立法會 Legislative Council

LC Paper No. CB(1)1430/13-14

(These minutes have been seen by the Administration)

Ref: CB1/PL/DEV/1

Panel on Development

Minutes of special meeting held on Thursday, 25 July 2013, at 10:00 am in Conference Room 1 of the Legislative Council Complex

| Members present | Hon Tony TSE Wai-chuen (Deputy Chairman) Hon James TO Kun-sun Hon Emily LAU Wai-hing, JP Hon Frederick FUNG Kin-kee, SBS, JP Hon Cyd HO Sau-lan Hon CHAN Hak-kan, JP Hon CHAN Kin-por, BBS, JP Hon CHAN Kin-por, BBS, JP Hon IP Kwok-him, GBS, JP Hon Mrs Regina IP LAU Suk-yee, GBS, JP Hon Mrs Regina IP LAU Suk-yee, GBS, JP Hon Albert CHAN Wai-yip Hon Albert CHAN Wai-yip Hon Michael TIEN Puk-sun, BBS, JP Hon WU Chi-wai, MH Hon Gary FAN Kwok-wai Hon CHAN Chi-chuen Dr Hon Kenneth CHAN Ka-lok Hon CHAN Yuen-han, SBS, JP Hon Kenneth LEUNG Dr Hon Fernando CHEUNG Chiu-hung Dr Hon CHIANG Lai-wan, JP Ir Dr Hon LO Wai-kwok, BBS, MH, JP |
|------------------|---|
| Member attending | : Hon Ronny TONG Ka-wah, SC |

| Members absent | : Dr Hon LAU Wong-fat, GBM, GBS, JP (Chairman) Hon CHAN Kam-lam, SBS, JP Hon Abraham SHEK Lai-him, GBS, JP Dr Hon Priscilla LEUNG Mei-fun,SBS, JP Hon Alan LEONG Kah-kit, SC Hon Claudia MO Hon James TIEN Pei-chun, GBS, JP Hon CHAN Han-pan Hon LEUNG Che-cheung, BBS, MH, JP Hon Alice MAK Mei-kuen, JP Dr Hon KWOK Ka-ki |
|------------------------------|--|
| Public officers attending | : Agenda item I Mr Paul CHAN Mo-po, MH, JP Secretary for Development Mr Thomas CHAN Chung-ching, JP Deputy Secretary for Development (Planning and Lands) 1 Ms Phyllis LI, JP Deputy Director of Planning/Territorial Planning Department Mr Jeff LAM Yun-tong, JP Deputy Director (General) Lands Department Mr LAW Man-tim Chief Engineer/Project Division 2 (New Territories North and West) Civil Engineering and Development Department |
| Attendance by Invitation | : <u>Agenda item I</u> Mr LEE Ping-yiu Chairman The Incorporated Owners of Belair Monte |

Mr CHU Hoi-dick 執委 Land Justice League

Mr Vincent NG Vice President (Local Policies) Hong Kong Institute of Urban Design

Mr Tony CHAN Tung-ngok

Ms Bonnie LEUNG Exco Member Civic Party

Ms CHOW Koot-yin Respondant 捍衛東北工農商自救組

李肇華先生

黃耀明先生

劉妙成先生

黃中青先生

李燕芳女士

顏輝明先生

Ms CHUNG Xiao-qing

陳國偉先生

高大姐女士

李冠洪先生 主席 沙頭角區鄉事委員會 劉遠平先生 主席 北區四區居民協會

侯志強先生 主席 Sheung Shui District Rural Committee

侯榮光先生 主席 新界農業及權益協進會

曾玉安先生 代表 沙頭角民生協會

Mr TSANG Kar-sun Kwu Tung (South) Village Representative

Mr NAM Siu-fu Kwu Tung (North) Village Representative

Mr LIU Fu-sau Sheung Shui Heung Village Representative

廖國華先生 總幹事 北區義工團

歐陽學宏先生 坑頭村村代表

李觀仙先生 主席 坑頭村原居民村務委員會

Dr Patrick TSE Deputy President China Universities Alumni Association Mr Edwin C L TSANG Director The Association of Experts for Modernization

Mr Julian KAN Chi-chung

Mr FUNG Chi-kin Vice President The Hong Kong Real Property Federation

Sr LAU Chun-kong Chairman of Land Policy Panel The Hong Kong Institute of Surveyors

Ms Jody AU YEUNG Fung-chun Shung Him Tong (East) Village Representative

林女女士 創會成員 香港本土蕃茄控大聯萌

Mr David WONG Wan Chai District Council member

黃七娣女士 主席 香港新界本地農協會

Mr LAM Kam-kwai Vice-Chairman Ta Kwu Ling District Rural Committee

Mr WONG Wai-yim Ta Kwu Ling Kan Tau Wai Village Representative

Mr MAN Hing-sun Ta Kwu Ling Ping Che Village Representative

Mr YIK Wai-tung Ta Kwu Ling Fung Wong Wu Village Representative

| | Mr LAM Chu-keung Ta Kwu Ling Sheung Shan Kai Wat Village Representative Mr LI Yee-mui Ta Kwu Ling Lei Uk Village Representative Mr Kenny YIU Sun-choi Ta Kwu Ling Chuk Yuen Village Representative Mr TSOI Yuet-ming Ta Kwu Ling Tai Po Tin Village Representative |
|---------------------|---|
| | Mr CHAN Shiu-wing |
| | Mr IP Wai-ming |
| Clerk in attendance | : Ms Sharon CHUNG Chief Council Secretary (1)6 |
| Staff in attendance | : Mr Anthony CHU Senior Council Secretary (1)6 |
| | Mr Fred PANG Council Secretary (1)6 |
| | Ms Alice CHEUNG Senior Legislative Assistant (1)1 |
| | Ms Christina SHIU Legislative Assistant (1)6 |
| | Ms Clara LO Legislative Assistant (1)9 |

I To receive views on the revised development proposals for the North East New Territories New Development Areas project

(LC Paper No. CB(1)1461/12-13(01) -- Administration's paper on North East New Territories New Development Areas Project

LC Paper No. CB(1)1543/12-13(01) -- Administration's paper on increasing land supply

LC Paper No. CB(1)1461/12-13(02) -- Paper on the proposed North East New Territories New Development Areas prepared by the Legislative Council Secretariat (Updated background brief))

Submissions from organizations/individuals not attending the meeting

| (LC Paper No. CB(1)1580/12-13(09) | Submission from Fanling |
|-----------------------------------|---|
| (Chinese version only) | District Rural Committee dated 12 July 2013 |
| LC Paper No. CB(1)1580/12-13(10) | Submission from a member |
| (Chinese version only) | of the public (Fg Fg) dated 13 July 2013 |
| LC Paper No. CB(1)1580/12-13(11) | Submission from a member |
| (Chinese version only) | of the public (梁小姐) |
| | dated 15 July 2013 |
| LC Paper No. CB(1)1580/12-13(12) | Submission from a member |
| (Chinese version only) | of the public (ching chan) |
| | dated 15 July 2013 |
| LC Paper No. CB(1)1580/12-13(14) | Submission from a member |
| (Chinese version only) | of the public (LAM |
| | Wai-yin) dated 16 July 2013 |
| LC Paper No. CB(1)1580/12-13(15) | Submission from a member |
| | of the public (Shelly LOK) |
| | dated 16 July 2013 |
| LC Paper No. CB(1)1602/12-13(07) | Submission from Hong |
| (English version only) | Kong Institute of |
| | Environmental Impact |
| | Assessment dated 19 July 2013 |
| LC Paper No. CB(1)1602/12-13(09) | Submission from Mr Steve |

| | YEUNG School D | Hok- birector of | cheung, Sheung |
|----------------------------------|-------------------|---------------------|-------------------|
| | Shui | Pui | Yau |
| | Kinderga | rten, | dated |
| | 19 July 2 | 013 | |
| LC Paper No. CB(1)1602/12-13(10) | Second s | ubmission | from a |
| (Chinese version only) | member | of the | public |
| | (Fg Fg) | dated 2 | 3 July |
| | 2013) | | |
| | | | |

Meeting arrangements

<u>The Deputy Chairman</u> invited members' views on the meeting arrangements. He said that the meeting would be conducted in two sessions with a break in between. During each session, deputations would be invited to present their views, to be followed by views and questions from members, and then responses from the Administration. <u>Members</u> raised no objection to the meeting arrangements.

2. <u>The Deputy Chairman</u> said that he had received a motion proposed by Mr Kenneth LEUNG, and a motion proposed by Mr Albert CHAN and Mr CHAN Chi-chuen. He suggested that the Panel would discuss how to deal with the motions at the commencement of Session Two.

3. Given the involvement of the Secretary for Development ("SDEV") and his family in a company which owned a piece of farmland of around 20 000 square feet in Kwu Tung North, Sheung Shui ("the Farmland") and public concern about potential conflict of interest of SDEV with the North East New Territories ("NENT") New Development Areas ("NDAs") project, <u>Mr Frederick FUNG</u> queried the appropriateness for SDEV to attend the meeting. He considered that SDEV should resign from the post.

4. <u>The Deputy Chairman</u> remarked that it was up to the Administration to decide which public officers were suitable for attending a Panel meeting. He reminded members that in accordance with Rule 83A of the Rules of Procedure ("RoP") of the Legislative Council ("LegCo"), they should disclose the nature of any direct or indirect pecuniary interest relating to the subjects under discussion at the meeting before they spoke on the subjects.

5. <u>The Deputy Chairman</u> welcomed the Administration and deputations to the meeting. He reminded deputations that when addressing the Panel,

they were not covered by the protection and immunity under the Legislative Council (Powers and Privileges) Ordinance (Cap. 382) ("LC(P&P)O") and their written submissions were also not covered by the said Ordinance.

Session One

Presentation of views by deputations

6. A total of 22 deputations presented their views in Session One. Their major views were summarized in the **Appendix**.

Remarks of an individual attending the meeting

7. Mr Albert CHAN raised strong objection to and condemned the personal remarks made by Mr HAU Chi-keung (侯志强先生), Chairman, Sheung Shui District Rural Committee, that individuals who were aged 40 to 50 and did not own any property were useless ("the remarks"). He considered the remarks had insulted a lot of people of Hong Kong as well as Panel members. Mr Albert CHAN, Mr Kenneth LEUNG and Dr Fernando CHEUNG requested the Deputy Chairman to make a ruling on whether the remarks were offensive and inappropriate. Mr IP Kwok-him said that deputations or individuals attending the meeting were not protected under LC(P&P)O and they should be responsible for their views expressed at the meeting. The Deputy Chairman reiterated that he had informed deputations that they were not protected by LC(P&P)O. Mr IP, Mr James TO and Mr Ronny TONG suggested that the Deputy Chairman should consult the legal adviser on the relevant provisions in RoP.

(The Deputy Chairman suspended the meeting for five minutes.)

(The meeting resumed at 11:17 am.)

8. <u>The Deputy Chairman</u> said that Rule 41(4) of RoP provided that "It shall be out of order to use offensive and insulting language about Members of the Council". The Rule did not apply to deputations and individuals attending the meeting. <u>The Deputy Chairman</u> said that he had already asked Mr HAU to refrain from making such comments. <u>Mr Albert CHAN</u> reiterated that as Dr Fernando CHEUNG had indicated that he felt offended and insulted by the remarks, the Deputy Chairman should make a ruling on whether the remarks were offensive and insulting. <u>The Deputy Chairman</u> said that the deputations were invited to present their views to the Panel. If they refused to refrain from making such comments at the meeting, he would

exercise the power conferred to him under RoP to ensure the proper conduct of the meeting.

Discussion

9. Due to time constraints, <u>the Deputy Chairman</u> suggested and <u>members</u> agreed that members could raise questions during Session Two.

10. <u>SDEV</u> stressed that he, his wife and his children currently did not have any land interests in NENT. At the invitation of the Deputy Chairman, <u>SDEV</u> made a consolidated response to the views expressed by the deputations and individuals at the meeting. He highlighted the following points:

- (a) Hong Kong was facing acute land and housing shortage problems. The NENT NDAs project was an important land supply source in the medium and long term that could provide about 60 000 flats of which 60% would be subsidized housing. Hong Kong did not have a large quantity of vacant land as suggested by some deputations. He assured members that the Administration had been examining the feasibility of putting suitable and available vacant land to development.
- (b) In planning large-scale developments, the Administration recognized that monetary compensation alone might not be able to address all concerns and needs of affected residents and bodies, and was mindful of affected residents' aspiration to maintain their rural way of life currently in NENT. To this end, the Administration had tried to minimize the impact of the project on the villages and would implement measures to protect the ecological environment. The Administration noted the views expressed by deputations on various planning issues, compensation and rehousing arrangements, and would visit the affected residents and bodies to continue to gauge their views.
- (c) Fanling Golf Course had been included in the Preliminary Feasibility Study on Developing the New Territories North ("the NTN Study") which would take time to complete. Re-development of the golf course site could not be a substitute for the NENT NDAs project.

- (d) Replanning of Ping Che/Ta Kwu Ling had been included in the NTN Study.
- (e) On the Residential Care Homes for the Elderly ("RCHEs") at Dills Corner Garden in Kwu Tung North, the Social Welfare Department would provide assistance to the affected elders in finding alternative accommodation at other institutions as necessary. Since the RCHEs in Kwu Tung North were private homes, the operators concerned had to make future planning in light of the NENT NDAs project. Some new RCHE places would be provided in the Kwu Tung North and Fanling North NDAs.
- (f) The views expressed by deputations on the development of an agricultural policy would be conveyed to the Food and Health Bureau.
- (g) In taking forward the NENT NDAs project, he and his colleagues would work for the overall interests of Hong Kong and take care of the needs of affected residents and bodies through appropriate compensation and rehousing arrangements.

(*The Deputy Chairman ordered a break of three minutes*)

(The meeting resumed at 11:43 am)

Session Two

11. <u>The Deputy Chairman</u> proposed that the meeting should deal with the two motions proposed by Mr Kenneth LEUNG, and Mr Albert CHAN and Mr CHAN Chi-chuen after the deputations had expressed their views. <u>Members</u> raised no objection to the arrangement.

Presentation of views by deputations

12. A total of 23 deputations presented their views in Session Two. Their major views were summarized in the **Appendix**.

Discussion

Integrity of the Secretary for Development

13. <u>Ms Emily LAU</u> said that there were diverse views on the NENT NDAs project with both supporting and opposing voices. As the public had lost confidence in SDEV because of his integrity problem arising from allegation of his land interests in NENT, it would be hard for him to lead the NENT NDAs project. She sought deputations' views on whether SDEV should continue to be responsible for the project.

14. <u>Dr Patrick TSE of China Universities Alumni Association</u> said that SDEV had worked wholeheartedly for Hong Kong in taking forward the NENT NDAs project, which was for the long-term development of Hong Kong. Personal integrity of public officers was a separate issue and should not muddle up with the project.

15. <u>黄七娣女士 of 香港新界本地農協會</u> supported SDEV as she had supported the NENT NDAs project. She said that she had also faced a lot of challenges and difficulties in promoting the farming industry in Hong Kong.

16. <u>Dr Fernando CHEUNG</u> said that if the integrity problem of SDEV arising from allegation of his land interests in NENT remained unsolved, it would have negative impact on the implementation of the NENT NDAs project. He was of the view that SDEV had not disclosed all the relevant information about the Farmland. As Mr Kenneth LEUNG had proposed a motion requesting SDEV to provide information on the companies that were involved in the Farmland, <u>Dr CHEUNG</u> said that SDEV should respond to the motion.

17. <u>SDEV</u> said that the Statement Industries Limited had issued a press statement on the previous day that it had engaged an independent surveyor company to value the Farmland. After that, the company would sell the Farmland by tender in order to sever any land interests in NENT. His wife's family, who was the shareholders of the Statement Industries Limited, would have no land interests after the sale of the Farmland. He reiterated that he, his wife and his children currently had no land interests in NENT.

18. <u>Dr Kenneth CHAN</u> said that SDEV had been evasive in responding to media's enquiries on matters relating to the Farmland. He was concerned that if SDEV's integrity problem was not resolved, it would affect the Panel's discussion on the NENT NDAs project. Given SDEV's reiteration that he

would work for the overall interests of Hong Kong in taking forward the NENT NDAs project, <u>Dr CHAN</u> opined that SDEV should consider resigning from the post. He considered that selling the Farmland would not help restore public confidence in SDEV.

19. <u>Mr Frederick FUNG</u> said that SDEV had been playing with language in answering queries about the Farmland. As SDEV's wife had previously owned the Farmland, his relationship with the land could not be cleared even if his wife had sold the shares in the Statement Industries Limited. <u>Mr FUNG</u> urged SDEV to resign from the post.

20. <u>Mr LEUNG Kwok-hung</u> and <u>Mr CHAN Chi-chuen</u> considered that SDEV should resign from the post. <u>Mr CHAN</u> added that SDEV should convince the public that he had no integrity problem and provide further information on his wife's disposal of shares in Statement Industries Limited.

21. Dr CHIANG Lai-wan pointed out that the Farmland was purchased in 1994 which was far before inception of the NENT NDAs project. It should be noted that SDEV had made report and declared relevant interest on the Farmland in accordance with requirements in the "Code for Officials under the Political Appointment System" and the "System of Declaration of Interests by Members of the Executive Council". As why the land interests in NENT were not disclosed sooner, Dr CHIANG said that it was unfair to expect SDEV could fully grasp all the details about his wife's finances and those of her family members for making disclosure to the public. According to her understanding, the area which the Farmland situated had been changed from private development to public housing under the revised development proposal for the NENT NDAs project ("the Revised Proposal"), and hence the amount of compensation for the land had been significantly reduced. This helped to illustrate that SDEV had no self interest in taking forward the NENT NDAs project.

22. <u>SDEV</u> said that he would definitely not resign from the post or refrain from participating in the NENT NDAs project. As he had explained at the Panel meeting on 22 July 2013, the sale of his wife's stake in the Farmland to her family member was a genuine business deal. The relevant documents had been submitted to the Inland Revenue Department for stamping purpose and the required stamp duty had been paid. His wife had issued an announcement on the previous day that the transaction was in line with the market price at that time. <u>SDEV</u> added that according to his knowledge, his wife had received the consideration in the sale. Regarding his responses to media enquiries on the matter, <u>SDEV</u> said that when meeting the media on 22 and 23 July 2013, he had proactively provided the details about the Farmland. On the shareholders of Orient Express Holdings, he explained that before the Panel meeting on 22 July 2013, he had taken the initiative to explain to the media the ownership of the Farmland and told the media that his wife was a director and a minority shareholder of a company (i.e. Statement Industries Limited) which was co-owned by his wife and her family members. His wife held 37.5% of the shares in that company (i.e. Statement Industries Limited). Later on that day, after the Panel meeting had finished, the media asked him if he had interests in Orient Express Holdings, which was owned by his wife and family members. That might have caused confusion, as the two different companies were mentioned separately at the two media occasions.

Motions proposed by Mr Kenneth LEUNG, Mr Albert CHAN and Mr CHAN Chi-chuen

23. <u>Dr CHIANG Lai-wan</u> said that in dealing with motions proposed by members at Panel meeting, the Chairman should first decide whether the motions were directly related to the agenda item. She considered that the two proposed motions were not directly related to the agenda item. <u>Dr CHIANG</u> also asked whether there was any precedent that motions urging Bureau Secretaries to resign were proposed at Panel meetings and the ways such motions were handled by the relevant Panels. She said that at the last Panel meeting on 22 July 2013, when discussing the NENT NDAs project, the Chairman had ruled that a motion urging SDEV to resign was not directly related to the agenda item. <u>Ir Dr LO Wai-kwok</u> added that the Chairman had consulted the legal adviser before making the ruling on the motion at the meeting on 22 July 2013.

24. <u>The Deputy Chairman</u> said that he had studied the two motions and considered them directly related to the implementation of the NENT NDAs project. He added that Mr Kenneth LEUNG had agreed that the motion proposed by Mr Albert CHAN and Mr CHAN Chi-chuen be dealt with first despite that Mr LEUNG had handed in his motion to the Panel Clerk first.

25. <u>Mr Albert CHAN</u> and <u>Mr CHAN Chi-chuen</u> proposed the following motion:

"由於「新界東北新發展區計劃」涉及公眾利益、公帑使用、土地 規劃及房屋需求,而發展局局長陳茂波及其家人卻涉嫌擁有該區 內面積達1萬8千平方呎的土地,政府在該區的規劃及賠償的決 定,會令陳茂波及其家人獲得巨大利益。陳茂波擔任發展局局長 期間在申報其個人及其家人擁有上述土地利益的問題上,令社會 各界質疑陳茂波欠缺誠信,並未能公平、公正及合理地處理「新 界東北新發展區計劃」的賠償及規劃事宜。基於上述原因,本委 員會促請陳茂波立即辭去發展局局長的職位,以確保陳茂波的誠 信問題不會對政府施政及「新界東北新發展區計劃」帶來負面影響。"

(Translation)

"Given that the NENT NDAs project involves public interest, the use of public funds, land use planning and housing demand, and yet it is suspected that the Secretary for Development, Mr Paul CHAN Mo-po, and his family own land amounting to 18 000 square feet in the areas, the Government's decision on the planning and compensation in respect of the areas will enable Mr Paul CHAN Mo-po and his family to gain substantial benefits. The way in which Mr Paul CHAN Mo-po, as the Secretary for Development, has declared interests in land owned by himself and his family in the areas has caused the public to query his integrity, and that he will not handle matters relating to compensation and planning for the NENT NDAs project in a fair, impartial and reasonable manner. For the above reasons, this Panel urges Mr Paul CHAN Mo-po to resign immediately from the post of the Secretary for Development, so as to ensure that his integrity problem will not bring about any adverse impact upon the implementation of policies by the Government and the NENT NDAs project."

26. <u>The Deputy Chairman</u> sought members' views on whether the Panel should proceed with the handling of the motion. Ten members voted for and one member voted against.

27. Due to time constraints, <u>members</u> agreed that the two motions would be dealt with at the meeting to be held on 26 July 2013.

(*Post-meeting note*: Members were informed of the above meeting arrangement vide LC Paper No. CB(1)1619/12-13 on 25 July 2013.)

II Any other business

28. There being no other business, the meeting ended at 1:14 pm.

Council Business Division 1 Legislative Council Secretariat 16 May 2014

Panel on Development

Special meeting on Thursday, 25 July 2013 at 10:00 am Meeting to receive views on "The revised development proposals for the North East New Territories New Development Areas project"

Summary of views and concerns expressed by deputations/individuals

| No | Name of deputation/individual | Major views and concerns |
|-------------|---|---|
| Session One | | |
| 1. | The Incorporated Owners of Belair Monte | Presentation of views [LC Paper No. CB(1)1602/12-13(01)] |
| 2. | Land Justice League | The Secretary for Development ("SDEV") should resign. Fanling Golf Course should be redeveloped for housing. The North East New Territories ("NENT") New Development Areas ("NDAs") project should be withdrawn. |
| 3. | Hong Kong Institute of Urban Design | The principle of urban-rural integration should be adopted in planning the NENT NDAs project. There should be diversified designs for buildings in the NDAs, and screen-type buildings should be avoided. Social impact assessment should be conducted for the NENT NDAs project. |
| 4. | Mr Tony CHAN Tung-ngok | Presentation of views [LC Paper No. CB(1)1602/12-13(01)] |
| 5. | Civic Party | Presentation of views [LC Paper No. 1659/12-13(01)] |
| 6. | 捍衛東北工農商自救 組 | SDEV should resign. The NENT NDAs project should be withdrawn. Vacant land in Hong Kong and land hoarded by private developers should be made available for development. Fanling Golf Course should be redeveloped for housing. |
| 7. | 李肇華先生 | Kwu Tung villagers had not been consulted on the NENT NDAs project. Fanling Golf Course should be redeveloped for housing. |
| 8. | 黃耀明先生 | The NENT NDAs project should be withdrawn. Fanling Golf Course should be redeveloped for housing. |
| 9. | 劉妙成先生 | • Fanling Golf Course should be redeveloped for housing. |

| No | Name of deputation/individual | Major views and concerns |
|-----|--|---|
| 10. | 黄中青先生 | Presentation of views [LC Paper No. CB(1)1659/12-13(02)] |
| 11. | 李燕芳女士 | The NENT NDAs project should be withdrawn. There should be "no relocation and no demolition" to Kwu Tung Village. |
| 12. | 顏輝明先生 | The NENT NDAs project should be withdrawn. The Administration should adopt a bottom-up approach in development. Vacant land in Hong Kong and land hoarded by private developers should be made available for development. |
| 13. | Ms CHUNG Xiao-qing | SDEV should not destroy the homes of thousands of Kwu Tung villagers. SDEV had integrity problem as revealed by his land interests in NENT. |
| 14. | 陳國偉先生 | Fanling Golf Course should be redeveloped for housing. The NENT NDAs project should be withdrawn. |
| 15. | 高大姐女士 | • The Administration should not demolish her home under the NENT NDAs project. |
| 16. | 沙頭角區鄉事委員會 | Expressed support for the NENT NDAs project. The project should be extended to cover New Territories North. The Administration should enhance the compensation and rehousing arrangements for affected residents. |
| 17. | 北區四區居民協會 | Presentation of views [LC Paper No. CB(1)1602/12-13(03)] |
| 18. | Sheung Shui District Rural Committee | Presentation of views [LC Paper No. CB(1)1580/12-13(01)] |
| 19. | 新界農業及權益協進 會 | Presentation of views [LC Paper No. CB(1)1602/12-13(04)] |
| 20. | 沙頭角民生協會 | Presentation of views [(LC Paper No. CB(1)1580/12-13(02)] |
| 21. | Mr TSANG Kar-sun Kwu Tung (South) Village Representative | Presentation of views [LC Paper No. CB(1)1580/12-13(03)] |

| No | Name of deputation/individual | Major views and concerns |
|------|--|--|
| Sess | ion Two | |
| 22. | Mr NAM Siu-fu Kwu Tung (North) Village Representative | • Presentation of views [LC Paper No. CB(1)1580/12-13(04)] |
| 23. | Mr LIU Fu-sau Sheung Shui Heung Village Representative | Presentation of views [LC Paper No. CB(1)1602/12-13(05)] |
| 24. | 北區義工團 | Presentation of views [LC Paper No. CB(1)1580/12-13(05)] |
| 25. | 歐陽學宏先生 坑頭村村代表 | Expressed support for the NENT NDAs project. The Administration should improve the infrastructure and transport facilities for villages in the surrounding areas. |
| 26. | 坑頭村原居民村務委 員會 | Presentation of views [LC Paper No. CB(1)1580/12-13(06)] |
| 27. | China Universities Alumni Association | Presentation of views [LC Paper No. CB(1)1659/12-13(03)] |
| 28. | The Association of Experts for Modernization | Presentation of views [LC Paper No. CB(1)1659/12-13(04)] |
| 29. | Mr Julian KAN Chi-chung | • The NENT NDAs project should be withdrawn. |
| 30. | The Hong Kong Real Property Federation | Presentation of views [LC Paper No. CB(1)1602/12-13(06)] |
| 31. | The Hong Kong Institute of Surveyors | • Presentation of views [LC Paper No. CB(1)1659/12-13(05)] |
| 32. | Ms Jody AU YEUNG Fung-chun Shung Him Tong (East) Village Representative | • Shung Him Tong Village should be consulted. The Administration should respect affected villagers and enhance communication with them. |
| 33. | 香港本土蕃茄控大聯 萌 | Presentation of views [LC Paper No. CB(1)1580/12-13(07)] |
| 34. | Mr David WONG Wan Chai District Council member | The Administration should develop new towns to accommodate the growing population. Sufficient employment opportunities should be provided in the two NDAs. An agricultural policy should be formulated to assist the farmers |

| No | Name of deputation/individual | Major views and concerns |
|-----|--|--|
| | | affected by the NENT NDAs project who wished to continue farming. |
| 35. | 香港新界本地農協會 | Presentation of views [LC Paper No. CB(1)1580/12-13(08)] |
| 36. | Ta Kwu Ling District Rural Committee | Opposed to replanning for Ping Che/Ta Kwu Ling ("PC/TKL") as this would affect the employment opportunities in the new NDAs. PC/TKL should be retained in the NENT NDAs project. |
| 37. | Mr WONG Wai-yim Ta Kwu Ling Kan Tau Wai Village Representative | A majority of TKL residents supported the development of the area. PC/TKL should be retained in the NENT NDAs project. An agricultural policy should be formulated to promote the development of farming industry in Hong Kong. |
| 38. | Mr MAN Hing-sun Ta Kwu Ling Ping Che Village Representative | PC/TKL should be retained in the NENT NDAs project. TKL landfill had adversely affected water quality in the local area, and hence farming activities in the vicinity area. |
| 39. | Mr YIK Wai-tung Ta Kwu Ling Fung Wong Wu Village Representative | • The Administration should provide a timetable and a road map for replanning PC/TKL. |
| 40. | Mr LAM Chu-keung Ta Kwu Ling Sheung Shan Kai Wat Village Representative | The original proposal to develop three NDAs under the NENT NDAs project should be retained. There should be suitable compensation and rehousing arrangements for affected residents. |
| 41. | Mr LI Yee-mui Ta Kwu Ling Lei Uk Village Representative | • PC/TKL should be retained in the NENT NDAs project. |
| 42. | Mr Kenny YIU Sun-choi Ta Kwu Ling Chuk Yuen Village Representative | Expressed support for the NENT NDAs project. PC/TKL should be retained in the NENT NDAs project. There should be suitable compensation and rehousing arrangements for affected residents. Expressed concern that compensation for some affected villagers in the development of Liantang/Heung Yeung Wai boundary control point had not been settled. |
| 43. | Mr TSOI Yuet-ming Ta Kwu Ling Tai Po Tin Village Representative | PC/TKL should be retained in the NENT NDAs project. Expressed concern about flooding in his village. TKL landfill should be relocated. |

| No | Name of deputation/individual | Major views and concerns |
|-----|----------------------------------|--|
| 44. | CHAN Shiu-wing | TKL should be developed to tie in with development of the Liantang/Heung Yuen Wai boundary control point. The Administration should restart the planning for PC/TKL as soon as possible. |
| 45. | Mr IP Wai-ming | Expressed support for the NENT NDAs project and the Administration's revised proposal. Expressed support for exploring a mixed mode of development for the NENT NDAs project, e.g. including some leisure farming in the new NDAs. Sufficient employment opportunities and transport infrastructure should be provided for the NDAs. |

Council Business Division 1 Legislative Council Secretariat 16 May 2014