

Panel on Development

List of follow-up actions
(Position as at 23 November 2012)

Subject (Responsible Bureau/Office)	Date of meeting	Follow-up actions required	Administration's response
1. Energizing Kowloon East (Development Bureau)	19 December 2011	Members opined that the Administration should formulate a long-term strategic plan for supply of office land in various districts in Hong Kong. In this connection, the Administration was requested to provide an analysis of demand and supply of office space by district in the past 10 years and, as far as possible, a projection of the same in the next five years.	Administration's response awaited
2. Building (Standards of Sanitary Fitments, Plumbing, Drainage Works and Latrines) Regulations (Development Bureau)	28 February 2012	The Administration was requested to -- (a) provide information on the existing situations, and the situations after enhancement in the standards in the provision of sanitary fitments (i.e. the respective number of waterclosets and urinals) in male and female toilets for various public places, including	The Administration's response was issued to members on 21 November 2012 vide LC Paper No. CB(1)200/12-13(01).

Subject (Responsible Bureau/Office)	Date of meeting	Follow-up actions required	Administration's response
		<p>cinemas, theatres, shopping centres, department stores, restaurants and places of public entertainment; and</p> <p>(b) provide a written response on whether the proposed enhancement in the standards of provision of sanitary fittings in female toilets would constitute gender discrimination from a legal perspective as the proposed enhancement may have impact on the waiting time for males in using male toilets in public places.</p>	
<p>3. North East New Territories New Development Areas and Engineering Study (Development Bureau)</p>	<p>30 October 2012</p>	<p>The Administration was requested to provide the following information to address members' concerns --</p> <p>(a) whether the farmers currently undergoing agricultural activities in the proposed North East New Territories New Development Areas ("NENT NDAs") would be allowed to continue farming in-situ, instead of being required to abandon the farmland which they have been cultivating for a long time;</p>	<p>The Administration's response was issued to members on 22 November 2012 vide LC Paper No. CB(1)203/12-13(01).</p>

Subject (Responsible Bureau/Office)	Date of meeting	Follow-up actions required	Administration's response
		<p>(b) whether newcomers residents and farmers in the areas affected, not only the indigenous inhabitants, would be offered in-situ rehousing;</p> <p>(c) details and examples about how the "conventional new town approach" ("CNTA") (proposed by the Administration during the Stage 3 public engagement exercise for implementing the NENT NDAs) is to be implemented, in particular, how it will be different from the approach for developing new towns in the past allowing public-private partnership and issuance of land exchange entitlements to affected landowners;</p> <p>(d) studies supporting that the adoption of CNTA for implementing the NENT NDAs would achieve the Administration's housing targets in a more efficient and effective manner than the adoption of the public-private partnership approach; and</p> <p>(e) details of and justifications for the</p>	

Subject (Responsible Bureau/Office)	Date of meeting	Follow-up actions required	Administration's response
		<p>estimated expenses on resuming private land under CNTA for developing the NENT NDAs.</p>	
<p>4. PWP Item No. 13GB -- Liantang/Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point and associated works (Development Bureau)</p>	<p>30 October 2012</p>	<p>To address members' concerns on compensation and rehousing arrangements for residents affected by the Liantang/Heung Yuen Wai Boundary Control Point ("the BCP") project, vehicular and passenger access to the Passenger Terminal Building, and whether the projected numbers of passenger and vehicle trips per day in 2018 were over-estimated, the Administration was requested to provide the following information --</p> <p>(a) the respective numbers of (i) owners of housing units on private land, (ii) occupants of licensed structures and (iii) inhabitants of squatter huts in the areas affected by the project vis-à-vis the respective total areas of land occupied by the above three categories of residents;</p> <p>(b) details about the design guidelines for the</p>	<p>The Administration's response was issued to members on 21 November 2012 vide LC Paper No. CB(1)198/12-13(01).</p>

Subject (Responsible Bureau/Office)	Date of meeting	Follow-up actions required	Administration's response
		<p>BCP, in particular those relating to direct vehicular and passenger access to the Passenger Terminal Building;</p> <p>(c) the projected peak hour local (non-cross-boundary) traffic volume and whether it could be well accommodated by the capacities of the carpark, the drop-off areas and the roads within the BCP; and</p> <p>(d) the basis on which the designed capacity of 30 000 passenger trips and 17 850 vehicle trips daily, and the estimated gross economic benefits of \$14.3 billion to be generated from 2018 to 2030 (according to the findings of the Shenzhen-Hong Kong Joint Preliminary Planning Study on Developing the Liantang/Heung Yuen Wai BCP conducted by the Planning Bureau of SZMG and the Planning Department of the Hong Kong Special Administrative Region Government published in September 2008) were worked out, and whether the figures were</p>	

Subject (Responsible Bureau/Office)	Date of meeting	Follow-up actions required	Administration's response
		possibly over-estimation, taking into account that the model of "separate immigration and customs operation on both sides" (兩地兩檢) will be adopted.	
4. Tree management (Development Bureau)	30 October 2012	Members were concerned about the efficiency of the Administration's tree complaint mechanism. In this connection, the Administration was requested to provide a flow chart to illustrate the various steps and timeframes in the process for handling the tree-related complaints/reports made by the public, in particular the process involving cross-departmental actions.	The Administration's response was issued to members on 21 November 2012 vide LC Paper No. CB(1)198/12-13(02).