

29 June 2012

Dr Hon LEUNG Ka-lau Chairman of Panel on Health Services Room 806, Legislative Council Complex, 1 Legislative Council Road, Central, Hong Kong

Re: Development of a Hong Kong Code of Marketing of Breastmilk Substitutes Post-meeting submission

Dear Dr Leung,

The Hong Kong Infant and Young Child Nutrition Association (HKIYCNA/the Association) would like to thank again for inviting us to attend the meeting of the Panel on Health Services held on 16 April 2012 to share our views on the development of a Hong Kong Code of Marketing of Breastmilk Substitutes (Hong Kong Code). The Association is now writing to provide further viewpoints subsequent to the meeting.

The Association would like to clarify the definition of "breastmilk substitute" and "infant formula", as well as "follow up formula" to facilitate the following discussion.

- According to the WHO Code, "breastmilk substitute" means any food being marketed or otherwise presented as a partial or total replacement for breastmilk, while "infant formula" means a breastmilk substitute formulated industrially in accordance with applicable CODEX Alimentarius standards, to satisfy the normal nutritional requirements of infants up to between 4 and 6 months of age.
- According to CODEX STAN 156-1987, "follow-up formula" means a food intended for use as a liquid part of the weaning diet for the infant from the 6th month on and for young children. In the UK, a very similar definition applies to the same food category, which is named as "follow-on formula". Annex 3 of the WHO Code has also specified that any other fluid, solid or semi solid food intended for infants and given after the first 4 to 6 months of life can no longer be considered as a replacement for breastmilk substitute. Such foods only complement breastmilk or breastmilk substitutes, and are thus referred as complementary foods.



1. Legislation is the effective means to end all forms of inappropriate promotion of breastmilk substitute

In the LC Paper (No. CB(2)1640/11-12(05)) and (No. CB(2) 2250/11-12(01)) submitted by the Food and Health Bureau (FHB), the Government took reference to a number of countries for their voluntary implementation of the WHO Code. However, with further review of the situations in the referred countries, it is discovered that the UK and Malaysia have legislative measures to regulate the marketing of infant formula, while adherence to the regulatory code is obligatory on the entire Infant Food Industry in Singapore. Moreover, according to the information from UNICEF, in April 2011, there are 103 countries with some form of legislation on infant formula marketing (Appendix 1). Thus, it is clearly observed that legislation is the prevailing form of implementation for the matter in discussion.

According to the Infant Formula and Follow-on Formula (England) Regulations 2007 in the UK, only promotion of infant formula is restricted while promotion of follow-on formula is allowed. This is to ensure that parents and caregivers are not deprived of the access to scientifically substantiated information which will allow them to make informed nutritional choices for their children, especially when introducing complementary food. Moreover, an independent research conducted by the UK Government has evidenced that there was no or very little confusion in the minds of the public over infant formula and follow on formula on shelf and in advertising. Therefore, the code developing by the government should only cover products up to 6 months.

2. Marketing code and food labeling matters should be addressed separately

Regarding the way to regulate food labeling of infant food, it seems that there will likely be a discrepancy between the plan of the Government and the common practices around the globe. The Government's plan to cover nutrition labelling under the Hong Kong Code, which is intended to voluntarily regulate marketing behaviour initially, cannot be found in other developed countries. As an example in Australia and New Zealand, labeling is regulated under the Australia and New Zealand Food Standard Code which is separated from its marketing code. While at the international level, the food-labelling matters are considered within the remit of the CODEX Commission, thereby the WTO. Given that the marketing code and the food regulation are having different emphases, with the former focuses on promotional behavior and the latter focuses on labelling and



claims, the food labeling gap should be addressed separately from the marketing code for food for young children below 36 months.

To conclude, the Association agrees to end all forms of inappropriate promotion of breastmilk substitutes. It supports the principles of the WHO Code to contribute to the provision of safe and adequate nutrition for infants and strongly believes that the Hong Kong Code should be based on the international standard, appropriate development principles (scientific evidence and Risk Analysis Principles), other appropriate countries regulations and multi-lateral agreements to govern only the marketing behaviors of breastmilk substitutes. Food labelling and claims can be addressed appropriately through taking regulation guidance from the international standard – CODEX Alimentarius and related multi-lateral agreements.

Should you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact our President, Mr Clarence Chung at 3102 1600 or enquiry@hkiycna.hk.

Submitted by the Hong Kong Infant and Young Child Nutrition Association

Enclosure

National Implementation of the International Code of Marketing of Breastmilk Substitutes (April 2011), UNICEF

cc:

Dr York Chow, GBS, JP, Secretary for Food and Health

Dr Estrella Cheung Principal AS for Food & Health (Health)1 Food and Health Bureau

Dr Chui Kuk-ying Assistant Secretary for Food and Health (Health) SD1, Food and Health Bureau



Dr Shirley Leung Assistant Director of Health (Family and Elderly Health Services), Department of Health

Dr Winnie Lau Senior Medical & Health Officer (Family and Elderly Health Services), Department of Health

All Taskforce Members on Hong Kong Code of Marketing of Breast-milk Substitutes

Members of Health Services Panel in Legislative Council



National Implementation of the International Code of Marketing of Breastmilk Substitutes (April 2011)

37

Law Afghanistan Albania Bahrain Benin Botswana Brazil

Burkina Faso Cameroon Cape Verde Costa Rica Dominican Rep Fiji

Gabon
Gambia
Georgia
Ghana
Guatemala
India
Iran
Lebanon
Madagascar
Maldives
Mozambique

Nepal Pakistan Palau Panama Peru Philippines Saudi Arabia Sri Lanka Tanzania Uganda Uruguay



Venezuela

Zimbabwe

Yemen

Many provisions law

Argentina Austria Azerbaijan Bangladesh Belgium Bolivia Cambodia Czech Republic

China
Colombia
Denmark
Egypt
Djibouti
Finland
France
Germany
Greece

Hungary Indonesia Ireland Italy Jordan Kyrgyzstan Lao (PDR) Latvia Luxembourg Malawi Mali Mexico Netherlands Nicaragua Niger Nigeria

Norway Oman Poland Portugal

Papua New Guinea

Senegal
Slovenia
Sweden
Spain
Tajikistan
Tunisia
United Kingdom
Viet Nam
Zambia



Few provisions law

Algeria Armenia Canada Chile

Cuba

Congo, Dem. Rep. Of

Estonia
Ethiopia
Guinea
Guinea-Bissau
Israel
Japan
Macedonia
Mongolia
Paraguay
Qatar
Turkey
Turkmenistan

United Arab Emirates

11

Voluntary Australia

Ecuador Honduras Kenya Kuwait Malaysia New Zealand South Africa Swaziland Thailand

Trinidad & Tobago



Some Provisions Voluntary

Bhutan Guyana Hong Kong Jamaica Korea (Rep.) Liberia Singapore Switzerland



Measure drafted awaiting final approval

Bosnia/Herzegovina

Burundi Congo Côte d'Ivoire El Salvador Haiti Iraq

Malta Moldova Morocco Namibia Rwanda Sierra Leone Togo



Being studied

Angola Belarus Croatia Eritrea Lesotho Lithuania Mauritania Mauritius

Myanmar (Union of) Romania

Russian Federation

Slovakia Syrian Arab Republic

Uzbekistan



Action to end Free Supplies only

Libyan Arab Rep. Sudan



No action Central African Rep.

Chad Somalia United States Iceland Kazakhstan



No information

Bulgaria Equatorial Guinea Korea (DPR) Netherlands Antilles

Federal Rep. Of Yugoslavia Sao Tome & Principe Tokelau

Ukraine US Virgin Islands

KEY TO CATEGORIES

Law:	These countries have enacted legislation or other legal measures encompassing all or substantially all provisions of the International Code.
Many provisions law:	The countries in this category have enacted legislation or other legameasures encompassing many of the provisions of the International Code.
Few provisions law:	These countries have enacted legislation or other legal measures encompassing a few provisions of the International Code.
Voluntary:	In these countries, the government has adopted all, or nearly all provisions of the International Code through non-binding measures.
Some provisions voluntary:	In these countries, the government has adopted some, but not all provisions of the International Code through non-binding measures.
Measure drafted awaiting final approval:	In these countries, a final draft of a law or other measure has been recommended to implement all or many of the provisions of the International Code and final approval is pending.
Being studied:	A government committee in each of these countries is still studying how best to implement the International Code.
Action to end free supplies only:	In these countries, the government has taken some action to end free and low-cost supplies of breastmilk substitutes to health care facilities but has not implemented other parts of the International Code.
No action:	These countries have take no steps to implement the International Code.
No information:	No information is available for these countries.