立法會 Legislative Council

LC Paper No. CB(2)1534/11-12(05)

Ref : CB2/PL/SE

Panel on Security

Background brief prepared by the Legislative Council Secretariat for the meeting on 3 April 2012

Review of the Daya Bay Contingency Plan

Purpose

This paper summarizes past discussions of the Panel on Security ("the Panel") on the Daya Bay Contingency Plan ("DBCP") in the event of a nuclear incident at Daya Bay Nuclear Power Station ("DBNPS").

Background

Daya Bay Nuclear Power Station

- 2. DBNPS, which comprises Guangdong Nuclear Power Station ("GNPS") and Lingao Nuclear Power Station ("LNPS"), is located at Daya Bay about 50 km north-east of the Hong Kong city centre. GNPS and LNPS commenced operation in 1994 and 2000 respectively.
- 3. GNPS comprises two French-designed pressurized water reactors. Each reactor is protected by three barriers to prevent the release of radioactive material from the core. The design of the pressurized water reactors at LNPS is similar to those at GNPS. The International Atomic Energy Agency ("IAEA"), established under the auspices of the United Nations, conducted safety reviews on GNPS both before and after it commenced operation to confirm that the nuclear station would be operated in strict compliance with international safety standards.

International Nuclear Event Scale

4. The International Nuclear Event Scale ("INES") was drawn up by IAEA as an internationally recognized standard for facilitating better understanding by the public, media and the nuclear industry of the degree of significance of nuclear-related events. Under INES, international nuclear events are classified from Level 0 to Level 7. Any event that comes within the classification of INES is considered a Licensing Operational Event. Level 0 is known as "below scale" event, which implies that the event has no safety significance. Levels 1 to 3 events are regarded as "incidents", which have very little or no impact on the environment. Levels 4 to 7 are regarded as "accidents", representing various degrees of radiological impact. All incidents and accidents have to be verified, reported, analyzed and rectified so as to prevent any recurrence in the future. Events falling outside the classification of INES are matters which do not have any relevance to safety. classification takes into account many factors, including any degradation of safety protection measures, integrity of radiological barriers and control devices, as well as impact on the public and the environment.

Deliberations of the Panel

5. Issues relating to DBCP were discussed at the Panel meetings on 19 March, 7 June and 6 December 2011.

Review of DBCP

- 6. The Panel noted that after the Fukushima Daiichi nuclear power plant accident ("Fukushima incident") in March 2011, the Administration was conducting a review on DBCP. The review covered various aspects, including its application, the latest international nuclear safety standards and contingency measures, the notification mechanism for nuclear incidents, radiation monitoring assessment in Hong Kong, contingency preparations, and measures and actions to be taken upon activation of the contingency plan.
- 7. Members pointed out that comprehensive tests on DBCP were conducted in November 1990, May 1993, December 1996 and February 2001 under the observation of IAEA or other international experts. However, such tests on DBCP had not been conducted since February 2001. As the next comprehensive test would not be held until 2012, members called on the Administration to advance the test to 2011.

- 8. According to the Administration, the Security Bureau had set up a dedicated team to steer and coordinate efforts across the Government for reviewing DBCP and interfacing with departmental efforts. Reference would be made to the latest international practice as published by IAEA and other international organizations. It was expected that the review of DBCP would be completed by end of 2011 and a large-scale and comprehensive inter-departmental exercise would be conducted in early 2012 in the light of the revised contingency plan to test the preparedness and response capability of various departments.
- 9. Noting that the Japanese authorities had expanded full countermeasures from areas within a zone of 20 km radius around the Fukushima Daiichi nuclear power station to those within a zone of 30 km radius from the nuclear power station, members sought information on whether the Administration would consider adopting similar measures in Hong Kong and enlarging the area to those within a zone of 30 km radius from Daya Bay.
- 10. According to the Administration, it was reviewing the coverage of full countermeasures around DBNPS. In Hong Kong, Ping Chau fell within the zone of 20 km radius from DBNPS, whereas Tai Long Wan, High Island Reservoir, Grass Island, Hoi Ha, Lai Chi Wo and Wong Shek Pier fell within the zone of 30 km, and the population involved was approximately 1 000. The Administration would closely monitor the development in the Ministerial Conference on Nuclear Safety to be held by IAEA from 20 to 24 June 2011. The Conference was aimed at making a preliminary assessment of the Fukushima incident, identifying areas of global nuclear safety framework for review and identifying possible future actions.
- 11. Members sought information on whether members of the public were made aware of the existing DBCP and whether the Administration would consider launching future exercises involving participation of members of the public on a regular basis.
- 12. The Administration advised members that DBCP had been uploaded onto the home page of the Security Bureau. In the past, only the few residents of Ping Chau were covered in the evacuation arrangements and they were not involved in past exercises. The Administration would suitably involve relevant members of public in the exercise to be carried out in early 2012, assess the outcome for further review and set out the direction for carrying out future exercises, including the frequency and the extent of public participation in future exercises.

- 4 -

- 13. Concern was raised over the situation in Hong Kong that unlike other places, Hong Kong residents had nowhere to evacuate in the event of a nuclear accident at DBNPS. Query was also raised as to whether the Administration could draw useful reference from foreign countries where residents could evacuate to other places of those countries when revising DBCP. According to the Administration, it would have regard to the particular circumstances of Hong Kong when reviewing DBCP.
- 14. There was a suggestion that DBCP should cover the entire territory of Hong Kong regardless of the distance from DBNPS. As it was an international practice to adopt countermeasures against ingestion of contaminated food, water or milk within a zone of 20 km to 50 km from the nuclear power station, the revised DBCP should focus more on education of the public on the ingestion countermeasures rather than evacuation. The Administration advised members that DBCP covered the entire territory of Hong Kong and appropriate enhancements would be considered in the review.
- 15. Members noted that officers from the Security Bureau and other relevant government departments joined the Chinese delegation as members to attend the Ministerial Conference on Nuclear Safety organized by IAEA in Vienna from 20 to 24 June 2011. In the light of the Fukushima incident, IAEA called for Member States' universal application of the IAEA Safety Standards as international benchmark for protecting people and the environment from harmful effects of radiation. Relevant safety standards, in particular those pertaining to multiple severe hazards and effective preparedness, should be reviewed and strengthened. At the General Conference of IAEA held in September 2011, an Action Plan on Nuclear Safety was endorsed, which set out, among other things, the requirement to review and strengthen IAEA safety standards and improve their implementation.

Framework proposals to enhance DBCP

16. Concern was raised over the findings and enhancement proposals of the comprehensive review of DBCP, in particular the maintenance of a 20 km radius for Emergency Planning Zone ("EPZ")1 following the Fukushima incident. According to the Administration, the prevailing 20km-EPZ1 arrangement in DBCP had been critically reviewed with renewed and up-to-date risk considerations and parameters in the ongoing review exercise. The detailed pre-planning of protective actions to a reasonable extent would provide a useful basis in expanding the scope to handle an accident with wider implications if happened. Noting that it would only involve an addition of some 1 000 residents if a 30 km radius for EPZ1 was to be adopted, members

urged the Administration to seriously re-consider the area of the coverage of EPZ1.

- 17. Members expressed concern about the communication with the Mainland authorities regarding the evacuation plan for people in Hong Kong in case of a nuclear emergency and whether there was an urgent evacuation plan for pregnant women, new born babies and their parents. Members were advised of the communication between the Administration and the Mainland authorities about the notification system but not the evacuation plan. In accordance with IAEA's generic criteria, there was no difference of the evacuation plan for adults and that for pregnant women and new born babies and their parents.
- 18. Information was sought on the ingestion pathway countermeasures for food and water in case of a nuclear emergency. According to the Administration, a range of 85 km covering the whole territory of Hong Kong had been set as EPZ2 with controls over food, live food animals and water imported from areas close to DBNPS, locally produced or supplied. Agreement had been made with the Mainland authorities to implement protective measures for export of food produced within 50 km of DBNPS to Hong Kong so as to guarantee food safety. Regarding the concern over food supply, members were advised that while the Mainland was the most important food source for Hong Kong, especially the fresh food items, only a small proportion of food imported from places in the vicinity of DBNPS. Members were assured that in the unlikely event of a nuclear incident, there would be sufficient and stable supply of live and fresh food to Hong Kong.
- 19. Concern was also raised as to whether nuclear energy would continue to be used upon the expiry of the service life of the nuclear power stations at Daya Bay. According to the Administration, a review would be conducted on the kinds of energy to be used in Hong Kong with reference to international standards and those of other countries which were being reviewed following the Fukushima incident.

Relevant papers

20. A list of the relevant papers on the Legislative Council website is in the **Appendix**.

Council Business Division 2
Legislative Council Secretariat
28 March 2012

Relevant papers on Daya Bay Contingency Plan

Committee	Date of meeting	Paper
Legislative Council	27.10.1999	Official Record of Proceedings (Question 12)
	30.6.2010	Official Record of Proceedings (Question 1)
	7.7.2010	Official Record of Proceedings (Question 9)
Panel on Security	16.11.2010 (Item I)	Agenda Minutes
Legislative Council	16.3.2011	Official Record of Proceedings (Urgent Questions 1, 2 and 3)
Panel on Security	19.3.2011 (Item I)	Agenda Minutes
Legislative Council	30.3.2011	Motion on "Concern about the impact of the earthquake in Japan on Hong Kong"
Panel on Security	7.6.2011 (Item V)	Agenda Minutes
	6.12.2011 (Item VI)	Agenda

Council Business Division 2
<u>Legislative Council Secretariat</u>
28 March 2012