexibility to meet practical needs. If we conduct an open recruitment exercise for an NCSC post that lasts only for less than three months, I believe the recruitment process would not have been completed before 30 June.

MR WONG KWOK-HING (in Cantonese): *I learn from the Secretary's reply to a supplementary question that there was a marking scheme, meaning that the work experience as well as contributions of NCSC staff will be given due weighting. I am very delighted to hear the Secretary talk about the marking scheme at the official meeting of this Council. Hence, I would like to ask the Secretary, through the President, what kind of marking scheme will be used for those serving NCSC staff who apply for civil service posts through open recruitment? Will the Secretary disclose the number of marks to be given for the*

previous work performance and contributions of such applicants, and the respective percentages out of the total score? Since the NCSC staff as well as the relevant trade unions and bodies have been striving for an official marking scheme, and that the Secretary has made such a clear response, I hope she will elaborate the issue in greater detail.

SECRETARY FOR THE CIVIL SERVICE (in Cantonese): President, we have delegated to relevant bureaux and heads of department the power of conducting open recruitments for civil service posts. Each of the recruiting departments will formulate a marking scheme for the Civil Service grades concerned in every recruitment exercise conducted and will consult the Public Service Commission, if necessary, on the marking scheme which is subject to the inspection of the Commission.

We do not have any standardized, across-the-board marking scheme, nor will we make it a compulsory requirement for any recruiting department to adopt a standardized marking scheme in conducting recruitment exercises for civil service posts. Nevertheless, we have issued relevant guidelines to every recruiting department requiring the inclusion of an item "relevant work experience" in the marking scheme. In addition to this, other factors set out in the marking schemes of general recruitment exercises for the Civil Service will also be included, such as a candidate's qualifications, character, personality, whose having or not having sit for the Basic Law test with test results (if any) and the like. In general, the marking schemes adopted by every department are formulated on such basic factors.

PRESIDENT (in Cantonese): This Council has almost spent 27 minutes on this question. Oral questions end here.

WRITTEN ANSWERS TO QUESTIONS

Assessment of Governance of Hospital Authority

7. **DR LEUNG KA-LAU** (in Chinese): *President, will the Government inform this Council whether the Food and Health Bureau had appointed any*

consultant in the past two years to conduct an assessment of the governance (for example, issues such as resource deployment, manpower planning, mode of service delivery or waiting time, and so on) of the Hospital Authority (HA); if it had, of the assessment results; if not, whether such an assessment will be conducted in the future, and of the details?

SECRETARY FOR FOOD AND HEALTH (in Chinese): President, the HA is an independent statutory body established under the Hospital Authority Ordinance (Cap. 113). The Ordinance includes provisions specifying that the HA should use the resources efficiently to provide hospital services of high quality.

To ensure accountability to the public for the management and control of the public medical services system, three government officials (including the Permanent Secretary for Health, the Director and Health, and the Deputy Secretary for Financial Services and the Treasury) are ex-officio members of the HA Board and participate in the governance of the HA. The Secretary for Health and Welfare holds monthly meeting with the management of the HA for the purpose of monitoring its work. Moreover, the Government will set out the performance targets of the HA in the Controlling Officer's Report under Head 140 in the Government's Estimates for each year. These performance targets cover various aspects, including access to services (such as waiting time), delivery of services, quality of services, cost of services and manpower, and so on. Through regular reports submitted by the HA, the HA Board and the Government assess and examine the performance of the HA in accordance with these targets.

In addition, being an independent statutory body and under the governance of its Board, the HA has a comprehensive mechanism for conducting internal and external reviews from time to time to examine its operation and services in an effort to achieve efficient use of its resources and provide quality healthcare services to the public in a more effective manner.

For the purpose of maintaining and improving quality of healthcare services, the HA will closely monitor the performance of its hospitals based on the principles of independence and professionalism. These include self assessment based on established international standards and peer evaluation by external institutions on the service performance of hospitals. The HA will also formulate and adopt measures to improve quality of hospital services continuously with

reference to code of good practices, standards and principles commonly used internationally, in order to enhance the service quality of hospitals.

In addition, the HA launched the pilot scheme on hospital accreditation in 2009 in co-operation with the Australian Council of Health Standards. Five HA hospitals participated the pilot scheme and all have been awarded four-year full accreditation status. The HA will further extend the hospital accreditation scheme to another 15 hospitals in the next five to seven years.

In order to have an objective and comprehensive examination of its development in various aspects, the HA has engaged independent consultants for conducting assessments in the past years. For example, the HA commissioned a consultant last year to conduct a review on the structural reorganization of the HA Head Office implemented since November 2006. The review was completed at the end of last year and the consultant's recommendations were accepted by the HA with measures being put in place step by step to strengthen the HA Head Office's functions in cluster co-ordination, corporate communications, staff communications and grade management. In addition, after review, the HA has proceeded to set up a consolidated corporate risk management framework to identify and handle the potential risks faced by the HA in its various business areas.

The HA has also conducted other reviews related to its management structure to examine how the HA Management manages the operation of the HA. As affirmed by the findings of the review, the current governance framework of the HA can afford reasonable assurance that it can facilitate the effective deployment of the resources of the HA to achieve its corporate targets. The HA is now implementing in a gradual manner the various recommendations put forward by the consultant including rationalizing the terms of reference of some administrative committees, modernizing its corporate communications strategy as well as strengthening the training of management personnel.

In order to further improve the standard of clinical services and the mechanism for patients safety to meet effectively the increasing demand for services and the rising expectation from the community, the HA appointed a consultant in early 2012 to review the professional governance of clinical practice. The review is expected to complete in June this year.

Apart from engaging independent external consultants for assessment in different areas, the HA also conducts internal reviews from time to time. On resource allocation, the HA has been adopting an approach that integrates its service planning and resource allocation through a structured framework and defined process to ensure the best use of resources for the delivery of quality service to the public. As for service planning, the drawing up of the annual plans at hospital and cluster levels is guided by the overall direction and priority service planning at the corporate level. Both the HA budget and the resource allocation among hospital clusters are put to the Finance Committee and the Administrative and Operational Meeting of the HA Board for consideration and endorsement. The allocation of resources within each cluster is essentially based on the service programmes and targets as defined in the process of drawing up the annual plan.

The HA has also put in place a resource management framework, under which resource inputs are linked up with service outputs, targets and quality standard. The use of resources at cluster level is then monitored and evaluated by the HA Head Office in an objective manner through a financial and performance reporting system. The clusters are requested to submit regular reports to the HA Head Office to show its performance indicators in regard to its service activities, manpower and financial situation, clinical outcome and progress of its annual plan. The HA will examine closely any variations from the pre-determined targets and where appropriate, take remedial actions with corresponding adjustment in resource allocation.

On manpower planning, the HA will regularly assess and make projections on the growth of demand for its various healthcare services and staff turnover so as to determine the number of healthcare staff required to be recruited each year in the coming years for implementing various services included in its annual plan to meet the healthcare needs of the public.

On service delivery models, when formulating its five-year strategic plans and annual work plans, the HA conducts extensive consultation with its frontline healthcare staff, patients' groups and other stakeholders. Regular reviews are also conducted on the service delivery model of public hospitals from time to time, including the introduction of public-private partnership (PPP) model for provision of quality healthcare services to the public. Since 2008, the Government has, in collaboration with the HA, implemented a number of PPP pilot projects to provide patients with subsidies to use outsourced services and

promote PPP in healthcare. These pilot projects include the Tin Shui Wai Primary Care Partnership Project, Haemodialysis Public-Private Partnership Programme, Cataract Surgeries Programme, and magnetic resonance imaging and computerized tomography scanning services project.

Regarding waiting time, the HA has always been concerned about the waiting time required for the public to use various public hospital services and has set up an ad hoc working group to review the waiting time problem. In the case of specialist out-patient (SOP) services, for instance, the HA has taken various measures to shorten the waiting time at SOP clinics, such as implementing a triage system to ensure that the public can be given timely and appropriate treatment. The HA will review the operation of its various services from time to time, closely monitor the waiting time for its services and conduct internal audits of its various major service areas with a view to formulating and implementing improvement measures.

On organizational structure, in the past two years, the HA followed up on the cluster structure review commenced in 2007. After thorough consultation, the HA made further improvements on the cluster management structure in January 2011 to define more clearly the powers and responsibilities of all hospitals and departments under each cluster and facilitate efficient delivery of appropriate and holistic services to the public. Such improvements included streamlining and standardizing the existing roles of the management positions in all clusters, service re-engineering, as well as appointment of Deputy Hospital Chief Executives and designated Specialist Clinical Co-ordinators and Cluster Service Directors.

The Government will continue to closely monitor the services of the HA and conduct assessment when necessary with a view to ensuring that the HA's operation and the services it provide suit the needs of the community.

Judiciary in Hong Kong

8. **MRS SOPHIE LEUNG** (in Chinese): *President, in recent years, there were some cases in which the higher courts overturned the original judgments when appeals were lodged by the parties concerned, and there were even cases in which the judges of the appeal courts criticized the trial judges for making*

mistakes. Furthermore, according to a paper provided to this Council by the Judiciary Administrator in March this year, against the establishment of 189 judicial posts at that time, only 144 were filled substantially and there were 45 vacancies, and in 2011, 65 deputy judges and judicial officers (JJOs) were engaged to cope with the workload in all levels of courts. In this connection, will the Government inform this Council:

- (a) *whether it knows the information on the appeal cases handled by various levels of courts (set out in the table below):*

	2009	2010	2011
(1) <i>Appeals handled by the Court of Appeal:</i>	<i>Number of cases</i>		
<i>Criminal cases:</i>			
<i>Appeals against the judgments of the Court of First Instance</i>			
<i>Appeals against the judgments of the Court of First Instance, and part of the original judgments being varied by the Court of Appeal</i>			
<i>Appeals against the judgments of the District Court</i>			
<i>Appeals against the judgments of the District Court, and part of the original judgments being varied by the Court of Appeal</i>			
<i>Civil cases:</i>			
<i>Appeals against the judgments of the Court of First Instance</i>			
<i>Appeals against the judgments of the Court of First Instance, and part of the original judgments being varied by the Court of Appeal</i>			
<i>Appeals against the judgments of the District Court</i>			
<i>Appeals against the judgments of the District Court, and part of the original judgments being varied by the Court of Appeal</i>			
(2) <i>Appeals handled by the Court of First Instance:</i>			
<i>Appeals against the judgments of the Magistrates' Courts</i>			
<i>Appeals against the judgments of the Magistrates' Courts, and part of the original judgments being varied by the Court of First Instance</i>			

- (b) *whether it knows if the Judiciary has compiled any statistics on the rate of erroneous judgments (including overturning original*

judgments, revising sentences, ordering for retrial, and so on) of various levels of courts; if it has, the details; if not, whether it will consider compiling and making public the relevant data; what mechanism is in place for the Judiciary to prevent judges from making errors in handing down judgment;

- (c) whether the Government has assessed the impact (for example, quality of the trial and waiting time for cases, and so on) of an almost 25% vacancy rate in the Judiciary; whether it knows if the Judiciary has any plan to expeditiously fill all the vacancies; and*
- (d) whether it knows the difference between the remuneration of deputy JJOs and that of substantive JJOs; of the number of deputy JJOs at present who have been appointed for more than three years; whether any restriction is imposed on the years of appointment for deputy JJOs?*

CHIEF SECRETARY FOR ADMINISTRATION (in Chinese): President, the Administration has consulted the Judiciary on the question and has received the following information:

- (a) and (b)

The Judiciary considers it important to note that in accordance with Article 92 of the Basic Law, all JJOs shall be chosen on the basis of their judicial and professional qualities. In judicial appointments, quality, and the highest standards of ability and integrity, will be maintained. In accordance with the principle of judicial independence, any judicial decision shall not be interfered with and can only be subject to appeal or review under the judicial system in accordance with the law. Such a right of appeal forms an important part of the judicial system for it allows a higher court to review the judgment of a lower court. The appeal mechanism seeks to ensure that any failures or mistakes claimed to have been made in or in relation to a court hearing, or during an investigation, can be corrected by way of appeal to a higher court. The appeal system is indeed an integral and essential part of our judicial system which

underlines the administration of justice. As a matter of open justice, court judgments, including those for all appeal cases, with reasons for the decisions, are normally pronounced or handed down in open court. Judgments of the appellate courts are uploaded onto the Judiciary website and are accessible by all.

Regarding the appellate caseload statistics sought in respect of the Court of Appeal and the Court of First Instance of the High Court, the available figures are set out at the Annex. The Judiciary would like to point out that as a matter of policy, it does not maintain statistics on the results of appeal cases. The success or otherwise of the appeals can be attributed to many different reasons, depending very much on the individual circumstances of each particular appeal case. The Judiciary is of the strong view that statistics of such nature, if not interpreted carefully and correctly, can be misleading.

All JJOs are well aware of their constitutional duty in adjudicating cases fairly and impartially, without fear and favour. They also undergo continuous training and development to keep abreast of developments in different areas of law and judicial work.

(c) and (d)

As at 15 May 2012, 148 of the 189 judicial posts are filled substantively and there are 41 vacancies. It is noteworthy that 13 Permanent Magistrate vacancies cannot be filled for the time being (mainly due to constraints arising from insufficient courtrooms) pending the completion of the West Kowloon Law Courts Building by the end of 2015. Accordingly, the total number of fillable vacancies for all levels of court is 28.

Since the Chief Justice assumed office on 1 September 2010, the planning of judicial manpower intake has been one of his top priorities. Under the Chief Justice's direction, follow up actions have been taken with a view to substantively filling the vacancies that the Judiciary had and would have by good quality candidates from both within and outside the Judiciary. So far, the appointment of the Chief Judge of the High Court has been completed, three

judges have been appointed as Justices of Appeal of the High Court and five Special Magistrates have been recruited from outside the Judiciary. Currently in progress include three other open recruitment exercises for Judges of the Court of First Instance (CFI Judges), District Judges and Permanent Magistrates. It is expected that these recruitment exercises will be completed in 2012. The Chief Justice is cautiously optimistic about the outcome of the ongoing recruitment exercises and hopes that, upon their completion, most of the vacancies would be substantively filled by suitable candidates.

As regards court waiting time targets, in 2011, the targets for the Court of the Final Appeal, the District Court (including those for the Family Court) and the Magistrates' Courts (except for summonses which marginally exceeded the target) and specialized court and tribunals have been met. However, in 2011, the waiting times for cases in the High Court, both for the Court of Appeal and the Court of First Instance, have exceeded their targets in most of the cases. This was due to more complex, lengthy and refixed cases. It was also due to the temporary constraints in the deployment of judicial manpower in the High Court as a result of the retirement of Judges and elevation of Judges to higher positions.

As far as the Court of Appeal is concerned, all judicial posts have been substantively filled since 13 December 2011. However, there remains some backlog of cases which have accumulated over the past year or so, and the Chief Judge of the High Court is giving top priority to deploying judicial resources for hearing criminal appeals.

As regards the Court of First Instance, the lengthening of waiting times for cases in 2011 was partly due to the temporary shortfall of substantive judicial manpower. The recruitment exercise for CFI Judges is in good progress and is expected to be completed in 2012. To address the situation in the interim, the Judiciary has been making every effort to engage deputy judges who are considered suitable for appointment as Deputy High Court Judges from both within and outside the Judiciary to help reduce the waiting times.

The number of deputy JJOs appointed fluctuates according to operational needs. The duration of their sittings also varies. External deputies (that is, members of the legal profession from outside the Judiciary) at High Court and District Court levels including the Masters' Office are normally each appointed for a few weeks at a time, whereas those at magisterial level are normally appointed for six-month periods and may be reappointed. It is important to note that only suitable eligible persons would be appointed as deputy JJOs.

As at 15 May 2012, a total of 69 deputy JJOs were engaged to cope with the court's workload, comprising 33 external deputies and 36 deputies who were appointed from within the Judiciary to act in higher positions or cross-posted to work in the High Court Registry (internal deputies). Among them, six internal deputies and four external deputies have been appointed to their present offices for more than three years.

The external deputies receive an honorarium calculated on a daily basis whereas internal deputies receive an acting allowance.

Annex

Appellate caseload statistics sought in respect of the Court of Appeal
and the Court of First Instance of the High Court

(A) Court of Appeal of the High Court

	<i>Number of cases</i>		
	<i>2009</i>	<i>2010</i>	<i>2011</i>
(i) Criminal Appeals from the Court of First Instance	131	128	162
(ii) Criminal Appeals from the District Court	346	356	383
(iii) Civil Appeals from the Court of First Instance	213	222	230
(iv) Civil Appeals from the District Court	37	28	30

(B) Court of First Instance of the High Court

	<i>Number of cases</i>		
	<i>2009</i>	<i>2010</i>	<i>2011</i>
Criminal Appeals from Magistrates' Courts	1 043	980	897

Handling of Committee Stage Amendments to Bills

9. **MR WONG KWOK-HING** (in Chinese): *President, on 2 May this year, this Council resumed the Second Reading debate on the Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012 (the Bill) introduced by the Government. A Member has proposed over 1 000 Committee stage amendments (CSAs) to the Bill. Some Members and members of the public have pointed out that such a move has caused difficulties in handling the CSAs and has substantially extended the meeting time of the Legislative Council in handling these CSAs, thereby affecting the Legislative Council in handling other bills and livelihood issues, and even paralysing the operation of the Legislature. In this connection, will the Government inform this Council:*

- (a) of the general procedures according to which the authorities had handled each CSA proposed by the Legislative Council Members in the past three years; of the average manpower, operating expenses and working hours involved;*
- (b) of the manpower, operating expenses and working hours involved in handling the aforesaid 1 000-odd CSAs by the authorities;*
- (c) whether the authorities have assessed the number of items, which the Government has originally planned to propose for discussion by the Legislative Council panels, bills committees and subcommittees, had been delayed because it was necessary to handle the aforesaid CSAs at the Legislative Council meetings; of the additional manpower the authorities needed to deploy due to such delay, as well as the operating expenses and working hours involved; and*
- (d) whether the authorities have assessed the additional amount of public money involved for handling those 1 000-odd CSAs; whether*

the authorities will consider taking appropriate measures (for example, introducing bills into the Legislative Council as early as possible) in the future to enable the Legislative Council to have sufficient time to scrutinize and vote on bills?

CHIEF SECRETARY FOR ADMINISTRATION (in Chinese): President,

- (a) As a general practice, upon receipt of a CSA to a bill as proposed by a Member, the Legislative Council Secretariat will consult the bureau concerned, so that the Legislative Council President can take the views of the bureau into account when considering whether to approve the proposed CSA. The bureau concerned has to offer advice within a short period of time on whether the proposed CSA complies with the requirements of the Rules of Procedure of the Legislative Council, including whether the CSA is relevant to the subject matter of the bill; whether the object or effect of the CSA will dispose of or charge any part of the revenue or other public moneys, and so on. The bureau would need to consult the Department of Justice and, depending on circumstances, also consult other bureaux/departments before rendering advice. If the proposed CSA is approved by the President, the Director of Bureau and officials concerned also need to participate in the debate in scrutinizing and voting on the CSAs at the relevant Council meeting. As Members may propose more than one CSA to a bill, in the case where a large number of CSAs are proposed, the Policy Bureau and departments concerned have to make appropriate arrangements in terms of manpower and resources for handling the CSAs.

In general, Policy Bureaux do not compile any statistics or keep any records on the manpower, operating expenses or working hours engaged in handling CSAs proposed by Members. The Administration is, therefore, not in a position to provide the information requested.

- (b) In the case of the Bill, the CSAs proposed by Members are mainly handled by the Constitutional and Mainland Affairs Bureau and the Department of Justice with their respective resources. Due to the

wide range of scope of the CSAs proposed, the Administration cannot provide a general quantification of the manpower, operating expenses and working hours required for processing the CSAs.

- (c) The Administration does not keep any statistics in relation to the number of normal agenda items of the Legislative Council panels, bills committees and subcommittees that have been affected as a result of the processing of the CSAs to the Bill. Nor does the Administration keep any statistics relating to the additional manpower, operating expenses and working hours so incurred.

Nevertheless, according to the information available on the Legislative Council website, during the period between 2 May 2012 (that is, the date on which the Second Reading debate on the Bill was resumed) and 25 May 2012, meetings of 18 panels, bills committees and subcommittees scheduled to be held on the same dates as those of the extended Council meetings were rescheduled or cancelled subsequently.

- (d) As explained in part (b) above, the Administration cannot provide a general quantification of the additional operating expenses incurred for processing the CSAs moved by Members to the Bill. It has been the practice of the Administration that wherever practicable, the Administration will introduce bills as early as possible to allow Members sufficient time to scrutinize the bills. The Administration will continue with this practice in future.

Expenditure on Overseas Duty Visits Incurred by Politically Appointed Officials

10. **MR RONNY TONG** (in Chinese): *President, in respect of the issue of overseas duty visits made by politically appointed officials (PAOs), including Secretaries, Under Secretaries and Political Assistants, will the Government inform this Council:*

- (a) *whether the Government has at present set ceilings on the expenditure incurred by PAOs for their overseas duty visits; if it has,*

of the details (set out in table form); of the criteria based on which the authorities set such ceilings; whether annual reviews are conducted; if so, of the details; if not, the reasons for that; and

- (b) *whether the frequencies and destinations of the overseas duty visits made by various Secretaries, Under Secretaries and Political Assistants, as well as the total amount and breakdown of the expenditure incurred for such visits, have been recorded during the current term of the Government; if so, set out such records in table form?*

SECRETARY FOR CONSTITUTIONAL AND MAINLAND AFFAIRS (in Chinese): President, the Government's reply to the questions raised by Mr Ronny TONG is as follows:

- (a) Overseas duty visits are made by PAOs on the basis of operational need. Paragraphs 6.3 to 6.5 of the Code for Officials under the Political Appointment System have set out the guidelines regarding the class of travelling on duty outside Hong Kong and subsistence allowance for PAOs.

On the class of travelling, the Principal Officials (that is, Secretaries of Departments and Directors of Bureaux) and the Director of the Chief Executive's Office may travel on first class when travelling on duty outside Hong Kong. The class of travelling on duty outside Hong Kong for Under Secretaries and Political Assistants are subject to the same rules and regulations as those applicable to civil servants at comparable levels.

On subsistence allowance, PAOs are subject to the same rules and regulations as those applicable to civil servants.

- (b) The numbers and destinations of overseas duty visits made by various incumbent Secretaries, Under Secretaries and Political Assistants, as well as the total amount of the expenditure incurred for such visits are set out in the Annex.

Annex

Duty visits by the incumbent Directors of Bureaux,
Under Secretaries and Political Assistants since assumption of office
(As at end of March 2012)

<i>Office/Bureau</i>	<i>Number of visits</i>	<i>Destinations</i>	<i>Total Expenditure (HK\$)</i>
1. Financial Secretary's Private Office			
Political Assistant	16	Mainland (Jiangmen, Shenzhen, Huizhou, Dongguan, Shanghai, Guangzhou, Foshan, Sichuan, Xiamen, Beijing, Shunde), Taipei and Macao	73,598.18
2. Civil Service Bureau			
Secretary	4	Mainland (Beijing, Shanghai)	66,586.50
3. Commerce and Economic Development Bureau			
Acting Secretary (21.3.2011 to 27.6.2011)	3	United States (Montana), France (Paris) and Liechtenstein (Schaan)	256,364.00
Secretary	10	Mainland (Beijing, Chengdu, Urumqi, Nanchang, Taiyuan, Shanghai, Chongqing), Taipei, Switzerland (Geneva and Davos) and United States (Honolulu)	342,749.00
4. Constitutional and Mainland Affairs Bureau			
Secretary	5	Mainland (Beijing, Chongqing, Guangzhou, Shanghai, Shenzhen), Taichung and Taipei	46,075.00
Under Secretary	3	Mainland (Beijing, Shanghai)	32,079.00
5. Development Bureau			
Secretary	46	New Zealand (Auckland, Wellington), India (Mumbai), Korea (Seoul), France (Paris, Nice), Italy (Bellagio), Sweden (Stockholm, Malmo), Finland (Helsinki), Denmark (Copenhagen), Norway (Oslo), United Kingdom (London), Japan (Tokyo), Singapore, Australia (Melbourne, Sydney), United States (New York), Mainland (Beijing, Guangzhou, Shenzhen,	1,175,814.00

<i>Office/Bureau</i>	<i>Number of visits</i>	<i>Destinations</i>	<i>Total Expenditure (HK\$)</i>
		Chengdu, Xi'an, Luoyang, Zhengzhou, Lijiang, Shanghai, Fuzhou, DunHuang, Ningbo, Fenghua, Zhoushan, Nanjing) and Macao	
Political Assistant	8	Mainland (Shanghai, Shenzhen, Chengdu) and Macao	20,668.00
6. Education Bureau			
Secretary	20	Mainland (Beijing, Chengdu, Guangzhou, Shenzhen, Zhengzhou, Shanghai, Wuhan), Macao, Finland (Helsinki), Norway (Oslo), Canada (Toronto), Peru (Lima), Australia (Melbourne), Singapore, Malaysia (Kuala Lumpur), Indonesia (Jakarta), Korea (Seoul), Japan (Tokyo), Vietnam (Hanoi) and Brunei (Bandar Seri Begawan)	592,411.00
Under Secretary	29	Mainland (Chengdu, Guangzhou, Ningbo, Beijing, Dongguan, Shenzhen, Zhuhai, Shanghai), United Kingdom (London, Edinburgh, Glasgow) and United States (New York)	506,468.00
Political Assistant	1	Singapore	12,027.00
7. Environment Bureau			
Secretary	60	Mainland (Beijing, Shenzhen, Guangzhou, Shaoguan, Dongguan, Shanghai, Yunnan), Macao, Japan (Tokyo, Osaka, Fukui, Kyoto, Sanyin), United States (Los Angeles, Sacramento, San Francisco, Washington DC, Boston, Massachusetta), United Kingdom (London, Cambridge, Edinburgh, Glasgow), Australia (Sydney, Canberra, Melbourne), Canada (Toronto, Ottawa), Korea (Seoul), Demark (Copenhagen),	1,816,205.00

<i>Office/Bureau</i>	<i>Number of visits</i>	<i>Destinations</i>	<i>Total Expenditure (HK\$)</i>
		Sweden (Stockholm, Lund, Malmo), Israel (Tel Aviv), Singapore, Malaysia (Langkawi), Germany (Frankfurt, Berlin), Mexico (Cancun), France (Paris, Marseille, Haute Provence), Austria (Vienna), Brazil (Sao Paulo) and Norway (Langesund, Oslo).	
Under Secretary	42	Mainland (Beijing, Shenzhen, Guangzhou, Dongguan, Huizhou, Foshan, Jiangmen, Zhongshan, Shanghai, Fuzhou) and Macao	111,345.00
Political Assistant	21	Mainland (Shenzhen, Beijing, Shanghai, Guangzhou, Yunnan), Australia (Sydney, Canberra, Melbourne), Japan (Tokyo, Sanyin), Canada (Toronto, Ottawa), United States (Los Angeles, San Francisco, Washington DC, Boston, New York, Massachusetta), Korea (Seoul), Demark (Copenhagen), Sweden (Stockholm, Lund, Malmo), Malaysia (Langkawi), Singapore, Germany (Frankfurt, Berlin), Mexico (Cancun), France (Paris, Marseille, Haute Provence), Austria (Vienna), Brazil (Sao Paulo) and United Kingdom (London, Cambridge, Edinburgh, Glasgow)	937,099.00
8. Financial Services and the Treasury Bureau			
Secretary	43	Mainland (Beijing, Shanghai, Guangzhou, Chengdu, Guangdong, Fujian, Tianjin), Japan (Tokyo), Korea (Seoul), Indonesia (Jakarta), Malaysia (Kuala Lumpur), Vietnam (Hanoi, Ho Chi Minh City), United Arab Emirates (Dubai), Australia	1,945,006.72

<i>Office/Bureau</i>	<i>Number of visits</i>	<i>Destinations</i>	<i>Total Expenditure (HK\$)</i>
		(Sydney, Canberra), Russia (St. Petersburg, Moscow), Switzerland (Zurich, Bern), Czech Republic (Prague), Germany (Frankfurt), Belgium (Brussels), United Kingdom (London), Ireland (Dublin), Canada (Toronto) and United States (New York, Washington DC, Boston, San Francisco)	
Under Secretary	29	Mainland (Beijing, Shanghai, Guangzhou, Chongqing), Taipei, Switzerland (Zurich, Geneva), Luxembourg, Germany (Frankfurt), Belgium (Brussels) and United States (New York, Washington DC, Boston)	752,220.00
Political Assistant	0	-	-
9. Food and Health Bureau			
Secretary	41	Australia (Canberra, Melbourne, Sydney), Brazil (Brasilia, Campo Grande, Sao Paulo), Canada (Toronto), Chile (Santiago), Estonia (Tallinn), Germany (Frankfurt, Hannover, Munich), Israel (Tel Aviv), Japan (Tokyo), Korea (Seoul, Jeju), Macao, Mainland (Beijing, Guangdong, Hangzhou, Jilin, Shanghai, Sichuan, Xi'an), Malaysia (Putrajaya), Netherlands (Amsterdam), Singapore, Switzerland (Basel, Geneva), United Kingdom (London) and United States (Atlanta, New York, San Francisco)	1,534,506.98
Under Secretary	12	Australia (Canberra, Melbourne, Perth), Mainland (Beijing, Guangdong, Shanghai), Malaysia (Kuala Lumpur), Philippines (Manila), Singapore, Switzerland	479,767.10

<i>Office/Bureau</i>	<i>Number of visits</i>	<i>Destinations</i>	<i>Total Expenditure (HK\$)</i>
		(Geneva), Thailand (Bangkok), United Kingdom (London) and United States (Boston, Washington)	
Political Assistant	1	Mainland (Shanghai)	7,417.35
10. Home Affairs Bureau			
Secretary	60	Mainland (Beijing, Shenzhen, Chuxiong, Chengdu, Ningbo, Dongguan, Wuxi, Guangzhou, Jinan, Hefei, Shanghai, Suzhou, Dunhuang, Xi'an, Hohhot, Ordos, Chongqing, Shaoguan, Hangzhou), Taipei, Taichung, Macao, Singapore, United States (New York), Japan (Tokyo, Nagoya) and Russia (Moscow, St. Petersburg)	702,912.51
Under Secretary	7	Mainland (Shenzhen, Guangzhou, Shanghai)	6,094.10
Political Assistant	1	Mainland (Shanghai)	7,230.65
11. Labour and Welfare Bureau			
Secretary	12	Mainland (Beijing, Shanghai, Sichuan, Guangzhou, Shenzhen), Macao and United States (San Francisco)	188,387.45
Political Assistant	2	Mainland (Shanghai) and Macao	7,159.28
12. Transport and Housing Bureau			
Secretary	51	Mainland (Shenzhen, Guangzhou, Beijing, Shanghai, Ningbo, Zhuhai, Wuhan, Chongqing), Macao, India (Delhi), Singapore, Vietnam (Hanoi, Ho Chi Minh City), Philippines (Manila), Malaysia (Kuala Lumpur), United Kingdom (London), Belgium (Brussels), Australia (Melbourne), Korea (Seoul) and United States (Los Angeles, San Francisco)	679,045.31
Under Secretary	10	Mainland (Shenzhen, Guangzhou, Zhuhai, Beijing, Shanghai) and Italy (Milan)	62,405.52

<i>Office/Bureau</i>	<i>Number of visits</i>	<i>Destinations</i>	<i>Total Expenditure (HK\$)</i>
13. Security Bureau			
Secretary	31	Mainland (Beijing, Guangzhou, Shenzhen, Qingdao, Shanghai, Yanji, Changchun, Xining, Hohhot, Lhasa, Hangzhou, Taizhou), Macao, Korea (Seoul), Japan (Tokyo), Singapore, Thailand (Bangkok), India (New Delhi), Malaysia (Kuala Lumpur), Indonesia (Jakarta), India (Kolkata) and Russia (Moscow)	701,178.00
Under Secretary	7	Mainland (Beijing, Shanghai), United States (Honolulu), Japan (Tokyo, Sendai, Fukushima) and Philippines (Manila)	71,143.00
Political Assistant	17	Mainland (Beijing, Guangzhou, Shenzhen, Qingdao, Shanghai, Xining, Hohhot, Lhasa, Hangzhou, Taizhou), Macao and Singapore	175,303.00

Public Forums and Meetings of Various Councils Attended by Under Secretaries and Political Assistants

11. **MR ALAN LEONG** (in Chinese): *President, will the Government inform this Council of the numbers of meetings of the Legislative Council and District Councils (DCs) and other public forums attended by politically appointed officials (Under Secretaries and Political Assistants) as well as the number of times they had spoken at such meetings since the Government's further expansion of the Political Accountability System in 2008, and set out the relevant information in the following tables:*

- (a) *the number of meetings of the Legislative Council attended by politically appointed officials and the number of times they had spoken at such meetings;*

		<i>Transport and Housing Bureau</i>	#																	
		<i>Security Bureau</i>																		
		<i>Home Affairs Bureau</i>																		
		<i>Food and Health Bureau</i>																		
		<i>Financial Services and the Treasury Bureau</i>																		
		<i>Environment Bureau</i>																		
		<i>Education Bureau</i>																		
		<i>Development Bureau</i>																		
		<i>Labour and Welfare Bureau</i>																		
		<i>Constitutional and Mainland Affairs Bureau</i>	#	#																
		<i>Commerce and Economic Development Bureau</i>	#	#																
		<i>Civil Service Bureau</i>	#	#																
		<i>Financial Secretary's Office</i>																		
	<i>Political Assistants</i>	<i>Number of meetings attended</i>																		
		<i>Number of times they had spoken</i>																		

Note:

relevant posts not created

- (c) *the number of other public forums attended by politically appointed officials and the number of times they had spoken at such meetings?*

		<i>Transport and Housing Bureau</i>																		
		<i>Security Bureau</i>																		
		<i>Home Affairs Bureau</i>																		
		<i>Food and Health Bureau</i>																		
		<i>Financial Services and the Treasury Bureau</i>																		
		<i>Environment Bureau</i>																		
		<i>Education Bureau</i>																		
		<i>Development Bureau</i>	#	#																
		<i>Labour and Welfare Bureau</i>	#	#																
		<i>Constitutional and Mainland Affairs Bureau</i>																		
		<i>Commerce and Economic Development Bureau</i>																		
		<i>Civil Service Bureau</i>	#	#																
		<i>Financial Secretary's Office</i>	#	#																
	<i>Under Secretaries</i>	<i>Number of meetings attended</i>																		
		<i>Number of times they had spoken</i>																		
	<i>Political Assistants</i>	<i>Number of meetings attended</i>																		
		<i>Number of times they had spoken</i>																		

Note:

relevant posts not created

SECRETARY FOR CONSTITUTIONAL AND MAINLAND AFFAIRS (in Chinese): President, Under Secretaries are responsible principally for assisting Secretaries in undertaking the full range of political work, including the handling of Legislative Council business. Since assuming office, the Under Secretaries have attended many Legislative Council Panel and committee meetings, and assisted in explaining government policies and seeking Legislative Council Members' support for government proposals. They have also attended many other meetings and functions, including DC meetings, public forums, seminars and consultation sessions, so as to strengthen the communication between the Government and different sectors of the community. The Under Secretaries have also deputized as Acting Secretaries for the Bureau Secretaries, when the latter are on duty visits or on leave.

Political Assistants are responsible principally for providing political analysis and support and input to the Secretaries and the Under Secretaries, and conducting the necessary political liaison as directed by the Secretaries and the Under Secretaries. They also liaise with the media and various stakeholders, and assist in explaining government policies.

In response to the question raised by Mr Alan LEONG, we set out in the Annex statistics relating to the attendance of the incumbent Under Secretaries and Political Assistants at the Legislative Council and DC meetings, as well as other public forums. The Administration does not have statistics relating to the number of times the relevant officials had spoken at such meetings.

We should emphasize that the day-to-day work of the Under Secretaries and Political Assistants covers various aspects and cannot be quantified. In addition, with different portfolios, different subject matters and different sectors to liaise with, the work of different Under Secretaries and Political Assistants is bound to be different. Hence, any direct comparison among them would be inappropriate.

Annex

Statistics relating to the attendance of the incumbent Under Secretaries
at the Legislative Council and DC meetings as well as other public forums
(As at 25 May 2012)

	<i>Under Secretary for the Environment</i>	<i>Under Secretary for Education</i>	<i>Under Secretary for Financial Services and the Treasury</i>	<i>Under Secretary for Transport and Housing</i>	<i>Under Secretary for Home Affairs</i>	<i>Under Secretary for Constitutional and Mainland Affairs</i>	<i>Under Secretary for Security</i>
Date of assumption of office	10.7.2008	24.7.2008	4.8.2008	15.8.2008	13.10.2008	1.11.2009	1.11.2009
Legislative Council meetings (including Council and committee meetings) attended	96	84	69	157	56	96	58
Meetings (including Council and committee meetings) and functions of the DC attended	35	3	29	16	80	16	18
Public forums (including public forums, and forums/functions held by the Administration, professional bodies, district organizations, schools, non-governmental organizations and other organizations) attended	323	98	201	150	275	77	53

Statistics relating to the attendance of the incumbent Political Assistants
at the Legislative Council and DC meetings as well as other public forums
(As at 25 May 2012)

	<i>Political Assistant to Secretary for Security</i>	<i>Political Assistant to Secretary for Development</i>	<i>Political Assistant to Secretary for Home Affairs</i>	<i>Political Assistant to Financial Secretary</i>	<i>Political Assistant to Secretary for Food and Health</i>	<i>Political Assistant to Secretary for the Environment</i>	<i>Political Assistant to Secretary for Financial Services and the Treasury</i>	<i>Political Assistant to Secretary for Education</i>	<i>Political Assistant to Secretary for Labour and Welfare</i>
Date of assumption of office	1.6.2008	12.6.2008	16.6.2008	21.7.2008	28.7.2008	1.8.2008	14.8.2008	1.9.2008	3.11.2008
Legislative Council meetings and meetings (including Council and committee meetings) and functions of the DC attended*	25	41	62	15	65	22	14	15	18

	<i>Political Assistant to Secretary for Security</i>	<i>Political Assistant to Secretary for Development</i>	<i>Political Assistant to Secretary for Home Affairs</i>	<i>Political Assistant to Financial Secretary</i>	<i>Political Assistant to Secretary for Food and Health</i>	<i>Political Assistant to Secretary for the Environment</i>	<i>Political Assistant to Secretary for Financial Services and the Treasury</i>	<i>Political Assistant to Secretary for Education</i>	<i>Political Assistant to Secretary for Labour and Welfare</i>
Public forums (including public forums, and forums/functions held by the Administration, professional bodies, district organizations, schools, non-governmental organizations and other organizations) attended	111	180	131	70	58	120	85	177	68

Note:

* Political Assistants attend meetings of the Legislative Council and committees to support the work of Secretaries of Departments, Directors of Bureaux and Under Secretaries from time to time. Nevertheless, their attendance might not be included in the list of the Administration's attendance. Hence, the figures in the above table do not fully reflect their attendance at the Legislative Council meetings.

Voter Registration for Hong Kong Permanent Residents Residing on the Mainland

12. **MR WONG KWOK-KIN** (in Chinese): *President, in recent years, economic co-operation between Hong Kong and the Mainland has become more frequent, and transportation between these two places is very convenient, and quite a number of Hong Kong permanent residents have chosen to settle or work on the Mainland. Some of them reside or work on the Mainland for a prolonged period, thus not meeting the eligibility criterion of an elector that he "ordinarily resides in Hong Kong" and cannot register as electors in Hong Kong. In this connection, will the Government inform this Council:*

- (a) *in the past three years, of the number of voter registration (VR) applications lodged by Hong Kong permanent residents who resided or worked on the Mainland but had a place of residence in Hong Kong; the respective numbers of those whose VR applications had been accepted and rejected; the vetting and approval procedures of the authorities for such applications;*
- (b) *whether, under the existing VR system, the authorities will consider allowing Hong Kong permanent residents who work in Hong Kong but reside on the Mainland to provide, in addition to their own*

residential addresses, their employers' company addresses or friends' residential addresses in Hong Kong as their correspondence addresses for receiving letters issued by the Registration and Electoral Office (REO) upon submission of new VR applications by them; if they will, how they will proceed to verify the residential addresses of registered electors; if not, the reasons for that;

- (c) whether the authorities allow Hong Kong permanent residents working in Hong Kong but residing on the Mainland to register as eligible electors, so as to enable them to vote at the various elections in Hong Kong as well as exercise their civic rights and fulfil their responsibilities; if so, of the criteria and procedures for vetting and approving their VR applications; if not, the reasons for that; and*
- (d) whether the authorities will allow persons who have registered as eligible electors but are residing on the Mainland to return to Hong Kong during elections to vote; if so, of the relevant arrangements and details; if not, the reasons for that?*

SECRETARY FOR CONSTITUTIONAL AND MAINLAND AFFAIRS (in Chinese): President, according to section 28 of the Legislative Council Ordinance (Cap. 542) (LCO), a person is not eligible to be registered as an elector in a geographical constituency unless, at the time of applying for registration, the person ordinarily resides in Hong Kong and the residential address notified in the person's application for registration is his only or principal residence in Hong Kong.

Whether a person "ordinarily resides" in Hong Kong is to be determined on a case-by-case basis having regard to the actual circumstances of each case and past judicial decisions.

In general, if a Hong Kong Permanent Resident has left Hong Kong to reside in other jurisdictions without maintaining connections with Hong Kong, the person may be considered as not ordinarily residing in Hong Kong. On the other hand, if a Hong Kong Permanent Resident used to reside in Hong Kong for a long time in the past but has left Hong Kong for personal reasons, but still returns to Hong Kong regularly to pursue a life here (for example, for work or family reunion), then to a certain extent, that person still maintains a reasonable connection with Hong Kong. If he can also provide a residential address which is his only or principal residence in Hong Kong for VR, then whether the person

satisfies the statutory requirement of "ordinarily residing in Hong Kong" is to be determined on a case-by-case basis in light of the actual circumstances of the case. If the REO receives any enquiries or complaints in this regard, it will consider the details and facts of the case carefully, and will seek legal advice if necessary.

As regards the questions of Mr WONG Kwok-kin, our reply is as follows:

- (a) As an applicant does not need to indicate in the application form for VR whether he/she resides or lives in the Mainland, the REO does not have the requested information. According to current legislation, a person has to provide a true and accurate residential address in Hong Kong for the purposes of VR, and such address has to be the person's only or principal residence in Hong Kong. The person commits an offence if he/she provides a false address, or if the address is not his/her only or principal residence in Hong Kong.

(b) and (c)

According to current legislation, an applicant has to satisfy the Electoral Registration Officer that he/she ordinarily resides in Hong Kong and has to provide an address which is his/her only or principal residence in Hong Kong. The applicant is not eligible for registration as an elector of a geographical constituency if the above requirements are not fulfilled.

Moreover, under the prevailing arrangements, the REO will consider permitting an elector to use another address as his correspondence address to receive election-related letters only if his residential address is not covered by postal services.

According to current legislation, any Hong Kong Permanent Resident applying for registration as an elector of a geographical constituency, regardless of his place of work, has to fulfill the relevant requirements under section 28 of the LCO. Otherwise, the REO will not process his/her application.

- (d) According to current legislation, persons whose names are set out in the current Final Register are in general eligible to vote in the relevant constituency in subsequent elections. The REO will assign the constituency and polling stations according to an elector's registered residential address in Hong Kong. However, if an elector

no longer resides in Hong Kong or if he no longer has an only or principal residence in Hong Kong, he may no longer meet the statutory eligibility requirements for VR and may thereby be disqualified from voting. Therefore, he should update the REO with the most updated information for necessary follow up.

Food Labelling Requirements in Hong Kong

13. **MR FRED LI** (in Chinese): *President, recently, I have received complaints from members of the public pointing out that the labels on the packages of prepackaged beverages sold in Hong Kong, such as beer and milk products, and so on, do not provide information on the sources of manufacture. I have also found that alcoholic beverages of an alcoholic strength by volume of more than 1.2% are not required to show their nutrition information. In this connection, will the Government inform this Council:*

- (a) *of the legislation under which the aforesaid beverages are exempted from the requirement of showing their sources of manufacture and nutrition information on their labels, together with the justifications for the exemption;*
- (b) *whether it will consider amending the relevant legislation to require that the aforesaid relevant information must be shown on the labels of the aforesaid beverages; if it will, of the details; if not, the reasons for that; and*
- (c) *whether it has assessed if not showing such information on the packaging labels is unfair to consumers when they choose their beverages; if it has, of the details; if not, the reasons for that?*

SECRETARY FOR FOOD AND HEALTH (in Chinese): President, food label is an important channel of communication between manufacturers and consumers. It serves as a tool for the food industry to provide information for potential buyers and assist them in making informed food choices.

Under Schedule 3 of the Food and Drugs (Composition and Labelling) Regulations (Cap. 132W) (the Regulations), prepackaged foods are required to be marked or labelled with the following information:

- (i) name or designation of the food;
- (ii) list of ingredients;
- (iii) indication of "best before" or "use by" date;
- (iv) statement of special conditions for storage or instructions for use;
- (v) name and address of manufacturer or packer;
- (vi) count, weight or volume; and
- (vii) appropriate language.

The requirements on nutrition labelling and nutrition claim of prepackaged food, including those on energy value and nutrient content of food, as well as the conditions for making nutrition claim, are provided in Schedule 5 of Cap. 132W.

Our statutory provisions and requirements on food labelling are able to keep up with the latest international development. We will also review our food legislation from time to time to ensure that it is up-to-date.

My reply to the question is as follows:

(a) and (b)

According to Schedule 4 of Cap. 132W, drinks with an alcoholic strength by volume of more than 1.2% are exempted from some labelling requirements in Schedule 3, including the marking of the information on food manufacturer or packer. When the Government proposed to amend Cap. 132W in 2004, the relevant subcommittee of the Legislative Council had thoroughly discussed the labelling requirements of these alcoholic drinks. As many of the alcoholic drinks sold in Hong Kong were imported from non-English speaking countries, the subcommittee, after consultation with the trade, accepted that there were practical difficulties in labelling alcoholic drinks with an alcoholic strength of 1.2% or more in either English or Chinese. After careful consideration of the views expressed by the subcommittee and the trade, the Government decided that these alcoholic drinks should be exempted from some

labelling requirements in Schedule 3, including the marking of the information on food manufacturer or packer.

From the perspective of food safety, the Food Safety Ordinance (Cap. 612), which fully commenced on 1 February this year, provides for, *inter alia*, the registration scheme for food importers and food distributors and the requirement for food traders to maintain proper transaction records. Such measures help enhance food traceability so that in the event of a food incident, the Administration can trace the source of food more effectively and take prompt action to protect public health. Even if the information on food manufacturer or packer is not shown on the food label, with the transaction records kept by food traders, the Administration can still trace the source of food, thus safeguarding public health.

As for nutrition labelling, under Schedule 6 of Cap. 132W, some food items are exempted from the nutrition labelling requirements of the Regulations, including drinks with an alcoholic strength by volume of more than 1.2%.

There is no internationally-recognized standard for the nutrition labelling of these alcoholic drinks, nor are there any nutrition labelling requirements imposed on such alcoholic drinks by the European Union and other advanced countries. There will be practical difficulties for us to implement these requirements unilaterally in Hong Kong and the trade will also be significantly affected as a result. In enacting the nutrition labelling legislation, we have therefore made reference to international practices and decided to exempt these drinks from the requirements of nutrition labelling.

The Milk Regulation (Cap. 132AQ) requires, *inter alia*, that any milk or milk beverage to be imported into Hong Kong for sale should come from a source of manufacture that has been approved by the Director of Food and Environmental Hygiene. As such, before importing milk or milk beverages into Hong Kong, importers need to make application in writing regarding the source of manufacture and provide the full name and detailed address of the milk or milk beverage processing plant concerned.

Under Section 6 of Schedule 3, Cap. 132W, prepackaged milk and milk beverage shall be marked with the name and detailed address of the manufacturer/packer. Otherwise, the milk or milk beverage shall be marked with an indication of its country of origin, together with:

- (i) the name of the distributor or brand owner in Hong Kong and the address of the registered or principal office of the distributor or brand owner in Hong Kong, with the full address of the manufacturer or packer of the food in its country of origin provided in writing to the Food and Environmental Hygiene Department (FEHD) in advance; or
- (ii) a code marking identifying the manufacturer or packer in its country of origin, with particulars of the code marking and of the manufacturer or packer to whom it relates provided in writing to the FEHD in advance.

Besides, every container containing milk or milk beverage shall be marked with the name and address of the person by whom the contents were processed in accordance with Schedule 2 of Cap. 132W. Prepackaged milk and milk beverages shall be marked in accordance with the requirements of nutrition labelling and nutrition claim of prepackaged food under Schedule 5.

- (c) Milk and milk beverages must be labelled with the specified information (that is, the labelling requirements for milk and milk beverages in Schedule 2, the general labelling requirements in Schedule 3, as well as the nutrition labelling requirements in Schedule 5) in accordance with Cap. 132W. Hence, consumers are already fully informed when making their choices.

Although drinks with an alcoholic strength by volume of more than 1.2% are exempted from some labelling requirements in Schedule 3, including the marking of the information on food manufacturer or packer, those with an alcoholic strength of less than 10% are still required to indicate their durability in the labels, that is, the indication of "best before" or "use by" date, so as to protect consumers. In addition, after the enactment of the Food Safety Ordinance, even if the information on food manufacturer or packer is

not shown on the food label, with the transaction records kept by food traders, the Administration can still trace the source of food. Consumers are therefore further protected.

In the light of the nutrition database of relevant authorities of other countries and its own risk assessment studies, the Centre for Food Safety has set up a Nutrient Information Inquiry System (NIIS) for the public to find out the nutrient content of different food items, so as to help them make informed food choices. It is an online searchable database containing nutrient data of commonly consumed food items which are of relevance and interest to our local community. Among other things, nutrient data of milk and milk beverages as well as some exempted alcoholic drinks are available in NIIS for the information of consumers. NIIS can be accessed at <<http://www.cfs.gov.hk/english/nutrient/index.shtml>>.

- (1) Including:
The Institute of Nutrition and Food Safety, Chinese Center for Disease Control and Prevention
The Nutrient Data Laboratory, US Department of Agriculture
Food Standards Australia New Zealand
The Institute of Nutrition, Mahidol University, Thailand

Engagement of Consultancy Firms by Offices of Secretaries, Policy Bureaux and Government Departments

14. **MR LAU WONG-FAT** (in Chinese): *President, will the Government inform this Council of the number of consultancy firms engaged by the offices of the Chief Secretary for Administration, the Financial Secretary and the Secretary for Justice (offices of the Secretaries), Policy Bureaux and government departments to assist in their work between 2001-2002 and 2007-2008 as well as the expenditure involved, together with a detailed breakdown by year and office of the Secretary, Policy Bureau and government department?*

SECRETARY FOR FINANCIAL SERVICES AND THE TREASURY (in Chinese): President, on the number of consultancy firms engaged by offices of the Secretaries, Policy Bureaux and government departments between 2001-2002 and 2007-2008 and the expenditure involved, we have collated from various government offices the relevant information for each of the concerned years as per Annex.

Annex

Engagement of consultancy firms by officers of the Secretaries, policy bureaux and government departments

Bureau/Department	2001-2012		2002-2003		2003-2004		2004-2005		2005-2006		2006-2007		2007-2008	
	Number of consultancy services contracts signed	Consultancy Fee (\$)	Number of consultancy services contracts signed	Consultancy Fee (\$)	Number of consultancy services contracts signed	Consultancy Fee (\$)	Number of consultancy services contracts signed	Consultancy Fee (\$)	Number of consultancy services contracts signed	Consultancy Fee (\$)	Number of consultancy services contracts signed	Consultancy Fee (\$)	Number of consultancy services contracts signed	Consultancy Fee (\$)
1 Offices of the Chief Secretary for Administration and the Financial Secretary	-	-	-	-	31	6,242,933	32	7,582,600	113	17,051,267	88	16,971,878	71	10,302,559
2 Civil Service Bureau	2	2,204,000	1	245,000	1	698,700	1	255,000	2	4,882,000	1	298,000	-	-
3 Joint Secretariat for the Advisory Bodies on Civil Service and Judicial Salaries and Conditions of Service	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	100,000	2	1,017,000	-	-	1	1,300,000
4 Commerce and Economic Development Bureau (Commerce, Industry and Tourism Branch)	-	-	3	1,644,652	-	-	4	3,484,184	2	7,833,000	6	7,735,678	4	25,894,361
5 Commerce and Economic Development Bureau (Communications and Technology Branch)	-	-	-	-	1	1,175,000	-	-	3	6,341,940	1	499,412	-	-
6 Overseas Economic and Trade Offices ⁽¹⁾	9	427,323	10	4,839,880	6	2,526,967	6	5,648,347	3	1,852,621	4	3,840,921	6	7,534,945
7 Trade and Industry Department	6	2,007,621	6	1,396,826	4	1,283,172	3	1,169,913	3	1,018,991	3	1,040,565	2	966,718

Bureau/Department	2001-2012		2002-2003		2003-2004		2004-2005		2005-2006		2006-2007		2007-2008	
	Number of consultancy services contracts signed	Consultancy Fee (\$)	Number of consultancy services contracts signed	Consultancy Fee (\$)	Number of consultancy services contracts signed	Consultancy Fee (\$)	Number of consultancy services contracts signed	Consultancy Fee (\$)	Number of consultancy services contracts signed	Consultancy Fee (\$)	Number of consultancy services contracts signed	Consultancy Fee (\$)	Number of consultancy services contracts signed	Consultancy Fee (\$)
8 Invest Hong Kong	-	-	-	28,329,159	15	6,699,218	14	5,914,439	18	14,969,616	17	14,836,335	-	-
9 Intellectual Property Department	1	9,360	-	457,000	-	-	-	-	1	1,065,000	-	-	-	-
10 Hongkong Post	4	3,534,831	3	705,000	4	25,956,046	2	318,000	2	879,105	3	993,000	-	-
11 Innovation and Technology Commission	-	-	-	198,000	-	-	-	-	1	981,251	-	-	-	-
12 Office of the Government Chief Information Officer	2	5,295,759	1	940,000	-	-	4	3,710,440	4	4,579,000	3	3,074,000	-	-
13 Radio Television Hong Kong ⁽²⁾	-	1,862,400	-	2,071,954	-	2,661,176	-	1,539,560	-	1,237,947	-	2,288,540	-	-
14 Office of Telecommunications Authority	2	2,562,000	6	5,377,000	4	3,397,000	4	3,859,000	4	3,862,000	4	506,000	-	-
15 Television and Entertainment Licensing Authority	1	375,000	2	1,025,000	2	1,280,000	4	859,500	10	6,618,460	17	11,945,948	-	-
16 Constitutional and Mainland Affairs Bureau	1	1,660,000	-	-	-	-	1	222,900	-	-	5	1,366,750	-	-
17 Registration and Electoral Office	1	3,225,737	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
18 Development Bureau (Planning and Lands Branch)	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1,044,000	-	-	-	-	-	-
19 Buildings Department	72	27,573,000	8	41,261,000	36	22,754,000	48	40,989,000	60	52,117,000	36	42,134,000	-	-
20 Lands Department	3	48,324,930	5	46,513,000	3	30,975,000	5	25,418,781	11	29,842,403	6	34,650,026	-	-
21 Planning Department	15	20,384,493	9	22,179,310	18	10,126,869	18	12,603,774	24	18,389,100	20	29,579,765	-	-

Bureau/Department	2001-2012		2002-2003		2003-2004		2004-2005		2005-2006		2006-2007		2007-2008	
	Number of consultancy services contracts signed	Consultancy Fee (\$)	Number of consultancy services contracts signed	Consultancy Fee (\$)	Number of consultancy services contracts signed	Consultancy Fee (\$)	Number of consultancy services contracts signed	Consultancy Fee (\$)	Number of consultancy services contracts signed	Consultancy Fee (\$)	Number of consultancy services contracts signed	Consultancy Fee (\$)	Number of consultancy services contracts signed	Consultancy Fee (\$)
22 Development Bureau (Works Branch)	6	5,792,211	3	5,821,693	2	2,463,225	1	419,720	-	1,301,750 ⁽³⁾	4	1,125,000	-	378,000 ⁽³⁾
23 Architectural Services Department	39	273,580,000	37	426,510,000	18	414,160,000	11	321,620,000	30	252,390,000	36	201,470,000	35	197,970,000
24 Civil Engineering and Development Department	19	14,406,000	30	87,834,000	15	132,984,000	16	122,475,000	18	142,197,000	22	125,291,000	21	154,012,000
25 Drainage Services Department	10	28,402,900	12	47,787,000	6	49,709,000	1	43,735,700	17	129,891,900	16	33,342,400	12	146,859,800
26 Electrical and Mechanical Services Department	3	15,044,164	1	18,103,791	2	8,695,294	1	8,874,560	1	4,359,587	1	4,050,721	1	6,411,496
27 Water Supplies Department	11	16,179,000	7	27,332,000	1	31,057,000	5	29,045,000	11	33,781,000	8	28,105,000	14	35,447,000
28 Education Bureau ⁽⁴⁾	5	3,372,625	5	9,575,128	11	15,672,652 ⁽⁵⁾	8	6,940,208	18	10,031,644	17	7,340,051	10	7,123,091
29 Student Financial Assistance Agency	-	-	1	900,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	622,000	1	2,450,000
30 University Grants Committee	1	1,290,000	-	-	1	399,000	3	1,307,845	1	14,691	-	-	4	1,076,188
31 Environmental Protection Department	7	37,420,000	11	14,677,506	5	5,042,680	17	35,083,442	12	21,934,140	26	29,620,953	17	57,057,144
32 Financial Services and the Treasury Bureau	2	28,664,939	-	-	3	5,411,894	2	39,443,520	2	11,300,000	2	1,534,940	-	-
33 Census and Statistics Department	-	-	-	-	1	3,998,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
34 Companies Registry	1	40,000	2	394,131	1	31,000	2	122,206	1	50,000	3	14,519,002	3	3,040,092

Bureau/Department	2001-2012		2002-2003		2003-2004		2004-2005		2005-2006		2006-2007		2007-2008	
	Number of consultancy services contracts signed	Consultancy Fee (\$)	Number of consultancy services contracts signed	Consultancy Fee (\$)	Number of consultancy services contracts signed	Consultancy Fee (\$)	Number of consultancy services contracts signed	Consultancy Fee (\$)	Number of consultancy services contracts signed	Consultancy Fee (\$)	Number of consultancy services contracts signed	Consultancy Fee (\$)	Number of consultancy services contracts signed	Consultancy Fee (\$)
49 Leisure and Cultural Services Department	13	4,886,800 ⁽³⁾	11	2,930,650	5	1,085,600	9	2,956,300	17	4,195,092	15	4,302,050	12	6,603,925
50 Labour and Welfare Bureau ⁽⁵⁾	1	597,000	1	480,130	-	-	4	4,258,343	1	210,990	3	4,553,070	4	3,640,647
51 Labour Department	1	150,000	1	3,211,000	1	1,264,000	2	3,562,000	-	-	-	-	2	2,200,000
52 Social Welfare Department	2	1,805,895	3	1,080,000	1	01 ⁽⁶⁾	1	480,000	1	640,000	3	1,762,480	1	499,500
53 Security Bureau	1	300,000	3	1,006,215	1	455,620	1	149,523	1	600,000	2	298,731	2	950,800
54 Hong Kong Police Force	-	-	1	397,000	-	-	-	-	1	49,800	1	28,500	-	-
55 Customs and Excise Department	2	1,400,000	3	874,000	3	970,000	2	488,000	-	-	2	458,911	-	-
56 Fire Services Department	3	4,550,000	3	3,150,000	2	2,640,000	3	3,380,000	5	9,840,000	8	8,930,000	6	6,180,000
57 Government Flying Service	-	-	2	445,000	1	1,000,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
58 Immigration Department	-	-	1	690,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
59 Correctional Services Department	-	-	1	1,011,339	1	999,439	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	781,000
60 Transport and Housing Bureau (Transport Branch)	5	9,827,500	3	15,612,980	-	-	-	-	4	7,581,507	1	1,957,500	2	3,621,795
61 Civil Aviation Department	2	4,356,584	1	562,084	2	1,233,477	5	1,527,701	4	2,797,931	1	24,520	-	-
62 Highways Department	25	144,870,000	29	147,978,000	16	76,454,000	8	13,270,000	11	109,204,000	8	22,832,000	19	66,152,000
63 Marine Department	2	5,004,530	-	-	2	3,157,396	1	508,630	-	-	-	-	1	585,000
64 Transport Department	11	21,473,000	28	8,672,000	16	603,000	6	3,526,000	9	12,643,000	9	19,297,000	6	6,224,000

Bureau/Department	2001-2012		2002-2003		2003-2004		2004-2005		2005-2006		2006-2007		2007-2008	
	Number of consultancy services contracts signed	Consultancy Fee (\$)	Number of consultancy services contracts signed	Consultancy Fee (\$)	Number of consultancy services contracts signed	Consultancy Fee (\$)	Number of consultancy services contracts signed	Consultancy Fee (\$)	Number of consultancy services contracts signed	Consultancy Fee (\$)	Number of consultancy services contracts signed	Consultancy Fee (\$)	Number of consultancy services contracts signed	Consultancy Fee (\$)
65 Department of Justice	-	-	-	-	-	5,250,000	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
66 Independent Commission Against Corruption	-	-	-	-	1	4,979,000	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
67 Judiciary	1	168,000	1	128,000	-	149,750	2	149,750	1	380,000	1	880,000	-	-
Total	312	776,331,432	285	989,924,998	284	905,249,537	266	802,870,379	433	923,115,606	453	694,679,091	404	20,794,765

Notes:

- (1) Consultancy fee in foreign currencies is converted into Hong Kong dollars using the exchange rate as at 1 April of the respective financial years. As for US dollars, the exchange rate is fixed at US\$1=HK\$7.8.
- (2) Since part of the supporting documents have been disposed of, RTHK is unable to provide information on the number of consultancy services contracts signed.
- (3) Being consultancy fee paid for the contracts signed in preceding years.
- (4) Including consultancy studies on public policy only for which funds had been allocated between 2001-2002 and 2007-2008.
- (5) Since file records of three of the studies are not traceable, the Education Bureau is unable to provide information on their consultancy fee.
- (6) Being a total consultancy fee of HK\$317,301 and US\$72,800 (approximately HK\$567,840 converted at a rate of US\$1 for HK\$7.8).
- (7) Including one consultancy study of \$1,614,905 shared by the Efficiency Unit equally.
- (8) Including one consultancy study of GBP3,400 (approximately HK\$38,000 converted at the exchange rate of 1GBP = HK\$11.295 as at 22 May 2001).
- (9) Including consultancy services engaged by the policy teams on welfare and manpower portfolios previously under the purview of the former Health, Welfare and Food Bureau and the Education and Manpower Bureau respectively.
- (10) The concerned consultant considered that the consultancy service would enable it to gain a better understanding of the industry concerned which would be conducive to its future business and hence only received a remuneration of \$0.1.

Tax Avoidance and Tax Evasion

15. **MR CHAN KIN-POR** (in Chinese): *President, it has been reported that, according to the latest figures released by the Inland Revenue Department (IRD), the authorities completed field audits of about 1 804 cases in total in 2011-2012, and found that the understated earnings and profits amounted to about \$34 billion, and the assessed back tax and penalties were more than \$6 billion in total, representing a 57% surge from last year and hitting a record high over the years. The aforesaid cases included 10 "major tax avoidance cases" each involving over \$100 million. In this connection, will the Government inform this Council:*

- (a) of the details of the amount of tax and penalty of each of the aforesaid 10 "major tax avoidance cases";*
- (b) given that the aforesaid amount of tax recovered in 2011-2012 hit a record high over the years, whether this is due to other reasons in addition to the fact that the aforesaid "major tax avoidance cases" involved huge amounts of money;*
- (c) of the respective numbers and details, including the understated tax items and the successfully recovered tax amounts and penalties, and so on, of the field audit cases completed by the IRD in each of the past five years, broken down by type of tax; and*
- (d) whether the Government will consider increasing the resources for the IRD to employ additional staff to conduct field audits, so as to step up efforts in combating tax avoidance and tax evasion, and recover more uncharged tax due to omissions in tax returns and undercharged tax?*

SECRETARY FOR FINANCIAL SERVICES AND THE TREASURY (in Chinese): *President,*

- (a) Regarding the 10 largest tax avoidance cases concluded by the IRD in the 2011-2012 financial year, the amounts of back tax and penalties involved in each case are as follows:*

<i>Case</i>	<i>Amount of Back Tax and Penalties (\$ million)</i>
1	864
2	502
3	425
4	357
5	298
6	192
7	180
8	173
9	141
10	110
	3,242

- (b) The above 10 tax avoidance cases, each involving more than \$100 million back tax and penalties, were all concluded after at least five years of audit work by the IRD. If those 10 tax avoidance cases are excluded, the total amount of back tax and penalties involved in the remaining tax avoidance cases is only some \$2.7 billion, which is comparable to the amounts of back tax and penalties assessed for tax avoidance cases in the last few financial years. Hence, the exceptionally large amount of back tax and penalties assessed in the 2011-2012 financial year is mainly attributed to those 10 tax avoidance cases.
- (c) Since the IRD's statistics on completed field audit and investigation cases do not have breakdowns by tax types and amounts of back tax and penalties, we are unable to provide the relevant information. The table below sets out the number of cases completed and the amounts of back tax and penalties assessed by the Field Audit and Investigation Unit (FAIU) of the IRD in the five financial years from 2007-2008 to 2011-2012:

<i>Financial years</i>	<i>2007- 2008</i>	<i>2008- 2009</i>	<i>2009- 2010</i>	<i>2010- 2011</i>	<i>2011- 2012</i>
Number of cases completed	1 864	1 862	1 803	1 805	1 804
Amount of back tax and penalties assessed (\$ million)	2,528.5	2,181.2	2,590.4	3,827.4	6,003.0

- (d) The IRD reviews from time to time the effectiveness of the work to combat tax evasion and tax avoidance, and will take timely measures to enhance the efficiency and effectiveness of the FAIU as necessary. These measures include:
- (i) making effective use of information technology and strengthening liaison between the FAIU and other assessing units so as to enhance the efficiency of risk management for tax evasion and tax avoidance;
 - (ii) adjusting the criteria for identifying cases for audit from time to time in response to the changing social circumstances and business practices, and taking more targeted measures to tackle high-risk cases; and
 - (iii) strengthening on-the-job training of the officers, and enhancing the officers' professional knowledge, practical experiences and investigative skills through job rotation as well as participation in local and international tax and investigation seminars and training programmes.

With optimum use and flexible deployment of the existing resources, we believe that the above measures are effective in enhancing the efficiency and effectiveness of the FAIU of the IRD.

Neighbourhood Elderly Centre

16. **MR CHEUNG KWOK-CHE** (in Chinese): *President, in 2003, the Government re-engineered the community support services for the elderly, converting most of the Social Centres for the Elderly into Neighbourhood Elderly Centres (NECs) and setting the standard of net operational floor area (NOFA) of NEC at 303 sq m (about 3 370 sq ft). At present, there are 118 NECs in Hong Kong, yet after conversion, the NOFA of some NECs which were converted at the original sites is below the standard, and service operators provide services under insufficient spaces. The authorities provide some NECs with appurtenant grounds, but at the same time require those NECs to provide seven sessions of*

drop-in services at the appurtenant grounds each week. In this connection, will the Government inform this Council:

- (a) of the number of NECs provided with appurtenant grounds by the authorities at present; the number of NECs with areas not meeting the standard of 303 sq m as set out under the Schedule of Accommodation; according to the authorities' estimation, when all NECs will be provided with premises of sufficient area;*
- (b) given that the authorities request NECs to provide seven sessions of drop-in services at the appurtenant grounds each week, during which NECs' staff need to be on duty, whether the authorities have stipulated the time at which NECs provide drop-in services at the appurtenant grounds each week; if they have, of the details; whether the authorities will consider increasing the manpower (for example, social workers, welfare workers and programme workers) of NECs, so as to cope with the provision of drop-in services required by the Social Welfare Department (SWD); if they will, of the details; if not, the reasons for that;*
- (c) given that the appurtenant grounds are part of NECs, and NECs are already required to provide 12 sessions of drop-in services per week, why the authorities still require NECs to provide seven additional sessions of drop-in services at the appurtenant grounds each week; given that NECs, together with their appurtenant grounds, provide a total of 19 sessions of drop-in services each week, whether the service operators can substitute the drop-in services for some of the services required in the Funding and Service Agreements (Agreements); if they can, of the details; if not, the reasons for that; whether the authorities will accept the proposal of some fellow workers of NECs to require appurtenant grounds to be opened only when activities are being held; if they will, of the details; if not, the reasons for that; and*
- (d) given that some fellow workers in the trade have pointed out that with the substantial increase in the demand for elderly services in various districts at present, NECs are playing the role of a small-scale district elderly community centre, and fellow workers in the trade have requested for a review of the functions, manpower and*

services of NECs (for example, handling with flexibility the requests for setting up canteens in NECs, increasing the allied health manpower and simplifying the Standardized Care Need Assessment Mechanism, and so on), whether the authorities will conduct a review in this regard; if they will, of the details; if not, the reasons for that?

SECRETARY FOR LABOUR AND WELFARE (in Chinese): President, my reply to Mr CHEUNG Kwok-che's question is as follows:

- (a) At present, the prescribed standard internal floor area of the NECs is 394 sq m. Over the past few years, the SWD, through the Housing Department, the Government Property Agency, and so on, has been proactively identifying suitable sites at public housing estates and government, institution and community facilities sites for relocation of NECs or setting up sub-bases, so as to help NECs meet the prescribed accommodation standard.

Currently, there are 118 NECs in Hong Kong, 55 (that is, 47%) of which have attained the prescribed accommodation standard. Out of the remaining 63 NECs that have yet to attain the prescribed standard, eight have been provided with sub-bases, and there is a plan in place for seven others to increase their floor area. The SWD will continue to identify suitable sites for NECs in need.

In addition, in line with its policy to promote "Active Ageing" and "Ageing in Place", the Government will allocate \$900 million under the Lotteries Fund to implement the "Improvement Programme of Elderly Centres (IPEC)" starting from 2012-2013. The IPEC seeks to enhance the physical setting and facilities of some 250 elderly centres throughout the territory with a view to providing a novel and modern ambiance to meet the needs of different elders. Elderly centres may also use the funding to design and plan the interior layout to enhance their practicality.

- (b) and (c)

According to the essential service requirements under the Agreement of NECs, NECs are required to open at least six days a week and for

a minimum of 48 hours in total. So long as this requirement is observed, each NEC can schedule its own opening hours and sessions according to individual circumstances.

The sub-base, being a part of the NEC, is subsidized by the Government to serve the public. They ought to observe the same principles in terms of making good use of resources and being user-friendly. Its opening hours should follow the requirements stipulated in the Agreement. If the operation of a sub-base is affected by its small size or other special circumstances, the organization concerned may discuss with the SWD the possibility of adjusting its essential service requirements such as its mode and hours of operation. NECs can also set their own rosters according to individual service needs.

- (d) Since the re-engineering of community care services for the elderly in 2003, the Government has been monitoring closely the progress of the services and increasing resources accordingly. For example, in 2007-2008, the Government allocated additional resources to all NECs, so that each of them could employ one additional social worker to enhance outreach services. In addition, the Government will establish an NEC in the Kai Tak Development Area in Kowloon City in 2013. The SWD will, as always, continue to review the services of NECs to meet service demand.

Expenditure on Overseas Duty Visits Incurred by Senior Government Officials

17. **MRS REGINA IP** (in Chinese): *President, recently, there has been wide public concern about the expenditure incurred during the overseas duty visits made by the Chief Executive. It has been reported that the number of duty visits made by Chief Executive has increased in recent years, and the total expenditure for Chief Executive's duty visits, including the expenses for his entourage, amounted to HK\$10.67 million last year. In this connection, will the Government inform this Council:*

- (a) *at present, whether the authorities have formulated criteria in respect of overseas duty visits made by Chief Executive and politically*

appointed officials (PAOs), so as to determine if such visits are appropriate and necessary; further, whether they have drawn up guidelines for the expenditure items for each overseas duty visit (for example, hotel room rate, amount of subsistence allowance, flight ticket class and the number of entourage, and so on); and whether they have set ceilings for the expenditure items for Chief Executive's overseas duty visits; and

- (b) *at present, whether the authorities have formulated criteria in respect of overseas duty visits made by senior civil servants, so as to determine if such visits are appropriate and necessary; if they have, of the criteria; whether they have drawn up guidelines and set ceilings for the expenditure items for each overseas duty visit (for example, hotel room rate, amount of subsistence allowance, flight ticket class and the number of entourage, and so on); if so, of the details?*

CHIEF SECRETARY FOR ADMINISTRATION (in Chinese): President, firstly, we would like to point out that in the 2011-2012 financial year, the total expenditure for the duty visits of the Chief Executive, including the expenses for his entourage, was \$4.46 million. The \$10.67 million mentioned in the question was actually the total expenditure for the duty visits during the period from July 2007 to January 2012.

As regards the questions raised by Mrs Regina IP, our replies are as follows:

- (a) The annual visit programme for the Chief Executive, Secretaries of Departments (SoDs) and Directors of Bureaux (DoBs) is discussed at the Policy Committee chaired by the Chief Secretary for Administration every year, with a view to ensuring that the visits can serve the purposes of showcasing the strengths of Hong Kong, exploring business opportunities (especially in emerging markets), promoting Hong Kong and enhancing liaisons, and so on. Upon the Policy Committee's endorsement of the annual programme, or when there is a need to make a visit other than those covered by the annual visit programme mentioned above due to operational need and actual

situation, the offices of the Chief Executive, SoDs and DoBs will discuss specific programmes with the relevant departments or economic and trade offices. After the formulation of specific programmes, SoDs shall submit applications for Chief Executive's approval, while DoBs shall submit applications for approval by the Chief Secretary for Administration or the Financial Secretary. As for Under Secretaries and Political Assistants, they shall submit their applications for approval by their respective DoBs.

The "Code for Officials under the Political Appointment System" have set out the guidelines regarding the class of travelling on duty outside Hong Kong and subsistence allowance for PAOs. On the class of travelling, the Principal Officials (that is, SoDs and DoBs) and the Director of the Chief Executive's Office shall travel on first class when travelling on duty outside Hong Kong. The class of travelling on duty outside Hong Kong for Under Secretaries and Political Assistants are subject to the same rules and regulations as those applicable to civil servants at comparable levels. On subsistence allowance, PAOs are subject to the same rules and regulations as those applicable to civil servants. The ranking and number of accompanying officer(s) involved are considered on a case-by-case basis in the light of operational need and actual situation.

The subsistence allowance and the class of travelling on duty for the Chief Executive as set out in his appointment terms are the same as those applicable to SoDs and DoBs mentioned above.

- (b) Overseas duty visits by civil servants are made on the basis of operational need. The ranking and number of officers undertaking duty visits are considered on a case-by-case basis.

To ensure that applications of civil servants for overseas duty visits are prudently handled, applications from officers below Head of Department (HoD) level should be approved personally by Permanent Secretaries/HoDs or by senior officers not below Assistant Director level. As for duty visits undertaken by HoDs, approval should be obtained from their respective Permanent

Secretary, supervising officer or DoB. In considering such applications, the approving officers should consider various factors, including the visit objectives, duration, number and frequency of such visits, and the appropriateness of the ranking and number of the officers involved, and so on.

Civil servants on overseas duty visits are entitled to draw an overseas subsistence allowance provided under the Civil Service Regulations. The rate of the overseas subsistence allowance, which is applicable to all officers, will vary in accordance with the destination. The allowance is intended to cover the cost of accommodation and meals, travelling expenses within towns, other minor incidental out-of-pocket expenses, and so on.

For civil servants on overseas duty visits, their duty passages are procured by relevant departments in accordance with the Civil Service Regulations and the Stores and Procurement Regulations. Officers at D4 level of the Directorate Pay Scale or above are normally provided with business class duty passage. Other officers will normally travel on economy class; only in particular circumstances (for example, flying time exceeding nine hours or being subject to a tight flight schedule of no less than three flights within five days, and so on) may HoDs upgrade the passages for their officers to business class.

Debris Removal Charges Collected by Outsourced Property Management Services Contractors of Public Housing Estates

18. **MR LEUNG KWOK-HUNG** (in Chinese): *President, I have received complaints one after another from residents of Yan On Estate in Ma On Shan, Hung Hom Estate (Phase 2) in Kowloon City and those who are moving into the new phase of Shek Kip Mei Estate pointing out that because the payments made by the Housing Department (HD) to the outsourced property management services contractors (the contractors) of the estates are so low that the HD has allowed the contractors to employ every means without legal basis and illegally to collect from the residents who are moving into the estates an excessive charge called "debris removal charge" (DRC), and the HD just turns a blind eye to it. The residents have pointed out that the contractors insist on collecting DRC*

before they assist applicants for public rental housing (PRH) in making move-in arrangements and signing tenancy agreements. Some residents have even relayed to me that their renovation companies have already collected from them charges for disposal of wastes from renovation works under the Waste Disposal Ordinance (Cap. 354) and the aforesaid contractors are not companies authorized by the Government to collect charges. They are very angry that some residents are forced to pay double charges and that the Government has turned a blind eye to unauthorized persons collecting excessive charges. In this connection, will the Government inform this Council:

- (a) of the total numbers of PRH flats in Yan On Estate in Ma On Shan, Hung Hom Estate (Phase 2) in Kowloon City and Shek Kip Mei Estate which will be ready for occupation soon, the estimated numbers of households moving in, and the total amounts of DRC that the contractors have collected or plan to collect, and list the information according to the table below;

Name of public housing estates	DRC amounts for small flats	DRC amounts for medium flats	DRC amounts for large flats	Total numbers of households	Total amounts
Yan On Estate					
Hung Hom Estate (Phase 2)					
Shek Kip Mei Estate (New phase which will be ready for occupation in 2012)					

- (b) whether the aforesaid contractors are authorized under the laws of Hong Kong to collect DRC from each household; if so, of the legislation under which they are authorized; if not, whether the HD has turned a blind eye to the contractors collecting without legal basis and illegally an excessive DRC from residents who are moving into the estates;
- (c) whether cleaning services for estate buildings are included in the contracts signed between the HD and the contractors; if so, why the contractors are allowed to collect DRC excessively; whether the HD will immediately terminate the contracts signed with the contractors

concerned and assist the residents in demanding refunds from the contractors; whether it will assess if the prices of the contracts signed between the HD and the contractors for cleaning services in housing estates are too low, and whether the HD will immediately adjust upward the prices of such contracts;

- (d) whether the HD or its contractors have any statutory power under the existing housing ordinances to penalize residents for disposing wastes from renovation works casually or illegally; if so, of the penalties and the number of households penalized for this in the past five years; if not, whether the Government will amend the housing ordinances immediately;*
- (e) whether the Government requires the contractors to collect DRC before they assist PRH applicants in making move-in arrangements and signing the tenancy agreements; if so, of the legislation under which such arrangement has been made; if not, whether such contractors have the statutory power not to assist residents who refuse to pay DRC in making move-in arrangements and signing the tenancy agreements, and the legislation under which such power is exercised;*
- (f) whether authorization was given under the housing ordinances for printing the HD's emblem on the receipts given to residents after they pay DRC; if so, of the ordinance; if not, whether it knows if such receipts are issued by the contractors, and the legislation under which the contractors are authorized to issue such receipts; why such contractors do not use the HD's official receipts;*
- (g) when residents moved into Yan On Estate in Ma On Shan and Hung Hom Estate (Phase 2) in Kowloon City and the HD contractors collected DRC from them, whether such contractors had established billing accounts for disposal of construction waste under the Waste Disposal (Charges for Disposal of Construction Waste) Regulation (Cap. 354N); if so, of the respective dates on which they obtained approval to establish such accounts; if not, of the ordinance under which such contractors collected DRC from the residents; and*

- (h) *given that some residents have settled the charges for disposal of wastes from renovation works with their renovation companies under the Waste Disposal Ordinance but they are forced to pay DRC to the contractors at the same time, whether the HD will assist such residents in demanding refunds from the contractors; if it will, when it will do so?*

SECRETARY FOR TRANSPORT AND HOUSING (in Chinese): President, it is quite usual that PRH tenants will carry out decoration works for their flats during their intake to new PRH estates. According to prevailing arrangement, the user-to-pay principle will be adopted in disposing the decoration debris produced as a result of this works.

In order to effectively dispose decoration debris, and avoid the accumulation of decoration debris and garbage in common areas such as corridors and lift lobbies causing fire risks and obstruction, the Hong Kong Housing Authority (HA) assigns the Property Services Agents (PSA) managing outsourced PRH estates to dispose the decoration debris in one go during intake. This arrangement allows decoration contractors employed by the PRH tenants to dump the decoration debris at a designated debris dumping point in the estate as set up by the PSA without having to transport the decoration debris to the government waste disposal facilities on their own. According to the property management contract entered into between the HA and the PSA after tendering, the PSA is permitted to charge new tenants the DRC. These are set according to the flat size. The obligation of the DRC payment and its amount is clearly set out in the Decoration Handbook, Intake Notification Letter and Notes on Intake provided by the HD to prospective tenants prior to intake. The PSA would also brief prospective tenants on the matter pertaining to collection of DRC during intake briefing sessions.

My reply to the eight-part question is as follows:

- (a) The rates of DRC is determined according to factors such as the total number of flats in the estate, flat size, travel distance between the estate and the nearest government waste disposal facilities, and operating expenses of the contractor, and so on. For Yan On Estate in Ma On Shan, Hung Hom Estate Phase 2 in Kowloon City and

Shek Kip Mei Estate Phases 2 and 5, the rates of DRC charged by the relevant PSAs with the breakdown by flat size are as follows:

<i>Estate name</i>	<i>DRC for 1-Person/ 2-Person Flat</i>	<i>DRC for 2-Person/ 3-Person Flat</i>	<i>DRC for 1-Bedroom Flat</i>	<i>DRC for 2-Bedroom Flat</i>	<i>Total number of household</i>
Yan On Estate	\$157	\$243	\$366	\$460	2 587
Hung Hom Estate Phase 2	\$162	\$258	\$365	\$479	1 204
Shek Kip Mei Estate Phases 2 and 5	\$156	\$258	\$362	\$495	4 054

- (b) As mentioned above, the PSA would charge PRH tenants the DRC in accordance with the flat size, in order to assist in handling and delivering the debris dumped at the estate's debris dumping point to the government waste disposal facilities in one go according to the property management contract entered into between the HA and the PSA.
- (c) According to the property management contract entered into between the HA and the PSA, the PSA is responsible for the estate's daily cleansing services. However, as mentioned above, during intake of new PRH estates, PRH tenants in general will carry out decoration works for their flats using their own contractors and a considerable quantity of decoration debris would be produced. As such, the property management contract allows the PSA to charge new PRH tenants the DRC in accordance with the flat size, in order to handle the debris concerned in one go.

On the other hand, for tenants who declare that no fitting-out works will be carried out, the PSA would conduct flat inspection within three months after the execution of tenancy agreement and arrange for the refund of the DRC within one month after confirmation. If tenants who are eligible for the exemption at the time of intake but did not apply for exemption during intake and paid the DRC, the PSA would arrange for the refund upon receipt of the exemption application as soon as possible.

In fact, the clauses under the property services contract have clearly specified the requirement of PSA for carrying out the cleansing services and the monthly remuneration that PSA could receive, and so on. As such, PSA should be well aware of the contract terms in bidding the price for the tender. Hence, there is no cause for unauthorized charging of DRC due to low contract price. Furthermore, the HD has a sound and fair tender assessment system to ensure that the contract price is reasonable and that the PSA concerned has the capability to deliver the services required under the contract.

- (d) According to prevailing requirement, decoration debris arising from fitting-out works has to be disposed of at the designated debris dumping point in the estate as set up by the PSA. If tenants are found dumping the decoration debris improperly in the estate, the HD will handle the cases in accordance with the guidelines in the Estate Management Marking Scheme (Marking Scheme). The HD does not have statistics of committing such misdeed of improper disposal of decoration debris under the Marking Scheme.
- (e) While assisting tenants to move in and sign the tenancy agreement is a tenancy management matter handled by the PSA on behalf of the HA; the charging of relevant DRC by the PSA is an estate management matter. The two duties are of different nature and would be handled separately by the PSA. The HD would remind the staff of the PSAs that if new tenants during intake of new estates did not pay the DRC as stated above, they should handle the matter flexibly so as to avoid delaying the moving-in of the tenants.
- (f) As mentioned above, the PSA could only charge new PRH tenants the DRC in accordance with the flat size according to the property management contract entered into between the HA and the PSA. Upon receipt of DRC, relevant PSAs would issue receipts to tenants.
- (g) The PSA or its employed cleansing contractor has to open a billing account in accordance with the requirement of the Waste Disposal (Charges for Disposal of Construction Waste) Regulation to pay for the charges of using government waste disposal facilities.

- (h) If decoration contractors employed by new PRH tenants deliver and dispose of the decoration debris to government waste disposal facilities in accordance with the relevant statutory requirement on their own, and can provide relevant supporting document, the PSA would arrange for the refund upon receipt of the refund applications from the tenants as soon as possible.

Fare Adjustment Mechanism and Fare Concession Schemes of MTR Corporation Limited

19. **MR WONG SING-CHI** (in Chinese): *President, the MTR Corporation Limited (MTRCL) has increased its fares under the Fare Adjustment Mechanism (FAM) for three consecutive years since 2010, with an accumulative rate of increase of about 10%. The MTRCL will increase its fares again this year and the rate of increase is 5.4%. In this connection, will the Government inform this Council:*

- (a) *given the soaring inflation and prices nowadays, whether it knows if the MTRCL will consider putting its fare increases on hold or adjusting its fares downward with a view to fulfilling its corporate social responsibility and alleviating the burden on the public; if it will, the details; if not, the reasons for that; and what better ways it has to pass on the benefits to society and improve the livelihood of the general public;*
- (b) *given that quite a number of members of the public have indicated to me that the promotional schemes introduced by the MTRCL in the past, such as "Ride \$100 Get 1 Free" introduced in July 2011, did not bring them real concessions and benefits, whether it knows:*
- (i) *the details of the concession schemes to be introduced by the MTRCL this year, the amount involved and the estimated number of beneficiaries;*
- (ii) *if the MTRCL will introduce more practical concession schemes (for example, Day Pass, Weekly Pass and Monthly Pass schemes for all railway lines, and providing "same day return half-fare concessions" to "long-haul" passengers); if it*

will, the details and the implementation timetable; if not, the reasons for that;

- (iii) during the period when the "Ride \$100 Get 1 Free" promotional scheme was implemented by the MTRCL, the numbers of Octopus cards whose holders did not take enough MTR journeys to spend \$100 on their Octopus cards from Mondays to Fridays within a week and the percentage of such numbers in the total number of Octopus cards; among such Octopus cards whose holders did not take enough MTR journeys to spend \$100 on their Octopus cards within a week, the numbers of those with an accumulated spending on fares between \$90 and \$94.90 and those between \$95 and \$99.90, as well as the percentages of such numbers in the total number of Octopus cards, together with a breakdown by month;*
- (iv) if the MTRCL had consulted the Legislative Council prior to the introduction of each type of concession schemes in the past three years; if it had not, the reasons for that, and if it will adopt such an arrangement in the future; and*
- (v) the justifications for the MTRCL to introduce each promotional scheme in the past three years, and if it had reviewed such schemes; if it had, the details; if not, the reasons for that; and set out the information by year and by title of promotional scheme;*
- (c) given that when the MTRCL increased its fares in 2010, there were around 100 journeys under which Octopus fares were higher than single journey ticket fares, whether it knows the numbers and details of those journeys under which Octopus fares were higher than single journey ticket fares in each of the past three years, together with a breakdown by year and by railway line; and*
- (d) given that MTR fares and FAM involve major public interests and well-being, whether the Government had, in its capacity as the major shareholder of the MTRCL, given any advice to the MTRCL on matters relating to MTR fares and FAM in the past three years; if it had, of the details; if not, the reasons for that; and the justifications*

for the Government to maintain its status as the major shareholder of the MTRCL?

SECRETARY FOR TRANSPORT AND HOUSING (in Chinese): President, my reply to each part of the question is as follows:

(a), (b)(i) and (ii)

Since the rail merger in December 2007, fare adjustment of the MTRCL has been subject to an objective and transparent FAM. The FAM, formulated after extensive discussion in the community and by the Legislative Council, has replaced the pre-merger fare autonomy of the MTRCL.

Under the current FAM, the fare adjustment rate for the prevailing year is determined in accordance with a direct-drive formula based on the year-on-year percentage changes in both the Composite Consumer Price Index (CCPI) and the Nominal Wage Index (Transportation Section) (Wage Index) in December of the previous year, as well as a productivity factor.

The Census and Statistics Department published the CCPI and Wage Index for December 2011 on 20 January and 26 March 2012 respectively. With reference to these indices, the computation results of the FAM indicate an adjustment rate of +5.4% in the overall MTR fares for 2012.

According to the FAM procedures laid down in the Operating Agreement (OA) signed between the Government and the MTRCL in August 2007, the MTRCL has provided the Government with two certificates issued by an independent third party to certify that its fare adjustment is in compliance with the FAM. As the OA is a legally binding document, the Government will adhere to the contractual spirit while the MTRCL will act in accordance with the mechanism and

comply with the relevant accounting and notification requirements.

The MTRCL announced the adjusted fares for 2012 on 25 May. It also submitted the relevant paper to notify the Legislative Council Panel on Transport and the Transport Advisory Committee of the arrangement on the same day. The new fares will take effect on 17 June 2012 (Sunday).

Given the relatively high inflation rate at present and thus a heavy financial burden on the local community, the Government shares the view of the public that the MTRCL should, apart from considering its commercial operations, give due regard to its corporate social responsibility. While providing safe and efficient railway services, the MTRCL should also strive to help the public reduce fare expenses. Therefore, the Government has urged the MTRCL to take into account the overall macro-economic environment and public sentiment, and implement more and various effective fare concessions so as to address the needs of passengers and alleviate their burden of travelling expenses.

While the MTRCL published the adjusted fares on 25 May, at the same time it also announced the offer of the largest package of new fare promotions ever to give back to passengers the full value of the additional revenue it would receive in the year from the 2012 fare adjustment, bringing savings of approximately \$670 million to passengers. These new fare promotions include "Ride 10 Get 1 Free" promotion, Free Child Travel on Weekends and Public Holidays, 10% discount for second journeys taken on the same day, Tung Chung - Hong Kong Monthly Pass, the offer of \$20 MTR Shops Coupon to every purchase of Monthly Pass of East Rail Line, West Rail Line and Tung Chung Line as well as more interchange discounts, and so on.

The various types of concessions are effective and substantively address the requests of the public with a view to

benefiting different groups of passengers. Currently, the MTR carries more than 4 million passengers every day, among which 95% of passengers use the Octopus. All Octopus users, whether they are frequent or infrequent users of the MTR services, taking long journeys or short ones, will benefit from one or more of the promotions. The MTRCL's fare promotions for 2012 are set out at Annex 1. The MTRCL is unable to provide the estimated number of beneficiaries at this moment.

The MTRCL will continue to offer existing fare promotions including Student Travel Scheme, Fare Concession for Children, Fare Savers and other interchange promotions, and so on. It will also continue to offer the existing fare concessions for Elderly and Persons with Disabilities on a voluntary basis. The MTRCL will work closely with the Government on the implementation of the Public Transport Fare Concession Scheme for the Elderly and Eligible Persons with Disabilities so as to enable eligible people to enjoy the concessionary fare of \$2 per trip.

The new promotions to be launched in 2012 are in addition to the existing fare promotions offered by the MTRCL. The MTRCL estimated that the value of the total fare promotions for the coming year would exceed \$2.3 billion.

- (b) (iii) The "Ride \$100 Get 1 Free" promotion scheme was introduced from 4 July to 30 December 2011. This promotion was offered in response to passengers' views at the time, with special focus on benefiting passengers taking long journeys.

During the said promotion period, about 5 million free tickets were redeemed by passengers, amounting to a total value of some \$65 million. The MTRCL did not conduct any survey on the number of Octopus cards with weekly spending on MTR travel of less than \$100.

- (iv) In putting together the fare promotions and concessions in the past three years, the MTRCL has been listening closely to the views of public including attending the relevant meetings of the Legislative Council Panel on Transport to listen to the views of the Legislative Council Members, members of the public as well as concern groups regarding MTR fares and fare promotions.
 - (v) Taking into account the market conditions, the MTRCL has been offering various types of fare concessions and promotions for different railway lines and groups of passengers. It also reviews each promotion regularly with a view to meeting the needs of the market. The fare promotions and concessions offered by the MTRCL from 2010 to 2012 are at Annex 2.
- (c) In calculating individual fares, the MTRCL has applied the following two guiding principles:
- (1) Adjustments to Octopus fares are in units of 10 cents; and
 - (2) Adjustments to Single Journey Ticket fares are in units of 50 cents (as MTR Ticket Issuing Machines do not accept coins with value lower than 50 cents).

As the percentage increase of some Single Journey Ticket fares would be quite high with a 50 cents adjustment, the MTRCL decided not to adjust some Single Journey Ticket fares. As a result, some individual Single Journey Ticket fares are slightly lower than their corresponding Octopus fares.

The details of cases where Single Journey Ticket fares are slightly lower than their corresponding Octopus fares from 2010 to 2012 are at Annex 3.

- (d) When the Government initiated the privatization of the former MTR Corporation (MTRC) (currently refers as "MTRCL") in 2000, it promised that the Government would remain as the majority shareholder of the MTRCL after the privatization for at least 20 years

and own no less than 50% of shares and votes of the MTRCL. This promise demonstrates the Government's commitment to the long-term development of railway system. It also assures local and overseas investors as well as credit ratings agencies that the Government is committed to supporting the MTRCL's continuing role in the provision of quality railway services and the expansion of the transport infrastructure in Hong Kong. Currently, the Government owns 76.6% of the MTRCL's shares and this fulfils the commitment made by the Government during the privatization of the MTRC.

The Secretary for Transport and Housing, the Secretary for Financial Services and the Treasury, and the Commissioner for Transport are serving as the non-executive directors of the Board of the MTRCL.

During the decision-making process of the Board, public officers' views are taken seriously. But as with other listed companies, all Board members of the MTRCL are collectively responsible for discharging the functions of the Board. The directors must act in good faith on behalf of the MTRCL and apply due diligence when exercising their powers.

Similar to other members who bring their experience and professional knowledge from their own sectors to the Board, public officers would make good use of their in-depth understandings on public interests and expectations of the community as well as their insights of being the regulator of the transportation sector to advise the Board. This would ensure that the Board could strike a balance between commercial principles and public interests when making decisions.

As regards the design of each year's fare promotions of MTR, public officers would reflect the community's requests on fare promotions in the Board and urge the MTRCL to take into account the overall macro-economic environment and public sentiment, and implement more and various effective fare concessions so as to address the needs of passengers and alleviate their burden of travelling expenses.

The MTRCL's Fare Promotions for 2012

New fare promotions for 2012

<i>Promotions</i>	<i>Effective date</i>	<i>Details</i>
Ride 10 Get 1 Free	18 June to 30 December 2012	<p>Adult Octopus holders will be able to redeem one free Adult Single Journey Ticket for one free ride on the domestic MTR network⁽¹⁾ for every 10 fare-paying MTR journeys⁽²⁾ using the same Octopus card from Monday to Friday of a given week during the promotion period.</p> <p>Child and Elder Octopus cardholders, as well as holders of Personalized Octopus cards encoded with "Student" or "Persons with Disabilities" status will be able to redeem one free Concessionary Single Journey Ticket for one free domestic ride⁽¹⁾ for every 10 fare-paying MTR journeys⁽²⁾ on the same Octopus card.</p> <p>Redemption should be made on or before Sunday of the same week at any MTR Customer Service Centre (except Lo Wu, Lok Ma Chau and Racecourse stations) or designated redemption counters at MTR stations.</p> <p>Designated redemption counters will also be available between 5 pm and 9 pm on Fridays and Saturdays within the promotion period at eight MTR malls including Paradise Mall, Telford Plaza, Maritime Square, Luk Yeung Galleria, The Lane, The Edge, PopCorn and Citylink Plaza.</p> <p>Passengers may also choose to obtain a redemption coupon at any eInstant Bonus Terminal.</p>

<i>Promotions</i>	<i>Effective date</i>	<i>Details</i>
Free Child Travel on Weekends and Public Holidays	28 July to 30 December 2012	<p>Children aged three to 11 holding a Child Octopus card can ride free on the MTR, Light Rail and MTR Bus on Saturdays, Sundays and public holidays during the promotion period.</p> <p>Children will be able to enjoy free travel simply by swiping their Child Octopus cards on the processors at MTR ticket gates, Light Rail stops or on board MTR Bus as normal. Fares will not be deducted. This promotion is also applicable on journeys to and from Lo Wu and Lok Ma Chau stations, but not Airport Express, East Rail Line First Class Premium and MTR Feeder Bus.</p>
10% Discount for Same-day Second Trips	31 December 2012 to 30 June 2013	<p>All Octopus holders will receive a 10% discount on any second fare-paying journey they take on the same day on the MTR (including journeys to or from Lo Wu and Lok Ma Chau stations). Passengers taking East Rail Line First Class will receive a 10% discount on the standard fare only. The full First Class Premium will be charged without discount.</p> <p>Light Rail/MTR Bus passengers will also enjoy the 10% discount off normal fares for every second Light Rail/MTR Bus journey taken on the same day.</p> <p>The 10% discount is not applicable to journeys on the Airport Express and MTR Feeder Bus.</p>
Tung Chung - Hong Kong Monthly Pass	October 2012 to June 2013	<p>A new "Tung Chung - Hong Kong Monthly Pass" promotion will be available from October 2012 to June 2013. Priced at \$550 each month, the Pass will be on sale seven days before and after the first day of each month at stations along the Tung Chung Line. Holders of the "Tung Chung - Hong Kong Monthly Pass" will be entitled to unlimited rides on the Tung Chung Line between Tung Chung and Hong Kong/Central stations within the month purchased.</p>

<i>Promotions</i>	<i>Effective date</i>	<i>Details</i>
\$20 MTR Shops Coupon for Monthly Pass Purchase	July to December 2012	<p>As an added promotion to the Monthly Passes already available, which will be extended for one year to June 2013, a \$20 MTR Shops Coupon will be offered to passengers for every purchase of a Monthly Pass in each of the six months starting from July 2012.</p> <p>For the "Tung Chung - Hong Kong Monthly Pass" which will be introduced from October 2012, the \$20 MTR Shops Coupon will be offered from October 2012 to March 2013.</p>
Interchange Discount between MTR and New Lantao Bus	1 July to 30 September 2012	<p>Before the introduction of the "Tung Chung - Hong Kong Monthly Pass", Adult Octopus holders will be able to enjoy an enhanced interchange discount between MTR and several New Lantao Bus routes in Tung Chung including 37/37P (Yat Tung Estate - Caribbean Coast), and 38/38P and N38 (Yat Tung Estate - Tung Chung Bus Terminus) if they interchange within one hour. The interchange discount will be raised to \$1.5 from the current \$1.</p>
\$1.5 Interchange Discount with Outlying Island Ferries	1 July 2012 to 1 January 2013	<p>Adult Octopus holders taking the Outlying Island Ferry services from Sok Kwu Wan, Yung Shue Wan, Peng Chau, Cheung Chau and Mui Wo to Central will be able to enjoy a \$1.5 discount off their fare on the MTR network from Hong Kong/Central station to any MTR destination (except Airport Express and the Free MTR Connections offered by the Airport Express) at anytime on the same day by validating their Octopus on the Interchange Discount Kiosk at the ferry piers.</p>

Extended fare promotions

<i>Promotions</i>	<i>Extended effective date</i>	<i>Details</i>										
Monthly and Day Pass Promotions	1 July 2012 to 30 June 2013	<p>The Monthly and Day Pass promotions, due to expire on 30 June 2012, will be extended for one year from 1 July 2012 to 30 June 2013 at new prices⁽³⁾ as follows:</p> <table border="1"> <thead> <tr> <th><i>Pass</i></th> <th><i>New Price</i></th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>Sheung Shui - East Tsim Sha Tsui Monthly Pass</td> <td>\$420</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Tuen Mun - Nam Cheong Monthly Pass</td> <td>\$440</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Tuen Mun - Hung Hom Monthly Pass</td> <td>\$515</td> </tr> <tr> <td>Tuen Mun - Nam Cheong Day Pass</td> <td>\$23</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>	<i>Pass</i>	<i>New Price</i>	Sheung Shui - East Tsim Sha Tsui Monthly Pass	\$420	Tuen Mun - Nam Cheong Monthly Pass	\$440	Tuen Mun - Hung Hom Monthly Pass	\$515	Tuen Mun - Nam Cheong Day Pass	\$23
<i>Pass</i>	<i>New Price</i>											
Sheung Shui - East Tsim Sha Tsui Monthly Pass	\$420											
Tuen Mun - Nam Cheong Monthly Pass	\$440											
Tuen Mun - Hung Hom Monthly Pass	\$515											
Tuen Mun - Nam Cheong Day Pass	\$23											
Light Rail and MTR Bus Promotions	1 July 2012 to 30 June 2013	The Light Rail Personalized Octopus Frequent User Bonus Scheme, Free Light Rail/MTR Bus Connection with MTR, and Free MTR Bus Connection with Light Rail using Octopus, which are due to expire on 30 June 2012, will be extended for one year to 30 June 2013.										

Notes:

- (1) Not valid for travel on the Airport Express, Light Rail, East Rail Line First Class, journeys to and from Lo Wu and Lok Ma Chau stations, MTR Bus, and MTR Feeder Bus.
- (2) Journeys to and from Lo Wu and Lok Ma Chau stations will be counted, but not those on the Airport Express, Light Rail, MTR Bus and MTR Feeder Bus.
- (3) The new prices for the current \$330/\$410 Monthly Pass will be \$345/\$430.

The MTRCL's major fare promotions and concessions
from 2010 to 2012

<i>2010</i>	<i>2011</i>	<i>2012</i>
- Fare concession for children	- Fare concession for children	- Fare concession for children
- Student Travel Scheme	- Student Travel Scheme	- Student Travel Scheme
- Fare concession and \$2 fare promotion for the elderly	- Fare concession and \$2 fare promotion for the elderly	- Fare concession and \$2 fare promotion for the elderly
- Fare concession for Persons with Disabilities	- Fare concession for Persons with Disabilities	- Fare concession for Persons with Disabilities
- Monthly Pass and Day Pass	- Monthly Pass and Day Pass	- Monthly Pass and Day Pass (including new Tung Chung - Hong Kong Monthly Pass)
- Free interchange offer and Light Rail Personalized Octopus Frequent User Bonus Scheme	- Free interchange offer and Light Rail Personalized Octopus Frequent User Bonus Scheme	- Free interchange offer and Light Rail Personalized Octopus Frequent User Bonus Scheme
- "Ride \$100 Get \$5 MTR Shop Coupon" promotion scheme	- "Ride \$100 get 1 Free" promotion scheme	- "Ride 10 get 1 Free" promotion scheme
		- 10% Discount for Same-day Second Trips
		- Free Child Travel on Weekends and Public Holidays

Annex 3

Details of cases where Single Journey Ticket fares are slightly lower than their corresponding Octopus fares from 2010 to 2012

There were about 100 cases of Single Journey Ticket fares slightly lower than their corresponding Octopus fares after the fare adjustment in June 2010, details are:

Children/Elderly Concessionary fares

<i>Octopus</i>	<i>Single Journey Ticket</i>	<i>Stations</i>	
\$3.1	\$3.0	Mei Foo, Lai King, Kowloon Bay, Ngau Tau Kok, Kwun Tong	Hung Hom
		Mong Kok East	Mei Foo, Lai King, Kowloon Bay, Ngau Tau Kok, Kwun Tong, Kowloon, Austin
\$4.1	\$4.0	Hung Hom, Mong Kok East	Fan Ling, Sheung Shui, Tai Shui Hang, Heng On, Ma On Shan, Wu Kai Sha
		Kowloon Tong	Fan Ling, Sheung Shui
		Mei Foo, Lai King, Kowloon Bay, Ngau Tau Kok, Kwun Tong, Kowloon, Austin	Tai Wai, Sha Tin
		Che Kung Temple, Sha Tin Wai, City One, Shek Mun	Tsim Sha Tsui, East Tsim Sha Tsui
\$4.6	\$4.5	University	Mei Foo, Lai King, Kowloon Bay, Ngau Tau Kok, Kwun Tong, Kowloon, Austin
\$5.6	\$5.5	Tin Hau, Fortress Hill	Mong Kok East
		Fan Ling, Sheung Shui	Jordan
\$8.1	\$8.0	Mong Kok East	Yuen Long, Long Ping, Tin Shui Wai, Siu Hong, Tuen Mun
\$8.6	\$8.5	Kam Sheung Road	Hung Hom

Adult fares

<i>Octopus</i>	<i>Single Journey Ticket</i>	<i>Stations</i>	
\$7.7	\$7.5	Tsuen Wan West	Jordan, Kowloon, Austin, Wong Tai Sin
\$8.2	\$8.0	Hung Hom, Mong Kok East	Tai Shui Hang, Heng On, Ma On Shan, Wu Kai Sha
		Kowloon, Austin	Tai Wai, Sha Tin
\$8.6	\$8.5	Mei Foo, Lai King, Kowloon Bay, Ngau Tau Kok, Kwun Tong, Kowloon, Austin	Fo Tan
		Tai Po Market, Tai Wo	Prince Edward, Sham Shui Po, Shek Kip Mei, Lok Fu, Wong Tai Sin, Nam Cheong
\$9.1	\$9.0	Mei Foo, Lai King, Kowloon Bay, Ngau Tau Kok, Kwun Tong, Kowloon, Austin	University
\$11.6	\$11.5	Mei Foo	Kam Sheung Road

There were about 30 cases of Single Journey Ticket fares slightly lower than their corresponding Octopus fares after the fare adjustment in June 2011, details are:

Children/Elderly Concessionary fares

<i>Octopus</i>	<i>Single Journey Ticket</i>	<i>Stations</i>	
\$2.6	\$2.5	Jordan	Mong Kok East
		Tai Wai, Sha Tin	Mong Kok East, Hung Hom, Kowloon Tong, Tai Po Market, Tai Wo
		Fo Tan	Tai Po Market, Tai Wo
\$3.1	\$3.0	University	Kowloon Tong, Hung Hom, Mong Kok East
		Fo Tan	Hung Hom
		Racecourse	Tai Wai, Sha Tin

<i>Octopus</i>	<i>Single Journey Ticket</i>	<i>Stations</i>	
\$3.2	\$3.0	Mei Foo, Lai King, Kowloon Bay, Ngau Tau Kok, Kwun Tong	Hung Hom
		Mong Kok East	Mei Foo, Lai King, Kowloon Bay, Ngau Tau Kok, Kwun Tong, Kowloon, Austin

There will be 596 cases of Single Journey Ticket fares slightly lower than their corresponding Octopus fares after the fare adjustment in June 2012, details are:

Children/Elderly Concessionary fares

<i>Octopus</i>	<i>Single Journey Ticket</i>	<i>Stations</i>	
\$2.6	\$2.5	University	Fan Ling, Sheung Shui
\$2.7	\$2.5	Jordan	Mong Kok East
		Tai Wai, Sha Tin	Mong Kok East, Hung Hom, Kowloon Tong, Tai Po Market, Tai Wo
		Fo Tan	Tai Po Market, Tai Wo
\$3.1	\$3.0	Fo Tan	Kowloon Tong, Mong Kok East
\$3.2	\$3.0	North Point	Sheung Wan, Central, Hong Kong, Admiralty, Heng Fa Chuen, Chai Wan
		Quarry Bay	Sheung Wan, Central, Hong Kong, Admiralty, Wan Chai, Chai Wan
		Tai Koo	Central, Hong Kong, Admiralty, Wan Chai, Causeway Bay
		Fortress Hill	Sheung Wan, Shau Kei Wan, Heng Fa Chuen, Chai Wan
		Tin Hau	Shau Kei Wan
		Sai Wan Ho	Causeway Bay, Tin Hau
		Shek Kip Mei	Tsim Sha Tsui, East Tsim Sha Tsui
		Kowloon Tong	Kowloon, Austin, East Tsim Sha Tsui, Tsim Sha Tsui, Jordan
		Lok Fu	Kowloon, Austin, Lai Chi Kok, Jordan, Yau Ma Tei

<i>Octopus</i>	<i>Single Journey Ticket</i>	<i>Stations</i>	
		Wong Tai Sin	Olympic, Mong Kok, Cheung Sha Wan, Lai Chi Kok, Yau Ma Tei
		Diamond Hill	Olympic, Nam Cheong, Mong Kok, Cheung Sha Wan, Sham Shui Po, Prince Edward, Yau Tong
		Choi Hung	Nam Cheong, Sham Shui Po, Prince Edward, Shek Kip Mei, Yau Tong, Tiu Keng Leng
		Kowloon Bay	Shek Kip Mei, Kowloon Tong, Tiu Keng Leng, Tseung Kwan O
		Ngau Tau Kok	Kowloon Tong, Lok Fu, Tseung Kwan O, Hang Hau
\$3.2	\$3.0	Kwun Tong	Kowloon Tong, Lok Fu, Wong Tai Sin, Hang Hau, Po Lam, LOHAS Park
		Lam Tin	Lok Fu, Wong Tai Sin, Diamond Hill, Po Lam, LOHAS Park
		Mei Foo	Tsuen Wan, Olympic, Yau Ma Tei, Mong Kok, Kowloon Tong, Lok Fu
		Lai King	Olympic, Mong Kok, Prince Edward, Shek Kip Mei, Kowloon Tong
		Kwai Fong	Nam Cheong, Prince Edward, Shek Kip Mei
		Sham Shui Po	Kwai Fong, Kwai Hing, Tsing Yi, Tsim Sha Tsui, East Tsim Sha Tsui
		Cheung Sha Wan	Kwai Hing, Tai Wo Hau, Tsing Yi, Kowloon, Austin, East Tsim Sha Tsui, Tsim Sha Tsui, Jordan
		Lai Chi Kok	Tai Wo Hau, Tsuen Wan, Kowloon, Austin, Yau Ma Tei, Jordan
		Nam Cheong	Kwai Hing, Tsing Yi
		Sunny Bay	Disneyland Resort
		Hung Hom	Kowloon, Austin
		Tai Wai, Sha Tin, Fo Tan	Fan Ling, Sheung Shui, Tai Shui Hang, Heng On, Ma On Shan, Wu Kai Sha
		Racecourse	Fo Tan, University
		University	Tai Shui Hang, Heng On, Ma On Shan, Wu Kai Sha

<i>Octopus</i>	<i>Single Journey Ticket</i>	<i>Stations</i>	
		Tai Po Market, Tai Wo, Fan Ling, Sheung Shui	Che Kung Temple, Sha Tin Wai, City One, Shek Mun, Tai Shui Hang, Heng On, Ma On Shan, Wu Kai Sha
\$3.3	\$3.0	University	Kowloon Tong, Hung Hom, Mong Kok East
		Fo Tan	Hung Hom
		Racecourse	Tai Wai, Sha Tin
\$3.4	\$3.0	Hung Hom	Mei Foo, Lai King, Kowloon Bay, Ngau Tau Kok, Kwun Tong
		Mong Kok East	Kowloon, Austin, Mei Foo, Lai King, Kowloon Bay, Ngau Tau Kok, Kwun Tong
\$3.6	\$3.5	Nam Cheong	Tsuen Wan West
\$4.1	\$4.0	Tai Wai, Sha Tin	Jordan, Tsim Sha Tsui, East Tsim Sha Tsui
		Tsuen Wan West	Olympic, Yau Ma Tei, Mong Kok, Kowloon Tong, Lok Fu
\$4.2	\$4.0	Hung Hom, Mong Kok East	Tseung Kwan O, Hang Hau, Po Lam, LOHAS Park
		Tsuen Wan West	Kowloon, Austin, Jordan, Wong Tai Sin
\$4.8	\$4.5	Hung Hom	Tsuen Wan West
		Tai Wai, Sha Tin	Kwai Fong, Kwai Hing, Tai Wo Hau, Tsuen Wan, Tsuen Wan West, Tsing Yi, Lam Tin, Yau Tong, Tiu Keng Leng
		Fo Tan	Mei Foo, Lai King, Kowloon, Austin, Kowloon Bay, Ngau Tau Kok, Kwun Tong
		Racecourse	Fan Ling, Sheung Shui
\$5.1	\$5.0	Tai Wai, Sha Tin	Tseung Kwan O, Hang Hau, Po Lam, LOHAS Park
		Fo Tan	Tsuen Wan West
\$5.2	\$5.0	Hung Hom	Causeway Bay
		Mong Kok East	Sheung Wan, Central, Hong Kong, Admiralty, Wan Chai, Causeway Bay
		Tai Po Market, Tai Wo	Olympic, Nam Cheong, Yau Ma Tei, Mong Kok, Lai Chi Kok, Cheung Sha Wan, Sham Shui Po, Prince Edward, Shek Kip Mei, Lok Fu, Wong Tai Sin, Diamond Hill, Choi Hung

<i>Octopus</i>	<i>Single Journey Ticket</i>	<i>Stations</i>	
\$5.3	\$5.0	Sheung Wan, Hong Kong, Central, Admiralty	Olympic, Nam Cheong, Yau Ma Tei, Mong Kok, Cheung Sha Wan, Sham Shui Po, Prince Edward, Shek Kip Mei, Kowloon Tong
		Wan Chai	Olympic, Nam Cheong, Yau Ma Tei, Mong Kok, Cheung Sha Wan, Sham Shui Po, Prince Edward, Shek Kip Mei, Kowloon Tong, Ngau Tau Kok, Kwun Tong, Lam Tin, Yau Tong
		Causeway Bay	Kowloon, Olympic, Nam Cheong, Austin, East Tsim Sha Tsui, Tsim Sha Tsui, Jordan, Yau Ma Tei, Mong Kok, Cheung Sha Wan, Sham Shui Po, Prince Edward, Shek Kip Mei, Kowloon Tong, Kowloon Bay, Ngau Tau Kok, Kwun Tong, Lam Tin, Yau Tong, Tiu Keng Leng
		Tin Hau	Kowloon, Olympic, Nam Cheong, Austin, East Tsim Sha Tsui, Tsim Sha Tsui, Jordan, Yau Ma Tei, Mong Kok, Sham Shui Po, Prince Edward, Shek Kip Mei, Choi Hung, Kowloon Bay, Ngau Tau Kok, Kwun Tong, Lam Tin, Yau Tong, Tiu Keng Leng, Tseung Kwan O
\$5.3	\$5.0	Fortress Hill	Kowloon, Olympic, Austin, East Tsim Sha Tsui, Tsim Sha Tsui, Jordan, Yau Ma Tei, Mong Kok, Prince Edward, Diamond Hill, Choi Hung, Kowloon Bay, Tiu Keng Leng, Tseung Kwan O, Hang Hau
		North Point	Kowloon, Olympic, Austin, East Tsim Sha Tsui, Tsim Sha Tsui, Jordan, Yau Ma Tei, Mong Kok, Wong Tai Sin, Diamond Hill, Choi Hung, Kowloon Bay, Tiu Keng Leng, Tseung Kwan O, Hang Hau, Po Lam, LOHAS Park

<i>Octopus</i>	<i>Single Journey Ticket</i>	<i>Stations</i>	
		Quarry Bay	Kowloon, Austin, East Tsim Sha Tsui, Tsim Sha Tsui, Jordan, Yau Ma Tei, Wong Tai Sin, Diamond Hill, Choi Hung, Kowloon Bay, Tiu Keng Leng, Tseung Kwan O, Hang Hau, Po Lam, LOHAS Park
		Tai Koo	Wong Tai Sin, Diamond Hill, Choi Hung, Kowloon Bay, Tiu Keng Leng, Tseung Kwan O, Hang Hau, Po Lam, LOHAS Park
		Sai Wan Ho	Diamond Hill, Choi Hung, Kowloon Bay, Tiu Keng Leng, Tseung Kwan O, Hang Hau
		Shau Kei Wan	Choi Hung, Kowloon Bay, Ngau Tau Kok, Kwun Tong, Lam Tin, Yau Tong, Tiu Keng Leng, Tseung Kwan O
		Heng Fa Chuen	Kowloon Bay, Ngau Tau Kok, Kwun Tong, Lam Tin, Yau Tong, Tiu Keng Leng
		Chai Wan	Ngau Tau Kok, Kwun Tong, Lam Tin, Yau Tong
		Yau Tong	Tsuen Wan
		Tiu Keng Leng	Tai Wo Hau, Tsuen Wan
		Tseung Kwan O	Kwai Hing, Tai Wo Hau, Tsuen Wan, Tsing Yi
		Hang Hau	Kwai Fong, Kwai Hing, Tai Wo Hau, Tsuen Wan, Tsing Yi

Adult fares

<i>Octopus</i>	<i>Single Journey Ticket</i>	<i>Stations</i>	
\$5.1	\$5.0	Long Ping	Kam Sheung Road, Tuen Mun
\$5.3	\$5.0	Po Lam, LOHAS Park	Lai King, Kwai Fong, Kwai Hing, Tai Wo Hau, Tsuen Wan, Tsing Yi

<i>Octopus</i>	<i>Single Journey Ticket</i>	<i>Stations</i>	
		Sunny Bay	Sham Shui Po, Cheung Sha Wan, Lai Chi Kok, Mei Foo, Nam Cheong, Lai King, Kwai Fong, Kwai Hing, Tai Wo Hau, Tsuen Wan, Tsing Yi
		University	Tsuen Wan West
		Tai Po Market, Tai Wo	Tsim Sha Tsui, East Tsim Sha Tsui
\$5.6	\$5.5	University	Tseung Kwan O, Hang Hau, Po Lam, LOHAS Park
\$5.7	\$5.5	Tsim Sha Tsui, East Tsim Sha Tsui	Tai Shui Hang, Heng On, Ma On Shan, Wu Kai Sha
\$5.8	\$5.5	Hung Hom	Tin Hau, Fortress Hill
\$6.1	\$6.0	Fan Ling, Sheung Shui	Mei Foo, Lai King, Kowloon, Austin, Kowloon Bay, Ngau Tau Kok, Kwun Tong
		Mong Kok East	North Point, Quarry Bay
		Hung Hom	Racecourse
		Mei Foo	Kam Sheung Road
\$6.2	\$6.0	Sunny Bay	Kowloon, Olympic, Austin, East Tsim Sha Tsui, Tsim Sha Tsui, Jordan, Yau Ma Tei, Mong Kok, Prince Edward, Shek Kip Mei, Kowloon Tong, Lok Fu, Wong Tai Sin, Diamond Hill
		Tung Chung	Sham Shui Po, Cheung Sha Wan, Lai Chi Kok, Mei Foo, Nam Cheong, Lai King, Kwai Fong, Kwai Hing, Tai Wo Hau, Tsuen Wan, Tsing Yi, Disneyland Resort
		Tsing Yi	Disneyland Resort
\$6.3	\$6.0	Hung Hom, Mong Kok East	Tai Koo, Sai Wan Ho, Shau Kei Wan, Heng Fa Chuen, Chai Wan
\$6.7	\$6.5	Mei Foo	Yuen Long, Long Ping, Tin Shui Wai, Siu Hong, Tuen Mun
		Nam Cheong	Kam Sheung Road
\$6.8	\$6.5	Tai Wai, Sha Tin	Sheung Wan, Central, Hong Kong, Admiralty, Wan Chai, Causeway Bay
\$6.9	\$6.5	Racecourse	Tsim Sha Tsui, East Tsim Sha Tsui

Provision of Healthcare Services for an Ageing Society

20. **MR JAMES TO** (in Chinese): *President, the statistics of the 2011 Population Census reveal that the population of Hong Kong continued to grow older during the last 10 years, and the number of people aged 65 and over had exceeded 940 000, representing approximately 13% of Hong Kong's total population. With a growing elderly population, the demand for healthcare services will increase. In this connection, will the Government inform this Council:*

- (a) *given that in reply to a question from a Member of this Council on 11 January this year, the authorities indicated that having considered the information submitted by the Hospital Authority (HA), the Government had accepted in principle the proposals on the redevelopment of Kwong Wah Hospital and Queen Mary Hospital, and the redevelopment plans of Yan Chai Hospital and Caritas Medial Centre had also been initiated, whether the authorities have any plan at present to redevelop other hospitals; if so, of the plan;*
- (b) *given that the Chief Executive announced in the 2008-2009 Policy Address that the Government would explore the concept of Community Health Centres (CHCs) as one of the policy initiatives on enhancing primary care, and the first CHC was set up in Tin Shui Wai in the first half of 2012, when the Government will review this policy initiative and set up CHCs in other districts; of the relevant details;*
- (c) *whether the Government will, in the coming five years, construct additional general or specialist out-patient clinics for use by the grassroots; if so, of the breakdown by year and district;*
- (d) *given that the public housing estates in the Kai Tak Development Area are expected to accommodate approximately 34 000 residents, and the first batch of units will be available for occupation in 2013, whether the Government will, in response to the growing population of the district, establish additional public clinics or public hospitals in the district, or strengthen the connections between hospital clusters, so as to cater for the healthcare needs of additional residents in Kowloon East; if so, of the details;*

- (e) *given that there will be an upsurge in the demand for healthcare personnel, the Chief Executive stated in the 2011-2012 Policy Address that \$200 million would be allocated to increase the number of first-year first-degree places in medicine by 100, nursing by 40 and allied health professions by 146, but, with the redevelopment of the hospitals referred to in part (a), whether the Government needs to train additional healthcare personnel, so as to meet the manpower needs after the completion of redevelopment; if so, of the details; and*
- (f) *given that the Chief Executive-elect stated in his policy platform that in order to respond to changes in society such as an expected ageing population, the HA should embark on an overall review of its management and staff systems, working hours, cost benefits and service levels in accordance with its own positioning, whether it knows if the HA is conducting similar studies at present, so as to enhance the cost-effectiveness in using resources; if the HA is conducting such studies, of the details?*

SECRETARY FOR FOOD AND HEALTH (in Chinese): President,

- (a) The HA reviews the conditions of the structures and facilities of its 41 public hospitals annually in order to prioritize and allocate resources for the implementation of various maintenance and improvement works. In planning for the redevelopment of existing hospitals as necessary, the HA will take into account the future population growth and demographic changes in different regions, the demand for healthcare services, the overall provision of healthcare services in the various clusters under the HA, the conditions of the hospitals' structures and facilities, the HA's long-term objectives and strategies for its overall service development, as well as the development of public and private healthcare services. Before the implementation of any redevelopment project, the HA has to carry out preliminary planning work, including various preliminary technical assessments to ascertain the project's technical feasibility.

In the past years, we have obtained funding approval from the Legislative Council for various capital works projects, including

expansion, redevelopment, relocation and renovation of existing hospitals, improvement of various hospital facilities and equipment, and constructions of new hospitals. For the existing term of the Government, the Legislative Council Finance Committee has approved a funding of over \$9.7 billion for implementation of seven capital works projects on hospital facilities. The capital works projects which will be completed in the next five years include Phase 1 of the North Lantau Hospital, the Redevelopment of Caritas Medical Centre Phase 2, the Redevelopment of Yan Chai Hospital and the Tin Shui Wai Hospital. Moreover, the HA will soon commence the necessary detailed planning and design for taking forward the redevelopment of Kwong Wah Hospital and Queen Mary Hospitals and the expansion of United Christian Hospital (UCH).

- (b) In the face of challenges posed by ageing population, rising medical costs and increasing demand for healthcare services, the Government is now making efforts to take forward the healthcare reform with enhancement of primary care being the first and foremost task. We have formulated a development strategy for implementation through various fronts to enhance the primary care in Hong Kong, including exploration of different feasible models for delivery of primary care services as well as devising and launching appropriate pilot projects such as setting up of CHC and networks to promote the provision of community-based primary care services through collaboration among various sectors. The first CHC in Hong Kong based on this model will commence operation in Tin Shui Wai in June 2012. We will review the effectiveness of this service delivery model with reference to the operational experience of the CHC in Tin Shui Wai and further explore ways to extend similar services to other districts in need of enhanced primary care services having regard to the planning of other services.
- (c) Public general out-patient (GOP) services mainly target at low-income individuals, the elders and chronic disease patients. Patients taken care of by GOP clinics can be mainly divided into chronic disease patients with stable conditions and episodic disease patients with relatively mild symptoms. In order to improve public primary care services, to provide enhanced support to chronic disease

patients and to raise the public's awareness about their health, the Government has rolled out a series of chronic diseases management programmes through the HA. These include the provision of health risk assessments and specific care for patients with diabetes mellitus or hypertension by multi-disciplinary teams of healthcare professionals so as to reduce the risk of complications among these patients and the number of their attendances at clinics so that more quota can be made available in GOP clinics for episodic disease patients. Besides, the HA is also implementing a series of measures, including renovation and facilities upgrading at existing GOP clinics, actively hiring more staff and improving the workflow in the clinics, and so on, in order to enhance its services and service capability.

In addition, a number of the HA hospital construction/redevelopment projects are now underway for provision of additional/enhanced specialist out-patient services in the next five years. These include the North Lantau Hospital Phase 1, the Redevelopment of Caritas Medical Centre Phase 2, the Redevelopment of Yan Chai Hospital and the Tin Shui Wai Hospital. We will continue to monitor the demand for primary care services in each district and make flexible deployment of manpower and other resources having regard to the relevant factors, so as to provide the local community with appropriate public primary care services.

- (d) In planning for provision of public healthcare services, the HA takes into account a number of factors, including the projected demand for healthcare services having regard to population growth and demographic changes, the growth rate of services of individual specialties and the possible changes in healthcare services utilization pattern, and so on. To cope with the increasing service demand in the Kai Tak Development Area, the HA has allocated additional resources to the Kowloon East (KE) Cluster and Kowloon Central (KC) Cluster for provision of additional services in the past few years.

For KE Cluster, the expansion of Tseung Kwan O Hospital (TKOH) will be completed in 2013. By then, 178 additional beds will be

provided and the number of consultation rooms in the specialist out-patient department will increase to 70. Other services and facilities of TKOH will also be expanded accordingly to meet its increased service capacity. The UCH will also be expanded. Its expansion is expected to be completed in 2021. New facilities and services to be provided under the expansion project include a cancer centre for the KE Cluster, an ambulatory centre and rehabilitation/convalescent beds. Facilities such as the accident and emergency department, intensive care unit, operation theatres and specialist out-patient clinic will also be expanded. Other additional or enhanced services provided in the past few years include setting up a new cataract centre in TKOH, enhancement of clinical oncology services, and introduction of palliative care for patients with end stage renal disease, and so on.

Services of various departments will also be strengthened under the HA's KC Cluster, including more long-term dialysis treatment for end-stage nephrosis patients, extension of the service hours of the primary Percutaneous Coronary Interventions service, enhancement of the service of the diabetic care centre, implementation of a new mode of service delivery for positive emission tomography scan and computerized tomography scan services, and so on. The HA will also open additional beds in the cardiac care unit and the neonatal intensive care unit and establish a new adult blood donor centre at Queen Elizabeth Hospital (QEH), and plan for the reprovisioning of Yaumatei Specialist Clinic at QEH so as to meet the growing demand.

- (e) In view of the manpower requirements for healthcare professionals, for the three years starting from 2012, the Government will allocate an addition of \$200 million to increase the number of first-year first degree places in medicine by 100 to 420 per year, nursing by 40 and allied health professional by 146.

In addition, based on the outcome of the Second Stage Public Consultation on Healthcare Reform, the Government has set up a high-level steering committee, chaired by the Secretary for Food and Health, to conduct a strategic review on healthcare manpower

planning and professional development in Hong Kong. It has also invited the University of Hong Kong to conduct, by scientific and objective methods, a comprehensive manpower projection for healthcare professions covered in the strategic review. In making the projection, we will take into account the increase in demand for healthcare arising from the ageing population, changes in the delivery model of healthcare services, and the new and the additional demand brought by the service reform in the healthcare sector, and so on.

- (f) The HA constantly keeps its management structure under review in an effort to ensure that overall it can provide quality healthcare services to the public in a more effective manner.

In 2007, the HA conducted a review on the cluster management structure which was formally implemented in 2001. In early 2011, the HA further followed up on the cluster structure review conducted in 2007. After thorough consultation, the HA made further improvements on the cluster management structure by streamlining and standardizing the existing roles of the management positions in all clusters, service re-engineering, as well as appointment of Deputy Hospital Chief Executives and designated Specialist Clinical Co-ordinators and Cluster Service Directors in order to define more clearly the powers and responsibilities of all hospitals and departments under each cluster and facilitate efficient delivery of appropriate and holistic services to the public.

The HA Head Office also conducts review on its structure and related issues from time to time. After a review on its structure in 2006, the HA Head Office re-shuffled the business areas of its various divisions and established a Quality and Safety Division, a Strategy and Planning Division, and a Cluster Services Division. In 2011, the HA commissioned a consultant to conduct a follow-up review on the structure of the HA Head Office which was reorganized in 2006. The HA is now implementing the consultant's recommendations step by step to further strengthen the HA Head Office's functions in cluster co-ordination, corporate communications, staff communications and grade management. In addition, subsequent to the review, the HA has proceeded to set up a consolidated corporate

risk management framework to identify and handle the potential risks faced by the HA in its various business areas.

To ensure the best use of resources for delivery of quality services to the public, the HA has been adopting an approach that integrates its service planning and resource allocation through a structured framework and defined process. As for service planning, the drawing up of the annual plans at hospital and cluster levels is guided by the overall direction and priority service planning at the corporate level. Both the HA budget and the resource allocation among hospital clusters are put to the Finance Committee and the Administrative and Operational Meeting of the HA Board for consideration and endorsement. The allocation of resources within each cluster is essentially based on the service programmes and targets as defined in the process of drawing up the annual plan.

The HA has also put in place a resource management framework, under which resource inputs are linked up with service outputs, targets and quality standard, enabling the HA Head Office to monitor and evaluate the use of resources at cluster level in an objective manner through a financial and performance reporting system. The clusters are requested to submit regular reports to the HA Head Office to show its performance indicators in regard to its service activities, manpower and financial situation, clinical outcome and progress of its annual plan. The HA will examine closely any variations from the pre-determined targets and where appropriate, take remedial actions with corresponding adjustment in resource allocation.

Generally speaking, the HA will continue to keep its structure and operation under review taking into account such relevant factors as demographic changes, the trend of diseases and public expectations. It will also keep abreast of the latest developments to enable appropriate adjustments to be made in an effort to provide quality services to the community.

As a matter of fact, the HA has already implemented an array of new services in New Territories West and Kowloon East in response to

changes in service demand. For example, the New Territories West Cluster has increased 150 beds since 2010, opened additional beds in the neonatal intensive care unit and an Autologous Haemopoietic Stem Cell Transplant centre in the Tuen Mun Hospital; and newly opened a CHC in Tin Shui Wai for provision of primary care services. For KE Cluster, apart from the expansion on TKOH and UCH, other additional or enhanced services provided in the past few years include the addition of about 100 beds, setting up a new cataract centre in TKOH, opening of blood transfusion centre for adult thalassaemia patients in UCH, enhancement of clinical oncology services, and introduction of palliative care for patients with end stage renal disease, and so on. This demonstrates that the HA has the determination and ability to advance with the times and provide the public with quality services.

BILLS

(Bill originally scheduled to be dealt with at the last Council meeting)

Council went into Committee.

Committee Stage

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Bills. Council is in Committee. We now continue to consider the clauses of the Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012 and the 462 remaining amendments of Mr WONG Yuk-man.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): As explained at the last meeting, the Secretariat has not reentered the new data one by one into the electronic voting system in order to save resources and time. As a result, when we deal with the amendments of Mr WONG Yuk-man, the name of Mr Albert CHAN will still be shown on the display panels in the Chamber and other places of the Complex.

LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL (AMENDMENT) BILL 2012

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Committee now continues to deal with Mr WONG Yuk-man's amendments No. 754 to No. 1165. As Members have already been informed, I now suspend the meeting until 2.30 pm.

1.21 pm

Meeting suspended.

2.30 pm

Committee then resumed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Committee now resumes. Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 754.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, you should first ring the bell to summon Members.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Clerk, please ring the bell to summon Members to the Chamber.

(After the summoning bell had been rung, a number of Members returned to the Chamber)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Committee now resumes.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 754.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 754 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 754 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Andrew CHENG and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 13 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 755.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 755 under my name.

*Proposed amendment***Amendment No. 755 (See Annex I)**

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr

WONG Ting-kwong, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr Andrew CHENG and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 13 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 756.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 756 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 756 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 18 were present and 18 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 757.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 757 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 757 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 18 were present and 18 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 758.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 758 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 758 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 18 were present and 18 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 759.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 759 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 759 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 18 were present and 18 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the

question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 760.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 760 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 760 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 18 were present and 18 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 761.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 761 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 761 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 18 were present and 18 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 762.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 762 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 762 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr

Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 18 were present and 18 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 763.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 763 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 763 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 764.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 764 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 764 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHIM Pui-chung, Prof Patrick LAU, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 18 were present and 18 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 765.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 765 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 765 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHIM Pui-chung, Prof Patrick LAU, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 11 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and nine against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 766.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 766 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 766 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHIM Pui-chung, Prof Patrick LAU, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 11 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and nine against it. Since the

question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 767.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 767 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 767 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHIM Pui-chung, Prof Patrick LAU, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 768.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 768 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 768 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHIM Pui-chung, Prof Patrick LAU, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 769.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 769 under my name.

*Proposed amendment***Amendment No. 769 (See Annex I)**

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr

CHIM Pui-chung, Prof Patrick LAU, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 770.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 770 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 770 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHIM Pui-chung, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 18 were present and 18 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 771.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 771 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 771 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHIM Pui-chung, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 18 were present and 18 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 772.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 772 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 772 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHIM Pui-chung, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 18 were present and 18 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 773.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 773 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 773 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHIM Pui-chung, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 18 were present and 18 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the

question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 774.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 774 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 774 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yung-kan voted for the amendment.

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHIM Pui-chung, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 18 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 17 against it; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 775.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 775 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 775 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHIM Pui-chung, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 18 were present and 18 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 776.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 776 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 776 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr

CHIM Pui-chung, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 18 were present and 18 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 777.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 777 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 777 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHIM Pui-chung, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 18 were present and 18 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 778.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 778 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 778 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHIM Pui-chung, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 18 were present and 18 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 779.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 779 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 779 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHIM Pui-chung, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 780.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 780 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 780 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHIM Pui-chung, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12

were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 781.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 781 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 781 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHIM Pui-chung, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 782.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 782 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 782 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHIM Pui-chung, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 783.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 783 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 783 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr

CHIM Pui-chung, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 784.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 784 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 784 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHIM Pui-chung, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 785.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 785 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 785 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHIM Pui-chung, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 786.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 786 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 786 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHIM Pui-chung, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 787.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 787 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 787 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHIM Pui-chung, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12

were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 788.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 788 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 788 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHIM Pui-chung, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 20 were present and 20 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 789.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 789 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 789 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Mr Timothy FOK, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHIM Pui-chung, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 790.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 790 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 790 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Mr Timothy FOK, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr

CHIM Pui-chung, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 791.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 791 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 791 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Mr Timothy FOK, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHIM Pui-chung, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 792.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 792 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 792 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Mr Timothy FOK, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHIM Pui-chung, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 793.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 793 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 793 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Mr Timothy FOK, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHIM Pui-chung, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 794.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 794 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 794 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Mr Timothy FOK, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHIM Pui-chung, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12

were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 795.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 795 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 795 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Mr Timothy FOK, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHIM Pui-chung, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 796.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 796 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 796 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Mr Timothy FOK, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHIM Pui-chung, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 18 were present and 18 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 797.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 797 under my name.

*Proposed amendment***Amendment No. 797 (See Annex I)**

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Mr Timothy FOK, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr

CHIM Pui-chung, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 798.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 798 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 798 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Mr Timothy FOK, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHIM Pui-chung, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 20 were present and 20 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 799.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 799 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 799 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Mr Timothy FOK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHIM Pui-chung, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 800.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 800 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 800 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Mr Timothy FOK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 18 were present and 18 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 801.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 801 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 801 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Mr Timothy FOK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 18 were present and 18 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the

question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 802.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 802 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 802 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Mr Timothy FOK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 18 were present and 18 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 803.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 803 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 803 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Mr Timothy FOK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 18 were present and 18 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 804.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 804 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 804 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Mr Timothy FOK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU,

Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 18 were present and 18 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 805.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 805 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 805 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Mr Timothy FOK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 806.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 806 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 806 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Mr Timothy FOK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 18 were present and 18 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now suspend the meeting until 4.30 pm.

4.13 pm

Meeting suspended.

4.30 pm

Committee then resumed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Clerk, please ring the bell to summon Members back to the Chamber.

(After the summoning bell had been rung, a number of Members returned to the Chamber)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Committee now resumes. Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 807.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 807 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 807 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 18 were present and 18 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 808.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 808 under my name.

*Proposed amendment***Amendment No. 808 (See Annex I)**

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick

LAU, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 18 were present and 18 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 809.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 809 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 809 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 18 were present and 18 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 810.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 810 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 810 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 18 were present and 18 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 811.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 811 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 811 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 812.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 812 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 812 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12

were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 813.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 813 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 813 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 814.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 814 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 814 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 815.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 815 under my name.

*Proposed amendment***Amendment No. 815 (See Annex I)**

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG

Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 816.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 816 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 816 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 20 were present and 20 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 817.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 817 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 817 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 818.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 818 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 818 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG and Mr WONG Kwok-kin voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 11 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and nine against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 819.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 819 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 819 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12

were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 820.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 820 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 820 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 20 were present and 20 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 821.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 821 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 821 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 822.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 822 under my name.

*Proposed amendment***Amendment No. 822 (See Annex I)**

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof

Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 823.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 823 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 823 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 824.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 824 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 824 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 825.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 825 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 825 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 826.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 826 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 826 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12

were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 827.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 827 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 827 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 828.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 828 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 828 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 829.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 829 under my name.

*Proposed amendment***Amendment No. 829 (See Annex I)**

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy

CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 830.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 830 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 830 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 831.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 831 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 831 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 832.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 832 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 832 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 833.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 833 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 833 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12

were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 834.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 834 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 834 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 835.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 835 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 835 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 18 were present and 18 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 836.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 836 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 836 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof

Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 837.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 837 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 837 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 838.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 838 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 838 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 839.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 839 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 839 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mrs Regina IP and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG and Mr WONG Kwok-kin voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and nine against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 840.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 840 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 840 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12

were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 841.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 841 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 841 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 842

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 842 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 842 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 843.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 843 under my name.

*Proposed amendment***Amendment No. 843 (See Annex I)**

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof

Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 844.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 844 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 844 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 845.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 845 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 845 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 846.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 846 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 846 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 847.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 847 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 847 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG and Mr WONG Kwok-kin voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 11 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and nine against it. Since the

question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 848.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 848 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 848 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 849.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 849 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 849 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 850.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 850 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 850 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof

Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 851.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 851 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 851 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 20 were present and 20 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 852.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 852 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 852 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 853.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 853 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 853 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 854.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 854 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 854 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12

were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 855.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 855 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 855 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 20 were present and 20 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 856.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 856 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 856 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 11 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and nine against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 857.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 857 under my name.

*Proposed amendment***Amendment No. 857 (See Annex I)**

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr

WONG Ting-kwong, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 858.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 858 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 858 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 859.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 859 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 859 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 18 were present and 18 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 860.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 860 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 860 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 18 were present and 18 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 861.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 861 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 861 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12

were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 862.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 862 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 862 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Tommy CHEUNG, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 20 were present and 20 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 863.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 863 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 863 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 864.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 864 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 864 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof

Patrick LAU, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 865.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 865 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 865 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 866.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 866 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 866 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 18 were present and 18 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 867.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 867 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 867 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 20 were present and 20 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 868.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 868 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 868 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 20 were present and 20 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12

were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 869.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 869 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 869 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 20 were present and 20 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 870.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 870 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 870 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Prof Patrick LAU, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 20 were present and 20 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now suspend the meeting until 7.30 pm.

6.30 pm

Meeting suspended.

7.30 pm

Committee then resumed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Clerk, please ring the bell to summon Members to return to the Chamber.

(After the summoning bell had been rung, a number of Members returned to the Chamber)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Committee now resumes.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 871.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Dr Joseph LEE actually belongs to the pro-establishment camp.

Chairman, I move amendment No. 871 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 871 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 18 were present and 18 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 872.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 872 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 872 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 873.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 873 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 873 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 11 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and nine against it. Since the

question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 874.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 874 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 874 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 11 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and nine against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 875.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 875 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 875 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms

LI Fung-ying, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 11 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and nine against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 876.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 876 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 876 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Mr Timothy FOK, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 18 were present and 18 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 877.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 877 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 877 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 878.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 878 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 878 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 20 were present and 20 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 879.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 879 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 879 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 20 were present and 20 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12

were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 880.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 880 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 880 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 20 were present and 20 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 881.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 881 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 881 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 20 were present and 20 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 882.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 882 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 882 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr

WONG Ting-kwong, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 20 were present and 20 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 883.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 883 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 883 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 20 were present and 20 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 884.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 884 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 884 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 20 were present and 20 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 885.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 885 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 885 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 886.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 886 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 886 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 20 were present and 20 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12

were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 887.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 887 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 887 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 20 were present and 20 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 888.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 888 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 888 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 889.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 889 under my name.

*Proposed amendment***Amendment No. 889 (See Annex I)**

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr

WONG Ting-kwong, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 20 were present and 20 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 11 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and nine against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 890.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 890 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 890 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 20 were present and 20 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 11 were present, one was in favour of the amendment and nine against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 891.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 891 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 891 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr WONG Yuk-man rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 20 were present and 20 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 13 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 892.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 892 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 892 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG and Mr WONG Kwok-kin voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and nine against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 893.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 8930 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 893 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG and Mr WONG Kwok-kin voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 20 were present and 20 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and nine against it. Since

the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 894.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 894 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 894 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr LEUNG KWOK-hung has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 20 were present and 20 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 13 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 895.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 895 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 895 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 13 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 896.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 896 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 896 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Mr Abraham SHEK, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong,

Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 13 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 897.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 897 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 897 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 20 were present and 20 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 13 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 898.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 898 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 898 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 13 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 899.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 899 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 899 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 13 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 900.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 900 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 900 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 13

were present, two were in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 901.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 901 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 901 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 13 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 902.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 902 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 902 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 20 were present and 20 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 13 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 903.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 903 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 903 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr

WONG Ting-kwong, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 13 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 904.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 904 under my name. "Home village" will soon be in sight.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 904 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 13 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 905.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 905 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 905 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 20 were present and 20 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 13 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 906.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 906 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 906 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 20 were present and 20 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 13 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 907.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 906 under my name.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Should be No. 907.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Amendment No. 907.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 907 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and nine against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 908.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 908 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 908 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 13

were present, two were in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 909.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 909 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 909 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 13 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 910.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 910 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 910 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 13 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 911.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Nine one one. Chairman, I move amendment No. 911 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 911 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong,

Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 20 were present and 20 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 13 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 912.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 912 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 912 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 20 were present and 20 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 11 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and eight against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 913.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 913 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 913 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 20 were present and 20 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 11 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and eight against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 914.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 914 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 914 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 11 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and eight against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 915.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 915 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 915 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Mr Abraham SHEK, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 11 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and eight against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 916.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 916 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 916 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Mr Abraham SHEK, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 20 were present and 20 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and nine against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 917.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 917 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 917 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Mr Abraham SHEK, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong,

Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 20 were present and 20 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and nine against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 918.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 918 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 918 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Mr Abraham SHEK, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and nine against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 919.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 919 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 919 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 20 were present and 20 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and nine against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 920.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 920 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 920 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and nine against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 921.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 921 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 921 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan and Mr WONG Kwok-kin voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 11 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and eight against it. Since

the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 922.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 922 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 922 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and nine against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 923.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 923 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 923 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and nine against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 924.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 924 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 924 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr

WONG Ting-kwong, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and nine against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 925.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 925 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 925 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and nine against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 926.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 926 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 926 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 11 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and eight against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 927.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 927 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 927 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and nine against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 928.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 928 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 928 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and nine against it. Since

the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 929.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 929 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 929 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and nine against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 930.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 930 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 930 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and nine against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 931.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 931 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 931 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr

WONG Ting-kwong, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 20 were present and 20 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 13 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 932.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 932 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 932 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 13 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 933.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 933 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 933 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 13 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 934.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 934 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 934 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 13 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 935.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 935 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 935 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 13

were present, two were in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 936.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 936 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 936 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 13 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 937.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 937 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 937 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou and Mr Paul TSE voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 18 were present and 18 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 13 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 938.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 938 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 938 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr

WONG Ting-kwong, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 20 were present and 20 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 13 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 939.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 939 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 939 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 20 were present and 20 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 13 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 940.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 940 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 940 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 13 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 941.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 941 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 941 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 20 were present and 20 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 13 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 942.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 942 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 942 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 19 were present and 19 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 13 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the

question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 943.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 943 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 943 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 20 were present and 20 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 12 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and nine against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 944.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 944 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 944 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 13 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 945.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 945 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 945 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr

WONG Ting-kwong, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 13 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 946.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): Chairman, I move amendment No. 946 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 946 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 13 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negated.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr WONG Yuk-man, you may now move your amendment No. 947.

MR WONG YUK-MAN (in Cantonese): "School" will soon be over. Chairman, I move amendment No. 947 under my name.

Proposed amendment

Amendment No. 947 (See Annex I)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): I now put the question to you and that is: That the amendment moved by Mr WONG Yuk-man be passed.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will those in favour please raise their hands?

(Members raised their hands)

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Those against please raise their hands.

(Members raised their hands)

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung rose to claim a division.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung has claimed a division. The division bell will ring for one minute.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please proceed to vote.

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): Will Members please check their votes. If there are no queries, voting shall now stop and the result will be displayed.

Functional Constituencies:

Dr Raymond HO, Mrs Sophie LEUNG, Dr Philip WONG, Mr WONG Yung-kan, Mr LAU Wong-fat, Ms Miriam LAU, Mr Timothy FOK, Mr Abraham SHEK, Ms LI Fung-ying, Mr Vincent FANG, Mr Jeffrey LAM, Mr Andrew LEUNG, Mr WONG Ting-kwong, Dr LAM Tai-fai, Mr Paul CHAN, Mr CHAN Kin-por, Mr IP Wai-ming, Mr IP Kwok-him, Dr PAN Pey-chyou, Mr Paul TSE and Dr Samson TAM voted against the amendment.

Geographical Constituencies:

Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung and Mr WONG Yuk-man voted for the amendment.

Mr CHAN Kam-lam, Mr LAU Kong-wah, Mr TAM Yiu-chung, Mr WONG Kwok-hing, Mr CHEUNG Hok-ming, Ms Starry LEE, Mr CHAN Hak-kan, Dr Priscilla LEUNG, Mr WONG Kwok-kin and Mrs Regina IP voted against the amendment.

THE CHAIRMAN, Mr Jasper TSANG, did not cast any vote.

THE CHAIRMAN announced that among the Members returned by functional constituencies, 21 were present and 21 were against the amendment; while among the Members returned by geographical constituencies through direct elections, 13 were present, two were in favour of the amendment and 10 against it. Since the question was not agreed by a majority of each of the two groups of Members present, he therefore declared that the amendment was negatived.

SUSPENSION OF MEETING

CHAIRMAN (in Cantonese): The meeting is now suspended. Council will resume at 2.30 pm tomorrow.

Suspended accordingly at Ten o'clock.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

NEGATIVED

- “(2B) If not less than 10 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Republic of the Union of Myanmar, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 755

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

[NEGATIVED]

“(2B) If not less than 10 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Socialist Republic of Vietnam, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

[NEGATIVED]

- “(2B) If not less than 10 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Republic of Zimbabwe, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 757

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

[NEGATIVED]

“(2B) If not less than 10 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Syrian Arab Republic, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

- “(2B) If not less than 10 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the People’s Democratic Republic of Algeria, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 759

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

[NEGATIVED]

“(2B) If not less than 10 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

- “(2B) If not less than 10 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Republic of the Sudan, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 761

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

[NEGATIVED]

“(2B) If not less than 10 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Kingdom of Bahrain, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

NEGATIVED

“(2B) If not less than 10 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Sultanate of Oman, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 763

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

[NEGATIVED]

“(2B) If not less than 11 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the People's Republic of China, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

NEGATIVED

- “(2B) If not less than 11 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Republic of Cuba, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 765

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If not less than 11 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Democratic People's Republic of Korea, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

- “(2B) If not less than 11 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Islamic Republic of Iran, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 767

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

“(2B) If not less than 11 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Democratic Republic of the Congo, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

NEGATIVED

- “(2B) If not less than 11 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Republic of Yemen, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 769

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

[NEGATIVED]

“(2B) If not less than 11 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Republic of the Union of Myanmar, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

NEGATIVED

“(2B) If not less than 11 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Socialist Republic of Vietnam, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 771

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

[NEGATIVED]

“(2B) If not less than 11 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Republic of Zimbabwe, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

[NEGATIVED]

- “(2B) If not less than 11 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Syrian Arab Republic, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 773

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

{NEGATIVED}

By adding—

- “(2B) If not less than 11 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the People’s Democratic Republic of Algeria, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If not less than 11 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 775

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

[NEGATIVED]

“(2B) If not less than 11 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Republic of the Sudan, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

- “(2B) If not less than 11 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Kingdom of Bahrain, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 777

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

NEGATIVED

“(2B) If not less than 11 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Sultanate of Oman, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

[NEGATIVED]

“(2B) If not less than 12 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the People's Republic of China, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 779

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

- “(2B) If not less than 12 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Republic of Cuba, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

[NEGATIVED]

“(2B) If not less than 12 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Democratic People's Republic of Korea, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 781

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

NEGATIVED

“(2B) If not less than 12 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Islamic Republic of Iran, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

- “(2B) If not less than 12 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Democratic Republic of the Congo, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 783

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

NEGATIVED

“(2B) If not less than 12 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Republic of Yemen, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

- “(2B) If not less than 12 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Republic of the Union of Myanmar, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 785

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

NEGATIVED

“(2B) If not less than 12 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Socialist Republic of Vietnam, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

[NEGATIVED]

- “(2B) If not less than 12 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Republic of Zimbabwe, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 787

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

[NEGATIVED]

“(2B) If not less than 12 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Syrian Arab Republic, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

NEGATIVED

“(2B) If not less than 12 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the People’s Democratic Republic of Algeria, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 789

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

[NEGATIVED]

“(2B) If not less than 12 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

NEGATIVED

- “(2B) If not less than 12 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Republic of the Sudan, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 791

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

[NEGATIVED]

“(2B) If not less than 12 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Kingdom of Bahrain, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

[NEGATIVED]

“(2B) If not less than 12 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Sultanate of Oman, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 793

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

[NEGATIVED]

“(2B) If not less than 13 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the People's Republic of China, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

- “(2B) If not less than 13 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Republic of Cuba, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 795

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

[NEGATIVED]

“(2B) If not less than 13 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Democratic People's Republic of Korea, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

NEGATIVED

“(2B) If not less than 13 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Islamic Republic of Iran, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 797

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

[NEGATIVED]

“(2B) If not less than 13 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Democratic Republic of the Congo, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

NEGATIVED

- “(2B) If not less than 13 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Republic of Yemen, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 799

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

[NEGATIVED]

“(2B) If not less than 13 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Republic of the Union of Myanmar, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

[NEGATIVED]

- “(2B) If not less than 13 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Socialist Republic of Vietnam, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 801

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

[NEGATIVED]

“(2B) If not less than 13 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Republic of Zimbabwe, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

NEGATIVED

- “(2B) If not less than 13 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Syrian Arab Republic, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 803

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

[NEGATIVED]

“(2B) If not less than 13 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the People’s Democratic Republic of Algeria, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

NEGATIVED

- “(2B) If not less than 13 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 805

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

[NEGATIVED]

“(2B) If not less than 13 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Republic of the Sudan, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

NEGATIVED

- “(2B) If not less than 13 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Kingdom of Bahrain, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 807

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

[NEGATIVED]

“(2B) If not less than 13 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Sultanate of Oman, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

- “(2B) If not less than 14 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the People's Republic of China, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 809

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

[NEGATIVED]

“(2B) If not less than 14 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Republic of Cuba, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

- “(2B) If not less than 14 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Democratic People's Republic of Korea, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 811

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

[NEGATIVED]

“(2B) If not less than 14 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Islamic Republic of Iran, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

- “(2B) If not less than 14 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Democratic Republic of the Congo, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 813

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

“(2B) If not less than 14 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Republic of Yemen, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

- “(2B) If not less than 14 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Republic of the Union of Myanmar, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 815

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

[NEGATIVED]

“(2B) If not less than 14 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Socialist Republic of Vietnam, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

NEGATIVED

“(2B) If not less than 14 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Republic of Zimbabwe, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 817

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

[NEGATIVED]

“(2B) If not less than 14 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Syrian Arab Republic, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

NEGATIVED

“(2B) If not less than 14 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the People’s Democratic Republic of Algeria, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 819

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

[NEGATIVED]

“(2B) If not less than 14 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

NEGATIVED

- “(2B) If not less than 14 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Republic of the Sudan, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 821

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

[NEGATIVED]

“(2B) If not less than 14 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Kingdom of Bahrain, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

NEGATIVED

- “(2B) If not less than 14 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Sultanate of Oman, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 823

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

[NEGATIVED]

“(2B) If not less than 15 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the People's Republic of China, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

- “(2B) If not less than 15 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Republic of Cuba, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 825

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

[NEGATIVED]

“(2B) If not less than 15 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Democratic People's Republic of Korea, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

NEGATIVED

“(2B) If not less than 15 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Islamic Republic of Iran, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 827

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

[NEGATIVED]

“(2B) If not less than 15 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Democratic Republic of the Congo, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

NEGATIVED

- “(2B) If not less than 15 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Republic of Yemen, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 829

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If not less than 15 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Republic of the Union of Myanmar, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

NEGATIVED

- “(2B) If not less than 15 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Socialist Republic of Vietnam, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 831

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

[NEGATIVED]

“(2B) If not less than 15 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Republic of Zimbabwe, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

- “(2B) If not less than 15 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Syrian Arab Republic, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 833

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

[NEGATIVED]

“(2B) If not less than 15 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the People’s Democratic Republic of Algeria, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

NEGATIVED

- “(2B) If not less than 15 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 835

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

[NEGATIVED]

“(2B) If not less than 15 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Republic of the Sudan, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

- “(2B) If not less than 15 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Kingdom of Bahrain, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 837

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

[NEGATIVED]

“(2B) If not less than 15 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Sultanate of Oman, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

- “(2B) If not less than 16 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the People's Republic of China, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 839

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

[NEGATIVED]

“(2B) If not less than 16 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Republic of Cuba, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

[NEGATIVED]

- “(2B) If not less than 16 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Democratic People's Republic of Korea, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 841

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

[NEGATIVED]

“(2B) If not less than 16 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Islamic Republic of Iran, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

NEGATIVED

- “(2B) If not less than 16 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Democratic Republic of the Congo, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 843

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

[NEGATIVED]

“(2B) If not less than 16 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Republic of Yemen, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

- “(2B) If not less than 16 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Republic of the Union of Myanmar, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 845

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

[NEGATIVED]

“(2B) If not less than 16 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Socialist Republic of Vietnam, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

NEGATIVED

- “(2B) If not less than 16 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Republic of Zimbabwe, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 847

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

[NEGATIVED]

“(2B) If not less than 16 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Syrian Arab Republic, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

NEGATIVED

- “(2B) If not less than 16 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the People’s Democratic Republic of Algeria, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 849

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If not less than 16 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

[NEGATIVED]

- “(2B) If not less than 16 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Republic of the Sudan, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 851

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If not less than 16 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Kingdom of Bahrain, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

NEGATIVED

“(2B) If not less than 16 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Sultanate of Oman, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 853

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

[NEGATIVED]

“(2B) If not less than 17 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the People's Republic of China, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

NEGATIVED

“(2B) If not less than 17 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Republic of Cuba, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 855

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

[NEGATIVED]

“(2B) If not less than 17 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Democratic People's Republic of Korea, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

[NEGATIVED]

- “(2B) If not less than 17 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Islamic Republic of Iran, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 857

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

[NEGATIVED]

“(2B) If not less than 17 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Democratic Republic of the Congo, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

- “(2B) If not less than 17 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Republic of Yemen, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 859

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

[NEGATIVED]

“(2B) If not less than 17 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Republic of the Union of Myanmar, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

NEGATIVED

- “(2B) If not less than 17 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Socialist Republic of Vietnam, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 861

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

[NEGATIVED]

“(2B) If not less than 17 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Republic of Zimbabwe, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

NEGATIVED

- “(2B) If not less than 17 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Syrian Arab Republic, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 863

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

[NEGATIVED]

“(2B) If not less than 17 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the People’s Democratic Republic of Algeria, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

- “(2B) If not less than 17 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 865

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

[NEGATIVED]

“(2B) If not less than 17 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Republic of the Sudan, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

NEGATIVED

“(2B) If not less than 17 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Kingdom of Bahrain, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 867

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

[NEGATIVED]

“(2B) If not less than 17 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Sultanate of Oman, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

- “(2B) If not less than 18 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the People's Republic of China, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 869

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

[NEGATIVED]

“(2B) If not less than 18 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Republic of Cuba, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

NEGATIVED

- “(2B) If not less than 18 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Democratic People's Republic of Korea, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 871

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

[NEGATIVED]

“(2B) If not less than 18 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Islamic Republic of Iran, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

NEGATIVED

- “(2B) If not less than 18 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Democratic Republic of the Congo, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 873

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

- “(2B) If not less than 18 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Republic of Yemen, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

NEGATIVED

- “(2B) If not less than 18 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Republic of the Union of Myanmar, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 875

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

[NEGATIVED]

“(2B) If not less than 18 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Socialist Republic of Vietnam, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

[NEGATIVED]

- “(2B) If not less than 18 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Republic of Zimbabwe, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 877

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

- “(2B) If not less than 18 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Syrian Arab Republic, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

NEGATIVED

- “(2B) If not less than 18 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the People’s Democratic Republic of Algeria, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 879

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

[NEGATIVED]

“(2B) If not less than 18 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

NEGATIVED

- “(2B) If not less than 18 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Republic of the Sudan, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 881

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

[NEGATIVED]

“(2B) If not less than 18 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Kingdom of Bahrain, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

- “(2B) If not less than 18 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Sultanate of Oman, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 883

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

[NEGATIVED]

“(2B) If not less than 19 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the People's Republic of China, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

NEGATIVED

- “(2B) If not less than 19 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Republic of Cuba, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 885

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

[NEGATIVED]

“(2B) If not less than 19 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Democratic People's Republic of Korea, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

- “(2B) If not less than 19 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Islamic Republic of Iran, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 887

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

[NEGATIVED]

“(2B) If not less than 19 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Democratic Republic of the Congo, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

- “(2B) If not less than 19 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Republic of Yemen, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 889

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

“(2B) If not less than 19 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Republic of the Union of Myanmar, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

- “(2B) If not less than 19 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Socialist Republic of Vietnam, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 891

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

“(2B) If not less than 19 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Republic of Zimbabwe, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

- “(2B) If not less than 19 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Syrian Arab Republic, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 893

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If not less than 19 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the People’s Democratic Republic of Algeria, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

- “(2B) If not less than 19 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 895

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

- “(2B) If not less than 19 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Republic of the Sudan, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

NEGATIVED

- “(2B) If not less than 19 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Kingdom of Bahrain, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 897

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If not less than 19 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Sultanate of Oman, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

- “(2B) If not less than 20 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the People's Republic of China, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 899

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

- “(2B) If not less than 20 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Republic of Cuba, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

- “(2B) If not less than 20 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Democratic People's Republic of Korea, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 901

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

“(2B) If not less than 20 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Islamic Republic of Iran, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

- “(2B) If not less than 20 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Democratic Republic of the Congo, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 903

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

“(2B) If not less than 20 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Republic of Yemen, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

- “(2B) If not less than 20 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Republic of the Union of Myanmar, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 905

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If not less than 20 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Socialist Republic of Vietnam, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

- “(2B) If not less than 20 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Republic of Zimbabwe, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 907

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

“(2B) If not less than 20 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Syrian Arab Republic, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

- “(2B) If not less than 20 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the People’s Democratic Republic of Algeria, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 909

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

{NEGATIVED}

“(2B) If not less than 20 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

- “(2B) If not less than 20 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Republic of the Sudan, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 911

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If not less than 20 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Kingdom of Bahrain, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

- “(2B) If not less than 20 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Sultanate of Oman, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 913

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

“(2B) If not less than 21 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the People's Republic of China, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

- “(2B) If not less than 21 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Republic of Cuba, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 915

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

“(2B) If not less than 21 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Democratic People's Republic of Korea, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

- “(2B) If not less than 21 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Islamic Republic of Iran, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 917

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

- “(2B) If not less than 21 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Democratic Republic of the Congo, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

- “(2B) If not less than 21 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Republic of Yemen, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 919

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

- “(2B) If not less than 21 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Republic of the Union of Myanmar, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

- “(2B) If not less than 21 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Socialist Republic of Vietnam, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 921

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

“(2B) If not less than 21 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Republic of Zimbabwe, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

[NEGATIVED]

“(2B) If not less than 21 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Syrian Arab Republic, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 923

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

“(2B) If not less than 21 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the People’s Democratic Republic of Algeria, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

- “(2B) If not less than 21 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 925

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

- “(2B) If not less than 21 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Republic of the Sudan, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

- “(2B) If not less than 21 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Kingdom of Bahrain, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 927

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If not less than 21 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Sultanate of Oman, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

- “(2B) If not less than 22 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the People's Republic of China, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 929

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

- “(2B) If not less than 22 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Republic of Cuba, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

By adding—

NEGATIVED

- “(2B) If not less than 22 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Democratic People's Republic of Korea, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 931

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

- “(2B) If not less than 22 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Islamic Republic of Iran, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

- “(2B) If not less than 22 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Democratic Republic of the Congo, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 933

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

- “(2B) If not less than 22 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Republic of Yemen, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

- “(2B) If not less than 22 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Republic of the Union of Myanmar, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 935

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

“(2B) If not less than 22 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Socialist Republic of Vietnam, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

- “(2B) If not less than 22 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Republic of Zimbabwe, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 937

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

- “(2B) If not less than 22 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Syrian Arab Republic, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

- “(2B) If not less than 22 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the People’s Democratic Republic of Algeria, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 939

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

“(2B) If not less than 22 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Hashemite Kingdom of Jordan, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

- “(2B) If not less than 22 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Republic of the Sudan, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 941

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

- “(2B) If not less than 22 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Kingdom of Bahrain, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

- “(2B) If not less than 22 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Sultanate of Oman, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 943

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

“(2B) If not less than 23 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the People's Republic of China, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

- “(2B) If not less than 23 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Republic of Cuba, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 945

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

“(2B) If not less than 23 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Democratic People's Republic of Korea, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

[NEGATIVED]

By adding—

- “(2B) If not less than 23 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Islamic Republic of Iran, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

No. 947

Legislative Council (Amendment) Bill 2012

Committee StageAmendment moved by the Honourable WONG Yuk ManClauseAmendment Proposed

3

NEGATIVED

By adding—

“(2B) If not less than 23 Members of any geographical constituency or not less than 4 Members of the District Council (second) functional constituency resign from office as Members within the same day because they have been confined without trial over 1 month by the Government of the Democratic Republic of the Congo, and any of them is released within 1 month after his resignation, subsection (2A) does not apply to him.”.

Appendix I**WRITTEN ANSWER****Written answer by the Secretary for Food and Health to Dr Joseph LEE's supplementary question to Question 1**

As regards registered proprietary Chinese medicines (pCm) traders in Hong Kong, there are a total of 1 382 pCm traders. As of end July 2012, 931 of them (67.3% of the total) have declared to the Department of Health (DH) regarding the source of capsules they used for their pCm products.

According to the DH's existing arrangement, in order to apply for import/export license for the product, the pCm traders are required to provide laboratory test reports, in order to prove that the chromium therein meets the required limit.

Appendix II**WRITTEN ANSWER****Written answer by the Secretary for Financial Services and the Treasury to Mr Tommy CHEUNG's supplementary question to Question 3**

As of end March 2011, there were about 770 000 corporations with business registrations. Of which, some 680 000 corporations did not need to pay profits tax for such reasons as their operations incurred losses, they did not have any operation or they were new businesses and their profits tax returns were not yet due for submission. However, the Inland Revenue Department does not have statistical breakdowns in this regard.

Appendix III**WRITTEN ANSWER****Written answer by the Secretary for Financial Services and the Treasury to Dr LAM Tai-fai's supplementary question to Question 3**

In the main reply, the Administration has indicated that providing additional tax concessions to small and medium enterprises (SMEs) only would create loopholes for tax avoidance. This is because the additional tax concessions provided to the SMEs may become tax incentives for certain enterprises to split their businesses into smaller operations or use other means for the purpose of gaining such benefits. In this connection, the Administration would have to formulate appropriate anti-avoidance measures, which would inevitably complicate our simple tax system.